# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, ET AL. CIVIL NO. 51-CV-1247-GPC-RBB

CHARLES W. BINDER
WATERMASTER
P. O. BOX 631
FALLBROOK, CA 92088
(760) 728-1028
FAX (760) 728-1990

**July 2014** 

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		Page No.
	TON 1 – SUMMARY	
SECT	TON 2 – INTRODUCTION	5
2.1	Background	5
2.2	Authority	5
2.3	Scope	5
SECT	TION 3 – SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY AND USE	7
3.1	Surface Flow	7
3.2	Surface Water Diversions	13
3.3	Water Storage	13
SECT	TION 4 – SUBSURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY	19
4.1	General	19
4.2	Extractions	19
4.3	Water Levels	21
4.4.	Groundwater Storage	26
4.	.4.1 Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin	26
4.	.4.2 Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin	28
4.	.4.3 Anza Groundwater Basin	31
SECT	TION 5 – IMPORTS/EXPORTS	33
5.1	General	33
5.2	Water Year 2012-13	37
5.3	Water Years 1966 through 2013	37
5.4	Lake Skinner	41
5.5	Diamond Valley Lake	
SECT	TION 6 – WATER RIGHTS	
6.1	General	
6.2		
6.3		
	for Permit No. 11356	49
6.4	Federal Reserved Water Rights for Cahuilla and Ramona Indian Rese	ervations50
6.5	Federal Reserved Water Rights for Pechanga Indian Reservation	51

#### WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SE	ECTI	ON 7	7 – WATER PRODUCTION AND USE	53
	7.1	Ger	neral	53
	7.2	Wat	ter Purveyors	54
	7.2	2.1	Anza Mutual Water Company	54
	7.2	2.2	Eastern Municipal Water District	57
	7.2	2.3	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	60
	7.2	2.4	Fallbrook Public Utility District	60
	7.2	2.5	Lake Riverside Estates	61
	7.2	2.6	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	61
	7.2	2.7	Rainbow Municipal Water District	61
	7.2	2.8	Rancho California Water District	61
			Vail Appropriation	62
			Imported Water Return Flows	64
			Division of Local Water	67
	7.2	2.9	Western Municipal Water District	70
			Murrieta Division	70
			Improvement District A	71
	7.2	2.10	U. S. Marine Corps - Camp Pendleton	71
	7.2	2.11	U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex	72
	7.3	Indi	an Reservations	72
	7.3	3.1	Cahuilla Indian Reservation	73
	7.3	3.2	Pechanga Indian Reservation	73
	7.3	3.3	Ramona Indian Reservation	74
	7.4	Sma	all Water Systems	74
	7.5	Irrig	ation Water Use	74
SE	ECTI	ON 8	B – UNAUTHORIZED WATER USE	75
	8.1	Ger	neral	75
	8.2	Una	authorized Small Storage Ponds	75
	8.3	Rar	ncho California Water District Water Use	75
	8.4	Exp	ortation of Treated Wastewater Derived from Native Waters	76

#### WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SECTI	ON 9 – THREATS TO WATER SUPPLY	77
9.1	General	77
9.2	High Nitrate Concentrations	77
9.3	Potential Overdraft Conditions	78
9.4	Salt Balance	79
9.5	High Arsenic Concentrations	81
9.6	High Fluoride Concentrations	81
9.7	High Manganese Concentrations	81
9.8	Quagga Mussel	82
SECTI	ON 10 – WATER QUALITY	85
10.1	Surface Water Quality	85
10.2	Groundwater Quality	85
SECTI	ON 11 – COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT	91
11.1	General	91
11.2	Required Flows	94
11.3	Water Quality	95
11.4	Monitoring Programs	95
	ON 12 – FIVE YEAR PROJECTION OF WATERMASTER OFFICE S,EXPENDITURES AND REQUIREMENTS	99
	General	
12.2	Normal Tasks	99
12.3	Additional Tasks	99
12.4	Projected Expenditures	100
SECTI	ON 13 – WATERMASTER OFFICE BUDGET 2014-2015	101
	Comparison of Budget and Actual Costs for 2012-13	
13.2	Proposed Budget for 2014-15	101

#### **LIST OF TABLES**

		<u>Page No.</u>
Table 3.1	Stream Gaging Stations	8
Table 3.2	Measured Surface Water Flow 2012-13	9
Table 3.3	Surface Diversions to Storage for Vail Lake	14
Table 3.4	Surface Water Diversions to Storage for Lake O'Neill	15
Table 3.5	Surface Water Diversions to Use	16
Table 3.6	Water in Storage	17
Table 4.1	Water Production by Substantial Users	20
Table 4.2	Groundwater Storage at Camp Pendleton	27
Table 4.3	Changes in Groundwater Storage Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area (Water Budget Method)	29
Table 4.4	Changes in Groundwater Storage Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area (Groundwater Level Method)	30
Table 5.1	Storage in State Water Project and Colorado River Reservoirs	34
Table 5.2	Imports/Exports 2012-13	38
Table 5.3	Total Dissolved Solids Concentration of Imported Water	39
Table 5.4	Imports/Exports 1966 through 2013	40
Table 6.1	Appropriative Water Rights, Permits & Licenses	46
Table 6.2	Pre-1914 Appropriative Water Rights	48
Table 7.1	Water Production and Use	55
Table 7.2	Definitions of Water Use by Municipal Water Purveyors	56
Table 7.3	Water Deliveries to Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamatio Facility Service Area	
Table 7.4	Rancho California Water District, Permit 7032 Operations	63
Table 7.5	Rancho California Water District, Rancho Division Return Flow Credits 2012-13	65
Table 7.6	Rancho California Water District, Santa Rosa Division Return Flow Credits 2012-13	66
Table 7.7	Percent Production from Younger Alluvium In Rancho California Water District Wells	68
Table 7.8	Rancho California Water District Well Production From Younger and Older Alluvium	69

#### WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Table 10.1	Ranges in Average Daily Concentration of Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Specific Conductance and Temperature at Santa Margarita River Near Temecula	.86
Table 11.1	Monthly Summary of Required Flows, Discharges, Credits and Accounts, Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement 2013 Calendar Year	
Table 11.2	Monthly Summary of Required Flows, Discharges, Credits and Accounts, Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement 2012 Calendar Year	
Table 13.1	Comparison of Watermaster Budget and Actual Costs for 2012-13	102
Table 13.2	Proposed Watermaster Budget for 2014-15	103

#### LIST OF FIGURES

			<u>Page No.</u>					
1.1	Local Produc	ction 2004 through 2013	2					
1.2		4 through 2013						
1.3		tion 2004 through 2013						
3.1		amflow for Santa Margarita River Near Temecula						
3.2		ipitation for Wildomar Gage						
4.1	Water Level	Elevations Well No. 8S/2W-12H1	21					
4.2		Elevations Well No. 10S/4W-7J1						
4.3	Water Level	Elevations Well No. 7S/3W-20C9	23					
4.4	Water Level	Elevations Well No. 7S/3E-21G1	24					
4.5		Elevations Pechanga Indian Reservation Wells						
5.1		tate Water Project 2004 through 2013						
5.2	Storage in C	olorado River Reservoirs 2004 through 2013	35					
10.1	Total Dissolved Solids Concentration RCWD Well 8S/2W-12K							
10.2	Total Dissolved Solids Concentration Camp Pendleton Well 10S/4W-7A							
10.3								
		APPENDICES						
Appe	ndix A - Prod	duction and Use Water Year 2012-13						
	Table A-1	Eastern Municipal Water District						
	Table A-2	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District						
	Table A-3	Fallbrook Public Utility District						
	Table A-4	Metropolitan Water District						
	Table A-5	Pechanga Indian Reservation						
	Table A-6	Rainbow Municipal Water District						
	Table A-7	Rancho California Water District						
	Table A-8	U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton						
	Table A-9	U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex						
	Table A-10	Western Municipal Water District – Murrieta Division						
	Table A-11	Miscellaneous Water Production and Import						

#### Appendix B - Production and Use Water Years 1965-66 through 2012-13

Table B-1	Eastern Municipal Water District
Table B-2	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
Table B-3.1	Fallbrook Public Utility District
Table B-3.2	Fallbrook Public Utility District
Table B-4	Fallbrook Public Utility District (Wastewater)
Table B-5	Metropolitan Water District
Table B-6	Pechanga Indian Reservation
Table B-7	Rainbow Municipal Water District
Table B-8	Rancho California Water District
Table B-9	U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton
Table B-10	U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex
Table B-11	Western Municipal Water District – Murrieta Division
Table B-12	Miscellaneous Water Production and Import

#### Appendix C - Substantial Water Users 2012-13

#### Appendix D - Water Quality Data

		Last Published
Table D-1 Table D-2	Surface Streams Sampled by Camp Pendleton Surface Streams Sampled by Rancho California	1992-93
Table D-2	Water District	1998-99
Table D-2.1	Nutrient Sampling by Rancho California Water District	2002-03
Table D-3	Wells in Western Municipal Water District -	2002-03
	Murrieta Division	2012-13
Table D-4	Wells in Rancho California Water District	2012-13
Table D-5	Wells on Indian Reservations	2012-13
Table D-6	Wells on Camp Pendleton	2012-13
Table D-7	Eastern Municipal Water District	1992-93
Table D-8	Eastern Municipal Water District	1992-93
Table D-9	Eastern Municipal Water District	1992-93
Table D-10	Eastern Municipal Water District	1993-94
Table D-11	Wells in Domenigoni Valley	1994-95
Table D-12	Surface Water Sampled by USGS on	
	Cahuilla Creek	2012-13

WATERMASTER
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Appendix E – Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement Required Flows and Accounts – Calendar Year 2013

Appendix F – Annual Report Issues Subordinated During Effective Period of the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement

Appendix G – Independent Auditor's Report for Water Year 2012-13 and Report to the Steering Committee, dated December 17, 2013

**MAP** 

Major Water Purveyors

Bound at back of Report

#### **SECTION 1 – SUMMARY**

Section 1 - A summary of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Annual Watermaster Report for the 2012-13 Water Year.

Section 2 - This Annual Watermaster Report is prepared pursuant to the U. S. District Court Order dated March 13, 1989. The Court has retained jurisdiction over all surface flows of the Santa Margarita River Watershed and all underground waters determined by the Court to be subsurface flow of streams or creeks or which are determined by the Court to add to, support, or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system. The Watershed is adjudicated, as to all underground waters, basins, surface flow, streams and subsurface flows that add to, support, or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system. Local vagrant groundwaters that do not support the Santa Margarita River stream system are outside Court jurisdiction.

Section 3 - Surface water flows varied in Water Year 2012-13. Flows for long-term stations on Murrieta Creek at Temecula, Santa Margarita River near Temecula, and Santa Margarita River at Ysidora were 12%, 27% and 7% of their long-term averages, respectively. Flows at Temecula Creek near Aguanga were 16% of the long-term average. Direct surface diversions to use totaled 722 acre feet, which reflects an increase of one acre foot from the prior year. The total quantity of water in storage in the Watershed on September 30, 2013, was 667,705 acre feet, of which 21,224 acre feet were Santa Margarita River water and 646,481 acre feet were imported water.

Section 4 - Groundwater extractions were 42,621 acre feet during Water Year 2012-13 as shown on Table 4.1, compared to 39,163 acre feet in 2011-12. Water purveyors pumped 35,998 acre feet, and 6,623 acre feet were pumped by other substantial users. Total local production, including groundwater extractions and surface diversions in 2012-13 was 43,343 acre feet. This compares with 39,884 acre feet in 2011-12, and represents an increase of nine percent. Total annual local production for use for the period 2004 through 2013 is shown on Figure 1.1.

Section 5 - During Water Year 2012-13, 74,889 acre feet of net imports were distributed for use within the Watershed, as shown on Table 5.2. This compares with 75,440 acre feet in 2011-12, and represents a decrease of one percent. Annual imports for the period 2004 through 2013 are shown on Figure 1.2 and Table 5.4. Exports of wastewater and native water for use outside the Watershed in 2012-13 were 18,325 acre feet. This compares with 18,898 acre feet in 2011-12, and represents a decrease of three percent.

Section 6 - Water rights consist primarily of riparian and overlying rights. Other rights include appropriative rights and federal reserved rights. Water purveyors in the Santa Margarita River Watershed also exercise groundwater appropriative rights. Except for surface water appropriative rights, water rights generally have not been quantified in the Watershed. Appropriative surface water rights on file with the State Water Resources Control Board amount to 990,719 gallons per day. This corresponds

to 1.53 cubic feet per second (cfs) or 3.04 acre feet per day of direct diversion rights and 54,313.5 acre feet of active storage rights.

Figure 1.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

LOCAL PRODUCTION 2004 THROUGH 2013





Figure 1.2

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED IMPORTS 2004 THROUGH 2013




Section 7 — Total imported supplies plus local production during 2012-13 totaled 118,232 acre feet compared to 115,324 acre feet reported in 2011-12. Of that quantity, 46,345 acre feet were used for agriculture; 10,685 acre feet were used for commercial purposes; 51,499 acre feet were used for domestic purposes; 37 acre feet were discharged to Murrieta Creek; 15 acre feet were discharged to Santa Gertrudis Creek; 2,433 acre feet were discharged by Rancho California WD from Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) Outlet WR-34 during 2012-13, pursuant to the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA); 2,644 acre feet were exported by Camp Pendleton; and 325 acre feet were recharged by Rancho California WD to storage. It is noted, the agriculture use includes 393 acre feet of reclaimed water and thus the agriculture use of production is 45,952 acre feet. The overall system loss was 4,574 acre feet. System gain or loss is the result of many factors including errors in measurement, differences between periods of use and periods of production, leakage and unmeasured uses. These data are shown on Table 7.1.

Total annual production for the period 2004 through 2013 is shown on Figure 1.3.

Figure 1.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TOTAL PRODUCTION 2004 THROUGH 2013



Section 8 - Use of water from small storage ponds may be unauthorized. Camp Pendleton has taken the position that exportation of treated wastewater, the source of which is the native waters of the Santa Margarita River system, without legal authority for such exportation, is an unauthorized use of water.

Section 9 - Threats to water supply include high nitrate levels in Rainbow Creek and Anza Valley in past years, potential overdraft conditions in the Murrieta-Temecula and Anza groundwater basins, and salt balance issues in the upper Watershed. Additional threats have been recently identified, including high concentrations of nitrates, arsenic, fluoride and manganese in the Murrieta-Temecula area, as well as the discovery of the Quagga mussel in imported supplies.

Section 10 - The U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) monitored surface water quality at the Temecula gaging station on the Santa Margarita River.

Groundwater samples from wells were analyzed for water quality by Camp Pendleton, Western MWD - Murrieta Division, Rancho California WD, and the Pechanga Band during 2012-13. The two primary constituents of interest are nitrates and total dissolved solids (TDS). The Basin Plan Objective for TDS of 750 mg/l was exceeded in nine of eleven wells at Camp Pendleton. Two wells sampled by Rancho California WD showed concentrations exceeding 750 mg/l, the Basin Plan Objective.

Section 11 - The Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement between Camp Pendleton and Rancho California Water District was approved by the District Court on August 20, 2002. During the 2013 calendar year, Rancho California WD discharged 2,562 acre feet into the Santa Margarita River to meet flow requirements under the Agreement.

Section 12 - Projected Watermaster expenditures for the next five years are listed.

Section 13 – The actual Watermaster costs for Water Year 2012-13 were \$612,525 compared to the Court approved budget of \$649,600, resulting in a favorable variance of \$37,075. A total Watermaster budget for Water Year 2014-15 is proposed to be \$679,700. This budget includes \$446,750 for the Watermaster Office and \$232,950 for operation of gaging stations and groundwater monitoring by USGS.

#### **SECTION 2 - INTRODUCTION**

#### 2.1 Background

On January 25, 1951, the United States of America filed Complaint No. 1247 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California to seek an adjudication of all respective water rights within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The Final Judgment and Decree was entered on May 8, 1963, and appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals. A Modified Final Judgment and Decree was entered on April 6, 1966. Among other things, the Decree provides that the Court:

... retains continuing jurisdiction of this cause as to the use of all surface waters within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River and all underground or sub-surface waters within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River, which are determined in any of the constituent parts of this Modified Final Judgment to be a part of the sub-surface flow of any specific river or creek, or which are determined in any of the constituent parts of this Modified Final Judgment to add to, contribute to, or support the Santa Margarita River stream system.

In March 1989, the Court issued an Order appointing the Watermaster to administer and enforce the provisions of the Modified Final Judgment and Decree and subsequent orders of the Court. The appointing Order described the Watermaster's powers and duties as well as procedures for funding and operating the Watermaster's office. Also in 1989, the Court appointed a Steering Committee that at the conclusion of 2012-13 was comprised of representatives from the United States, Eastern Municipal Water District, Fallbrook Public Utility District, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians, Western Municipal Water District, and Rancho California Water District. The purposes of the Steering Committee are to assist the Court, to facilitate litigation, and to assist the Watermaster.

#### 2.2 Authority

Section II of the appointing Order requires that the Watermaster submit a written report containing findings and conclusions to the Court promptly after the end of each water year.

#### 2.3 Scope

The subjects addressed in this report are responsive to Section II of the appointing Order. Information and data contained in this report are based on information reported to the Watermaster by the various water users within the Watershed and others. Therefore, the Watermaster does not guarantee the completeness and accuracy of the information presented in this report, although most of the data presented are based on measurements. Estimates by the Watermaster are so noted.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

#### **SECTION 3 - SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY AND USE**

#### 3.1 Surface Flow

Over the years, flows in the Santa Margarita River Watershed have been measured at the stations listed on Table 3.1. A number of these stations have been discontinued. During Water Year 2012-13, the USGS operated 13 stations under an agreement with the Watermaster. These include three stations where Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District shares the local costs with the Watermaster. In addition to stream flows, the USGS also measures water surface elevation and precipitation at Vail Lake.

The USGS also operates several stations in the Watershed under contract with Camp Pendleton. These include stream gaging stations on Fallbrook Creek and on the outlet channel and spillway for Lake O'Neill. The USGS also operates a tidal water level recorder at the mouth of the Santa Margarita River.

Monthly flows for stations in Water Year 2012-13 are shown on Table 3.2. Those flows consist of final USGS discharge determinations approved for publication by the USGS. Official USGS discharges for Water Year 2012-13 are published by the USGS at the following website: <a href="http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/sw">http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/sw</a>.

In considering the historical record of flow at these stations, it should be recognized that the long-term averages include variations in Watershed conditions such as level of development, groundwater production, return flows, impoundments and vegetative use as well as hydrologic conditions, changes in gaging station locations and other factors. Descriptions of the various historical locations of gaging stations may be found in the publication, Water Resources Data - California, which was published annually by the USGS in hard copy form through Water Year 2003-04. For subsequent years, the gaging station descriptions can be found at the website provided above.

TABLE 3.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

STREAM GAGING STATIONS THROUGH WATER YEAR 2012-13

Station Name	Station No.	Area Sq. Miles	Entity	Period Of Record
Temecula Creek Near Aguanga	11042400	131	USGS	August 1957 to Present
Wilson Creek Above Vail Lake Near Radac	11042490	122	USGS	October 1989 to September 1994
Temecula Creek At Vail Dam	11042520	320	USGS	February 1923 to October 1977
Vail Lake Near Temecula (Reservoir Storage)	11042510	320	USGS	October 1948 to Present
Pechanga Creek Near Temecula	11042631	13.1	USGS	October 1987 to Present
Warm Springs Creek Near Murrieta	11042800	55.4	USGS	October 1987 to Present
Murrieta Creek Near Murrieta	11042700	30.0	USGS	October 1997 to Present
Santa Gertrudis Creek Near Temecula	11042900	90.2	USGS	October 1987 to Present
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	11043000	222	USGS	October 1924 to Present
Santa Margarita River Near Temecula	11044000	588	USGS	February 1923 to Present
Rainbow Creek Near Fallbrook	11044250	10.3	USGS	November 1989 to Present
Santa Margarita River At FPUD Sump 1/	11044300	620	USGS	October 1989 to Present
Sandia Creek Near Fallbrook	11044350	21.1	USGS	October 1989 to Present
Santa Margarita River Tributary Near Fallbrook	11044600	0.52	USGS	October 1961 to September 1965
DeLuz Creek Near DeLuz	11044800	33.0	USGS	October 1992 to Present
DeLuz Creek Near Fallbrook 2/	11044900	47.5	USGS/ USMC	October 1951 to September 1967 October 1989 to September 1990 April 2002 to February 2003
Santa Margarita River Near DeLuz Station	11045000	705	USGS	October 1924 to September 1926
Fallbrook Creek Near Fallbrook 3/	11045300	6.97	USGS/ USMC	October 1993 to Present
Santa Margarita River At Ysidora 4/	11046000	723	USGS	February 1923 to Present

<sup>1/</sup> Record includes measurements for Santa Margarita near Fallbrook (#11044500) for October 1924 to September 1980.

<sup>2/</sup> Recorded by USMC, Camp Pendleton October 1967 to 1977.

<sup>3/</sup> Recorded by USMC, Camp Pendleton for October 1964 to September 1977 and October 1989 to September 1993.

<sup>4/</sup> Station temporarily operated as SMR at USMC Diversion Dam near Ysidora (#11045050) from February 26, 1999 to September 27, 2001.

TABLE 3.2

### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MEASURED SURFACE WATER FLOW

2012-13 Quantities in Acre Feet

GAGING STATION	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	WATER YEAR TOTAL	ANNUAL AVERAGE THROUGH 2012	YEARS OF RECORD THROUGH 2012
Temecula Creek Near Aguanga (11042400)	32	51	138	154	152	178	90	39	13	4	4	6	861	5,520	55
Pechanga Creek Near Temecula 1/ (11042631)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	462	25
Warm Springs Creek Near Murrieta (11042800)	0	0	163	20	26	129	0	0	0	0	0	0	338	3,160	25
Murrieta Creek Near Murrieta 2/ (11042700)	0	0	65	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	103	3/ 4,430	 8 (1998-2005
Santa Gertrudis Creek Near Temecula (11042900)	0	0	93	9	24	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	189	2,790	25
Murrieta Creek At Temecula (11043000)	9	9	620	74	121	380	19	21	15	10	4	5	1,287	10,294	88
Santa Margarita River Near Temecula (11044000)	190	179	860	395	427	857	334	246	197	174	182	179	4,220	15,464 20,390	64 (1949-2012 26 (1923-48)
Rainbow Creek Near Fallbrook (11044250)	4	6	96	51	61	90	15	20	6	4	6	5	364	2,680	23
Santa Margarita River At FPUD Sump (11044300)	228	319	1,150	634	543	890	462	250	184	177	153	187	5,177	30,600	23
Sandia Creek Near Fallbrook (11044350)	88	122	197	202	180	213	136	109	69	49	37	34	1,436	6,890	23
DeLuz Creek Near DeLuz (11044800)	0	0	0	0	21	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	8,470	20
Fallbrook Creek Near Fallbrook (11045300)	0	0	20	27	36	58	12	4	2	0	0	0	159	1,188 1,462 5/	24 (1989-2012 12 (1965-76)
Santa Margarita River At Ysidora (11046000)	0	0	317	217	199	1,120	268	8	0	0	0	137	2,266	32,485 4/ 31,390	64 (1949-2012 26 (1923-48)

<sup>1/</sup> In summer 2006, gaging location was moved upstream 0.4 miles from prior location to current location 100 feet upstream of Metropolitan Water District pipe crossing, 0.4 miles upstream of the Rainbow Canyon Road/Old Highway 395 Bridge.

<sup>2/</sup> Previously published as Murrieta Creek at Tenaja Road.

<sup>3/</sup> Continuous record stopped on February 22, 2005, in lieu of bridge installation. Only discharge measurements were taken from February 2005 until September 2007.

<sup>4/</sup> Includes record of two years at Santa Margarita River at USMC Diversion Dam near Ysidora station.

<sup>5/</sup> Includes wastewater flows.

Total flows at four long-term stations, for Water Years 2011-12 and 2012-13, are compared with their averages in the tabulation below. Average flows for the Santa Margarita River stations near Temecula and near Ysidora are shown for two periods: before and after Vail Dam was constructed (1923 to 1948, and 1949 to 2012).

	TOTAL	.FLOW	<u>AVERAC</u>	SE FLOW
	2011-12 Acre Feet	2012-13 Acre Feet		gh 2012 e Feet
Temecula Creek Near Aguanga	1,773	861	5,520	(1957-2012)
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	2,467	1,287	10,294	(1925-2012)
Santa Margarita River Near Temecula	6,737	4,220		(1949-2012) (1923-1948)
Santa Margarita River At Ysidora*	8,213	2,266	•	(1949-2012) (1923-1948)

<sup>\*</sup> At various locations

The foregoing tabulation indicates the flows for Water Year 2012-13 were below normal for all four stations. Flows for long-term stations on Temecula Creek near Aguanga, Murrieta Creek at Temecula, Santa Margarita River near Temecula and Santa Margarita River at Ysidora were 16%, 12%, 27% and 7% of their long-term averages, respectively.

The Santa Margarita River near Temecula station is of particular interest relative to discharge requirements specified in the CWRMA between Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD, as described in Section 11. The long-term time series for annual streamflow for Santa Margarita River near Temecula is provided on Figure 3.1, showing the 2012-13 flows were in the second quartile and 63% of the flows for the prior year.


Annual Streamflow for Santa Margarita River near Temecula (USGS Gaging Station No. 11044000) 1924 through 2013 140,000 130,000 180 120,000 Annual Streamflow (acre-feet)
110,000
100,000
000,000
70,000
50,000
40,000
30,000 160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20,000 20 10,000 0 1924 1949 1979 1989 1944


Figure 3.1

It is also interesting to review long-term precipitation records relative to long-term streamflow. Figure 3.2 shows the long-term time series for annual precipitation for the Wildomar gage maintained by the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. The Wildomar gage is specified in the CWRMA for determining hydrologic year types in establishing Rancho California WD discharge requirements to meet flows for the Santa Margarita River near Temecula. The long-term average precipitation for the Wildomar gage for the period 1914 through 2013 is 14.05 inches. The reported precipitation for Water Year 2012-13 is 8.23 inches, which is in the first quartile for the period of record.

**Water Year** 

Monthly flows shown on Table 3.2 consist primarily of naturally occurring surface runoff, including return flows, except for Rancho California WD discharges into the Santa Margarita River and Murrieta Creek. Most of the Rancho California WD discharges are pursuant to the CWRMA. During Water Year 2012-13, the total discharges from MWD Meter WR-34 into the Santa Margarita River equaled 2,433 acre feet. The outlet from WR-34 is located just upstream from the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station. In 2009, Rancho California WD extended a pipeline from its distribution system to discharge at the same location as the outlet WR-34. Discharges from the potable connection to the Santa Margarita River totaled 45 acre feet. During Water Year 2012-13, there were no discharges to Murrieta Creek from the System River Meter.





During 2012-13, Rancho California WD also released 37 acre feet from wells into Murrieta Creek, and 15 acre feet from wells into Santa Gertrudis Creek.

#### 3.2 <u>Surface Water Diversions</u>

Surface diversions to surface water storage and groundwater storage are shown on Table 3.3 for Vail Lake and Table 3.4 for Lake O'Neill. In general, diversions to surface storage at Vail Lake and Lake O'Neill are computed as being equal to inflow less spill, however, diversion to surface storage at Vail Lake excludes inflow during the period from May 1 through October 31 when Permit 7032 does not allow such diversions. Inflow to Vail Lake is calculated as the sum of evaporation, spill, releases and change of storage. Inflow into Vail Lake during the period when diversions are not permitted is released and not credited to groundwater storage.

Direct surface diversions for Water Year 2012-13 are shown on Table 3.5. The use is primarily irrigation. Estimated consumptive uses, losses and returns are also shown.

#### 3.3 Water Storage

Major water storage facilities in the Santa Margarita River Watershed are listed on Table 3.6, together with the water in storage on September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013. Total Santa Margarita River stream system water in storage at the end of Water Year 2012-13 totaled 21,224 acre feet, compared to 27,206 acre feet at the end of the previous year. Imported water in storage in Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake, both operated by MWD, is also shown on Table 3.6.

#### **TABLE 3.3**

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO STORAGE FOR VAIL LAKE 2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

Surface	Water	Storage
Juliace	vvatei	JUIAUE

	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13		
Storage End of Prior Year	23,850	29,390	26,560		
Inflow - Total	13,944	2,964	1,947		
Inflow to be Bypassed 1/	1,262	906	645		
Spill	0	0	0		
Diversions to Surface Storage 2/	12,682	2,058	1,302		
Annual Evaporation	4,672	4,893	4,468		
Releases - Total	3,732	901	3,259		
Release to GW Storage 3/4/	2,470	(5)	2,614		
Change of Storage	5,540	(2,830)	(5,780)		
Storage End of Year	29,390	26,560	20,780		
	Gro	undwater Storaç	је		
Recharge Release from Vail Lake	2,470	0	2,614		
Recovered Vail Lake Recharge Water from GW Storage <sup>5/</sup>	2,470	5	2,614		

Data reported by Rancho California WD except end of year storage reported by USGS.

<sup>1/</sup> Inflow to be bypassed Oct 1 to Oct 31 and May 1 to Sept 30.

<sup>2/</sup> Inflow less Spill less Inflow to be Bypassed.

<sup>3/</sup> Total Release less Inflow to be Bypassed.

<sup>4/</sup> Vail Lake operations shown in Table 3.3 reflect water year operations to be consistent with reporting in the Annual Watermater Report. However, Permit 7032 specifies calendar year reporting and a continuous operating season of May through October for bypasses overlapping two water years. The value of 2,614 acre feet for Release to GW Storage is correct but misleading because the bypass season continues into October 2013. Inspection of Rancho California WD records for May through October 2013 shows total Inflow to be Bypassed in the amount of 615 acre feet with total Releases of 687 acre feet, resulting in 72 acre feet of excess releases during the Permit bypass season of May through October 2013.

<sup>5/</sup> See Table 7.4.

TABLE 3.4

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

### SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO STORAGE FOR LAKE O'NEILL 2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

Surface Water Storage

	Surface water Storage				
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13		
	7/	7/	7/		
Storage end of prior year	653	642	646		
Inflow - Total	3,456 1/	2,248 2/	1,832 3/		
Spill	979	8	0		
Diversions to Surface Storage	2,477 4/	2,240 4/	1,832 4/		
Annual Evaporation	380	364	379		
Releases - Total	683	107	792		
Release to GW Storage	683	107	792		
Apparent Seepage to GW	1,423 5/	1,765 5/	863 5/		
Change of Storage	(11)	4	(202)		
Storage End of Year	642	646	444		
	Gro	undwater Stora	ge		
Recharge Release from Lake O'Neill	2,106 6/	1,872 6/	1,655 6/		
Deliveries to Recharge Ponds	3,921	559	420		
Indirect Recharge from Ditch System	839	881	1,170		
TOTAL	6,866	3,312	3,245		

<sup>1/ 1,185</sup> AF diverted from the Santa Margarita River, 1,504 AF estimated inflow from Fallbrook Creek, 545 AF from local runoff, and 222 AF from rainfall on lake surface.

<sup>2/ 1,657</sup> AF diverted from the Santa Margarita River, 341 AF estimated inflow from Fallbrook Creek, 141 AF from local runoff, and 109 AF from rainfall on lake surface.

<sup>3/ 1,505</sup> AF diverted from the Santa Margarita River, 159 AF estimated inflow from Fallbrook Creek, 77 AF from local runoff, and 91 AF from rainfall on lake surface.

<sup>4/</sup> Inflow less Spill.

<sup>5/</sup> Includes seepage losses, leakage through flashboards and gates, and unaccounted for

<sup>6/</sup> Includes Release to GW Storage and Apparent Seepage to GW from Lake O'Neill.

<sup>7/</sup> Dredging operations for Lake O'Neill occurred during Water Year 2012. The preparation for and the actual dredging operation affected various operations for Lake O'Neill during Water Years 2011, 2012, and 2013 to varying levels within each particular year, including timing and amount of diversions from Santa Margarita River for both deliveries to Lake O'Neill and the recharge ponds, and Recharge Release from Lake O'Neill.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO USE
2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

	_	Consum		
DIVERTER	Surface Diversions	Use <sup>1</sup>	Loss <sup>2</sup>	Return <sup>3</sup>
Blue Bird Ranch	31.5	21.2	3.2	7.1
James Carter	52.0	35.1	5.2	11.7
Chambers Family, LLC	8.0	5.4	8.0	1.8
Serafina Holdings, LLC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sage Ranch Nursery	100.0	67.5	10.0	22.5
Rose Lake, LLC	7.0	4.7	0.7	1.6
Val Verde Partners	56.8	38.3	5.7	12.8
Wilson Creek Development, LLC	420.0	283.5	42.0	94.5
Cahuilla Indian Reservation	5.6	3.8	0.5	1.3
San Diego State University	41.3	27.9	4.1	9.3
TOTAL	722.2	487.4	72.2	162.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Consumptive Use equals 75% of Diversions less Losses

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Losses equal 10% of Diversions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Returns equal 25% of Diversions less Losses

**TABLE 3.6** 

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER IN STORAGE

2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

		Water in Storage			
Santa Margarita River Storage	Total Capacity 1/	9/30/2012	9/30/2013		
Dunn Ranch Dam	90	0	0		
Upper Chihuahua Creek Reservoir	47	0	0		
Vail Lake	49,370	26,560	20,780		
Lake O'Neill	1,670	646	444		
SUBTOTAL	51,177	27,206	21,224		
Imported Water Storage	-				
Lake Skinner	44,000	40,888	39,741		
Diamond Valley Lake	810,000	731,932	606,740 2/		
SUBTOTAL	854,000	772,820	646,481		
TOTAL STORAGE	905,177	800,026	667,705		

<sup>1/</sup> Capacity shown is current capacity reported by owner. Original capacity or decreed capacity may not be reflected in this table.

<sup>2/</sup> Estimated.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

#### **SECTION 4 - SUBSURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY**

#### 4.1 General

Much of the water from the Santa Margarita River stream system is obtained by pumping subsurface water. The Court has identified two basic types of subsurface water in the interlocutory judgments incorporated into the 1966 Modified Final Judgment and Decree. One type is vagrant, local, percolating waters that do not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River or its tributaries. Such waters have been determined to be outside the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. These waters are typically found in the basement complex and/or residuum deposits in the Watershed.

Other subsurface waters were found by the Court to add to, support and contribute to the Santa Margarita River and/or its tributaries. Aquifers containing such waters have been designated by the Court as younger alluvium and older alluvium. Younger alluvial deposits are commonly exposed along streams and in valleys. Older alluvium may be found underneath younger alluvium and is not limited to areas along stream channels. Older alluvium may or may not be exposed at ground surface. The use of subsurface water found in younger and older alluvium is generally under the continuing jurisdiction of the Court and is reported upon in this report.

#### 4.2 Extractions

Total production of Santa Margarita River water by substantial water users in the Watershed from all sources is listed on Table 4.1 by hydrologic area, along with estimated consumptive use and return flows. Recovery of imported water that has been directly recharged is not included on Table 4.1. Substantial water users include water purveyors as well as private irrigators who irrigate eight acres or more or use an equivalent quantity of water.

In 2012-13, production by water purveyors totaled 35,998 acre feet, compared to 31,936 acre feet in 2011-12. Monthly quantities are shown in Appendix A and annual production for water years between 1966 and 2013 is shown in Appendix B.

The quantities of subsurface extractions by private irrigators are based on the irrigated acreage and the crop type. These quantities are reported in Appendix C to total 6,623 acre feet in 2012-13. Of the subsurface extractions, 75 percent is estimated to have been consumptively used and 25 percent to have been return flow. Return flow is that portion of the total deliveries that is not consumed. Although return flows average about 25 percent, such flows are affected with the type of use (domestic, commercial and irrigation), the type of irrigation application (drip, micro-sprinkler, furrow), and exports from watersheds.

TABLE 4.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATER PRODUCTION BY SUBSTANTIAL USERS
2012-13

HYDROLOGIC AREA	WATER PURVEYOR PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	OTHER IRRIGATED ACRES *	OTHER IRRIGATION PRODUCTION ACRE FEET *	TOTAL GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS ACRE FEET *	TOTAL PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	ESTIMATED CONSUMPTIVE USE 1/ ACRE FEET	ESTIMATED RETURN FLOW ACRE FEET
Wilson Creek Above Aguanga GWA Includes Anza Valley	426 (Lake Riverside, (Anza MWC, Cahu		1,838	2,264	6	2,270	1,702	568
Temecula Creek Above Aguanga GWA	3 <sup>4</sup> (Quiet Oaks MHP)		1,021	1,055	0	1,055	791	264
Aguanga GWA	732 (Outdoor Resorts, Jojoba Hills)	2 664	2,093	2,825	477	3,302	2,441	861
<b>Upper Murrieta Creek</b> (Warm Springs Creek above	(	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lower Murrieta Creek (Santa Gertrudis/Tucalota C		) 310 -18 Includes FPL	44 JD Diversion from	44 Lake Skinner)	100	144	100	44
Murrieta-Temecula GWA	29,062 (RCWD **, WMW)	2 734 D (Murrieta Division),	1,145	30,207	52	30,259	22,690	7,569
	EMWD, Pechanga	and Hawthorn)						
Santa Margarita River Beld	ow the Gorge							
DeLuz Creek	(	276	478	478	46	524	390	134
Sandia Creek	(	0 40	0	0	0	0	0	C
Rainbow Creek	(	0	0	0	0	0	0	c
Santa Margarita River	5,744 (USMC)	4 20	4	5,748	41	5,789	2,356	790
TOTAL	35,998	3 2,990	6,623	42,621	<b>722</b> <sup>3</sup>	43,343	30,470	10,230

<sup>1/</sup> Estimated consumptive use is equal to 75% of Total Groundwater Production plus 75% of Surface Diversions less 10% (CU = .75{GW + .90 \* SW}), except for Camp Pendleton where export of 2,644 acre feet is excluded and return flows include any measured wastewater returns to the Watershed.

<sup>2/</sup> Includes lands overlying deep aquifer in Anza Valley.

<sup>3/</sup> Includes surface water diversion for irrigation, commercial and domestic use.

<sup>\*</sup> Data taken from Appendix C.

<sup>\*\*</sup> RCWD pumped an additional 289 AF that was exported to the San Mateo Watershed.

#### 4.3 Water Levels


Water levels in selected wells in the Watershed are measured periodically by various entities. Historical water levels in five wells at various locations in the Watershed are shown in this report on Figures 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5.

Figure 4.1 shows water levels in Well No. 8S/2W-12H1 (Windmill Well) located in the Rancho California WD service area downstream from Vail Lake. Note the extended drawdown from 1945 to 1978, the major recoveries during the wet years in 1980 and 1993, and the effect of relatively dry years after 1980 and after 1993. Water levels declined by 3.7 feet between September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013. It should be noted that the Windmill Well is located in Pauba Valley about 1.5 miles downslope from the Valle de los Caballos (VDC) recharge area, where releases from Vail Lake as well as imported water are recharged. In Water Year 2012-13, 11,395 acre feet of imported water were recharged in the VDC of which 97 percent was recovered in the same year.

Figure 4.1

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS

8S/2W-12H1 - RCWD WINDMILL WELL NO. 417



Collar El. 1216.7 Feet; Depth 515 Feet; Drilled in Alluvium

Ref: RCWD reports (1920-2013)

Water Elevation In Feet

80

75 **—** 1940

1950

1960

Figure 4.2 shows water levels at Camp Pendleton in Well No. 10S/4W-7J1, a monitoring well located in the Upper Sub-basin. Fluctuations in recent years illustrate recharge during the winter months and drawdown each summer, with the water levels ranging from approximately 82 to 92 feet in elevation. Water levels in Well 7J1 rose 1.3 feet in the period between September 2012 and September 2013.

95
90
85

Figure 4.2

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS

Ground El. 93.8 Feet; Depth 141 Feet; Perf. Unknown; Drilled in Alluvium Camp Pendleton Records (1950-72) (1988-2013); Leeds Hill Study (1973-85) Dates Estimated

Year

1970

1980

1990

2000

2010

2020


<sup>\*</sup> Data shown for Well No. 10S/4W-7J1 except for period October 1999 through September 2007 data shown for Well No. 10S/4W-7J4.

Figure 4.3 shows water levels from Holiday Well No. 7S/3W-20C9 in the Murrieta Division service area of Western MWD. The Holiday Well was used as a production well until February 2006, but now is used only as a monitoring well. Water levels in this well rose by one foot between September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013. Water levels in the Lynch Well, 7S/3W-17R2, which serves as a monitoring well, were not available in 2012-13, due to incorrect well readings.

Figure 4.3

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS

7S/3W-20C9 - WMWD HOLIDAY WELL




Ground El. 1090 Feet; Depth 307 Feet; Perf. 60 - 307 Feet Western Municipal Water District Figure 4.4 shows water levels for Well No. 7S/3E-21G1, Anza Mutual Water Company Well No. 1, a production well located in the Anza Valley. Water levels in this well declined by 10 feet between September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013. As may be noted from Figure 4.4, recent measurements show annual 50 foot fluctuations in groundwater levels at this well, partly in response to the operation of nearby irrigation wells. Current levels are at or near the lowest elevation for the period of record.

Figure 4.4

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS 

7S/3E-21G1 - ANZA MUTUAL WATER COMPANY WELL NO. 1




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Static water levels plotted after April 1999 Ground El. 3862.6 Feet; Depth 260 Feet; Perf. 20 - 260 Feet; Drilled in Alluvium Anza Mutual Water Co. Well No. 1 (1987-2013); DWR Bulletin 91-22 (1950-73)

Figure 4.5 shows water levels at Well No. 8S/2W-29G1, located in Wolf Valley on the Kelsey Tract of the Pechanga Indian Reservation. The well is not used for water production. Water levels collected since 1925 reflect unconfined groundwater levels. As shown on Figure 4.5, the groundwater levels have fluctuated within an approximate 40 foot range above and below elevation 1,050 feet in response to wet years and dry periods until recently. In November 2004, this well went dry due to the preceding relatively dry hydrological conditions and pumping of the nearby New Kelsey Well on the Pechanga Reservation. In order to continue to monitor water levels on the Pechanga Indian Reservation, water levels for Well No. 8S/2W-29B9 are also shown on Figure 4.5. Well No. 8S/2W-29B9 is completed in the younger alluvium. As shown on Figure 4.5, water levels for Well No. 8S/2W-29B9 coincide with water levels for the common period of record for Well No. 8S/2W-29G1. Water levels in Well 8S/2W-29B9 rose by 1.8 feet in 2012-13.

Figure 4.5

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS

PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION WELLS



8S/2W-29G1: Ground El. 1091.1 Feet; Depth 159.1 Feet 8S/2W-29B9: Ground El. 1075.93 Feet; Depth 113.0 Feet

U.S. Geological Survey Records

Changes in water levels in the above noted wells between the end of the previous water year and the end of the 2012-13 Water Year are shown below:

Well	Water Elevation 2012 <u>Feet</u>	Water Elevation 2013 <u>Feet</u>	Change in Water Level <u>Feet</u>	
RCWD 8S/2W-12H1	1,123.7	1,120.0	Down	3.7
USMC 10S/4W-7J1	85.9	87.2	Up	1.3
WMWD 7S/3W-20C9	1,025.0	1,026.0	Up	1.0
Anza MWC 7S/3E-21G1	3,767.6	3,757.6	Down	10.0
Pechanga IR 8S/2W-29B9	972.2	974.0	Up	1.8

#### 4.4. Groundwater Storage

Bulletin 118 Update 2003 prepared by the State of California Department of Water Resources describes three groundwater basins in the Santa Margarita River Watershed: Santa Margarita Valley, Temecula Valley, and Coahuila (Cahuilla) Valley. These basins are also known as the Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin, the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin, and the Anza Groundwater Basin. Groundwater storage in each of these basins is described in this section.

#### 4.4.1 <u>Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin</u>

The Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin is located along the Santa Margarita River at Camp Pendleton and includes three sub-basins: Upper, Chappo, and Ysidora. Useable groundwater storage is summarized on Table 4.2. Table 4.2 shows that the total combined storage for all the sub-basins between the depths of 5 and 100 feet is 48,100 acre feet. However, much of that storage is below sea level. Thus, the useable capacity is considered to be 28,700 acre feet as shown on Table 4.2. In 2012-13, useable groundwater storage in place was computed for all three sub-basins to be 24,677 acre feet. The useable storage in place for the three sub-basins amounted to 25,467 acre feet in 2011-12. Thus, there was a decrease in groundwater storage in place of 790 acre feet for the water year. It may be noted that classification of storage as useable is made without allowances for maintenance of riparian habitat.

TABLE 4.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

GROUNDWATER STORAGE AT CAMP PENDLETON
2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

Sub-basin I. Available Storage Upper Chappo Ysidora Total A. Total Storage 1/ 12.500 27,000 8.600 48.100 B. Useable Storage 15.000 <sup>2/</sup> 12,500 1.200 3/ 28,700 II. Unused Storage A. Wells used for Depth 10S/4W-7J1 10S/4W-18L1 4/ 11S/5W-11D4 B. Land Surface Elevation - Feet 5/ 93.8 75.9 18.8 C. Depth to Water - Feet 6/ 14.9 6.6 10.4 D. Depth below 5 Feet 1.6 9.9 5.4 E. Average Area - Acres 7/ 840 2,500 1,060 F. Specific Yield 8/ 0.216 0.130 0.090 G. Unused Storage below 5 Feet 290 3,218 515 4,023 III. Useable Storage in Place 9/ 12,210 11,782 685 24.677 IV. Useable Storage in Place 2011-12 11,974 12,770 723 25,467 V. Change in Storage 2012-13 236 (988)(38)(790)

<sup>1/</sup> Computed by USGS (Worts, F. C., Jr. and Boss, R. F., Geology and Ground-Water Resources of Camp Pendleton, CA, July 1954) as the storage between depths of 5 and 100 feet.

<sup>2/</sup> Storage between 5 foot depth and sea level.

<sup>3/</sup> Storage between 5 foot depth and 10 feet above sea level.

<sup>4/</sup> Well 10S/4W-18L1 was destroyed during 2012, depth to water extrapolated from measurements for Well 10S/5W-13G1.

<sup>5/</sup> Reported by Camp Pendleton based on NAVD88 datum.

<sup>6/</sup> Reported by Camp Pendleton as average values for month of September unless noted otherwise.

<sup>7/</sup> Average area estimated over depth interval for unused storage.

<sup>8/</sup> From Worts and Boss for depth interval of 5 to 50 feet.

<sup>9/</sup> Useable storage includes stored water reserved for riparian habitat; however specific amount stored for such purposes not delineated.

# 4.4.2 <u>Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin</u>

The Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin is located along Murrieta and Temecula creeks in the Upper Santa Margarita River Watershed. Total groundwater storage at the end of Water Year 2001 was computed for each of 22 hydrologic sub-areas that make up the Groundwater Basin. These computations were based on the areal extent of each sub-area, the thickness of each of three aquifers, (younger alluvium, Pauba aquifer and Temecula aquifer), a specific yield for each aquifer, and the depth to water in each aquifer at the end of the water year. Specific yields were based on unconfined conditions for all aquifers. The total groundwater storage in the uppermost 500 feet as of September 30, 2001, was estimated at 1,340,556 acre feet.

Since 2001, annual changes in groundwater storage have been computed using two different methodologies for comparison; a water budget method and a groundwater level method.

The water budget method determines the change in storage as the difference between the major elements of inflow and outflow for the groundwater area. Table 4.3 shows the changes for Water Years 2009 through 2013. The change in groundwater storage for Water Year 2012-13, using the water budget method, is calculated as a decline of 12,674 acre feet.

The groundwater level method is based on the changes in water levels in key wells in hydrologic sub-areas. Changes in storage under the groundwater level method for Water Years 2009 through 2013 are shown on Table 4.4. The change in groundwater storage for Water Year 2012-13, using the groundwater level method, is calculated as a decline of 7,564 acre feet.

The foregoing two methods are based on independent measurements and estimates. The estimates from the two methods are generally comparable for the period 2001 through 2013. However, the estimates from the two methods for certain years indicate differences in the results. It will take testing over a number of years under varying hydrologic conditions to refine these approaches. These values will be compared with those computed with the groundwater model that is used for implementation of the CWRMA between Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD when the model update is completed. It is noted, as part of the groundwater model update that the two methods are also being updated. A review of the various elements of the water budget method will be conducted. With respect to the groundwater level method, key wells for all sub-areas will be reviewed for suitability. The values for storativity and aquifer area will also be evaluated.

### **TABLE 4.3**

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED CHANGES IN GROUNDWATER STORAGE

### MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUNDWATER AREA

Water Budget Method Quantities in Acre Feet

Elements of Inflow		Wate	r Year End	ling	
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Releases from Vail 1/	1,461	1,372	3,732	901	3,259
Releases from Lake Skinner 2/	142	156	471	0	51
Freshwater Releases to Stream 3/	5,302	3,913	4,399	3,708	2,530
Reclaimed Water Released to Stream 4/	0	0	0	0	. 0
Recharged Imported Water 5/	14,828	12,858	13,873	14,643	11,395
Return Flow from RCWD Groundwater Production 6/	9,325	8,441	8,409	8,984	8,904
Return Flow from Import Direct Use 7/	3,903	2,999	2,668	3,015	3,457
Return Flow from Applied Wastewater 8/	1,565	1,582	1,391	1,288	1,349
Underflow and Tributary Inflow <sup>9/</sup>	15,251	30,674	47,957	4,119	2,149
Subtotal	51,777	61,995	82,900	36,658	33,094
Elements of Outflow					
Riparian Evapotranspiration and Underflow 10/	508	508	508	508	508
Total RCWD Groundwater Production 11/	40,541	36,698	36,560	39,060	38,763
Net Pumping by Others 12/	2,225	2,042	2,002	2,138	2,277
Surface Outflow <sup>13/</sup>	14,948	25,894	36,922	6,737	4,220
Subtotal	58,222	65,142	75,992	48,443	45,768
Change in Groundwater Storage	(6,445)	(3,147)	6,908	(11,785)	(12,674)

- 1/ Table 3.3, Total Releases
- 2/ Section 5.4
- 3/ Table A-7, SMR Release
- 4/ Table A-7, Reclaimed Wastewater, Murrieta Creek Discharge (ceased October 18, 2002)
- 5/ Table A-7, Footnote 3
- 6/ Table 7.8, Total Production less releases to streams, times 0.23
- 7/ Rancho Division Direct Use Imports, Table A-7 Footnote 3, times 0.23
- 8/ The sum of: (Reclaimed Wastewater Table A-7, Reuse in SMRW) plus (Table A-1, Reuse in SMRW), times 0.23
- 9/ Murrieta Creek at Temecula Flow times 1.6697 which is based on a correlation between Murrieta Creek at Temecula flow and Tributary Inflow, Areal Recharge and Subsurface Inflow for the period 1977-1998 as shown in Table II-10, Vol. II, Geology and Hydrology, Surface and Ground Water Model of the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Basin, California, dated January 31, 2003.
- 10/ Table II-10, Vol. II, Geology and Hydrology, Surface and Ground Water Model of the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Basin, California, dated January 31, 2003.
- 11/ Table 7.8 Total Production
- 12/ The sum of Groundwater Production from: [Table A-1 (EMWD), A-5 (Pechanga IR), A-10 (WMWD Murieta Division, previously A-5), Appendix C, Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area], times .77
- 13/ Table 3.2 Santa Margarita River near Temecula

TABLE 4.4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED CHANGES IN GROUNDWATER STORAGE MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUNDWATER AREA Groundwater Level Method

	2013	(47)	(127)	(97)	(4)	(723)	868	7	(16)	(11)	(23)	129	39	(618)	(197)	(1,323)	(119)	(3,935)	(173)	(88)	(3)	(32)	(78)	(577)	62	36	(513)	1	(7,564)
ır Year	2012	(18)	(91)	(84)	(341)	296	1130	80	55	36	149	(2,016)	(609)	(674)	(215)	(1,889)	(169)	(4,987)	(219)	(10)	(2)	(40)	(47)	(641)	(62)	(382)	(192)	(164)	(11,113)
Change in Storage in Water Year Acre Feet	2011	(09)	51	29	(1,993)	1457	810	30	(6)	(9)	(30)	1510	456	222	71	1765	158	4149	182	62	(12)	(20)	(128)	(676)	(63)	(174)	321	(33)	
hange in Sto Ac	2010	(8)	116	143	834	6	1025	9	(8)	(2)	2	814	246	(301)	(96)	(517)	(46)	(2)	0	48	(3)	(22)	1	(909)	(95)	(961)	212	(99)	691
O	2009	(153)	26	233	1484	(315)	189	11	85	26	(26)	569	180	(278)	(88)	(1,928)	(173)	(2,536)	(111)	(121)	2	1	1	(730)	(32)	(651)	(9)	86	(4,149)
	2012 - 2013	(9.60)	(6.65)	(3.91)	(0.17)	(17.15)	7.96	99'.	(0.24)	(0.24)	(3.08)	0.45	0.45	(2.20)	(2.20)	(3.74)	(3.74)	(21.91)	(21.91)	(11.40)	(0.60)	(4.90)	(10.84)	(3.86)	10.96	0.15	(1.60)	1	
	2011 - 2012	(3.69)	(4.75)	(3.39)	(14.04)	22.93	10.36	9.34	0.81	0.81	20.00	(7.01)	(7.01)	(2.40)	(2.40)	(5.34)	(5.34)	(27.77)	(27.77)	(1.30)	(1.10)	(5.70)	(6.51)	(4.29)	(11.00)	(4.13)	(0.60)	(2.00)	
Change in Depth Feet	2010 - 2011	(12.11)	2.67	2.40	(82.07)	34.54	7.43	35.00	(0.13)	(0.13)	(4.04)	5.25	5.25	0.79	0.79	4.99	4.99	23.10	23.10	8.30	(2.50)	(9:30)	(17.65)	(4.52)	(11.22)	(0.73)	1.00	(1.00)	
Chan	2009 - 2010	(1.58)	90.9	5.76	34.33	0.22	9.40	7.02	(0.12)	(0.12)	0.64	2.83	2.83	(1.07)	(1.07)	(1.46)	(1.46)	(0.01)	(0.01)	6.40	(0.70)	(8.10)	i	(4.05)	(16.30)	(4.03)	99.0	(2.00)	
	2008 -	(31.06)	5.08	9.42	61.10	(7.47)	1.73	12.31	1.26	1.26	(7.50)	2.07	2.07	(0.99)	(0.99)	(5.45)	(5.45)	(14.12)	(14.12)	(16.07)	0.37	ı	I	(4.88)	(5.70)	(2.73)	(0.02)	3.00	
	2013	225.00	37.40	33.52	175.32	79.20	70.80	101.00	28.03	28.03	321.08	39.60	39.60	67.20	67.20	96.74	96.74	77.16	77.16	421.20	330.20	532.20	547.74	234.11	325.26	279.49	26.00	:	
Depth at End of Water Year Feet	2012	215.40	30.75	29.61	175.15	62.05	78.76	108.66	27.79	27.79	318.00	40.05	40.05	65.00	65.00	93.00	93.00	55.25	55.25	409.80	329.60	527.30	536.90	230.25	336.22	279.64	54.40	77.00	
at End of Feet	2011	211.71	26.00	26.22	161.11	84.98	89.12	118.00	28.60	28.60	338.00	33.04	33.04	62.60	62.60	87.66	87.66	27.48	27.48	408.50	328.50	521.60	530.39	225.96	325.22	275.51	53.80	72.00	
Water Depth	2010	199.60	28.67	28.62	79.04	119.52	96.55	153.00	28.47	28.47	333.96	38.29	38.29	63.39	63.39	92.65	92.65	50.58	50.58	416.80	326.00	511.70	512.74	221.44	314.00	274.78	54.80	71.00	
8	2009	198.02	34.73	34.38	113.37	119.74	105.95	160.02	28.35	28.35	334.60	41.12	41.12	62.32	62.32	91.19	91.19	50.57	50.57	423.20	325.30	503.60	ı	217.39	297.70	270.75	55.46	69.00	
	Aquifer Area Acres	1371	479	802	694	1322	1562	719	339	496	2066	1438	1165	1405	1413	1769	752	898	398	2084	1347	1967	2008	1546	1562	3231	2303	1008	
		510 6/	439 1/	146 1/	101 27.37	102 27, 37	495	211	492 1/	492 1/	410	426	426	422	422	417	417	484 21, 5/	484 27, 57	462 1/	1/	509 11, 7/	139 1/	129	466 1/	493	33 1/	£	
	Key tv Well																										32 463	25 Lynch	
	Specific Yield/ Storativity	а 0.0036	0.0398	0.0309	0.0350	0.0319	0.0698	0.0012	0.20	0.0891	а 0.0036	0.20	0.0746	0.20	0.0634	0.20	0.0422	0.20	0.0198	а 0.0036	а 0.0036	a 0.0036	a 0.0036	0.0967	a 0.0036		0.1392	0.0325	
	Key Aquifer	Temecula	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	Qyal	Pauba	Temecula	Qyal	Pauba	Qyal	Pauba	Qyal	Pauba	Qyal	Pauba	Temecula	Temecula	Temecula	Temecula	Pauba	Temecula	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	
	Sub-area	-	2	ന	4	2	9	7	80		o	10		=		12		13		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	*	TOTAL

Well not measured for year with dashes: Sub-area excluded for change in storage calculation for years with no measurement.
 Data for wells 101, 102, and 484 were revised for years 2009 and 2010.
 Key Well 101 designated for Sub-area 4 in Year 2011; previously Well 410 designated as the Key Well.
 Key Well 484 designated for Sub-area 15 in Year 2011; previously Well 414 designated as the Key Well.
 Key Well 484 designated for Sub-area 15 in Year 2011; previously Well 414 designated as the Key Well.
 Key Well 500 for Sub-area 17 enamed in Year 2012; previously the well was named as Well 203.
 Key Well 509 for Sub-area 16 renamed in Year 2012; previously the well was named as Well 203.
 Key Well 509 for Sub-area 16 renamed in Year 2012; previously the well was named as Well 203.
 Sub-area is located within Murrieta Division of Western MWD; Sub-areas 1 through 21 are located in Rancho California WD.
 Sub-area is located within Murrieta Division of Western Municipal Water District for Water Year 2012-13, due to incorrect groundwater level readings.

# 4.4.3 Anza Groundwater Basin

The Anza Groundwater Basin is located along Cahuilla Creek in the upper portion of the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

The most recent study that determined storage volumes was conducted by Riverside County in 1990. That study concluded that the groundwater storage of about 182,200 acre feet in 1950 had decreased to about 165,000 acre feet in 1986. The study also concluded that ". . . basin hydrogeologic features, production facilities' conditions, and locations/depths of storage . . ." limited the useable portion to 40% of the groundwater storage or about 56,200 acre feet in 1986.

During Water Years 2005 through 2009, groundwater level measurements were made by the USGS in Anza Valley under contract with the Bureau of Indian Affairs. In 2013, the USGS resumed groundwater level measurements as part of a study on behalf of the High Country Conservancy as the Local Project Sponsor under a California Department of Water Resources Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Planning Grant. Rancho California WD is the managing agency for the Upper Santa Margarita Watershed IRWM Planning Region and contracted with the USGS to conduct the groundwater level measurements. The data from these measurements are available at the USGS website: <a href="http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/gwlevels">http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/gwlevels</a>.

The wells included in the program can be located by selecting the latitude-longitude box selection criteria and specifying the following bounds:

North Latitude - 33° 37' 00" South Latitude - 33° 30' 00" West Longitude - 116° 48' 00" East Longitude - 116° 38' 00" WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

# **SECTION 5 - IMPORTS/EXPORTS**

# 5.1 <u>General</u>

Court Orders require the Watermaster to determine the quantities of imported water used in the Watershed. Most of the water imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed is delivered by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) to local districts. MWD obtains its water from the State Water Project (SWP) and the Colorado River. Both the SWP and the Colorado River system have major storage reservoirs to provide long-term carryover storage. The quantities of water in storage at the end of the water year in the major reservoirs in each system are indicated on Table 5.1. Total storage in the SWP for the last ten years is shown graphically on Figure 5.1. Similarly, total storage for the Colorado River Reservoirs for the last ten years is shown on Figure 5.2. It may be seen from Table 5.1 that during Water Year 2012-13, water in storage in the SWP decreased from 2.94 million acre feet on September 30, 2012, to 2.51 million acre feet on September 30, 2013. Storage on September 30, 2013 corresponds to about 47 percent of the total SWP storage capacity.

Water in storage in the Colorado River system decreased 4.0 million acre feet from 33.6 million acre feet in the prior year to 29.6 million acre feet on September 30, 2013. On September 30, 2013, those reservoirs contained 46 percent of their total combined capacity.

The California Department of Water Resources prepares projections of water availability in the SWP for the coming year (2014) on a monthly basis from February through May. The report DWR Bulletin 120-4-14 dated May 1, 2014, indicated that statewide precipitation October 1 through April 30 was 50 percent of average compared to 75 percent last year. As of May 1, 2014, the SWP allocation for 2014 will meet five percent of contractors' requests.

The following entities imported water directly or indirectly from MWD into the Santa Margarita River Watershed:

Eastern Municipal Water District
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
Fallbrook Public Utility District
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Rancho California Water District
U. S. Naval Weapons Station – Fallbrook Annex
Western Municipal Water District

TABLE 5.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

STORAGE IN STATE WATER PROJECT

AND COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS

Thousands of Acre Feet 1/

# STATE WATER PROJECT RESERVOIRS

Reservoir	Total Capacity	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Oroville	3,540	1,753	2,877	2,833	1,568	1,097	1,337	1,755	3,045	1,977	1,633
San Luis (State Share)	1,060	514	925	911	445	200	224	415	874	389	283
Pyramid	171	161	160	163	166	163	166	164	164	169	167
Castaic	324	298	306	266	313	268	200	260	284	264	285
Silverwood	73	72	72	72	73	71	70	70	71	71	72
Perris	132	116	82	72	66	69	62	61	66	72	73
Total	5,300	2,914	4,422	4,317	2,631	1,868	2,059	2,725	4,504	2,942	2,513
Percent of Capacit	у	55%	83%	81%	50%	35%	39%	51%	85%	56%	47%

# **MAJOR COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS**

Reservoir	Total Capacity	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Flaming Gorge	3.789	2,679	3.177	3,130	3.063	3,024	3,394	3,154	3,467	3,030	2,818
Blue Mesa	941	507	588	667	687	650	651	609	699	340	348
Navajo	1,709	935	1,516	1,420	1,510	1.319	1.314	1,412	1,327	1,035	933
Powell	27,000	9,170	11,939	11,917	11,929	14,509	15,463	15,267	17,593	13,929	10,934
Mead	28,537	13,937	15,219	13,887	12,505	12,013	10,933	10,092	12,977	13,135	12,362
Mohave	1,818	1,605	1,573	1,584	1,545	1,586	1,501	1,575	1,610	1,606	1,624
Havasu	648	589	554	555	576	584	564	560	585	561	560
Total	64,442	29,422	34,566	33,160	31,815	33,685	33,820	32,669	38,258	33,636	29,579
Percent of Capacity	y	46%	54%	51%	49%	52%	52%	51%	59%	52%	46%

<sup>1/</sup> Storage reported for end of water year on September 30

Figure 5.1

STORAGE IN STATE WATER PROJECT
Water Years 2004 Through 2013
Total Capacity is 5.3 Million Acre Feet





Figure 5.2

STORAGE IN COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS

Water Years 2004 Through 2013

Total Capacity is 64.4 Million Acre Feet



In addition to net deliveries through member agencies, MWD, pursuant to a Court Order, imported 892 acre feet of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed for irrigation of lands in Domenigoni Valley during 2012-13.

Water is also imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed from adjacent watersheds. Such importation occurs from the Santa Ana Watershed where Elsinore Valley MWD delivers water to a portion of its service area that is inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Elsinore Valley MWD obtains its supply from imports or from wells outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

At Camp Pendleton there is a pipeline connection to wells located in the Las Flores Creek Watershed to the north of the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Water can be either imported or exported through that line, depending on relative water demands and pumping capacities.

Exportations from the Santa Margarita River Watershed include water pumped at Camp Pendleton that is used in the San Luis Rey River Watershed to the south or in the Las Flores Creek Watershed to the north. The wastewater that is derived from the exported potable water is returned to the Watershed for treatment at the Southern Region Tertiary Treatment Plant. Reclaimed wastewater is used for irrigation both within and outside the Watershed. Treated wastewater in excess of reclaimed use is exported for discharge at the Oceanside Outfall. Wastewater from the Fallbrook area and the Naval Weapons Station is exported by the Fallbrook Public Utility District and wastewater in the Elsinore Valley MWD is exported by Elsinore Valley MWD. Rancho California WD exports water into the San Mateo Creek Watershed.

Eastern MWD uses a 24-inch pipeline along Winchester Road to transport wastewater from the Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility to areas within the Watershed for reuse as well as for export of up to 10 MGD from the Watershed. Eastern MWD uses a second, 48-inch pipeline along Palomar Valley for delivery of reclaimed wastewater for reuse and export from the Watershed. Rancho California WD also delivers wastewater to the Palomar Pipeline under an agreement with Eastern MWD to provide coordinated operation of their respective wastewater systems and thus such wastewater originating from Rancho California WD can also be reused or exported through the operation of the Palomar Pipeline by Eastern MWD. The exported wastewater can be reused outside the Watershed, delivered to storage facilities or discharged to Temescal Creek. In 2012-13, Eastern MWD's export of wastewater that was discharged to Temescal Creek was 683 acre feet. During 2012-13, Rancho California WD had no deliveries of wastewater to the Palomar Pipeline and thus no export of wastewater for discharge to Temescal Creek can be attributed to wastewater originating from Rancho California WD.

The following paragraphs of this report describe imports and exports during Water Year 2012-13 and during the period 1966 through 2013. A discussion of MWD's Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake operations is also provided.

# 5.2 <u>Water Year 2012-13</u>

During 2012-13, a total of 74,889 acre feet of net imported supplies were distributed for use in the Santa Margarita River Watershed. This compares with 75,440 acre feet in 2011-12 and represents a decrease of approximately one percent. The term net imports is used because several entities report gross imports into the Santa Margarita River Watershed but due to system configurations and operations, a portion of the gross imports may be transported to serve areas outside of the Watershed. Thus, the net imports reflect the quantities of imported supplies used within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Net imports into the Watershed are listed on Table 5.2 for Water Year 2012-13.

The water exported from the Watershed for 2012-13 primarily includes wastewater except for Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD. As described in Section 7, Camp Pendleton exports native water for use outside the Watershed. Also, Rancho California WD exports groundwater as part of a blended water supply to serve customers in the San Mateo Watershed. Exports from the Watershed for 2012-13 were 18,325 acre feet as shown on Table 5.2. This compares to 18,898 acre feet in 2011-12 and represents a decrease of about three percent.

The quality of the water supplies imported through the MWD system in 2012-13 is indicated by the average monthly total dissolved solids at the Skinner Treatment Plant effluent line as shown on Table 5.3. The table also shows the percent of imported water obtained from the SWP. Water imported by Elsinore Valley MWD has the same quality as the MWD system.

# 5.3 Water Years 1966 through 2013

Water quantities imported by districts into the Santa Margarita River Watershed during Water Years 1966 through 2013 are shown on Table 5.4. Total imports to these districts are measured; however some districts serve lands outside the Watershed. For these districts, which include Eastern MWD, Elsinore Valley MWD, Fallbrook PUD and Rainbow MWD, the portion delivered in the Santa Margarita River Watershed must be estimated.

Review of the historical trend of total imports shown on Table 5.4 indicates significant year-to-year variations with relatively low imports in wet years and higher imports in dry years, combined with an underlying growth rate to serve increasing municipal water demands in the Murrieta-Temecula area.

Exports over the period 1966 through 2013 are also shown on Table 5.4. These include estimated water exports on Camp Pendleton less estimated wastewater returns, as well as an estimate of exports by Fallbrook PUD and the Naval Weapons Station after 1983, and Elsinore Valley MWD after 1986. Exports by Eastern MWD were initiated in 1992-1993, and Rancho California WD began quantifying export of water in 2002-03. Exports do not include water that naturally flows from the Santa Margarita River into the Pacific Ocean.

TABLE 5.2

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED IMPORTS/EXPORTS

2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

**NET IMPORTS** 

EXPORTS 3/

TOTAL	1,530	1,507	1,583		1,586	1,433	1,577	1,542	1,544	1,519	1,496	1,555	1,453	18,325
RANCHO CAL WD F	35	32	19		10	22	17	7	22	16	28	32	32	289
FALLBROOK	81	82	82		9/	69	82	9/	71	99	29	62	69	006
ELSINORE VALLEY MWD	102	100	104		104	96	107	103	106	106	104	108	105	1,245
EASTERN MWD 6/	996	296	1,109		1,107	266	1,029	696	926	932	806	920	882	11,775
U.S. NAVAL WS	7-	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	-	က
NET EXPORT	345	326	269		289	249	342	373	389	338	389	382	361	4,113
PENDLETON- PORTS WASTEWATER RETURNS A S S	108	93	63		82	65	89	110	132	139	134	125	114	1,254
EXPORTS 4/	453	419	332		371	314	431	483	521	538	523	202	475	5,367
TOTAL . NET IMPORTS	6,884	4,501	2,840		3,205	2,766	3,600	2,697	7,400	9,814	9,619	9,510	9,053	74,889
WESTERN MWD 2/	4	က	2		2	2	2	က	က	4	က	4	က	35
U.S. V NAVAL WS	4	4	7		4	က	က	က	က	4	4	4	4	47
RANCHO CAL WD	3,381	1,605	1,195									5,486		40,571
RAINBOW	194	161	115		46	81	84	117	139	176	195	181	224	1,713
MURRIETA DIVISION WESTERN MWD	91	55	32		35	30	47	110	159	186	211	204	205	1,365
MWD 1/	28	45	24		17	25	99	9	127	117	6	125	131	892
FALLBROOK	935	730	463		232	297	312	517	594	705	822	835	915	7,357
ELSINORE VALLEY MWD	843	496	213		541	310	386	493	801	717	1.032	793	533	7.158
EASTERN	1.374	1.402	789		808	726	874	1.089	1.477	1.692	1.787	1.878	1,854	15.751
YEAR	2012 OCT	NON C	DEC	2013	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	TOTAL

Agricultural and Camp Supply use outside the SMRW, reclaimed use outside the SMRW, plus export to Oceanside Outfall as shown on Table A-8. Estimated as reclaimed percentage of Camp Supply use outside the SMRW as shown on Table A-8. Includes Other Reuse shown on Table A-1, which includes changes of storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, evaporation and percolation losses,

Metropolitan Water District direct deliveries in Domenigoni Valley as shown on Table A-4.
 Improvement District A - Rainbow Canyon Only (WR-13).
 All exports are wastewater except as noted for Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD.
 Agricultural and Camp Supply use outside the SMRW, reclaimed use outside the SMRW, pli
 Estimated as reclaimed percentage of Camp Supply use outside the SMRW as shown on Table 6/ Includes Other Reuse shown on Table A-1, which includes changes of storage in Winchester

and discharges to Temescal Creek in the Santa Ana Watershed.

TABLE 5.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS

CONCENTRATION OF IMPORTED WATER

YEAR MONTH	SOLID	ISSOLVED S MG/L 1/	PERCENT PROJECT 2/		
2012	2011-12	2012-13	<u>2011-12</u>	2012-13	
OCT NOV DEC	302 269 261	358 342 336	71 79 81	66 77 78	
2013 JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUNE JULY AUG SEPT	264 329 344 386 447 459 442 398 386	345 391 399 482 523 528 522 524 516	76 73 74 66 51 43 46 54	80 65 64 36 22 18 20 18 21	

<sup>1/</sup> As measured in the Skinner Treatment Effluent line.

<sup>2/</sup> Skinner Plant treated a blend of California State Project Water and Colorado River water.

TABLE 5.4

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED IMPORTS/EXPORTS

Quantities in Acre Feet

**NET IMPORTS** 

EXPORTS 5/

															2/				
		ELSINORE	FALLBROOK	1	MURRIETA	1000	RANCHO		WESTERN	TOTAL	CAMP	- CAMP PENDLETON				L	70000		TOTAL
YEAR	MWD	VALLEY	PUD 1/	2/ 2/	WESTERN	MWD	CAL WD 1	NAVAL WS	MWD 4/	IMPORTS	EXPORTS	WASTEWATER RETURNS	NET	NAVAL WS	MWD	VALLEY	PUD	CAL WD	EXPORTS
1966	1,604	N. N.	3,351	0	0	1,308	0	0	24	6,287	3,251	974	2,277	0	0	0	0		2,277
1967	1,630	N/R	2,852	0	0	1,095	0	0	20	5,597	3,180	1,243	1,937	0 (	0 (	0 (	0 (		1,937
1968	464	N S	3,423	0 0	0 0	1,377	0 0		27	6,291	3,368	1,214	2,154	0 0	0 0	00	0 0		2,154
1969	1,/41	Z 2	2,837	<b>&gt;</b> C	0 0	1,680	<b>&gt;</b> C	э с	52	3,830 6,675	3,270	1,170	2,100	o c	0 0	0 0	0 0		2,100
1071	1 383	2 2	3,405	0 0	0 0	1,065	0 0		5 5	6,548	3,527	1090	2.437	0 0	0	0	0		2.437
1972	1.470	N N	3,916	0	0	2.037	0	115 E	2 5	7,572	3,543	1,168	2,375	0	0	0	0		2,375
1973	1.533	N/R	3,210	0	0	1,616	0		30	6,504	3,544	1,187	2,357	0	0	0	0		2,357
1974	1.601	N/R	3,967	0	0	2,049	0		38	7,768	3,532	1,140	2,392	0	0	0	0		2,392
1975	1,969	Z Z	3,597	0	0	1,247	0		34	6,962	3,098	1,530	1,568	0	0	0	0		1,568
1976	2,493	N/N	4,627	0	0	2,239	119		32	9,628	3,619	1,497	2,122	0	0	0	0		2,122
1977	2,947	N/N	5,212	0	0	2,343	1,845		24	12,486	3,194	1,416	1,778	0	0	0	0		1,778
1978	2,551	569	5,202	0	0	2,188	5,774		56	16,425	3,071	1,283	1,788	0	0	0	0		1,788
1979	1,894	712	5,723	0	0	2,348	2,009		24	17,824	4,756	1,427	3,329	0	0	0	0		3,329
1980	1,192	969	6,404	0	0	2,489	10,126		25	21,047	3,651	1,405	2,246	0	0	0	0		2,246
1981	716	798	8,543	0	0	3,153	15,282		34	28,642	3,892	1,249	2,643	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0		2,643
1982	1,112	678	7,079	0	0	2,460	13,378		34	24,856	3,761	1,2/3	2,488	) (	0 0	0 0	000		2,488
1983	1,211	658	6,720	0	0	2,190	5,752		56	16,672	3,000	1,242	1,738	7 F	<b>&gt;</b> 0	0	500,1		2,787
1984	669	816	8,506	0 (	0 (	3,068	6,716		56	19,946	3,243	1,120	2,123	1 0 C	0	0	1,032		3,101
1985	629	808	7,831	0 0	0 (	3,410	7,158	702	27	20,02	3,377	002,1	7,1,7 2,54E	70 E	0	> 0	000,		2,457
1986	760	882	8,585	0	0 (	2,945	11,174	96 .	34	24,4/4	3,320	300	2,540	- 6 - 6	0	> 4	1,090		10000
1987	1,155	938	8,656	0 (	0 (	3,390	7,564	116	98	21,855	3,444	1,799	7,043	0 %	> 0	4 4	1,129		2,003
1988	2,047	1,032	8,033	0 0	0 0	2,985	17,834	22.	99	32,108	5,457	1,0/2	000,	2 60	0 0	200	1 184		3 250
1989	3,746	1,341	990'6	0	0 0	3,003	22,895	971	23	40,202	0,410	1 451	1,972	3 2	0 0	114	1 271		2,630
1990	5,601	2,255	10,103	0	0 0	3,818	22,030	5 5 5	77.	45,974	2,571	1240	020,1	13	0 0	132	096		2,002
199	9,4,0	2,421	7,902	0 0	0 0	2,304	16 031	8	17	38,008	2,133	1.548	878	2	0	140	1.083		2.108
1992	6,593	2,190	7,095 7,095	0		1 965	11 411	117	5 5	27,756	2,329	1,926	403	- 1	705	150	1.255		2.529
1993	7,150	1,914	7 250	0 0	0 0	1,551	16.386	23	27	35.768	2,702	1.501	1.201	ı.c	3.159	170	1.068		5,603
1006	7,130	3,441	6.538	547	0 0	. 199	15 108	125	5 6	31,750	2.781	1.611	1.170	12	3,908	185	1,153		6,428
1006	4,020	7 181	7,003	100.5	0 0	1815	23,600	2 2	35	43 689	3.577	1.493	2.084	i ro	2,993	213	1,035		6,330
1007	3.284	4,101	7 894	3.521	0	1,429	26,992	109	8 8	47,542	3,643	1,932	1,711	9	3,201	226	1,021		6,165
1998	5.117	5.100	6,382	5.023	0	1,601	19,584	97	3 8	42,935	3,742	2,073	1,669	œ	4,513	247	1,482		7,919
1999	4.327	6.134	7,430	3,781	0	1,727	34,490	111	41	58,041	3,558	2,130	1,428	2	4,133	254	1,377		7,197
2000	7,256	7,172	9,365	712	0	2,217	55,409	<u>\$</u>	42	82,277	4,072	2,115	1,957	7	3,649	279			7,311
2001	5,948	6,592	8,398	689	0	1,804	41,823	73	29	65,386	3,653	2,075	1,578	00	4,457	310			7,745
2002	8,117	7,596	9,580	292	0	1,676	54,148	97	49	81,873	3,701	1,950	1,751	o (	5,325	412	/6 CZZ, L	3	8,722
2003	9,062	7,091	9,130	495	102	1,510	50,744	3 88	42	78,264	3,767	999'	2,079	2 0	0,030	50.0	1,339 9/	312	16,931
2004	9,138	8,438	11,749	99/	330	1,888	62,408	S 6	20 0	24,040	/0 ICE,4	0 0	4,931	o 4	11.676	900		1 574	20,215
2005	10,858	8,215	8,108 9/	226	0,10	1,670	67,614	049	29	07.067	4,023 6/	o c	4,020	2 00	10.906	938		1,379	19.538
2002	14,161	9,01	10,573 9/	9	210	2 262	63.818	5 8	90	106,029	5.152.6/	0	5,152	12	10,553	837		364	17,809
2007	12,390	0,01	8 920 9/	493	2 180	1 790	50,683	2 %	2 2	89.105	4.774 6/	0	4.774	=	12,789	901		361	19,635
2000	14.472	9.075	8.557	607	1.654	1,852	50.270	74	2.5	86,612	5,362 8/	1,119	4,243	12	12,027	1,069		367	18,547
2010	13.552	7.926	7.183 9/		1,462	1,453	40,894	69	9	72,986	5,143 8/	1,075	4,068	7	11,829	1,120	926 9/	318	18,268
2011	14,392	7,425	6,234	336	1,642	1,492	39,411	45	52	71,029	5,516 8/	1,441	4,075	80	12,381	1,130	901	305	18,797
2012	15,063	7,398	7,254	466	1,371	1,892	41,900	48	48	75,440	5,595 8/	1,672	3,923	တ	12,550	1,205	928	784	18,898
2013	15,751	7,158	7,357	892	1,365	1,713	40,571	47	35	74,889	5,367 8/	1,254	4,113	ო	11,775	1,245	006	289	18,325
1/ Include	of the Dollars	CI/VIVI	1/ Indiados Dollar Heights MMD prior to 1991			4		nent District	A - Rainbo	w Canvon O	Improvement District A - Rainbow Canvon Only (WR-13).							N/R - Not Reported	ported
2/ Metro	es Decut	r District dire	<ol> <li>Includes Decut regins May prior to 1991.</li> <li>Metropolitan Water District direct deliveries in Domenigoni Valley</li> </ol>	Domenigo	ni Valley	43	5/ All export	s are waste	water excel	ot as noted for	or Camp Pendl	All exports are wastewater except as noted for Camp Pendleton and Rancho Cal WD.	Cal WD.					P - Partial year data	ear data
u snjd	iscellaneous	s maintenan	plus miscellaneous maintenance releases beginning 2009.	inning 200	.6(	Ψ.		export of na	tive water p	lus wastewat	Includes export of native water plus wastewater from in-basin use.	in use.	e.	Ans Motore	po.			= - Estimate	

<sup>1/</sup> Includes DeLuz Heights MWD prior to 1991.
2/ Metropolitan Water District direct deliveries in Domenigoni Valley plus niscellaneous maintenance releases beginning 2009.
3/ For period 2003 to present, values shown are net imports excluding imported water delivered to San Mateo Watershed.

 <sup>4/</sup>I Improvement District A - Rainbow Caryon Only (WR-13).
 5/ All exports are wastewater except as noted for Camp Pendleton and Rancho Cal WD.
 6/ Includes export of native water plus wastewater from in-basin use.
 7/ Includes export of native water plus wastewater from in-basin use.
 8/ Includes export of native water plus reclaimed wastewater.
 8/ Includes export of native water plus reclaimed wastewater.
 9/ Fallbrook PUD's total export data were revised in 2011 due to reporting methodology changes. In 2012, Fallbrook PUD's total export data were revised due to error in reporting Lake Skinner diversions delivered.

# 5.4 Lake Skinner

Lake Skinner is a 44,000 acre foot reservoir constructed by MWD on Tucalota Creek, within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The purpose of Lake Skinner is to provide regulatory and emergency storage capacity for water imported to southern California. MWD does not have a water right to store or divert local water in Lake Skinner. Accordingly, a Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Lake Skinner (MOU), dated November 12, 1974, approved by the Court on January 16, 1975, contains provisions to protect Santa Margarita River Watershed water users from potential effects of Lake Skinner on either subsurface or surface flows.

Protection against a decrease in subsurface flows caused by the dam is afforded by a provision in the MOU that requires MWD release water from Lake Skinner into Tucalota Creek if groundwater levels in Well AV-28B fall below an elevation of 1356.64 feet. During 2012-13, MWD released 4.00 acre feet for the specific purpose of groundwater replenishment to ensure the groundwater elevation in Well AV-28B was maintained above the indicated threshold elevation. For comparison purposes, the groundwater elevation was 1357.50 feet on September 27, 2013, a decline of 1.6 feet compared to 1359.10 feet on September 28, 2012.

In addition, operations at Lake Skinner periodically require miscellaneous maintenance releases from Lake Skinner into Tucalota Creek that also replenish groundwater levels. In 2012-13, MWD released 46.78 acre feet from Lake Skinner into Tucalota Creek for purposes of miscellaneous maintenance. An additional 24.50 acre feet were released from various points throughout the MWD distribution system for maintenance purposes.

The MOU also provides that all local surface inflow that enters Lake Skinner will be released into Tucalota Creek. In its 1980 modification, the MOU provides that local surface inflow is to be determined by using the hydrologic equation for Lake Skinner that is specified in the MOU. That equation is used to determine inflow and the related release for large flood events. However, in many years the local inflow is small compared to the large quantities of imported water inflow and outflow at Lake Skinner. The error of measurement for these large inflows and outflows is larger than the local inflow in many instances. Accordingly, MWD also monitors the flow in Tucalota Creek, Rawson Creek and Middle Creek during storms and uses those observations to supplement the hydrologic equation.

On February 16, 2005, the Court approved an Order Amending the MOU to provide for diversion from Lake Skinner on Fallbrook PUD's behalf after specified releases are made, according to State Water Resource Control Board Permit 11356 and the amended Lake Skinner MOU. In 2012-13, MWD records show no local inflow to Lake Skinner and subsequently there were no required releases in accordance with the MOU. In 2012-13, no water was accumulated in Lake Skinner for diversion to Fallbrook PUD.

# 5.5 <u>Diamond Valley Lake</u>

Diamond Valley Lake is located in Diamond and Domenigoni Valleys within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The lake was created by three dams, one each at the east and west ends of Domenigoni/Diamond Valley and a saddle dam at the low point on the north rim. The East Dam diverts surface and groundwater flows from a 4.2 square mile drainage area in the Santa Margarita River Watershed, known as Goodhart Canyon, into the Santa Ana River Watershed. The West Dam intercepts existing westward surface and subsurface flows from an additional 13.19 square mile area.

MWD does not have a water right to store local waters in the reservoir, now known as Diamond Valley Lake, so a Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Domenigoni Valley Reservoir (MOU) was developed and approved by the Court on January 19, 1995. Among other things, the MOU provides:

The quantity and quality of surface runoff that would flow past the West Dam in the absence of the Reservoir will be determined and a like quantity of water of similar quality will be released from the Reservoir or San Diego Canal (SDC) into Warm Springs Creek.

The MOU indicates that the required releases would be determined by measuring the surface inflows into Goodhart Canyon Detention Basin. A quantity equal to 4.1 times the measured flow will be released into Warm Springs Creek.

There were no required releases into Warm Springs Creek during 2012-13.

Although all surface waters within the Santa Margarita River Watershed in Domenigoni Valley and Diamond Valley are subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court, groundwater contained within the alluvium, north of the south line of Section 9, Township 6 South, Range 2 West, SBM is not considered by the Court to be a part of the Santa Margarita River system as long as groundwater levels are below an elevation of 1400 feet. During 2012-13, groundwater elevations in Well MO-6, which is located along the south line of Section 9, declined 4.65 feet from 1369.58 feet at the beginning of the water year to 1364.93 feet on October 9, 2013.

During 2012-13, there were no injections into the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin pursuant to Agreements for Mitigation of Groundwater. However, pursuant to a Court Order, MWD imported 892 acre feet of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed for irrigation of lands in Domenigoni Valley. As previously noted, the groundwater in the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin is outside this Court's jurisdiction when groundwater levels are below an elevation of 1400 feet.

# **SECTION 6 - WATER RIGHTS**

# 6.1 General

The Santa Margarita River Watershed is adjudicated in accordance with the Modified Final Judgment and Decree filed on April 6, 1966, in the U.S. District Court, Southern District of California in U.S.A. v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al. Water is used in the Watershed under a variety of water rights, as more specifically described in the Interlocutory Judgments incorporated into the Modified Final Judgment and Decree, as primarily riparian rights and overlying rights. Riparian rights belong to owners of land parcels located adjacent to streams in the Watershed or overlying younger alluvium deposits generally along the stream channels. Overlying rights were divided by the Court into two categories based on the location where the water is obtained and used. Water extracted from lands where subsurface waters add to, contribute to and support the Santa Margarita River stream system was found to be subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. Lands in this category were identified by the Court and listed in Interlocutory Judgments. In general, these parcels of land overlie younger or older alluvium deposits. The Court has stated that the issue of apportionment of water rights has not been presented to the Court, but the Court would litigate the apportionment if and when in the future it becomes necessary to do so.

The other category of overlying use applies to parcels of land where subsurface flows do not add to, contribute to or support the Santa Margarita River stream system. These parcels were also identified by the Court and found to be outside the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. In general, these lands overlie basement complex or residuum deposits.

The Court also described a number of other rights in the Watershed. These included surface water appropriative water rights that have been administered by the State of California since 1914. These rights are discussed in the following subsection of this report.

In Interlocutory Judgment No. 41, the Court found that the United States reserved rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations, including rights to the use of groundwater, sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon. In Interlocutory Judgment No. 44, the Court recognized and reserved water rights for lands within the Cleveland and San Bernardino National Forests and for lands being administered pursuant to the Taylor Grazing Act.

Since the early 1960's, there have been substantial changes in water use in the Watershed, especially in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. During the 1950's and early 1960's, when this case was under active litigation, most of the water use in the Murrieta-Temecula area consisted of individual property owners pumping water for use on their own properties. In 1965, the Rancho California WD was formed. The District developed Agency Agreements with most of the landowners within the District. In these Agency Agreements, the landowners "...without transferring any water rights and privileges

pertaining to said land..." designated the District as their exclusive agent for the development and management of their water supply. Thus, many landowners within the Rancho California WD are not exercising their overlying rights. Instead, Rancho California WD pumps groundwater and uses it throughout the District area as agent on behalf of the landowners.

The resulting change is that Rancho California WD presently produces groundwater in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area under a variety of rights: (1) recovery of water appropriated at Vail Lake, (2) recovery of import return flows and recharged imported water, (3) groundwater appropriative rights, and (4) as agent on behalf of the overlying landowners. Classification of Rancho California WD supplies into these various water right categories is discussed in Section 7 of this Report. Related to the change associated with Rancho California WD production is the increased production by Western MWD within its Murrieta Division. As discussed in Section 7 of this Report, all groundwater production in the Murrieta Division by Western MWD is classified as production from the older alluvium under a groundwater appropriative right.

Another change from the early 1960's is the large scale importation of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed by Rancho California WD. A portion of such importation finds its way into the groundwater aquifers. The legal status of return flows from imported supplies as well as direct recharge of imported water was clarified by the final judgment in *City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando*, *et al.*, 1975 14 Cal.3rd 199. This decision in the Supreme Court of the State of California made two major findings with respect to imported water.

The first was that agencies have the right to recharge and store imported water in a groundwater basin and to extract the imported water for use, subject to applicable state and federal laws. In addition, agencies that import and deliver water to lands overlying a groundwater basin have a continuing right to extract the return flow from such water. The return flow is that portion of the imported supply that percolates into the groundwater basin. In the San Fernando case this portion was found to range from 20 percent to 35.7 percent of the imported supplies.

The Rancho Division of the Rancho California WD overlies the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Thus a portion of the import supply delivered to the Rancho Division of Rancho California WD percolates into the underlying aquifers. Imported water is also supplied to the Santa Rosa Division within Rancho California WD, however only a relatively small part of this division overlies the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Thus there is less imported water return flow from the Santa Rosa Division.

Camp Pendleton representatives contend that the Court has jurisdiction over imported water to the full extent that imported water, as well as its use, its returns and its products, affects in any significant manner the water rights within the Watershed over which the Court has traditionally asserted its jurisdiction. Other parties dispute the Court's jurisdiction over imported water.

# 6.2 Appropriative Surface Water Rights

Another broad category of water rights used in the Watershed is surface water appropriative rights. Since 1914, these rights have been administered by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB).

A list of current permits, licenses and other active rights obtained from the SWRCB is shown on Table 6.1. A permit by the SWRCB authorizes water diversion, sets terms for the water project's completion and development of water use, and may impose other conditions. After the permittee demonstrates that construction is complete, water is being put to use and the permit conditions have been met, the SWRCB can issue a license. The license remains in effect as long as the license conditions are met and the water is put to beneficial use.

Active direct diversion rights and storage rights from creeks in the Watershed are summarized below:

	Direct Diversions Gallons Per Day	Storage Acre Feet
Cahuilla Valley	720	5
Cottonwood Creek	485,000	60
Cutca Creek	5,825	
DeLuz Creek	4,700	100
Fern Creek	213,000	100
Kohler Canyon	158,000	40
Long Canyon Spring	89	
Rainbow Creek		0.5
Rattlesnake Canyon	12,000	
Temecula Creek	13,050	40,000
Tucalota Creek		10,000
Sandia Canyon		8
Sourdough Spring	55	
Santa Margarita River	96,730	4,000
Nelson Creek	<u>1,550</u>	
TOTAL	990,719	54,313.5

These direct diversion rights of 990,719 gallons per day correspond to 1.53 cfs or 3.04 acre feet per day.

# WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TABLE 6.1

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED APPROPRIATIVE WATER RIGHTS

# PERMITS AND LICENSES

APPLICATION I.D.	OWNER	FILING DATE	SOURCE OF WATER	POINT OF DIVERSION	AMOUNT	USE	STATUS
A006629	William H. & Sandra J. Cyrus	4/9/30	Coahuila Valley	Sec. 4, 7S, 3E	DD-720 gpd	D	License
A007035	Nyla Lawler Trust	8/10/31	Cutca Creek	Sec. 29, 9S, 1E	DD-5725 gpd	D/I	License
A009137	JR SA, LLC	10/07/37	Temecula Creek	Sec. 12, 9S, 1E	DD-400 gpd	D	License
A009291	Richard W. Long	5/13/38	Nelson Creek	Sec. 23, 8S, 5W	DD-1550 gpd	D	License
A010806	James R., Phyllis & Bruce Grammer	4/22/44	Temecula Creek	Sec. 34, 9S, 2E	DD-2880 gpd	D	License
A011161	Roy C. Pursche & Barbara Booth	9/26/45	Rattlesnake Canyon	Sec. 28, 9S, 2E	DD-12,000 gpd	D/I	License
A011518	Rancho California Water District	8/16/46	Temecula Creek	Sec. 10, 8S, 1W	ST-40,000 AF	D/I/IN/M/R	Permit
A011587 1/	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	10/11/46	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W	ST-10,000 AF	D/I/M	Permit
A012178	Fallbrook Public Utility District	11/28/47	Tucalota Creek	Sec. 3, 7S, 2W	ST-10,000 AF	D/I/M	Permit
A012179 1/	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	11/28/47	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W	ST-10,000 AF	D/I/M	Permit
A013505	Robert R. Baum	12/12/49	Cottonwood Creek	Sec. 30, 8S, 4W	DD-0.75 cfs & ST-42 AF	R/S	License
A017239	Nancy A. Wiley	8/15/56	Temecula Creek	Sec. 20, 9S, 2E	DD-120 gpd	D/E	License
A020507	Robert R. Baum	11/24/61	Cottonwood Creek	Sec. 19, 8S, 4W Sec. 30, 8S, 4W	ST-18 AF	I/R	License
A020608	Pete and Dorothy Prestininzi	2/13/62	DeLuz Creek	Sec. 20, 8S, 4W	ST-100 AF	D/I/R	License
A020742	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	4/24/62	Sourdough Spring	Sec. 25, 9S, 1E	DD-55 gpd	E	License
A021074	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	12/07/62	Cutca Spring	Sec. 17, 9S, 1E	DD-100 gpd	S/W	License
A021471A	U. S. Department of Navy	9/23/63	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 5, 10S, 4W Sec. 2, 11S, 5W	ST-4,000 AF	D/I/M/Z	License
A021471B 1/	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	9/23/63	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 32, 9S, 4W	ST-165,000 AF	D/I/M/Z	Permit
A027756	James R. Grammer	5/23/83	Temecula Creek	Sec. 3, 10S, 2E	DD-9,650 gpd	I/W	License
A028133	B&E Inv., Inc.	5/14/84	Cahuilla Creek	Sec. 15, 8S, 2E	ST-5AF	E/H/I/R/S	Permit
			OTHER RIGHTS				
F005751S*	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	1/01/70	Long Canyon Spring	Sec. 16, 9S, 1E	DD-89 gpd	E/R/S/W	
S000024**	Judge Dial Perkins	12/26/86	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W	DD-133.3 gpd	D	
S000751**	Lawrence Butler	5/31/67	Fern Creek	Sec. 31, 8S, 4W	DD-0.33 cfs ST-100 AF	ı	
S011411**	Agri Empire, Inc.	5/16/84	Kohler Canyon	Sec. 33, 9S, 2E	DD-0.245 cfs ST-40 AF	I/S	
S012235**	Lenny F. Kuszmaui	8/27/85	DeLuz Creek	Sec. 4, 9S, 4W	DD-4700 gpd	D/I	
S014009**	San Diego State University	6/7/93	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 27, 8S, 3W	DD-0.15 cfs	D/I/Z	
001583***	George F. Yackey	12/27/77	Sandia Canyon	Sec. 25, 8S, 4W	ST-8.0 AF	S	
002380***	Chris R. & Jeanette L. Duarte	12/16/77	Rainbow Creek	Sec. 12, 9S, 3W	ST-0.5 AF	S	
KEY TO USE:	DD - Direct Diversion D - Domes	tic	R - Recreation E - Fi	re Protection	H - Fish Cultu	ıre	
	ST - Diversion to Storage I - Irrigation	7		ockwatering	Z - Other		
	IN - Industrial		W - Fish & Wildlife Prote	•			
NOTES:	* Federal Filing	** Stateme	ent of Diversion and Use		*** Stock Filing		

<sup>1/</sup> These three water rights (A011587, A012179, and A021471B) were assigned to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation by Fallbrook Public Utility District and the Department of the Navy in 1974 for purposes of developing the Santa Margarita River Project for the benefit of Fallbrook Public Utility District and Department of the Navy Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton.

Storage rights shown in Table 6.1 include 185,000 acre feet of storage rights on the Santa Margarita River held by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation that have not been exercised. These three water rights (A011587, A012179, and A021471B) were assigned to the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation by Fallbrook Public Utility District and the Department of the Navy in 1974 for purposes of developing the Santa Margarita River Project for the benefit of Fallbrook Public Utility District and Department of the Navy Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton. The deadline for exercising these rights is currently set at December 31, 2008. On November 14, 2008, the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation filed petitions for time extensions for completion of beneficial use under the three permits. On September 14, 2009, change petitions were filed to amend the permits to conform to the Santa Margarita Conjunctive Use Project being developed jointly by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Navy Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, and Fallbrook Public Utility District. Those extension and change petitions have been accepted and in accordance with SWRCB Order 2009-0063-EXEC they are under consideration in tandem.

Table 6.1 also lists other rights recognized by the SWRCB. These rights generally are based on Statements of Water Diversion and Use that have been filed with the SWRCB. Such statements include one by the United States on behalf of the Cleveland National Forest, which states that the diversion and use of water from Long Canyon Spring is made pursuant to a withdrawal and reservation of the land and resources for National Forest System purposes as of February 14, 1907.

Besides the federal filing, there are also Statements of Water Diversion and Use filed by other entities. Four of these statements represent riparian or pre-1914 appropriative diversions from DeLuz Creek, Fern Creek and Santa Margarita River that have been reported to the SWRCB. The other statement represents a pre-1914 appropriative right to divert water from a spring in Kohler Canyon into a 40 acre foot reservoir.

The last two rights noted on Table 6.1 represent filings made in 1977 pursuant to Subchapter 2.5 to Chapter 3 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations. That subchapter deals with Water Rights for Stockponds.

In addition to appropriative rights under SWRCB jurisdiction, there are a number of non-statutory appropriative rights that were established prior to 1914. These rights continue to be used to support diversions of water from the Santa Margarita River stream system. Such rights, which are listed in the various Interlocutory Orders developed in this litigation, are shown on Table 6.2.

On November 19, 1998, the SWRCB adopted Order No. 98-08 entitled "Order Revising Declaration of Fully Appropriated Stream Systems" to revise its prior Order Nos. 89-25 and 91-07. These Orders list the Santa Margarita River stream system as fully appropriated "from the mouth of the Santa Margarita River at the Pacific Ocean upstream including all tributaries where hydraulic continuity exists."

### TABLE 6.2

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED PRE - 1914 APPROPRIATIVE WATER RIGHTS Listed in Interlocutory Judgments

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT	LISTED OWNER	CURRENT	DATE OF APPROPRIATION	SOURCE OF WATER	POINT OF DIVERSION	AMOUNT	USE
NO. 32	Anderson, Nina B.	Poladian, Jacqueline	April 11, 1892	Fern Creek	NW 1/4 of SE 1/4 Sec 31, T8S, R4W	32 gpm	Irrigation
NO. 32	Butler, Lawrence W. and Mary C.	Vanginkel, Norman Tr and Vanginkel, Deborah Tr San Diego Gas & Electric	Sept. 23, 1896	Fern Creek	NW 1/4 of SE 1/4 Sec 31, T8S, R4W	Capacity of 8 inch pipe	Irrigation
NO. 32	Wilson, Samuel M. and Hazel A.	Shirley, Bobbie	Aug. 3, 1911	DeLuz Creek	NW 1/4 of SW 1/4 Sec 32, T8S, R4W	50 miner's inches 65 AF/yr	Irrigation
NO. 24	United States	United States	1883	Santa Margarita River	Sec 5, T10S, R4W	20 cfs 1200 AF/yr	Domestic Irrigation Stock Water

The consequences of this Order are as follows:

- 1. The Board is precluded from accepting any application to appropriate water from the Santa Margarita River System except where the proposed appropriation is consistent with conditions contained in the Declaration.
- 2. Initiation of a water right, pursuant to the Water Rights Permitting Reform Act of 1988 (Water Code Section 1228 *et seq.*), by registering small use domestic appropriations is precluded, except where the proposed appropriation is consistent with conditions contained in the Declaration. Small use domestic appropriations refer to uses that do not exceed direct diversions of 4,500 gallons per day or diversion by storage of 10 acre feet per year for incidental aesthetic, recreational, or fish and wildlife purposes.
- 3. Pursuant to Water Code Section 1206(a) the Board is authorized, but not required, to cancel pending applications where inconsistent with conditions contained in the Declaration; previous Orders implement a procedure for disposition of such applications pending on the effective date of the Declaration.

The Order provides for reconsideration of the Order either upon petition of an interested party or upon the Board's own motion.

# 6.3 <u>Fallbrook PUD Changes of Point of Diversion and Place of Use</u> for Permit No. 11356

On November 20, 2001, the Chief of the Division of Water Rights of the State Water Resources Control Board authorized an Order Approving Changes in Source Point of Diversion, Place of Use and Amending the Permit (No. 11356). The permit allows Fallbrook PUD to divert and store up to 10,000 acre feet per year at Lake Skinner. The Court approved an Order Amending the Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Lake Skinner on February 16, 2005. The Amendment provides for diversions from Lake Skinner after specified releases are made.

On December 18, 2009, Fallbrook PUD filed a petition for a time extension for completion of beneficial use under Permit No. 11356. The petition was accepted and noticed by the SWRCB on February 23, 2009, and no protests were filed.

On May 25, 2012, the SWRCB issued Order WR 2012-0007-EXEC with an amended Permit No. 11356 extending the time to apply the water to full beneficial use by December 31, 2048.

# 6.4 Federal Reserved Water Rights for Cahuilla and Ramona Indian Reservations

The Cahuilla and Ramona Indian Reservations are both located in the Anza area. The Court found in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41 that the United States reserved water rights for the reservations as specified below.

Order No. 3 in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41 specifies for the Cahuilla Indian Reservation the following:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America intended to reserve, and did reserve, rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation, including rights to the use of ground waters, sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon with priority dates of December 27, 1875, for lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date; March 14, 1887, for lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date; December 29, 1891, for lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date.

Order No. 1 in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41 specifies for the Ramona Indian Reservation the following:

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America when it established the Ramona Indian Reservation intended to reserve and did reserve rights to the use of waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Ramona Reservation, including rights to the use of ground waters, sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon with a priority date of December 29, 1891.

On October 6, 2006, the Cahuilla Band of Indians filed a Motion to Intervene as Plaintiff-Intervenor in United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al. The Cahuilla Band also filed a Complaint asking the Court to quantify its federal reserved water rights by confirming elements of the water rights as declared and decreed by the Court in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41. On October 16, 2006, the Ramona Band of Cahuilla filed a similar motion and Complaint. On January 22, 2007, the Court issued an Order granting the Motions to Intervene and filing the Complaints in Intervention. On February 25, 2009. the Court ordered the Cahuilla Band and Ramona Band as plaintiffs to serve by April 30, 2009, all water right holders subject to the Court's jurisdiction within the entire Watershed. Service was completed and the parties commenced settlement negotiations. On April 1, 2009, the Cahuilla and Ramona Bands filed motions to dismiss claims against certain downstream defendants and to file second amended complaints to limit the claims to the Anza-Cahuilla Groundwater Area. On April 29, 2009, the Court issued an Order granting the motions. The parties are progressing with settlement negotiations and Court proceedings for quantification of each Band's federal reserved water rights based on the Second Amended Complaints.

# 6.5 <u>Federal Reserved Water Rights for Pechanga Indian Reservation</u>

The Court found in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41 that the United States reserved water rights for the Pechanga Indian Reservation in accordance with Order No. 7:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America intended to reserve, and did reserve, rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Pechanga Indian Reservation, including rights to the use of ground waters sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon with priority dates of June 27, 1882, for those lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date; January 9, 1907, for those lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date; August 29, 1893, for those lands added to the Reservation by Patent on that date; and May 25, 1931, for those lands added to the Reservation by Patent of that date.

In 1974, the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians filed a Motion to Intervene as a Plaintiff-Intervenor in United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al., and in 1975 the Court granted the Motion. Rather than filing a complaint asking the Court to quantify its federal reserved water rights, the Pechanga Band is in the process of resolving its claims to water rights in the Santa Margarita River Watershed through a comprehensive settlement agreement with the United States and principal water districts, including Rancho California WD, Eastern MWD, and Metropolitan Water District. On December 17, 2009, Pechanga and Rancho California WD announced an agreement on a framework, developed with the assistance of Metropolitan Water District and the United States Federal Negotiating Team, to resolve Pechanga's water rights claims. April 27, 2009, Pechanga and Rancho California WD agreed to a Settlement Conceptual Agreement and on June 11, 2009, the Rancho California WD Board approved the Settlement Conceptual Agreement. On November 16, 2009, the parties announced the Pechanga Water Rights Settlement Agreement was finalized. On December 11, 2009 and January 26, 2010, the Pechanga Indian Water Rights Settlement Act was introduced in the United States House of Representatives and Senate, respectively. The proposed legislation was reintroduced in the Senate on June 25, 2013, and in the House of Representatives on June 26, 2013. The parties are now in the process of finalizing agreements in anticipation of Congressional and Court approvals.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

# **SECTION 7 - WATER PRODUCTION AND USE**

# 7.1 General

Water production and use data were obtained from several types of substantial users including water purveyors, Indian Reservations, mobile home parks and private landowners. Private landowners who qualify as substantial water users are those who irrigate eight or more acres or who produce or use an equivalent quantity of water.

Major water purveyors, who reported production and use data in the 2012-13 Water Year, are listed as follows:

Anza Mutual Water Company
Eastern Municipal Water District
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
Fallbrook Public Utility District
Lake Riverside Estates
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Rancho California Water District
U. S. Marine Corps, Camp Pendleton
U.S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex
Western Municipal Water District

Lake Riverside Estates is listed with major water purveyors although it does not deliver water to customers. However it does produce make-up water for losses from Lake Riverside.

In addition to the major purveyors, there are a number of smaller water systems in the Watershed. Of these, Quiet Oaks Mobile Home Park, Jojoba Hills SKP Resort, Rancho California Outdoor Resorts, and Hawthorn Water System are substantial users.

Three Indian Reservations, the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona, are noted in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41, the Judgment that pertains to Water Rights on Indian Reservations in the Watershed. Estimates and/or measurements of water production and use are reported for the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations.

A portion of a fourth Reservation, the Pauma Mission Reserve Tract of the Pauma Yuima Band of Luiseño Mission Indians, is also located within the Watershed. However, this Reservation was not included in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41.

The final category of water users is private landowners who use water primarily for irrigation.

The water use data collected for the 2012-13 Water Year is summarized on Table 7.1. Total imported supplies plus local production totaled 118,232 acre feet compared to 115,324 acre feet reported in 2011-12. Of that quantity, 46,345 acre feet were used for agriculture; 10,685 acre feet were used for commercial purposes; 51,499 acre feet were used for domestic purposes; 37 acre feet were discharged to Murrieta Creek; 15 acre feet were discharged to Santa Gertrudis Creek; 2,470 acre feet were discharged by Rancho California WD during 2012-13, pursuant to the CWRMA; 2,644 acre feet were exported by Camp Pendleton; and 325 acre feet were recharged by Rancho California WD to storage. It is noted, the agriculture use for Pechanga includes 393 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater and thus this amount is double counted on Table 7.1 relative to production from the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Actual agriculture use of production from the Watershed is 45,952 acre feet, reflecting the reduction of 393 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater used by Pechanga. In order for the totals to balance on Table 7.1, the 393 acre feet of reclaimed water is subtracted from the indicated loss for Pechanga as reflected in Footnote 12 for Table 7.1.

The overall system loss was 4,574 acre feet, or 3.9% of total production. System gain or loss is the result of many factors including errors in measurement, differences between periods of use and periods of production, leakage and unmeasured uses.

Monthly production and use data for major water purveyors are attached to this report as Appendix A. Uses are listed under agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic categories. The definition of agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic uses varies for the different purveyors in the Watershed. Accordingly, definitions of these uses for major water purveyors are shown on Table 7.2. It is noted that much of the non-agricultural water use in the Watershed can also be considered municipal use, which includes both the domestic and commercial uses shown in tables in this report. Similar data for Water Years 1966-2013 are summarized in tables presented in Appendix B. Appendix C presents information on substantial users outside purveyor service areas.

# 7.2 Water Purveyors

# 7.2.1 Anza Mutual Water Company

Anza Mutual Water Company's service area is in the eastern part of the Watershed in the Anza Valley. Production is from two wells: Well No. 1 drilled in 1951, and perforated from 20 feet to 260 feet; and Well No. 2 drilled later to a depth of 287 feet and perforated in the bottom 130 feet. Production for 2012-13 was approximately 28 acre feet from Well No. 1 as shown on Appendix Table A-11. Well No. 2 was not in use for 2012-13. Water levels in Well No. 1 declined 10 feet from last year.

### **TABLE 7.1**

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

# WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

	PF	RODUCTION				USE			
	WELL/ SURFACE	IMPORT	TOTAL	AG	COMM	DOM	LOSS	TOTAL	WATER RIGHT
WATER PURVEYORS									
Anza Mutual Water Company	28	0	28	0	0	25	3 <sup>1/</sup>	28	Appropriative
Eastern MWD	0	15,751	15,751	117	3,388	11,459	787	15,751	Appropriative
Elsinore Valley MWD	0	7,158	7,158	16	1,637	5,227	278	7,158	
Fallbrook PUD	0	7,357	7,357	4,541	300	2,140	376	7,357	Appropriative
Lake Riverside Estates	341	0	341	0	341 <sup>2/</sup>	0	0	341	Appropriative
Metropolitan Water District	0	892 <sup>14/</sup>	892	892	0 3/	0	0	892	
Murrieta Division of Western MWI	1,014	1,365	2,379	431	166	1,653	129	2,379	Appropriative
Rainbow MWD	0	1,713	1,713	1,441	8/	116	156	1,713	
Rancho California WD	27,156 <sup>4/</sup>	40,571 <sup>5/</sup>	67,727	30,442 <sup>6/</sup>	4,401	27,594	5,290 7/	67,727	Various
U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton	5,744	0	5,744	0	8/	2,790	2,954 <sup>1/9/</sup>	5,744	Appropriative/ Riparian
U.S. Naval Weapons Station	0	47	47	0	8/	43	4 1/	47	
Western MWD Improvement Dist. Through Rancho California WD	0	35	35	0	32	0	3 1/	35	
INDIAN RESERVATIONS									
Cahuilla	60	0	60	6 <sup>15/</sup>	5	49	0	60	Overlying/Reserve
Pechanga	875	0	875	611	415	219	(370) <sup>12/</sup>	875	Overlying/Reserv
Ramona	3	0	3	0	0	3	0	3	Overlying/Reserv
SMALL WATER SYSTEMS									
Quiet Oaks Mobile Home Park	34	0	34	10	0	21	3 1/	34	Riparian/Overlyin
Outdoor Resorts	655	0	655	571	0	76	8 <sup>1/</sup>	655	Overlying
Jojoba Hills SKP Resort	77	0	77	0	0	69	8 <sup>1/</sup>	77	Overlying
Hawthorn Water System	17	0	17	0	0	15	2 1/	17	Appropriative
OTHER SUBSTANTIAL USERS	7,339 <sup>10/</sup>	0	7,339	7,267	0	0	72 <sup>11/</sup>	7,339	
TOTAL	43,343	74,889	118,232	46,345	10,685	51,499	9,703 13/	118,232	

- 1/ Assumes 10% system loss.
- 2/ Recreational Use.
- 3/ Construction use at Diamond Valley Lake.
- 4/ Includes 24,831 AF production from Older Alluvium plus 2,614 AF of Vail Recovery minus 289 AF exported to the San Mateo Watershed.
- 5/ Includes 27,284 AF direct use; 11,395 AF direct recharge; 2,433 AF from MWD WR-34; and minus 541 AF export.
- 6/ Includes 24,111 AF Ag, and 6,331 AF Ag/Domestic.
- 7/ Includes 37 AF discharged into Murrieta Creek; 15 AF discharged into Santa Gertrudis Creek; 2,433 AF discharged into Santa Margarita River from MWD WR-34; 0 AF from System River Meter; 45 AF from potable connection to WR-34 outlet pipe; 325 AF of import remaining in storage; and a system loss of 2,435 AF.
- 8/ Listed with Domestic use.
- 9/ Includes exports of 2,644 AF.
- 10/ Includes 722 AF for surface diversion plus 6,677 AF from groundwater as shown in Appendix C, minus 60 AF on the Cahuilla Reservation.
- 11/ Loss is equal to 10% of surface diversions.
- 12/ Includes a system loss of 23 AF, minus 393 AF of reclaimed wastewater from EMWD, accounted for on Table A-1. See Table A-5 for Pechanga production and use.
- 13/ Includes an overall system loss of 4,574 AF.
- 14/ An additional 75 AF were released by MWD to include 51 AF from Lake Skinner into Tucalota Creek for purposes of miscellaneous maintenance and groundwater replenishment and 24 AF from the MWD distribution system for maintenance.
- 15/ Stock watering.

# TABLE 7.2

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

# DEFINITIONS OF WATER USE BY MUNICIPAL WATER PURVEYORS

2012-13

DISTRICT	AGRICULTURAL	DOMESTIC	COMMERCIAL
EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	Row crops, sod farms, dairies, horse ranches and other miscellaneous agricultural users	Single family and multi- family residential connections	All other usage including commercial, industrial, institutional, golf courses, landscaping, temporary and construction
ELSINORE VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	Delivery of water for agricultural purposes in growing or raising for commerce, trade or industry or for use by public eduational or correctional institutions	Delivery of water to single family residential customers in single, detached residential units	Delivery of water to multi-family residential units, commercial, industrial establishments, cities, political sub-divisions or quasigovernmental associations
FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	AG - A commercial enterprise producing a crop/livestock/fowl on at least 1 acre fully used for ag purposes; can include incidental domestic use related to residency AG/DOM - Water used for both ag and domestic purposes	Single family, multi-unit and large domestic residences and the first 20,000 gallons used by an ag/domestic meter	Offices, businesses, schools and hydrants
PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION	Irrigation, including water used for golf course, parks, grass areas, and landscaping	Residential	Resort, on-Reservation businesses, tribal facilities
RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	AG- 1 acre or more of plantable, resalable products DOM/AG - Same as Ag with a house on the parcel	DOMESTIC - Homes	Generally no commercial use in district
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT	AG - 1 acre or more of plantable, resalable products GOLF - Outside water use at golf courses VINEYARDS - Outside irrigation for vineyards AG/DOM - First 1600 c.f. for each user alloted to domestic, and the balance to agriculture LANDSCAPE - Landscaping around freeways, parking lots, office buildings, median strips, etc.	DOMESTIC - Homes MULTIPLE - Apartments and Condominiums	COMMERCIAL - Office buildings, industrial users other than agribusinesses FLOATING - Fire hydrants used during construction CONSTRUCTION - Other fire hydrants used for grading LAKE SKINNER - Recreational use a Lake Skinner  MISCELLANEOUS - Schools, fire departments, parks, government agencies DETECTOR CK. METERS - Only used when there is a fire
MURRIETA DIVISION OF WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	Agricultural uses and irrigation for crops	Homes and multiple units	Businesses, public agencies, schools and construction
USMC, CAMP PENDLETON	Irrigation - Water used for ag purposes, not landscaping, golf courses or parks	Camp Supply - Includes landscaping, parks and commercial use	Reported under Camp Supply

Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 divides aquifers in Anza Valley at this location into two categories: the shallow aquifer and the deep aquifer. Based on information available to the Court, the shallow aquifer was determined to include the younger and older alluvial deposits in the Anza Groundwater Basin, and extend to a maximum but variable depth of approximately 100 feet. The deep aquifer underlies the shallow aquifer in an area about one-half mile in width and two miles in length, within portions of Sections 16, 17, 21, 22, 27 and 28 of Township 7 South, Range 3 East, SBM. Anza Mutual Water Company's wells are within the area of the deep aquifer. From the perforated intervals in the wells, it may be concluded that most of the production from Well No. 1 and all of the production from Well No. 2 are from the deep aquifer. Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 concluded that waters contained in the deep aquifer did not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system and were, therefore, declared to be outside the Court's jurisdiction.

Accordingly, most of the water produced by the Anza Mutual Water Company is outside the Court's jurisdiction. The relatively small portion pumped from the shallow aquifer in Well No. 1 is pumped under a groundwater appropriative right. Data for Water Years 1989 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-12.

# 7.2.2 Eastern Municipal Water District

Eastern Municipal Water District is a member agency of Metropolitan Water District and its service area includes a portion of the Rancho California Water District and the Murrieta Division of Western Municipal Water District. Within the Watershed, Eastern MWD wholesales water to those districts and also retails water directly to consumers. Water sold to Rancho California WD and the Murrieta Division of Western MWD is not listed in this report as imported water to Eastern MWD.

Eastern MWD's service area outside Rancho California WD and the Murrieta Division of Western MWD is located in the northern part of the Watershed. Water for Eastern MWD's retail service area is all imported with no groundwater production during 2012-13.

Imports, not including water wholesaled to Rancho California WD or the Murrieta Division of Western MWD or delivered to Elsinore Valley MWD, totaled 16,208 acre feet. A portion of that import, amounting to 457 acre feet, was exported from the Santa Margarita River Watershed for delivery to Eastern MWD's retail customers located outside the Watershed, resulting in net import to the Watershed of 15,751 acre feet. These data are shown on Appendix Table A-1.

In addition to importing fresh water, Eastern MWD also reclaims wastewater at its Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility. Disposition of wastewater from the Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility (TVRWRF) service area for Water Years 2011-12 and 2012-13 is shown below:

	<u>201</u>	<u>1-12</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2-13</u>
<u>Use</u>	Quantity	<b>Percent</b>	Quantity	Percent
	AF	%	AF	%
Reuse in Santa Margarita	2,364	16	2,937	20
Reuse outside Santa Margarita	8,025	<u>54</u>	<u>8,316</u>	<u>56</u>
Subtotal	10,389	70	11,253	76
Discharge to Dissipater at				
Temescal Creek	285	2	683	5
Other	4,240	<u>28</u>	<u>2,776</u>	<u>19</u>
TOTAL	14,914	100	$1\overline{4,712}$	100

It can be noted that the quantities of reclaimed wastewater used within the Santa Margarita River Watershed increased from 2,364 acre feet in 2011-12 to 2,937 acre feet in 2012-13. During the same period, reuse outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed increased from 8,025 acre feet to 8,316 acre feet. In 2012-13, it may be concluded that 20 percent of the wastewater is reused in the Watershed and 56 percent is used outside the Watershed. The quantity of wastewater discharged to the dissipater at Temescal Creek increased from 285 acre feet to 683 acre feet. The Other use decreased from 4,240 acre feet to 2,776 acre feet. This Other use includes changes of storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, as well as evaporation and percolation losses.

Due to concerns about the potential export of native Santa Margarita water, the sources of water supply to the TVRWRF service area were determined and are shown on Table 7.3. In 2012-13, 25 percent of the supply to the service area was groundwater. Thus, the percent of groundwater supply was greater than the percentage of wastewater reused within the Santa Margarita Watershed, and on a proportional basis there was some export of native waters.

On August 4, 2009, a Judgment was entered in *United States of America and Fallbrook Public Utility District v. Eastern Municipal Water District and Rancho California Water District* (CV 04-8182 CBM (RNBx), United States District Court, Central District of California) pertaining to the contractual obligations of the 1990 Four Party Agreement and the export of treated wastewater from the Santa Margarita River Watershed. On May 17, 2011, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued an Order granting the parties' joint motion to dismiss the appeals in this matter and thus the August 4, 2009 Judgment stands. For purposes of this annual report the export of treated wastewater will be reported consistent with prior annual reports with no changes pursuant to the Judgment. The Watermaster will reevaluate the calculations and reporting to be included in future annual reports.

Estimates of water production and use for Eastern MWD for the period 1966 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-1.

TABLE 7.3

REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY SERVICE AREA WATER DELIVERIES TO TEMECULA VALLEY SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

	2009		2010		2011	_	2012	2	2013	3
Eastern MWD	AF	%	AF	%	AF	%	AF	%	AF	%
TVRWRF Service										
Area										
<ol> <li>Groundwater</li> </ol>	0		0		0		0		0	
2. Import	14,472		13,552		14,392		15,063		15,751	
3. Total	14,472		13,552		14,392		15,063		15,751	
Rancho California WD										
TVRWRF Service										
Area										
1. Groundwater 1/	8,579		8,641		9,774		7,902		8,802	
2. Import 2/	12,260		10,755		8,770		11,462		10,563	
3. Total 3/	20,839		19,396		18,544		19,364		19,365	
<b>Total Deliveries to TVRWRF</b>	R Service Area	Area								
1. Groundwater	8,579	24.3%	8,641	26.2%	9,774	29.7%	7,902	7,902 23.0%	8,802	25.1%
2. Import	26,732 75.7%	75.7%	24,307	73.8%	23,162	70.3%	26,525	%0.77	26,314	74.9%
3. Total	35,311	35,311 100.0%	32,948	32,948 100.0%	32,936	32,936 100.0%	34,427	34,427 100.0%	35,116 100.0%	100.0%

Based on the ratio of groundwater to total production in Rancho Division of RCWL

Based on the ratio of import to total production in Rancho Division of RCWD. 3 7 4

Total RCWD deliveries in TVRWRF Service Area.

# 7.2.3 Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District

Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District provides water to its service area around Lake Elsinore, a portion of which is within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Elsinore Valley MWD obtains its supply from ten wells, all located outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed, and also imports Metropolitan Water District water through Eastern MWD and Western MWD.

As shown on Appendix Table A-2, Elsinore Valley MWD reports for 2012-13 that 7,158 acre feet of imported water were delivered in the portion of its service area that is inside the Watershed. Also during 2012-13, 1,245 acre feet of wastewater were exported from that same area.

Production and use for Elsinore Valley MWD for the period 1966 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-2.

# 7.2.4 Fallbrook Public Utility District

The Fallbrook Public Utility District service area is located in both the San Luis Rey River and Santa Margarita River watersheds. In Water Year 2012-13, Fallbrook PUD imported a total of 12,593 acre feet, as shown on Appendix Table A-3. Fallbrook PUD has three wells within the Santa Margarita River Watershed; however, in Water Year 2012-13, there was no production from these wells. Additionally, in 2012-13, Fallbrook PUD reported no diversions from Lake Skinner, under Permit No. 11356, resulting in a total district-wide production of 12,593 acre feet. The total production for the portion of Fallbrook PUD service area that is within the Santa Margarita River Watershed, as shown on Appendix Table A-3, is 7,357 acre feet, or about 58 percent of the total district wide production.

In 2012-13, Fallbrook PUD treated 923 acre feet of wastewater from areas served within the Watershed, of which 20 acre feet were reused in the Watershed, and the remainder was exported. The wastewater production and distribution for 2012-13 is shown on Appendix Table A-3.

Production during the period 1966 through 2013 included direct diversions from the Santa Margarita River prior to 1972, as well as imported water and well production, as shown in Appendix B. During Water Year 2010-11, Fallbrook PUD revised its reporting methods for both water production and wastewater operations. The historical water production and use for the period 1966 through 2010 are provided on Appendix Table B-3.1 reflecting prior reporting methods, particularly for previous estimates associated with the DeLuz portion of the service area. Appendix Table B-3.2 is provided to show the current water production and use reflecting the revised reporting methods. The revised reporting methods include metered deliveries for the reported uses within the Watershed and application of a district-wide loss factor.

The Fallbrook PUD wastewater production and distribution for the period 1966 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-4.

# 7.2.5 Lake Riverside Estates

Lake Riverside Estates pumps water from Well No. 7S/2E-32C1, into Lake Riverside to replace evaporation losses. Production for 2012-13 was approximately 341 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-11. The production well was drilled in 1962 and is located in an area of younger alluvium in the Cahuilla Groundwater Basin. The well was drilled to a depth of 338 feet.

Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 indicates that the owners of lands in the Cahuilla Groundwater Basin have correlative overlying rights to the use of the groundwater that is the basis for this production. Data for Lake Riverside Estates for the period 1989 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-12.

# 7.2.6 Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Pursuant to a Court Order, Metropolitan Water District (MWD) imported 892 acre feet of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed for irrigation of lands in Domenigoni Valley. MWD did not import any water for groundwater recharge and there was no water used for construction purposes. As previously noted, the groundwater in the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin is outside this Court's jurisdiction when groundwater levels are below elevation 1400 feet. This production is shown on Appendix Table A-4, and production for the period 1966 through 2013 is shown on Appendix Table B-5.

# 7.2.7 Rainbow Municipal Water District

Rainbow Municipal Water District is located in San Diego County in the south-central part of the Watershed. In 2012-13, the District imported a total of 21,863 acre feet of water as shown on Appendix Table B-7. However, most of the District is in the San Luis Rey River Watershed and only about eight percent of the District's imported supply was delivered to the portion of the service area inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed. As shown on Appendix Table A-6, total deliveries of imported water in the Santa Margarita River Watershed in 2012-13 amounted to 1,713 acre feet.

Rainbow Municipal Water District import production for the period 1966 through 2013 is shown on Appendix Table B-7.

# 7.2.8 Rancho California Water District

Rancho California Water District serves water to a 99,600 acre service area in the central portion of the Watershed. The District produced water from 45 wells in 2012-13, and also imported water as shown on Appendix Table A-7. Use is shown under the categories of agriculture, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic. In Water Year 2012-13, well production of native water included 27,445 acre feet from the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. This quantity included 24,831 acre feet from the older alluvium and 2,614 acre feet of recovered Vail Lake recharge. A portion of the groundwater amounting to 289 acre feet was exported for use in the San Mateo Watershed, resulting in a net well production of 27,156 acre feet.

Import supplies totaled 41,112 acre feet of which 27,284 acre feet were used for direct use; 11,395 acre feet were recharged; and 2,433 acre feet were discharged by the District to the Santa Margarita River from MWD Meter WR-34 during 2012-13, pursuant to the CWRMA. A portion of that import amounting to 541 acre feet was exported from the Santa Margarita River Watershed to the San Mateo Watershed, resulting in net import to the Watershed of 40,571 acre feet.

During 2012-13, Rancho California WD use totaled 67,727 acre feet including 24,111 acre feet for agriculture; 6,331 acre feet for ag/domestic; 4,401 acre feet for commercial; 27,594 acre feet for domestic; 2,530 acre feet were released into Murrieta Creek, Santa Gertrudis Creek and the Santa Margarita River; 325 acre feet of import were recharged to storage; and 2,435 acre feet were system loss.

In 2012-13, Rancho California WD did not export reclaimed wastewater from the Watershed via EMWD's Palomar Valley Pipeline.

Rancho California WD produces groundwater under a variety of rights as follows:

- 1. Recovery of water appropriated at Vail Lake
- 2. Recovery of import return flows and recharged imported water
- 3. Groundwater appropriative rights
- 4. As agent on behalf of overlying landowners

# Vail Appropriation

Rancho California WD's Vail Dam appropriative rights are described in Application No. 11518 as amended on June 17, 1947, and in Permit 7032 originally issued on February 18, 1948. Permit 7032 was subsequently amended on July 28, 1971, and April 22, 2009. The water right provides that the District may store up to 40,000 acre feet in Vail Lake each year between November 1 and April 30, subject to applicable limitations. The water so stored may be used for recreational uses at Vail Lake and municipal, domestic, industrial, and irrigation uses within the entire service area of Rancho California WD. Such uses may be by direct diversion from Vail Lake or by recovery of water released from Vail Lake and spread downstream in Pauba Valley. Points of re-diversion for recovery from underground storage are permitted for 12 production wells: Rancho California WD Well Nos. 109, 110, 123, 132, 152, 153, 157, 158, 210, 232, 233, and 234.

As shown on Table 3.3, there were 2,614 acre feet of releases from Vail Lake during 2012-13 for groundwater recharge. Releases from Vail Lake for groundwater recharge for the period 1980 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-8.

Permit 7032 operations for 2012-13 are summarized on Table 7.4. The recovery from groundwater recharge for 2012-13 was 2,614 corresponding to the amount released from Vail Lake for recharge.

It is noted, with the issuance of the amended Permit 7032 in 2009, the place of use, purposes of use, and permitted points of re-diversion were changed. Accordingly, the reporting of Permit 7032 operations needs to be modified to reflect the changed

### **TABLE 7.4**

### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT PERMIT 7032 OPERATIONS

2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

Diversion to Storage in Vail Lake 1/	1,30	2
Release to Groundwater Storage 1/	2,61	4
Recovery from Groundwater Storage <sup>2/ 3/</sup>		
Younger Alluvium Older Alluvium	2,614	
Total	0 2,61	4
Vail Recharge Account Balance from 2011-12	54,29	2
Release minus Recovery		0
Vail Recharge Account Balance for 2012-13	54,29	2

<sup>1/</sup> See Table 3.3.

<sup>2/</sup> Permitted Points of Re-Diversion RCWD Well Nos. 109, 110, 123 132, 152, 153, 157, 158, 210, 232, 233 and 234.

<sup>3/</sup> Total pumping from Vail recovery wells is greater than amount shown as recovered under Permit 7032. Total pumping from the 12 recovery wells is shown on Table 7.8.

permit conditions. Table 7.4 was modified in 2009 to reflect the changes subject to further refinement as part of the update of the CWRMA groundwater model. The reporting on Table 7.4 reflects the assumption that all water released from Vail Lake for recharge is recovered from the younger alluvium by pumping from the permitted recovery wells. The remainder of the pumping from the younger alluvium is apportioned to direct import recharge. The updated groundwater model will be used to develop a refined accounting methodology for recharge and recovery of Vail Lake releases and imported water. The updated model will also be used to evaluate the status of and accounting for the Vail Recharge Account and the Imported Water Carryover Account.

### Imported Water Return Flows

Return flows for 2012-13, based on imported water use in the Rancho Division and Santa Rosa Division are shown on Tables 7.5 and Table 7.6, respectively.

In the following tables, imported water is allocated to agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic uses in each of eight hydrogeologic areas in the Rancho Division service area and three hydrogeologic areas in the Santa Rosa Division service area. This allocation is the proportion of the total deliveries to each use that is made up of imported water. For 2012-13, 58.20 percent of the supply to the Rancho Division was imported and 63.28 percent of the supply to the Santa Rosa Division was imported.

In general the Santa Rosa Division does not overlie the groundwater area. However there are several areas classified as being in the Santa Rosa Division that do overlie the groundwater area and generate return flows from imported supplies. Data from most of these lands have been reported since December 1991.

The percentage of imported water that becomes return flow varies according to the use as follows:

25%
25%
10%
25%

Based on the foregoing factors, the total return flow credit for 2012-13 is computed to be 4,599.27 acre feet for the Rancho Division and 334.78 acre feet for the Santa Rosa Division, as shown on Tables 7.5 and 7.6, respectively.

Some of the hydrogeologic areas overlie older alluvium and some overlie younger alluvium. Comparison of exposures of younger alluvium with maps of the District's hydrogeologic areas indicates that the Santa Gertrudis, Pauba and half of the Murrieta-Wolf areas overlie younger alluvium. The area of the Santa Rosa Division that overlies the groundwater area is one-fourth in the younger alluvium and three-fourths in the older alluvium. Import return flows in these areas can be credited against pumping from the younger alluvium. These credits for 2012-13 are 1,014.86 acre feet for the Rancho Division and 83.69 acre feet for the Santa Rosa Division, as shown on Tables 7.5 and 7.6, respectively.

TABLE 7.5

### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

### **RETURN FLOW CREDIT**

2012-13

### **RANCHO DIVISION**

Quantities in Acre Feet

### HYDROGEOLOGIC AREAS

						· · · · -			
	0 NO HYDRO- GEO CODE	1 MURRIETA WOLF 1/2 QYAL 1/2 QTOAL	2 SANTA GERTRUDIS QYAL	3 LOWER MESA QTOAL	4 PAUBA QYAL	5 SOUTH MESA QTOAL	6 UPPER MESA QTOAL	7 PALOMAR QTOAL	TOTAL
AGRICULTURAL *									
Total Use	0.00	895.07	598.76	2,484.26	307.21	729.00	871.78	825.64	6,711.72
% Import	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	
Import Use	0.00	520.95	348.50	1,445.91	178.80	424.30	507.40	480.54	3,906.40
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	130.24	87.12	361.48	44.70	106.07	126.85	120.14	976.60
AG/DOMESTIC									
Total Use	0.00	36.85	0.00	36.65	460.29	42.60	564.38	213.45	1,354.21
% Import	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	
Import Use	0.00	21.45	0.00	21.33	267.90	24.79	328.48	124.23	788.18
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	5.36	0.00	5.33	66.97	6.20	82.12	31.06	197.05
COMMERCIAL									
Total Use	0.00	1,322.84	883.41	723.99	262.63	106.64	72.53	14.59	3,386.64
% Import	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	
Import Use	0.00	769.93	514.17	421.38	152.86	62.07	42.22	8.49	1,971.1
% Credit	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Credit	0.00	76.99	51.42	42.14	15.29	6.21	4.22	0.85	197.1
DOMESTIC									
Total Use	0.00	2,588.17	2,469.87	10,774.72	655.52	3,739.50	1,507.40	452.88	22,188.06
% Import	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	58.20	
Import Use	0.00	1,506.38	1,437.53	6,271.18	381.53	2,176.49	877.35	263.59	12,914.04
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	376.60	359.38	1,567.79	95.38	544.12	219.34	65.90	3,228.5
TOTAL USE	0.00	4,842.92	3,952.05	14,019.62	1,685.64	4,617.75	3,016.09	1,506.56	33,640.62
TOTAL		***************************************	***************************************			***************************************		***************************************	
Total Import Use	0.00	2,818.71	2,300.20	8,159.79	981.09	2,687.65	1,755,44	876.86	19,579.74
Total Credit	0.00 **	589.19	497.92	1,976,74	222.34	662.60	432.53	217.94	4,599.2
Total Credit Qyal		294.59	497.92	.,,	222.34				1,014.8
Total Credit Qtoa		294.59		1,976.74		662.60	432.53	217.94	3,584.4

<sup>\*</sup> Includes golf course and landscape irrigation

<sup>\*\*</sup> This credit not applied to either Qyal or Qtoal

**TABLE 7.6** 

### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT RETURN FLOW CREDIT

2012-13

### **SANTA ROSA DIVISION**

Quantities in Acre Feet

HYDROGEOLOGIC AREAS

	HYDF	ROGEOLOGIC AREAS		
	1 MURRIETA WOLF 1/2 QYAL 1/2 QTOAL	3 LOWER MESA QTOAL	8 NORTH MURRIETA 1/4 QYAL 3/4 QTOAL	TOTAL
AGRICULTURAL *				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	569.83	569.83
% Import	63.28	63.28	63.28	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	360.61	360.61
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	90.15	90.15
AG/DOMESTIC				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% Import	63.28	63.28	63.28	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
COMMERCIAL				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	582.90	582.90
% Import	63.28	63.28	63.28	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	368.88	368.88
% Credit	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	36.89	36.89
DOMESTIC				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	1,313.06	1,313.06
% Import	63.28	63.28	63.28	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	830.95	830.95
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	207.74	207.74
TOTAL USE	0.00	0.00	2,465.79	2,465.79
TOTAL				
Total Import Use	0.00	0.00	1,560.44	1,560.44
Total Credit	0.00	0.00	334.78	334.78
Total Credit Qyal	0.00	0.00	83.69	83.69
Total Credit Qtoal	0.00	0.00	251.08	251.08

<sup>\*</sup> Includes golf course and landscape irrigation

Rancho California WD imported an additional 11,395 acre feet of water for groundwater recharge in 2012-13, of which 11,070 acre feet were recovered.

### **Division of Local Water**

During 2012-13, Rancho California WD pumped 38,763 acre feet of groundwater, comprised of 27,693 acre feet of local water and 11,070 acre feet of recovered imported water. Some of this water was pumped from the younger alluvium and some from the older alluvium. The Court determined that water in both the younger alluvium and older alluvium adds to, contributes to and supports the Santa Margarita River stream system. The primary reason for differentiating between younger alluvium and older alluvium production is that, in California, production from the younger alluvium is generally considered to be governed by water rights that apply to the regulation of surface waters. Production from the older alluvium is generally considered to be governed by regulations that apply to groundwater. Of the 27,693 acre feet of local water, 77 acre feet were delivered to the Pechanga Indian Reservation under the terms of the Wolf Valley Groundwater Management Agreement. This production is shown on Appendix Table A-5.

During joint development of a groundwater model of the area it was necessary to develop estimates of the transmissivity for each aquifer. These estimates were based on pumping tests. The resulting transmissivity values were then used to estimate the relative groundwater production from each aquifer. For Rancho California WD wells, the percent production estimated to originate in the younger alluvium is shown on Table 7.7.

Production from the younger alluvium and older alluvium for 2012-13, using the percentages noted on Table 7.7 is presented on Table 7.8. It may be noted that 13,684 acre feet were pumped from the younger alluvium and 25,079 acre feet were pumped from the older alluvium in 2012-13.

The production of 13,684 acre feet from the younger alluvium, as shown on Table 7.8 is the recovery of 11,070 acre feet of direct import recharge and the recovery of 2,614 acre feet of Vail Lake recharge. Rancho California WD imported 11,395 acre feet of water in 2012-13 for direct recharge, of which 11,070 acre feet were recovered leaving 325 acre feet as unrecovered direct recharge.

Imported water carryover to 2012-13 includes the following:

		<u>AF</u>
1.	Carryover from 2011-12	61,290
2.	Unrecovered direct recharge in 2012-13	325
3.	Import Return Flow Credit for 2012-13	_1,099
4.	Total Carryover to 2013-14	62,714

Thus, the Imported Water Carryover Account balance of 62,714 acre feet remains available to offset younger alluvium production in future years.

**TABLE 7.7** 

### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED PERCENT PRODUCTION FROM YOUNGER ALLUVIUM IN RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT WELLS

RCWD WELL NO.	LOCATION TOWNSHIP/ RANGE/ SECTION	SEAL DEPTH FEET	PERFORATED INTERVAL FEET	YOUNGER ALLUVIUM FEET	PERCENT YOUNGER ALLUVIUM %		REMARKS
106	7S/3W-26R1	55	130-210; 250-310; 340-440; 700- 740; 780-980	0	0.0%	Murrieta	No. 108 Winchester, clay 0'-40'
107	7S/3W-26J1	55	60-120; 190-260; 280-300; 390- 590	58	0.0%	Murrieta	No. 105 - gravel & clay 58'-84'
108	7S/3W-25E1		60-110; 190-280; 350-410; 430- 450; 470-490; 530-590	55	0.0%	Murrieta	Formerly No. 109 gravel/sandy clay 55'-70
109	8S/2W-17J1	52	70-150; 170-210	75 1/	84.0%		Brown clay and gravel 75' to 105'
110	8S/1W-6K1	54	75-155	165	97.0%		Clay 165'-190'. Prior to 10/23/97 perf int. 70-150; 200-240; 320-380; 420-460
113	7S/2W-25H1	52	96-136; 275-462; 482-542	Shallow	0.0%		
116	8S/1W-6J	Unknown	60-120; 140-200; 220-260; 270- 330; 370-390	150	94.0%		Clay 150'-170'
119	8S/2W-19J	55	170-260; 300-470		0.0%	Wolf Valley	Perforated below 170'
123	8S/1W-7B	55	100-260; 300-380; 420-500	135 1/	65.0%		Brown Sand Clay 135'-210'
129	7S/2W-20L	Unknown	180-290; 416-480; 520-600	Shallow	0.0%	Santa Gertrudis	Qyal very shallow along Santa Gertrudis
132	8S/1W-7D	55	70-390; 430-500	135	82.0%		Brown Clay Streaks 135'-175'
135	7S/3W-27M10	55	70-170	50	0.0%	Murrieta Valley	Silty clay 50'-69'
141	8S/2W-11P	55	120-190; 215-235; 270-380; 430-	104 1/	0.0%		Silt & sand 104'-185'; Well 11L1 is 112'
144	7S/3W-27D	55	983-1123; 1143-1283; 1343- 1483; 1503-1743	25	0.0%	Murrieta Valley	Sand with silty clay 25'-45'
146	7S/3W-28	50	50-190	42	0.0%	Murrieta	
152	8S/1W-5K	50	70-470; 490-540	130	90.8%		Forebay
153	8S/1W-5K3	50	50-220	170	99.0%		Forebay
154	8S/1W-5L2	50	50-220	110 1/	2/		Forebay
157	8S/1W-5L	50	50-210	128	96.8%		Forebay
158	8S/1W-5K	50	50-210	100 1/	96.5%		Forebay
205	7S/3W-35A	50	150-1000	10	0.0%	Santa Gertrudis/ Murrieta Valley	Sandy clay 10'-20'
210	8S/2W-12K	None	48-228	140	94.0%		Clay cobblestones 160'-167', 175'-227'
218	8S/2W-20B5	27	48-289	40	0.0%		Old 28; clay with sand layer 40'-60'; now monitoring wells 427, 428 and 429
220	7S/3W-26Q1	34	114-450	58	0.0%		Clay 58' - 73'
223	8S/2W-20C1	Unknown	48-250	60 1/	94.0%	Wolf Valley	CAT Well; east of Wildomar Fault; nearb Exh 16 wells 17Q @62' & 17M @55' are also east of Wildomar Fault
224	8S/2W-15D	Unknown	48-250	106 1/	68.0%		Old Well 50, clay 106'-138'
230	8S/2W-11J1	Unknown	24-31; 32.5-34; 35-40; 61-65; 70-76; 80-85; 86.5-91; 92.5-98.5	>119	100.0%		Old Well 30, depth of well is 119'
231	8S/2W-20B6	55	80-120; 150-270	35 1/	0.0%		Old 104, P-34, Clay 20'-23'; 35'-41'; East of Wildomar Fault
232	8S/2W-11J3	51	95-135; 175-215; 235-295	135 1/	92.0%		Old 111, 105, P-31; coarse sand & clay
233	8S/2W-12K2	51	95-135; 175-215; 235-295	145	88.0%		Old 112, P32; sand and clay at 145'-220'
234	8S/2W-11P1	52	80-100; 120-140; 200-240; 280- 320; 340-400	125 1/	74.0%		Brown Clay at 125'; sand and clay at 125 140'
235	8S/3W-1Q1	55	Unknown	Shallow	0.0%	Long Canyon	
240	8S/2W-11L1	Unknown	48-298	112	86.0%		Old Well No. 40; clay 112'-136'
301	7S/3W-18Q1	93	140-280; 280-520; 540-640	26	0.0%	Murrieta	Old JR1; blue clay 26'-32'
466	8S/3W-1P2	Unknown	106-822	49	0.0%	Long Canyon	Old 219, Cantarini, hard clay 49'-60'
467	8S/2W-12K1	Unknown	50-100; 100-140	140	100.0%		Old 221, JK, Exh. 16, Monitoring well since 1983

<sup>1/</sup> Watermater, Rancho California WD, and Camp Pendleton disagree on the depth of younger alluvium for indicated wells. See discussion in Appendix F.

<sup>2/</sup> Percent production from younger alluvium to be determined.

### **TABLE 7.8**

### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT WELL PRODUCTION FROM YOUNGER AND OLDER ALLUVIUM

2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

WELL NO.		QYAL	QTOAL	TOTAL
101	2/	0.00	802.00	802.00
102	3/	0.00	65.00	65.00
106		0.00	148.00	148.00
108	2/	0.00	821.00	821.00
109		471.24	89.76	561.00
110		892.40	27.60	920.00
113		0.00	438.00	438.00
118	2/	0.00	350.00	350.00
119	1/	0.00	408.00	408.00
120	0.4	0.00	1,543.00	1,543.00
121	3/	0.00	0.00	0.00
122	1/	0.00	446.00	446.0
123		206.05	110.95	317.00
124		0.00	289.00	289.00
125		0.00	0.00	0.00
126		0.00	889.00	889.0
128		0.00	0.00	0.0
129		0.00	0.00	0.0
130		0.00	1,107.00	1,107.0
131		0.00	1,161.00	1,161.0
132		705.20	154.80	860.0
133	21	0.00	666.00	666.0
135	3/	0.00	28.00	28.0
138		0.00	1,860.00	1,860.0
139		0.00	1,297.00	1,297.0
140 141		0.00	7.00	7.0
141		0.00	504.00	504.0
		0.00	738.00	738.0
144		0.00	545.00	545.0
145	2/	0.00	492.00	492.0
146	3/	0.00	5.00	5.0
149		0.00	204.00	204.0
151		0.00	783.00	783.0
152		1,806.01	182.99	1,989.0
153	2/	2,110.68	21.32	2,132.0
155	3/	0.00	21.00	21.0
156 157		0.00	877.00	877.0
158		1,880.82	62.18	1,943.0
201		2,218.54	80.47	2,299.0
201		0.00	0.00	0.0
205		0.00	769.00	769.0
205		0.00	1,748.00	1,748.0
		0.00	0.00	0.0
208		0.00	0.00	0.0
209		0.00	0.00	0.0
210	47	777.38	49.62	827.0
211	1/	0.00	404.00	404.0
215		0.00	0.00	0.0
216		0.00	0.00	0.0
217		0.00	796.00	796.0
231		0.00	0.00	0.0
232		900.68	78.32	979.0
233		1,456.40	198.60	1,655.0
234		258.26	90.74	349.0
235		0.00	990.00	990.0
301		0.00	0.00	0.0
302		0.00	0.00	0.0
309		0.00	2,731.00_	2,731.0

<sup>1/</sup> A portion of 1,258 acre feet from Well Nos. 119, 122 and 211 was delivered to Pechanga Indian Reservation for their use.

 $<sup>2\!\!/</sup>$  Includes 52 acre feet of releases to streams from Well Nos. 101, 108 and 118.

<sup>3/</sup> Includes 119 acre feet pumped directly to the reclaimed system from Well Nos. 102, 121, 135, 146 and 155.

### 7.2.9 <u>Western Municipal Water District</u>

Western Municipal Water District operations within the Santa Margarita River Watershed are comprised of three categories. First, Western MWD wholesales imported water to Rancho California WD. Deliveries to Rancho California WD are included under Rancho California WD. Second, Western MWD serves water to its Murrieta Division in the vicinity of the City of Murrieta. Third, Western MWD serves imported water to its Improvement District A near the southern boundary of Riverside County, along the I-15 freeway. Improvement District A is operated by Rancho California WD under an operations and maintenance contract on behalf of Western MWD.

### Murrieta Division

In November 2005, Western MWD merged with the Murrieta County Water District assuming their operations in an area in the vicinity of the City of Murrieta. Prior Watermaster Reports present information under Murrieta County Water District.

All of the Murrieta Division of Western MWD wells are located in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Interlocutory Judgment No. 30 indicates the younger alluvium deposits in Murrieta Valley extend in various depths to a maximum of approximately 30 feet from the ground surface.

The Court noted that it was impossible, based on evidence available in 1962, to determine with exactness the depth of the younger alluvial deposits throughout the Valley. However, the Court did retain continuing jurisdiction so that subsequent findings could be made, if needed. Older alluvial deposits are found below the younger alluvium.

Six of the seven Murrieta Division wells are perforated at depths of 106 feet or more. The Holiday Well has perforations beginning at a depth of 60 feet, which is well below the maximum depth of younger alluvium found by the Court in 1962. In addition, there has been no production from the Holiday Well since March 2006. Accordingly, all of Murrieta Division well production is from the older alluvium under a groundwater appropriative right.

In Water Year 2012-13, the Murrieta Division of Western MWD produced 815 acre feet of water from the North Well and 199 acre feet from the renovated New Clay Well, which was brought back online in September 2012. Western MWD imported 1,365 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-10.

The following table itemizes the production from the Murrieta Division wells:

Well Designation <u>7S/3W</u>	Well <u>Name</u>	2012-13 Production Acre Feet	De Grour	Water Year epth to adwater in Feet 2013	Well Depth <u>Feet</u>	Perforated Interval <u>Feet</u>
20	New Clay	199	252	250	940	300 – 350
						370 – 470 680 – 790
						830 – 900
20C9	Holiday	0	65	64	307	60 - 307
20G5	House	0	*	*	252	120 - 252
17R2	Lynch	0	77	*	212	172 - 212
18J2	North	815	280	285	650	240 - 460
						500 - 640
20D	South	0	*	178	446	120 – 446
7M	Alson	0	*	*	416	106 – 416
TOTAL		1,014				

<sup>\*</sup> Not reported.

Western MWD's Murrieta Division production for the period 1966 through 2013 is shown on Appendix Table B-11.

### Improvement District A

In Water Year 2012-13, imports to Improvement District A amounted to approximately 35 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-11. Deliveries to Improvement District A through turnout WR-13 for the period 1966 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-12.

### 7.2.10 <u>U. S. Marine Corps - Camp Pendleton</u>

Camp Pendleton is located on the coastal side of the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Water was provided by nine wells that produced 5,744 acre feet in Water Year 2012-13. This production is from the younger alluvium and is based on riparian and appropriative rights. In 2012-13, there was no agricultural use and 5,744 acre feet were used for Camp Supply. Camp Supply includes domestic and commercial uses as well as irrigation for landscaping and park areas. Camp Pendleton water use is located both inside and outside the Watershed. A total of 3,100 acre feet were used inside the Watershed and 2,644 acre feet were exported to areas of the Base outside the Watershed. The production and use of water for Camp Pendleton are shown on Appendix Table A-8.

Beginning in December 2008, all wastewater for Camp Pendleton is treated at the Southern Region Tertiary Treatment Plant replacing the regional treatment Plant Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 13. On March 11, 2009, the Regional Water Quality Control Board issued Order No. R9-2009-0021 for a Master Reclamation Permit for the Camp Pendleton Southern Region Tertiary Treatment Plant. Wastewater effluent is discharged to either: (1) approved areas for use of reclaimed water for irrigation purposes; or (2) the Oceanside Outfall under NPDES Permit No. CA0109347, Order No. R9-2003-0155, and Order No. R9-2008-0096. The approved areas for use of reclaimed water are located both within and outside the Watershed. In Water Year 2012-13, the total amount of reclaimed wastewater for Camp Pendleton was 2,723 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-8. A total of 403 acre feet were reclaimed for irrigation purposes entirely for use outside the Watershed. An additional 2,320 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater were exported by Camp Pendleton to the Oceanside Outfall.

Production and estimated use inside and outside the Watershed, as well as wastewater reclamation and use, are shown in Appendix Table B-9 for the period 1966 through 2013. It is noted, the format and reporting shown on Appendix Table B-9 were changed for the Annual Watermaster Report for Water Year 2008-09. Prior reports show for the period 1966 through 2003, reclaimed use inside the Watershed reported as recharged wastewater from ponds and recharge areas. In addition, the prior reports distinguished the source of the recharged wastewater between wastewater treated within or outside the Watershed at the various regional treatment plants. The format and reporting for Water Year 2012-13, on Appendix Tables A-8 and B-9, reflect current and anticipated operations for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, the prior format is obsolete and the reader is directed to prior reports from 2008, and earlier, for additional information regarding historical wastewater operations.

### 7.2.11 U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex

The U. S. Naval Weapons Station (NWS) occupies about 9,148 acres northeast of Camp Pendleton. Since 1969, the NWS has relied on imported water delivered via Fallbrook PUD for its supply. Wastewater is exported from the NWS, Fallbrook Public Utility District and the Watershed via an outfall line maintained by Fallbrook PUD with an easement across Camp Pendleton. In 2012-13, 47 acre feet were imported of which three acre feet of wastewater were exported, as shown on Appendix Table A-9. Imports and use for the period 1966 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-10.

### 7.3 <u>Indian Reservations</u>

Water is used on the Indian Reservations in the Watershed in accordance with federal reserved rights described in Section 6. Water use information for the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations in the Watershed is described in the following sections:

### 7.3.1 Cahuilla Indian Reservation

In general, domestic water use on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation is not measured; however reports for 2012-13 indicate that 350 people reside on the Reservation. These residents use water primarily for domestic purposes. Annual domestic water use, based on 125 gallons per capita per day, amounts to a total annual use of about 49 acre feet from wells listed in Appendix C. In addition, reports indicate Reservation non-irrigated lands are used for the grazing of 500 cattle. Based on a daily requirement of 10 gallons per head per day, the annual use is estimated to be about six acre feet. An additional five acre feet pumped from well 7S/2E-26B3 were put to commercial use at a casino.

### 7.3.2 Pechanga Indian Reservation

On December 21, 2006, the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians and Rancho California WD entered into a Groundwater Management Agreement for the Wolf Valley Groundwater Basin. The Pechanga Band and Rancho California WD agreed to jointly manage groundwater pumping from the basin and to manage the basin to protect groundwater resources. Among other things, the agreement provides for Rancho California WD to deliver pumped groundwater from its wells to Pechanga.

During 2012-13, Pechanga received 77 acre feet of delivered groundwater from Rancho California WD. In addition, the Pechanga Water System produced 798 acre feet from wells, and received 393 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater from Eastern MWD, resulting in a total production for Pechanga of 1,268 acre feet. The monthly production and uses for the Pechanga Indian Reservation are shown on Appendix Table A-5. Information about Pechanga Water System wells is shown below:

Well Designation 8S/2W	Well <u>Name</u>	Dep	ater Year th to ter in Feet 2013	Well Depth <u>Feet</u>	Perforated Interval <u>Feet</u>
29A2	Kelsey	130	146	425	105 - 415
29B10	Eduardo	156	163	697	437 - 687
29B11	Eagle III	153	178	645	275 - 635
29J3	South Boundary	144	161	350	150 - 340
28M5	Cell Tower	109	120	518	372 - 432
					468 - 508
28R1	Ballpark Well	104	107	1,000	126 - 996
19Q1	Zone V Rock 1	49	46	451	210 - 430

The total groundwater pumping for the Pechanga Water System wells increased from 669 acre feet in 2011-12, to 798 acre feet in 2012-13. The total pumping in Wolf Valley by Rancho California WD Well Nos. 119, 122 and 211, for both the District's use and for delivery to Pechanga, increased from 1,202 acre feet in 2011-12 to 1,258 acre feet in 2012-13. Therefore, the total pumping in Wolf Valley for 2012-13 increased by 185 acre feet.

The wells listed above are in areas of younger alluvium at ground surface. The depth of the younger alluvium in Wolf Valley was estimated by representatives of Rancho California WD and the United States, for Rancho California WD Wells No. 495 (8S/2W-20E) and No. 119 (8S/2W-19J), to be in the range of 120 to 170 feet in depth. Thus, based on available well construction data, some of the production is from the younger alluvium and some from the older alluvium. Under state law, production from the wells that originate in the older alluvium can be considered to be under a groundwater appropriative right or an overlying right, depending on the circumstances at each well.

Production and uses for the Pechanga Indian Reservation for Water Years 1991 through 2013 are shown on Appendix Table B-6.

### 7.3.3 Ramona Indian Reservation

The Ramona Indian Reservation occupies 560 acres of land of which 321 acres are inside the Watershed. The water supply is provided for domestic use by two individual wells. Total production for 2012-13 is reported as 2.57 acre feet, or approximately three acre feet.

### 7.4 Small Water Systems

There are a number of small water systems for mobile home parks in the Watershed. These range from relatively permanent structures, to those catering to recreational vehicles and campgrounds. Water production from wells is shown on Appendix Table A-11 for Quiet Oaks Mobile Home Park, Hawthorn Water System, Rancho California Outdoor Resorts, and Jojoba Hills SKP Resort. Data for previous Water Years are shown on Appendix Table B-12.

### 7.5 <u>Irrigation Water Use</u>

Estimated water production reported by substantial users for irrigation in the Santa Margarita River Watershed is shown on Table 7.1 to be 7,339 acre feet. This quantity includes 6,623 acre feet of well production and 716 acre feet of surface diversion as shown in Appendix C.

### **SECTION 8 - UNAUTHORIZED WATER USE**

### 8.1 General

From time to time, there are complaints of unauthorized water uses of various types in the Watershed. Such complaints are investigated in accordance with the powers and duties of the Watermaster. The status of the current list of unauthorized uses is described as follows:

### 8.2 <u>Unauthorized Small Storage Ponds</u>

Many small dams and reservoirs have been constructed on streams in the Watershed. The legal basis for these ponds is described in the 1988-89 Watermaster Report. Basically, the Court has held that storage of water in ponds less than 10 acre feet in capacity and used for stock watering is a valid use of riparian water. The Court has also held that:

The temporary or non-seasonal impoundment by riparian owners for the purpose of providing a head for irrigation or for the purpose of temporarily accumulating sufficient water to make possible efficient irrigation is a proper riparian use of water.

Criteria for determining non-seasonal storage of irrigation water have yet to be developed.

### 8.3 Rancho California Water District Water Use

A number of unauthorized water use issues raised by the United States are settled so long as the CWRMA between the United States on behalf of Camp Pendleton and Rancho California Water District is in effect. As further explained in Section 11, many of these issues are described in Appendix F.

One area of past concern pertains to Rancho California WD's petition to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) to change the place of use, type of use and rediversion facilities in Permit 7032. On April 22, 2009, the SWRCB issued an order and amended Permit 7032 with the desired changes and conditions to resolve concerns by Camp Pendleton and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The reporting of Vail Lake operations in accordance with Amended Permit 7032 is provided on Table 3.3 and in Section 7.2.8 under Vail Appropriation, including operations reported on Table 7.4.

### 8.4 <u>Exportation of Treated Wastewater Derived from Native Waters</u>

Camp Pendleton continues to assert that the exportation of treated wastewater, the source of which is the native waters of the Santa Margarita River System, without a legal basis for such exportation is an unauthorized water use. On May 17, 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued an Order granting the parties' joint motion to dismiss the appeals in *United States of America and Fallbrook Public Utility District v. Eastern Municipal Water District and Rancho California Water District* (CV 04-8182 CBM (RNBx), United States District Court, Central District of California) and thus the August 4, 2009 Judgment in this case stands. The Watermaster is reviewing the calculations and reporting of exportation of treated wastewater for possible changes in future annual reports.

### **SECTION 9 - THREATS TO WATER SUPPLY**

### 9.1 General

General threats to the long-term water supply in the Santa Margarita River Watershed, which have been described in previous Watermaster reports, are as follows:

- 1. High nitrate concentrations in Rainbow Creek, Anza Valley and the Murrieta-Temecula areas.
- 2. Potential overdraft conditions at various locations in the Watershed.
- 3. Potentially adverse salt balance conditions in the upper Santa Margarita River area.
- 4. High concentrations of arsenic, fluoride, and manganese in the Murrieta-Temecula area.
- 5. Quagga mussel infestation in imported supplies from the Colorado River system.

### 9.2 High Nitrate Concentrations

In past years, high concentrations of nitrate have been measured in Anza Valley and in Rainbow Creek. Conditions in Anza Valley were generally described in the 1993-94 report. Additional water quality data for Anza Valley have been collected periodically by the Riverside County Department of Health Services and the USGS.

As described in prior Watermaster reports, in 1999 the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region (Regional Board) began preparation of a plan for Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus on Rainbow Creek. On February 9, 2005, the Regional Board adopted an amendment to the Basin Plan to include the Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus TMDLs and implementation plan. The State Water Resources Control Board, on November 16, 2005, and the Office of Administrative Law, on February 1, 2006, subsequently approved the Basin Plan amendment. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency granted final approval of the TMDLs on March 22, 2006.

The full plan and implementation programs are presented on the Regional Board's website:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/water\_issues/programs/tmdl s/rainbowcreek.shtml.

Recent data show high concentrations of nitrate pose a risk to water supplies from the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. In January 2006, Western MWD ceased production from the Holiday Well because nitrate concentrations exceeded the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 45 mg/l. The depth to the top of the perforated interval for the Holiday Well is only 60 feet and the high nitrate concentrations appear to be a result of nearby septic systems and agricultural practices. Concentrations of nitrate for some of the other Western MWD and Rancho California WD wells in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area have been detected in the range of 20 to 25 mg/l, which is below the MCL. The other Western MWD and Rancho California WD wells have deeper perforated intervals than the Holiday Well.

### 9.3 Potential Overdraft Conditions

Previous Watermaster reports have noted concerns about overdraft conditions in Anza Valley and in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Previous studies for Anza Valley include 1976 and 1988 reports by the U. S. Geological Survey and a 1990 report by a consultant to Riverside County. No further studies relative to groundwater use in Anza Valley are available. Historical measurements of groundwater levels for Anza Mutual Water Company's Well No. 1 (7S/3E-21G1) located in Anza Valley are plotted in this report on Figure 4.4.

No recent published studies of safe yield are available for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Groundwater resources in the area are managed by Rancho California WD, Western MWD, and the Pechanga Band. Annual groundwater production programs are prepared with the goal of maximizing production within the apparent safe yield of the basin. Each year, groundwater levels and well production combined with other information including water quality, natural and artificial recharge, pump settings, and well construction factors, are used to develop the recommended production programs for several hydrogeologic sub-areas. Production rates are commonly lowered in sub-areas where water levels have declined over several years, and production rates are increased in sub-areas where decline has not occurred. As a final check, the recommended production rates are checked using the groundwater model for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area.

In addition, Rancho California WD in cooperation with Camp Pendleton is in the process of developing a multi-level groundwater monitoring network, pursuant to the CWRMA. The purpose of the network is to collect data for use in assessing safe yield operations. In September 2006, the USGS began drilling and constructing the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well as part of this network. The monitoring well was completed with six piezometers and continuous water level recording devices. In 2009, the groundwater monitoring network was expanded to include the Wolf Valley Monitoring Well previously constructed by the USGS under a cooperative program with the Pechanga Band. In 2013, two additional groundwater monitoring wells were constructed by the USGS under contract with Rancho California WD. The two additional wells are the Temecula Creek Groundwater Monitoring Well and the VDC Recharge Basin Groundwater Monitoring Well. Groundwater levels and water quality data for the four monitoring wells are reported in the annual report for the CWRMA.

Groundwater level data for three additional wells in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area are included in this report as Figures 4.1, 4.3 and 4.5. Water levels in the Windmill Well (8S/2W-12H1) located at the eastern part of Pauba Valley declined by 3.7 feet in 2012-13. Water levels in Well 7S/3W-20C9 in the Murrieta Division of Western MWD area rose by 1.0 feet in 2012-13.

Well 8S/2W-29G1 on the Pechanga Indian Reservation in Wolf Valley became dry at the end of 2003-04. The declining water levels in Well 8S/2W-29G1 appear to be attributed to recent relatively dry hydrologic conditions and pumping of the nearby New Kelsey Well. To allow continued monitoring of water levels on the Reservation, Well No. 29G1 has been replaced with Well No. 8S/2W-29B9 which showed water levels rose 1.8 feet in 2012-13.

### 9.4 Salt Balance

A key issue in management of a groundwater basin is potential build-up of salts from imported water supplies and use of reclaimed wastewater. Such a build-up could decrease the usability of waters in a basin. Consideration must be given to measures that allow desalination of water supplies and export of salts from a basin to offset the salt load in water entering the groundwater basin.

The Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) concentration for imported supplies into the watershed is shown on Table 5.3. During 2012-13, the reported TDS concentration ranged from 336 to 528 mg/l as compared to concentrations for 2011-12 ranging from 261 to 459 mg/l. The increased levels for TDS in 2012-13 are attributed to a greater percentage of the imported supplies derived from the Colorado River compared to supplies from the State Water Project.

The salt balance for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area is increasingly of interest due to increased imported supplies to meet existing and future demands, and also increased use of reclaimed wastewater for irrigation. The potential salt loading can be illustrated by estimating the total salts imported into the basin by the major purveyors overlying the groundwater area. The net imported supplies for the major purveyors are provided on Table 5.2 and the individual production and use tables are included in Appendix A. Assuming the groundwater area is subject to salt loading from net imports for Eastern MWD, Elsinore Valley MWD, Western MWD (Murrieta Division), and Rancho California WD (Rancho Division); the total net imports for Water Year 2012-13 were 50,699 acre feet. It is noted, imports for a portion of the Rancho California WD, Santa Rosa Division, potentially contribute to salt loading for the groundwater area but such contribution is ignored for this illustration. Applying the monthly TDS concentrations from Table 5.3 to the monthly net imports for these major purveyors results in an estimated total annual salt import for 2012-13 of 32,200 tons compared to the estimated salt import of 26,400 tons for 2011-12.

The salt balance for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area is affected by the export of wastewater from the Watershed. In 2012-13, Elsinore Valley MWD exported 1,245 acre feet of wastewater for treatment outside the Watershed. During 2012-13, Eastern MWD exported 8,316 acre feet of treated wastewater for reuse outside the Watershed and 683 acre feet were exported for operational reasons for discharge to Temescal Creek. Additional treated wastewater may have been exported from the Watershed through recirculation in the system, but such additional amounts have not been determined. At an average TDS concentration of 650 mg/l, there are approximately 1,768 pounds of salt in every acre foot of wastewater. Thus in 2012-13, approximately 9,100 tons of salt were exported by Elsinore Valley MWD and Eastern MWD through the export of 10,244 acre feet of wastewater. For comparison in 2011-12, approximately 8,400 tons of salt were exported with the export of 9,515 acre feet of wastewater.

The use of reclaimed wastewater for irrigation is also a consideration in evaluating the salt balance for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. The reuse within the groundwater area does not import additional salts into the Watershed; rather the source of water supply further concentrates the salts in contrast to relatively lower TDS levels for other sources of water supplies. The total use of reclaimed wastewater by Eastern MWD, Rancho California WD, and the Pechanga Band within the Santa Margarita River Watershed for 2012-13 was 5,866 acre feet compared to 5,601 acre feet in 2011-12, and compared to 690 acre feet in 1986-87. Assuming an average TDS concentration of wastewater of 650 mg/l, the salt loading for 5,866 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater is approximately 5,200 tons. It is expected that the use of reclaimed wastewater within the Watershed will increase in the future.

The salt balances of the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area, the Santa Margarita River, and the groundwater basins on Camp Pendleton are affected by operational and maintenance discharges by Rancho California WD from wells into Murrieta Creek, Temecula Creek and Santa Gertrudis Creek. In 2012-13, wells discharged 52 acre feet, as shown below, together with estimated total dissolved solids for each well. Additional water quality data for the wells are provided in Appendix D.

Well No.	Release Acre Feet	TDS mg/l	Sample Date
101	10	700	9/11/13
108	15	400	8/13/13
118	<u>27</u>	600	9/17/13
Total	52		

The salt balances for the Santa Margarita River, and the groundwater basins on Camp Pendleton, are also influenced by discharges by Rancho California WD of imported supplies into Santa Margarita River as part of make-up flows under the CWRMA. During 2012-13, the discharge of imported supplies to the Santa Margarita River as make-up

flows from outlet WR-34 was 2,433 acre feet. An additional 45 acre feet were discharged to the Santa Margarita River from the potable connection at WR-34. Discharges from the potable connection are comprised of a blend of groundwater and imported supplies.

In March 2014, Rancho California WD completed the Temecula Valley Basin Salt and Nutrient Management Plan. The plan was prepared pursuant to the State Water Resources Control Board Recycled Water Policy adopted by Resolution No. 2009-0011 on February 3, 2009, as amended by Resolution No. 2013-0003 on January 22, 2013. In November 2012, Camp Pendleton completed the Salt and Nutrient Management Plan, Southern MCB Camp Pendleton, also prepared pursuant to the State Water Resources Control Board Recycled Water Policy cited above.

### 9.5 High Arsenic Concentrations

The maximum contaminant level (MCL) for arsenic is 10 ug/l. High concentrations of arsenic have been detected in groundwater wells for both the Murrieta Division of Western MWD and Rancho California WD, posing a risk to water supplies in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. In November 2007, Western MWD ceased pumping from the New Clay Well due to arsenic levels exceeding the MCL. Pumping from the New Clay Well resumed in September 2012, under an approved monitoring plan after Western MWD completed well renovation measures. Pumping from the New Clay Well was again ceased in April 2013 due to arsenic levels exceeding the MCL.

The elevated arsenic levels have significantly impacted groundwater pumping and distribution system operations for Rancho California WD. Two wells have been taken out of production due to arsenic levels exceeding the MCL. In 2012-13, three other wells showed levels exceeding the MCL with the wells still in operation under approved blending plans. Two additional wells showed levels approaching the MCL and may be included in a blending plan in the future.

### 9.6 <u>High Fluoride Concentrations</u>

The MCL for fluoride is 2 mg/l, and samples exhibiting high concentrations of arsenic often show high concentrations of fluoride in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. High levels of fluoride are impacting operations for Rancho California WD. In 2012-13, two wells showed fluoride levels exceeding the MCL with the wells in operation under approved blending plans.

### 9.7 <u>High Manganese Concentrations</u>

The MCL for manganese is 50 ug/l, and high concentrations of manganese have been detected in wells for both the Murrieta Division of Western MWD and Rancho California WD. In 2012-13, two Rancho California WD wells showed manganese levels exceeding the MCL with the wells in operation under approved sequestering plans. In 2012-13, eight groundwater supply wells for Camp Pendleton showed manganese levels exceeding the MCL with groundwater treated under approved treatment plans.

### 9.8 Quagga Mussel

In early January 2007, the invasive, non-native Quagga mussel was discovered in Lake Mead. Subsequently, upon thorough inspection, MWD discovered the mussel throughout the Colorado River Aqueduct system including in August 2007, finding the mussels in Lake Skinner. To date, no mussels have been found in Diamond Valley Lake.

The Quagga mussel is indigenous to the Ukraine and was discovered in the United States in September 1989 with the first sighting in the Great Lakes. The Quagga mussel is a small freshwater mollusk ranging in size from microscopic in the embryonic state to about two inches in length at the adult stage. The mussels can be transported during the larval stage with currents or running water, and at the adult stage by attaching to hard surfaces, such as boats.

The Quagga mussel is a filter feeder removing food and nutrients from the water column, decreasing the food source for zooplankton and therefore, altering the food web. The filtration of the water also alters water clarity impacting aquatic plants and water chemistry. The economic impact is also significant because these species can rapidly colonize on hard surfaces, clogging water intake structures, pipes, and screens and reducing pumping and distribution capacities. Costs are also associated with maintenance of facilities and control of the species.

Since the discovery of Quagga mussels in the Colorado River Aqueduct and Lake Skinner, MWD has implemented various control activities. In July 2007, the aqueduct was shut down for ten days for inspection, chlorination, and removal of adult populations. Also in July 2007, MWD initiated continuous chlorination in the Colorado River Aqueduct to control the spread of Quagga mussels. Additionally, as part of ongoing maintenance activities for the Colorado River Aqueduct, MWD subsequently shut down the aqueduct in October, January and March 2008, October 2009, and April and May 2010, for approximately three weeks each shutdown, resulting in desiccation of Quagga mussels present at those times. Releases from Lake Skinner are chlorinated at the outlet tower prior to distribution through the raw water delivery system.

Effective October 10, 2007, Assembly Bill 1683 added Section 2301(a)(1) to the California Fish and Game Code prohibiting the release of Quagga mussels into the waters of the State. Assembly Bill 1683 also requires development of a Quagga mussel control plan. On December 8, 2007, MWD temporarily suspended required releases of water to Tucalota Creek from Lake Skinner and Warm Springs Creek from the San Diego Canal near Diamond Valley Lake. These required releases would have been made in accordance with Memoranda of Agreement for releasing native inflows from the reservoirs. On March 6, 2008, MWD provided notice to the parties in *United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District*, et al., regarding the temporary suspension of required releases of native water inflows from Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake.

On June 23, 2008, MWD provided notice to the parties in *United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District*, et al., regarding the resumption of required releases of native water inflows from Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake, according to MWD's Action Plan submitted to California Department of Fish and Game on May 30, 2008. On April 5, 2010, the California Department of Fish and Game approved the Quagga Mussel Control Plan for Lake Skinner. MWD is still operating under the May 30, 2008 Action Plan and June 23, 2008 Notice describing provisions for releases to Warm Springs Creek from the State Water Project Eastside Pipeline to meet release requirements at Diamond Valley Lake.

Infestation by the Quagga mussel has also altered Rancho California WD operations in accordance with the CWRMA. Beginning on April 10, 2008, Rancho California WD periodically ceased making releases of raw water from Turnout WR-34 on the MWD Pipeline No. 5 to meet make-up flow requirements for the Santa Margarita River. Alternatively, Rancho California WD releases make-up flows from its treated water distribution system at the System River Meter located just upstream of the Murrieta Creek at Temecula gaging station, or from the potable connection to the WR-34 discharge location. The treated water is de-chlorinated prior to release into Murrieta Creek.

On July 17, 2009, Rancho California WD submitted its Quagga mussel response and control action plan to the California Department of Fish and Game. Key components of the plan include:

- Substrate monitoring utilizing coupon sampling equipment at Vail Lake and the Santa Margarita River at a sampling location approximately 100 feet downstream of the Outlet WR-34 for releases of make-up water in accordance with CWRMA.
- Raw MWD water is released into the Santa Margarita River only when chlorination is being performed at Lake Skinner.
- All watercraft vessels, trailers, and equipment are being inspected before launching in Vail Lake.

In addition, Rancho California WD has developed a Quagga mussel response and control plan for the Vail Lake Conveyance System to deliver imported supplies for storage in Vail Lake.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

### **SECTION 10 - WATER QUALITY**

### 10.1 Surface Water Quality

The USGS collected continuous water quality measurements for dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, and temperature at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station during 2012-13. Data collected at the station are published by the USGS. The highest average daily high and the lowest average daily low for each parameter for each month are shown on Table 10.1 for months in Water Year 2012-13.

Surface water quality data collected by the USGS in 2004-05 for Cahuilla Creek are shown on Appendix Table D-12. No surface water quality data for Cahuilla Creek were collected in 2012-13.

Surface water quality data collected in prior years by Camp Pendleton, Eastern MWD, and Rancho California WD are listed in earlier Watermaster reports.

### 10.2 Groundwater Quality

During 2012-13, water quality data was collected from wells at Western MWD – Murrieta Division, Rancho California WD, Pechanga Indian Reservation, and Camp Pendleton.

Western MWD – Murrieta Division sampled two wells in 2012-13 as shown in Appendix Table D-3. Both wells were subjected to standard chemical analysis in addition to samplings for nitrates only. The North Well was sampled 24 times and included 11 samples subjected to standard chemical analysis, 12 samples subjected to nitrates only, and one sample subjected to TDS only. The New Clay Well was sampled 12 times and included seven samples subjected to standard chemical analysis and five samples analyzed for nitrates only. Concentrations of nitrates were below the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 45 mg/l as nitrate for samples in the two wells was generally reported to be below or near the laboratory detection limit with the highest reported value as 1.1 mg/l.

Water quality data for Rancho California WD wells are shown on Appendix Table D-4. Samples were collected from 41 wells during 2012-13. Of the 41 wells, 28 wells were analyzed for nitrates and TDS only. Nitrate concentrations ranged up to 22 mg/l as nitrate, with the MCL being 45 mg/l as nitrate. Twelve of the remaining wells were subjected to standard chemical analysis, 35 wells were sampled for TDS only, and 11 wells were sampled for nitrates only. Samples from two wells (Well 109 and Well 120) showed TDS concentrations exceeding 750 mg/l, the Basin Plan objective. Well 158, which showed TDS concentrations exceeding 750 mg/l in prior years, showed reduced TDS concentrations for 2012-13, ranging from 470 to 740 mg/l. During 2012-13, 18 wells showed TDS concentrations ranging from 500 to 750 mg/l.

TABLE 10.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED


### RANGES IN AVERAGE DAILY CONCENTRATION OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN, PH, SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE AND TEMPERATURE AT SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA


Water Year 2012-13

COLLECTION MONTH/YEAR	DISSOLVED OX mg/l	(YGEN	рН	s	PECIFIC CONDU microsiemens		TEMPERAT Degrees Ce	
0040	<u>High</u>	Low	<u>High</u>	Low	<u>High</u>	Low	<u>High</u>	Low
2012 October	0.0	F 0	0.0	7.4	4.000	450	00.0	47.0
	8.8	5.8	8.0	7.4	1,030	452	23.2	17.2
November	J. I	6.2 *	7.8 *	7.4 *	704 *	471 *	18.5 *	16.5 *
December	10.8 *	7.8 *	8.0 *	7.3 *	1,350 *	479 *	14.4 *	7.2 *
2013								
January	11.6	7.7	8.2	7.3	1.170	568	15.0	8.1
February	11.0	8.5	8.3	7.5	1,380	244	13.8	8.4
March	10.9 *	6.8 *	8.0	7.2	1,110	144	17.9	10.1
April	9.3 *	8.1 *	8.2	7.9	861	794	20.0	17.2
May	9.5	7.2	8.3	7.9	956	803	23.6	18.9
June	8.9	6.6	8.3	7.8	994	855	25.5	22.0
July	8.1 *	6.3 *	8.3 *	7.8 *	1.040 *	811 *	27.0 *	23.8 *
August	8.2 *	5.3 *	8.2 *	7.8 *	959 *	851 *	26.9 *	24.7 *
September	8.4	6.3	8.3	7.8	883	813	27.5	22.1

<sup>\* -</sup> Partial Record: Indicates months with interruptions in record at times due to malfunction of recording equipment. High and low values indicated for days with reported data. Daily data and number of days with no record can be viewed at the following website: <a href="http://web10capp.er.usgs.gov/adr06\_lookup/search.jsp">http://web10capp.er.usgs.gov/adr06\_lookup/search.jsp</a> searching by site number 11044000.

Total dissolved solids concentrations for Rancho California WD Well 210 are shown on Figure 10.1 for samples collected since 1957, when the well was constructed. The figure shows a decline in TDS from approximately 900 mg/l for the samples collected during the 1960's to the 400-600 mg/l range in recent years. Trend analyses for other wells throughout the Murrieta-Temecula area show a mix of increasing and decreasing trends in TDS levels depending upon location and aquifer.






Appendix Table D-5 shows water quality data collected by the USGS from wells on Indian Reservations. In 2012-13, samples were collected from five wells on the Pechanga Indian Reservation. For the Pechanga wells, TDS concentrations ranged from 264 to 302 mg/l.

In 2012-13, no samples were collected from wells on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation.

During 2012-13, groundwater samples were collected from 11 wells at Camp Pendleton as shown on Appendix Table D-6. All 11 wells were subjected to standard chemical analysis. During 2012-13, samples show nine wells with TDS concentrations exceeding the Basin Plan Objective of 750 mg/l. Three of the 11 wells showed TDS concentrations that exceeded those in the prior year, and seven wells showed a decline of TDS concentrations compared to the previous year. One well showed the same concentration as the previous year.

Historical TDS concentrations for Camp Pendleton Well 7A2 are shown on Figure 10.2 for samples collected since mid-1950. The figure shows a decline between mid-1950 and 1970, then a period of increasing concentrations to levels in the 550-950 mg/l range. Analysis of the sample collected in 2012-13 indicated TDS concentrations of 780 mg/l, a decrease of 10 mg/l compared to the sample collected in 2011-12.


TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS CONCENTRATION
10S/4W-7A2 - CAMP PENDLETON



Historical nitrate concentrations for the same well (7A2) are shown on Figure 10.3. The one sample collected in 2012-13 showed a nitrate concentration of 2.1 mg/l, a decrease from the prior year.

Figure 10.3

### NITRATE CONCENTRATION 10S/4W-7A2 - CAMP PENDLETON



Year

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

### SECTION 11 - COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

### 11.1 General

On August 20, 2002, the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA) between Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD was approved by the Court. The CWRMA provisions specify required accounting will be reported on a calendar year basis and, accordingly, Section 11 and Appendix E present data reported on a calendar year basis. However, the remainder of the Annual Watermaster Report is prepared on a water year basis requiring the CWRMA calendar year reporting to be converted to a water year basis to be incorporated into other sections of the report. The water year period begins on October 1 and concludes on September 30 of the following year.

It is noted that prior Annual Watermaster Reports served as the annual report required under CWRMA. Beginning in calendar year 2011, a separate annual report has been prepared by the Watermaster and submitted to the Court to meet the requirements of CWRMA. Section 11 continues to be included in the Annual Watermaster Report focusing on the accounting and operations related to make-up water releases and flow requirements for the Santa Margarita River at the Gorge. Section 11 also includes an overview of other topics included in the stand-alone Annual CWRMA Report.

The CWRMA provides that on May 1 of each year, the Technical Advisory Committee is to compute a hydrologic index for the year based on streamflow and precipitation between October and April. In May 2013, the hydrologic index was determined and the year classified as a "Critically Dry" hydrologic year. The hydrologic year establishes the required flows at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station for the calendar year. Required flows for 2013, a "Critically Dry" year, are listed in Section 5 of the CWRMA and are shown on Table 11.1.

As indicated above, CWRMA calendar year accounting must be converted to a water year basis for other sections of the annual report. The data for October through December 2012 for the various accounts are needed to convert the amounts shown on Table 11.1 to water year values. These data for October through December 2012 were reported in the prior year Annual Watermaster Report. To assist the reader in calculating water year amounts for various CWRMA operations, Table 11.2 in the current report is a repeat of Table 11.1 from the prior year's report. Additional information concerning the operations underlying the values reported on Table 11.2 can be found in the prior year's report.

Prior to implementation of the CWRMA, each year there were contentions raised by Camp Pendleton with respect to various aspects of the Annual Watermaster Report. These contentions are settled so long as that agreement is in effect. Accordingly, there is no need to raise those particular issues or publish them in the main text of the annual report or in related correspondence. Rather, the issues are provided in Appendix F.

**TABLE 11.1** 

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

## COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT MONTHLY SUMMARY OF REQUIRED FLOWS, **DISCHARGES, CREDITS AND ACCOUNTS**

# 2013 CALENDAR YEAR - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

			Minimum Flow		No. of Days 10-day			Camp Pendlet	Camp Pendleton Groundwater Bank 6/
	USGS Official Discharge	USGS Website Daily Discharge	Maintenance Requirement	Section 5 Flows	Running Average is Less than Required	Discharge from WR-34	Climatic Credits Earned	Input	Cumulative Balance
Month	AF	AF	cfs 1/, 2/	cfs 3/	Flow	AF 4/	AF 5/	AF	AF
Jan	395.3	395.3	6.5/2.3	4.5	0	291.9	116.0	93.0	5,000.0
Feb	427.0	427.8	5.3	4.5	0	215.0	63.4	84.0	2,000.0
Mar	857.3	857.3	5.6	4.5	0	266.6	9.96	93.0	5,000.0
Apr	333.6	333.6	5.6	4.5	0	310.1	130.1	0.06	2,000.0
May	246.3	234.2	3.8	3.8	0	220.7	0.0	0.0	2,000.0
Jun	197.0	197.4	3.3	3.3	0	186.3	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Jul	174.1	186.0	3.0	3.0	0	167.7	0.0	0.0	2,000.0
Aug	182.5	185.1	3.0	3.0	0	184.9	0.0	0.0	2,000.0
Sep	179.3	179.3	3.0	3.0	0	185.5	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Oct	185.5	185.9	3.0	3.0	0	161.3	0.0	0.0	2'000'0
Nov	179.3	179.3	3.0	3.0	0	170.5	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Dec	201.7	203.3	3.3	3.3	0	201.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
CALENDAR									
YEAR TOTAL	3,558.9	3,564.5			0	2,561.7	406.1	360.0	FULL

Required flows for January through April are equal to 11.5 cfs less 5.9 cfs of credits (1,248 AF of Climatic Credit earned in 2012 and 148 AF CAP Credit carried over 7

In December 2012, the preliminary winter-time flow requirement for 2013 was calculated as 6.5 cfs; in January 2013, the final winter-time requirement was computed as 5.6 cfs. To make up for excess releases made by the District between January 1-17, 2013, the winter-time flow requirement was reduced from 5.6 cfs to 5.3 cfs for January 18 - February 28. 2

The Table in Section 5 of CWRMA sets forth guaranteed monthly flows at the Gorge once the Hydrologic Condition for the calendar year is established.

CAP Credits equal the WR-34 discharge in excess of 4,000 AF. No CAP Credits earned in 2013.

Climatic Credits equal the WR-34 discharges less Actual Flow Requirements, which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA less applicable credits but not 9,43

Camp Pendleton's rights to groundwater equal the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement, which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to the groundwater bank shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

### **TABLE 11.2**

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

### COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT MONTHLY SUMMARY OF REQUIRED FLOWS, DISCHARGES, CREDITS AND ACCOUNTS

# 2012 CALENDAR YEAR - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

			Minimum Flow		No. of Days 10-day			Camp Pendleto	Camp Pendleton Groundwater Bank 8/
	USGS Official Discharge	USGS Website Daily Discharge	Maintenance Requirement	Section 5 Flows	Running Average is Less than Required	Discharge from WR-34	Climatic Credits Earned	Input	Cumulative Balance
Month	AF Š	AF	cfs 1/, 2/	cfs 3/	Flow	AF 4/, 5/, 6/	AF 7/	AF	AF
Jan	686.3	686.3	10.9	4.5	0	608.6	372.3	37.9	5,000.0
Feb	900.1	900.1	10.9	4.5	0	477.7	285.1	35.4	5,000.0
Mar	1,672.1	1,672.1	10.9	4.5	0	448.2	255.5	37.9	2,000.0
Apr	728.9	731.3	10.9/5.0/3.8	4.5	0	313.5	334.9	36.6	5,000.0
May	314.0	314.8	3.8/7.8	3.8	0	285.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Jun	331.6	331.6	7.3/3.3	3.3	0	314.4	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Jul	190.2	190.2	3.0	3.0	0	178.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Ana	185.5	185.5	3.0	3.0	0	179.1	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Sep	178.5	178.5	3.0	3.0	0	180.6	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Oct .	189.6	189.6	3.0	3.0	0	178.1	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Nov	179.1	179.1	3.0	3.0	0	163.6	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Dec	860.0	860.0	3.3	3.3	0	107.3	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
CALENDAR									
YEAR	6,415.9	6,419.1			0	3,434.3	1,247.8	147.8	FULL

1/ Required flows for January through April are equal to 11.5 cfs, less 0.6 cfs CAP Credit from 2011.

until May and June. For April 12-23, 2012, the Flow Requirement was reduced from 10.9 cfs to 5.0 cfs; for April 24-30, 2012, the requirement was further In April, Camp Pendleton requested that the District reduce remaining winter-time releases and postpone that portion of the winter-time releases reduced to 3.8 cfs. For April, the District has been credited with Climatic Credit as if they had released according to the original schedule. 7

Flow Requirements in May and June were increased as follows: 7.8 cfs for May 21-31, 2012, and 7.3 cfs for June 1-18, 2012.

The Table in Section 5 of CWRMA sets forth guaranteed monthly flows at the Gorge once the Hydrologic Condition for the calendar year is established. CAP Credit equals WR-34 discharge in excess of 4,000 AF. No CAP Credits earned in 2012. 54 3

From March 16-23, 2012, and from October 19-27, 2012, a portion or all of the daily releases were provided from the District's potable connection at the

From August 6-19, 2012, and from November 19-December 12, 2012, the District conducted flow tests in which the instantaneous release rate at WR-34 WR-34 pipeline. March potable releases were 65.8 AF, and October potable releases were 45.0 AF, for a total 2012 potable release of 110.8 AF. varied from 0 to 13 cfs throughout the day. However, the average daily release rate remained at 3.0 cfs. 9

Climatic Credit equals the WR-34 discharge less Actual Flow Requirement, which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of CRWMA less applicable credits but not less than 3.0 cfs. 1

cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to the groundwater bank shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF. Camp Pendleton's rights to groundwater equals the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement, which 8

### 11.2 Required Flows

Under the CWRMA, Rancho California WD guarantees that the ten-day running average of the measured flows at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station shall meet the Required Flows for each month during the year. In order to meet the Required Flows, Rancho California WD discharges Make-Up Water from two primary sources, both discharging into the river at the same location immediately upstream from the USGS gaging station for Santa Margarita River near Temecula. The first primary source of Make-Up Water is raw water from MWD Agueduct No. 5 discharged at Outlet WR-34. The second primary source of Make-Up Water is from the Rancho California WD treated water distribution system through a potable connection to the WR-34 outlet pipe. In prior years, Make-Up Water was also discharged from the treated water distribution system to Murrieta Creek from two system discharge meters collectively referred to as the System River Meter. The two discharge meters are located on opposite sides of Murrieta Creek, immediately downstream of the USGS gaging station for Murrieta Creek at Temecula, which is located approximately 2,000 feet upstream of the confluence of Temecula Creek and Murrieta Creek. The System River Meter is operable as a secondary source of Make-Up Water if needed.

Flow requirements are based on two-thirds of the median natural flow of the Santa Margarita River at the Gorge for a given hydrologic year type. During the winter period (January through April), Rancho California WD shall maintain a ten-day running average equal to 11.5 cfs, less carry-over credits, less requested Foregone Make-Up Water, but not less than 3.0 cfs. Rancho California WD may earn Climatic Credits in Below Normal and Critically Dry years if it has provided Make-Up Water in excess of the Actual Requirement. The Climatic Credit is equal to the Make-Up Water released, less the Actual Requirement, less credits. The Actual Requirement is determined on May 1 of each year and applied retroactively to the flows during the winter period. During the non-winter period (May through December), Rancho California WD shall maintain a ten-day running average equal to the flow requirements specified in the Agreement as determined on May 1<sup>st</sup>, less requested Foregone Make-Up Water. When Rancho California WD is required to provide Make-Up Water in any calendar year in excess of 4,000 acre feet, it may apply CAP Credits for such excess during the following two winter periods. At no time is Rancho California WD required to make up more than 11.5 cfs.

The measured daily flows, the ten-day running average, and the differences between the running average and the Required Flows are shown in Appendix E. Two listings of daily discharges are shown in the tables in Appendix E: the USGS official discharge and the USGS website discharge. The discharges shown on the website are those that dictate daily decisions regarding the quantities of Make-Up Water required and those discharges are used to compute the ten-day running average. The official discharge is a more refined estimate developed later by the USGS for publication.

The number of days each month when the ten-day running average was less than the Required Flow is summarized on Table 11.1. For calendar year 2013, there were no days when the running average was less than the Required Flow under normal CWRMA operations.

During calendar year 2013, the total releases by Rancho California WD to meet CWRMA flow requirements were 2,562 acre feet as shown on Table 11.1. The releases were comprised entirely of raw water from WR-34. For calendar year 2013, there were no releases from the potable connection at WR-34.

Climatic Credits of 1,248 acre feet were used in calendar year 2013, and Climatic Credits of 406 acre feet were earned in calendar year 2013 in accordance with CWRMA provisions. CAP Credits of 148 acre feet were used in calendar year 2013 and no CAP Credits were accumulated in calendar year 2013 for use in subsequent years to meet required releases by Rancho California WD.

The CWRMA also provides that Camp Pendleton may acquire rights to groundwater above the Gorge by foregoing its right to Make-Up Water from the District, or to the extent that the District's Actual Flow Maintenance Requirements are less than the flows in the table in Section 5 of CWRMA. The maximum cumulative balance for the Camp Pendleton groundwater account is 5,000 acre feet. During calendar year 2013, 360 acre feet were calculated as input to the groundwater account but the balance was already at the maximum balance of 5,000 acre feet and no additional water was credited to the account.

### 11.3 Water Quality

The U. S. Geological Survey continuously monitors four parameters of water quality at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station, including dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, and temperature. The daily averages for each of these parameters are reported annually. Monthly highs and lows for each parameter are listed in Table 10.1 for the water year ending September 30, 2013.

### 11.4 Monitoring Programs

The CWRMA provides for the establishment of two monitoring programs: (1) Section 5(g) provides for a program to assess the impacts of operations on water supply, water quality and riparian habitat within Camp Pendleton, and; (2) Section 7(d) provides for a program to assess safe yield operations of Rancho California WD through the use of a multi-level groundwater monitoring network and periodic updates of the CWRMA Groundwater Model.

During 2007-08, Camp Pendleton initiated the Section 5(g) program named as the Lower Santa Margarita River Watershed Monitoring Program (LSMRWM Program) to evaluate whether the increased flows under CWRMA influence threatened and endangered species, riparian and wetland habitats, or water quality downstream. The LSMRWM Program will also support other water quality monitoring and watershed

management activities in the Santa Margarita River Watershed. A copy of the Statement of Work for the LSMRWM Program was provided in the 2007 and 2008 Annual Watermaster Reports. The monitoring was funded for a two-year period and the final report, *Hydrological and Biological Support to Lower Santa Margarita River Watershed Monitoring Program Water Years 2008-2009* was published on February 21, 2010, under a cooperative program between Camp Pendleton and the United States Bureau of Reclamation.

In September 2006, the USGS under contract with Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD constructed a multi-level monitoring well for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin in accordance with Section 7(d) of CWRMA. The Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well is located near the confluence of Pechanga and Temecula creeks and was completed to a total depth of 1,499 feet. Six piezometers were installed for continuous water level recording in the saturated zone for the lower five screened intervals and for the upper-most screened interval to detect moisture in the unsaturated zone. The USGS monitoring program for the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well is included in the ongoing Watermaster budget beginning in Water Year 2007-08.

In 2009, the groundwater monitoring program was expanded to include the Wolf Valley Monitoring Well that was previously constructed under a cooperative agreement between the USGS and the Pechanga Band. Two piezometers are installed at the Wolf Valley Well. Approved Watermaster funding is used for water quality sampling at the multi-level groundwater monitoring well. The groundwater level monitoring for the Wolf Valley Monitoring Well was previously funded by the Pechanga Band, but is now included in the ongoing Watermaster budget beginning in Water Year 2009-10.

In 2013, two additional groundwater monitoring wells were constructed by the USGS under contract with Rancho California WD. The groundwater level monitoring for these additional wells is also included in the ongoing Watermaster budget. The Temecula Creek Groundwater Monitoring Well was drilled in April 2013 to a depth of 1,720 feet, and was completed with five piezometers. The VDC Recharge Basin Groundwater Monitoring Well was drilled in August 2013 to a depth of 1,033 feet, and was completed with six piezometers.

Information concerning the construction of the monitoring wells, groundwater levels, and water quality data can be found at the following website: http://ca.water.usgs.gov/temecula/. Information obtained from the website as well as supplemental information for the groundwater monitoring wells is provided in the Annual CWRMA Report.

In 2010, 2011, and 2012, the water quality monitoring program also included collecting data for the two sources of supply for recharge at the head of Pauba Valley: (1) imported supplies for recharge at Rancho California WD VDC Recharge Facilities, and; (2) native supplies from Temecula Creek as sampled at Vail Lake. Funding from the Watermaster budget was used to collect and analyze the data which are provided in the Annual CWRMA Report.

In 2012, the water quality monitoring program also included collecting data from selected groundwater production wells operated by Rancho California WD within Pauba Valley. These wells were selected to compliment the water quality data for the monitoring wells and the two sources of supply for recharge at the head of Pauba Valley. Previously, groundwater production wells operated by Rancho California WD were included in the 2004 and 2007 sampling programs for the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA) program implemented by the California State Water Resources Control Board. Data reported for 2013 were collected with funding from the Watermaster budget. In 2013, funding from the Watermaster budget was used to analyze archived, age-dating samples that were collected during 2012. The samples from two groundwater production wells, Well Nos. 109 and 234, were analyzed for tritium and carbon isotopes.

In 2007, Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD initiated an effort to update the CWRMA Groundwater Model in accordance with Section 7(d). Work on updating the groundwater model continued during 2013. The update will incorporate data collected from the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well, and other wells in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin, as well as take advantage of recent software and computing advancements.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

# SECTION 12 - FIVE YEAR PROJECTION OF WATERMASTER OFFICE TASKS, EXPENDITURES AND REQUIREMENTS

#### 12.1 General

Projected tasks over the next five years are listed below in two categories: normal tasks, which are part of the usual Watermaster office operation; and additional tasks, which are foreseen but are not part of the normal office operations.

#### 12.2 Normal Tasks

Tasks that are normally part of the Watermaster Office operation are as follows:

- 1. Update List of Substantial Users
- 2. Collect Water Production, Use, Import and Availability Data
- 3. Collect Well Location, Construction and Water Level Data
- 4. Administer Water Rights
- 5. Collect Water Quality Data
- 6. Monitor Water Quality and Water Right Activities
- 7. Administer Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake MOU's
- 8. Administer Steering Committee Matters
- 9. Prepare Court Reports/Budgets
- 10. Monitor Streamflow and Water Quality Measuring
- 11. Data Management
- 12. Administer Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement

#### 12.3 Additional Tasks

Tasks that have been identified but which are not part of normal operations are as follows:

- 1. Prepare List of All Water Users under Court Jurisdiction
- 2. Prepare Inventory of Ponds and Reservoirs
- 3. Determine Salt Balance

#### 12.4 Projected Expenditures

Projected expenditures for the current year and over the next five years are listed as follows:

Year		Watermaster Office	USGS Groundwater Monitoring	USGS Gaging Stations	Total
Current Year	2013-14	\$419,715	\$65,300	\$173,825	\$658,840
Projected Years	2014-15	\$446,750	\$43,700	\$189,250	\$679,700
	2015-16	\$460,200	\$65,000	\$194,900	\$720,100
	2016-17	\$474,000	\$67,000	\$200,700	\$741,700
	2017-18	\$488,200	\$69,000	\$206,700	\$763,900
	2018-19	\$502,800	\$106,100	\$212,900	\$821,800

#### SECTION 13 - WATERMASTER OFFICE BUDGET 2014-2015

The budget for the Watermaster Office is established on an annual basis and is approved by the Court upon acceptance of the Annual Watermaster Report. The budget is presently funded from equal assessments paid by the Steering Committee; however, the Court retains the right to assess other parties in the future. An audit is conducted annually by an independent auditor and the independent auditor's report is submitted for review by the parties and the Court as part of the Annual Watermaster Report.

#### 13.1 Comparison of Budget and Actual Costs for 2012-13

The Watermaster Budget for 2012-13 of \$649,600 was approved by the Court upon acceptance of the September 2012 Annual Watermaster Report for Water Year 2010-11. The Independent Auditor's Report and Report to the Steering Committee for Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed for Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2013, dated December 17, 2013, are included in Appendix G. A comparison of the budget and actual costs for 2012-13 is shown on Table 13.1. The actual costs for 2012-13 were \$612,525 compared to the budget of \$649,600, resulting in a favorable variance of \$37,075. An explanation of individual line item variances is provided in Appendix G.

#### 13.2 Proposed Budget for 2014-15

The proposed Watermaster Budget for 2014-15 is published in the Annual Watermaster Report for 2012-13 and is determined to be final and accepted by the Court upon noticing and completion of the 30-day period for parties to file an objection to the report. Accordingly, the budget for 2014-15 is referred to in this report as the proposed budget. The proposed Watermaster Budget for 2014-15, along with a comparison to the approved budget for 2013-14 is shown on Table 13.2. The total budget for 2014-15 is \$679,700. This budget includes \$446,750 for the Watermaster Office and \$232,950 for USGS gaging station operations and monitoring. The budgeted cost for services provided by the U.S. Geological Survey is based on the annual renewal of a cooperative agreement with the Watermaster.

**TABLE 13.1** SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED **COMPARISON OF WATERMASTER BUDGET AND ACTUAL COSTS WATER YEAR 2012-13** 

		<b>Water Year</b>	<u>2012-13</u>	
Line Item	Approved Budget 2012-13 1/	Actual Costs 2012-13 2/	Actual Cost Approved   2012-	Budget
Watermaster Office	\$	\$	\$	%
Rent	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$0	0.0%
Accounting Services	7,000	7,389	389	5.6%
Supplies	1,600	1,046	-554	-34.6%
General Liability & Professional Insurance	500	575	75	15.0%
Printing	9,800	7,468	-2,332	-23.8%
Audit	6,300	6,339	39	0.6%
Publications	4,200	2,975	-1,225	-29.2%
Clerical/Data Management	104,400	97,680	-6,720	-6.4%
Telephone/Internet	4,700	2,641	-2,059	-43.8%
Miscellaneous Operating/Maintenance	5,575	6,088	513	9.2%
Mileage/Travel	800	1,111	311	38.9%
Office Equipment and Software	2,000	802	-1,198	-59.9%
IT System/Website	10,000	2,134	-7,866	-78.7%
Watermaster Services				
Consulting Services	215,000	204,711	-10,289	-4.8%
Travel Reimbursement	27,500	21,341	-6,159	-22.4%
SUBTOTAL WATERMASTER OFFICE	\$417,375	\$380,300	-\$37,075	-8.9%
USGS				
Gaging Station	\$177,325	\$177,325	0	0.0%
Surface Water Quality	23,600	23,600	0	0.0%
Groundwater Monitoring - Water Levels	20,300	20,300	0	0.0%
Groundwater Monitoring- Water Quality	11,000	11,000	0	0.0%
SUBTOTAL USGS	\$232,225	\$232,225	\$0	0.0%
TOTAL	\$649,600	\$612,525	-\$37,075	-5.7%

Budget for 2012-13 approved by the Court as reported in the Annual Watermaster Report for Water Year 2010-11, published September 2012.

Actual Costs from Financial Statements for period ending September 30, 2013. 1/

<sup>2/</sup> 

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
PROPOSED WATERMASTER BUDGET FOR WATER YEAR 2014-15

		Water Year 2	2014-15	
Line Item	Proposed Budget 2014-15 1/	Approved Budget 2013-14 2/	Increase Approved 2013	Budget
Watermaster Office	\$	\$	\$	%
Accounting Services	\$8,600	\$8,800	-\$200	-2.3%
Audit	6,600	6,300	300	4.8%
Clerical/Analyst	109,300	99,700	9,600	9.6%
Conference/Training	1,200	N/A	1,200	N/A
Equipment and Furniture	1,000	2,000	-1,000	-50.0%
Human Resources Services	1,000	N/A	1,000	N/A
Insurance	600	600	0	0.0%
IT System/Computer	10,000	10,000	0	0.0%
Legal Services	20,000	N/A	20,000	N/A
Miscellaneous	2,250	5,915	-3,665	-62.0%
Postage	1,900	N/A	1,900	N/A
Printing	9,000	10,800	-1,800	-16.7%
Publications	3,200	4,200	-1,000	-23.8%
Rent	18,000	18,000	0	0.0%
Supplies	1,800	1,800	0	0.0%
Telephone	3,000	4,200	-1,200	-28.6%
Travel	900	900	0	0.0%
Watermaster Services				
Consulting Services	222,000	219,000	3,000	1.4%
Travel Reimbursement	26,400	27,500	-1,100	-4.0%
SUBTOTAL WATERMASTER OFFICE	\$446,750	\$419,715	\$27,035	6.4%
USGS				
Gaging Station 3/	\$165,450	\$150,225	\$15,225	10.1%
Surface Water Quality	23,800	23,600	200	0.9%
Groundwater Monitoring - Water Levels	43,700	43,300	400	0.9%
Groundwater Monitoring- Water Quality	•	•		
•	0	22,000	-22,000	-100.0%
SUBTOTAL USGS	\$232,950	\$239,125	-\$6,175	-2.6%

N/A Budget line item added for 2014-15, not itemized for 2013-14.

**TOTAL** 

\$679,700

\$658,840

\$20,860

3.2%

<sup>1/</sup> Proposed budget for 2014-15; final budget to be approved by the Court upon acceptance of the Annual Watermaster Report for Water Year 2012-13.

<sup>2/</sup> Budget for 2013-14 approved by the Court as reported in the Annual Watermaster Report for Water Year 2011-12, published in July 2013.

<sup>3/</sup> Approved amount of \$150,225 reflects credit of \$13,550 from 2012-13 budget amount for USGS funding for Temecula Creek near Aguanga gage. Actual increase for 2014-15 without credit is \$1,675 or 0.9 percent.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

# APPENDIX A WATER PRODUCTION AND USE WATER YEAR 2012-13

#### TABLE A-1

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### **EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT**

2012-13 Quantities in Acre Feet

**PRODUCTION** USE **RECLAIMED WASTEWATER EXPORT** REUSE IN REUSE OTHER IMPORT FROM NET LOSS TOTAL MONTH WELLS TOTAL COMM TOTAL AG DOM OUTSIDE REUSE TOTAL **SMRW SMRW** IMPORT USE 3/ **SMRW A**I 5/ 2/ 2012 11 OCT 0 1,374 1,374 1,374 0 15 306 984 1,305 69 1,374 269 773 193 1,235 Ш 11 NOV 0 1,402 0 1,402 1,402 2 278 1,052 1,332 70 1,402 256 200 767 1,223 11 11 DEC 0 789 0 789 789 11 20 146 584 750 39 789 11 145 447 662 1,254 11 2013 0 JAN 809 0 809 809 13 116 640 769 40 809 154 124 983 1,261 -11 -11 **FEB** 0 726 0 726 726 11 5 113 572 690 36 726 150 187 810 1,147 -11 MAR 0 1,124 250 874 874 158 667 830 44 874 213 560 469 11 1,242 -11 APR 0 1,089 0 1,089 1,089 11 12 224 799 1,035 54 1,089 283 900 69 1,252 11 0 28 1,477 MAY 1,505 1,477 Ш 10 320 1,073 1,403 74 1,477 11 270 626 330 1,226 JUNE 0 1,871 179 1,206 667 265 1,692 1,692 10 391 1,607 85 279 1,211 1.692 Ш -11 JULY 0 1,787 0 1,787 1,787 11 434 1,253 1,698 89 1,787 318 1,275 (367)11 1,226 -11 AUG 0 1,878 0 1,878 1,878 11 452 1,321 1,784 94 1,878 271 879 11 11 71 1,221 SEPT 0 1,854 0 1,854 1,854 3 450 1,308 1,761 93 1,854 329 1,111 (226)1,214 11 11

11,459

14,964

787

15,751 ||

2,937

8,316

3,459

14,712

15,751

3,388

**TOTAL** 

16,208

457

0

15,751 || 117

<sup>1/</sup> Does not include deliveries to Rancho California WD, Elsinore Valley MWD or Western MWD.

<sup>2/</sup> Portion of imported supplies exported for delivery to Eastern MWD's retail customers located outside the Watershed.

<sup>3/</sup> Loss = 5%

<sup>4/</sup> No sewage diverted to RCWD for 2013 Water Year for treatment at Santa Rosa Water Reclamation Facility. Reuse within Watershed includes 1,097 AF sold to RCWD and 393 sold to Pechanga Band.

<sup>5/</sup> Other Reuse includes changes of storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, evaporation and percolation losses, and discharges to Temescal Creek in the Santa Ana Watershed of 683 AF.

TABLE A-2

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### **ELSINORE VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT**

	PF	RODUCTIO	N					USE				
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	СОММ	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS 1/	TOTAL USE		WASTEWATER EXPORTED
2012				11							1.1	
OCT	0	843	843	11	1	218	591	810	33	843	11	102
NOV	0	496		ii	1	113	363			496		100
DEC	0	213		ii	1	40	164			213		104
				İİ							ii	
2013				11							$\Pi$	
JAN	0	541	541	$\Box$	1	78	441	520	21	541	11	104
FEB	0	310	310		1	42	255	298	12	310	11	96
MAR	0	386		11	1	63	307	371	15	386	11	107
APR	0	493	493	11	2	91	381	474	19	493	11	103
MAY	0	801	801	-11	1	188	581	770	31	801	-11	106
JUNE	0	717	717		1	175	513	689	28	717	11	106
JULY	0	1,032			2	282	708	992	40	1,032	11	104
AUG	0	793	793	$\Pi$	2		557	762	31	793	-11	108
SEPT	0	533	533	11	2	144	366	512	21	533	11	105
TOTAL	0	7,158	7,158	ii	16	1,637	5,227	6,880	278	7,158	ij	1,245

<sup>1/</sup> Loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed is determined using the calculation to determine District-wide unaccounted for water by comparing District-wide annual supply and customer deliveries, and is assumed to be constant for all months.

TABLE A-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

2012-13 Quantities in Acre Feet

	EXPORT FROM SMRW	9	0	82	82		9/	69	82	9/	71	99	29	79	69	006	
/ATER	FROM U.S. NWS 5/	•	-	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	_	က	
WASTEWATER	REUSE IN SMRW	c	7	-	0		-	_	-	2	2	2	Э	2	က	20	
	FROM	0	5	83	82		77	20	83	78	73	89	70	82	73	923	
·		==	=	=	=	==	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	==	
	TOTAL USE IN SMRW	200	822	730	463		232	297	312	517	594	705	822	835	915	7,357	
	LOSS 4/	9	0	37	24		12	15	16	56	30	36	42	43	47	376	
SMRW USE	TOTAL DELIVERED IN SMRW	000	/00	693	439		220	282	296	491	564	699	780	792	898	6,981	
SMF	ром	2	017	201	153		110	121	115	157	180	203	213	233	236	2,140	
	COMM	ć	87	27	20		15	16	20	19	26	31	30	33	34	300	
	AG	2	040	465	266		95	145	161	315	358	435	537	526	298	4,541	
		==	=	=	=	==	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	==	
PRODUCTION	TOTAL SMRW PRODUCTION	400	833	730	463		232	297	312	517	594	705	822	835	915	7,357	
SMRW PROD	SMRW	C	832	730	463		232	297	312	517	594	705	822	835	915	7,357	
SN	SMRW LAKE SKINNER	d	>	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
-	<b>L</b> .	=:	=	=	=	==	=	=	=	=	:=	=	:=	=	=	==	
z	TOTAL DISTRICT SUPPLY 3/		1,294	995	426		553	569	782	1,066	1,143	1,307	1,513	1,491	1,454	12,593	
RODUCTIO	TOTAL DISTRICT IMPORT	,	1,294	995	426		553	569	782	1.066	1,143	1,307	1,513	1,491	1,454	12,593	
DISTRICT WIDE PRODUCTION	LAKE SKINNER DIVERSIONS DELIVERED	,	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
D	TOTAL LAKE SKINNER DIVERSIONS	•	0	0	0		C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	MONTH	2012	200	NOV	DEC	2013	NAI.	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	HUN	\Inr	AUG	SEPT	TOTAL	

Diverted under Permit No. 11356.
 Includes 127 acre feet from Capra Well located in San Luis Rey Watershed and remaining supply from San Diego County Water Authority.
 A portion of the District is outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed.
 A portion of the District is outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed is determined using the calculation to determine District-wide unaccounted for water by comparing District-wide annual supply and customer deliveries, and is assumed to be constant for all months.
 United States Naval Weapons Station.

TABLE A-4

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

# METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT DELIVERIES IN DOMENIGONI VALLEY

2012-13 Quantities in Acre Feet

**PRODUCTION** 

USF

		PRODUCTIO	N			U	SE		
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT TO SMRW	TOTAL IN SMRW	AG	COMM/ DOM 1/	GW RECHARGE	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE
2012				П					
OCT	0	58	58	jj 58	0	0	58	0	58
NOV	0	45	45	jj 45	0	0	45	0	45
DEC	0	24	24	[] 24	0	0	24	0	24
2013									
JAN	0	17	17	17	0	0	17	0	17
FEB	0	25	25	25	0	0	25	0	25
MAR	0	66	66	66	0	0	66	0	66
APR	0	60	60	60	0	0	60	0	60
MAY	0	127	127	127	0	0	127	0	127
JUNE	0	117	117	117	0	0	117	0	117
JULY	0	97	97	97	0	0	97	0	97
AUG	0	125	125	125	0	0	125	0	125
SEPT	0	131	131	131	0	0	131	0	131
TOTAL	0	892	892	    892	0	0	892	0	892

<sup>1/</sup> Construction water

<sup>2/</sup> Points of delivery located at metered pumps on San Diego Canal and thus the losses in the MWD system are zero.

TABLE A-5

# MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

# **PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION**

2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

_																	
	TOTAL		115	75	41		i	28	48	79	119	128	147	146	170	142	1,268
	LOSS 4/		0	(8)	Ξ			9	က	(2)	_	7	4	(3)	7	2	23
USE	TOTAL DELIVERED		115	83	42		1	52	45	81	118	121	143	149	159	137	1,245
	ром		21	52	10			12	12	19	17	17	22	21	20	23	219
	сомм		41	31	25			30	56	30	32	33	33	49	43	39	415
	AG		23	27	7			10	7	32	99	71	88	79	96	75	611
		=	=	=	=	==	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	==
	TOTAL		115	75	41			28	48	79	119	128	147	146	170	142	1,268
NOI	RECLAIMED WASTEWATER FROM EMWD 3/		38	16	2			က	က	20	4	49	28	52	65	46	393
PRODUCTION	DELIVERED GROUNDWATER FROM RCWD 2/		19	15	7			10	4	0	2	9	2	က	2	7	77
	WELLS ON RESERVATION 1/		58	4	32			45	41	59	9/	73	87	91	103	89	798
	MONTH	2012	OCT	NOV	DEC		2013	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	TOTAL

Total production attributed to Eduardo, Eagle III, Kelsey, Ballpark and Zone V Rock 1 wells.
 Water provided from Rancho California WD Well Nos. 119, 122, and 211.
 Reclaimed wastewater provided by Eastern MWD via Wheeling Agreement with Rancho California WD shown as a component of production for Table A-5 only to illustrate water budget for Reservation. Actual production for Watershed accounted for on Table A-1 and Table 7.1 for Eastern MWD.

<sup>4/</sup> Loss determined as Total Production less Total Delivered.

TABLE A-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### **RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT**

2012-13

		PRODUCTIO	N				USE		
MONTH YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO WATERSHED	TOTAL IN WATERSHED		AG	COMMERCIAL/ DOMESTIC	TOTAL DELIVERIES	LOSS 1/	TOTAL USE
2012				11					
OCT	0	194	194	ii	163	13	176	18	194
NOV	0	161	161	İÌ	136	10	146	15	161
DEC	0	115	115	İİ	97	8	105	10	115
2013				11					
JAN	0	46	46	11	37	5	42	4	46
FEB	0	81	81	11	68	6	74	7	81
MAR	0	84	84	11	71	5	76	8	84
APR	0	117	117	Ш	99	7	106	11	117
MAY	0	139	139	П	117	9	126	13	139
JUNE	0	176	176	11	148	12	160	16	176
JULY	0	195	195	11	165	12	177	18	195
AUG	0	181	181	П	151	14	165	16	181
SEPT	0	224	224	11	189	15	204	20	224
TOTAL	0	1,713	1,713	ii	1,441	116	1,557	156	1,713

<sup>1/</sup> Loss = 10% of total deliveries.

TABLE A-7

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

# MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet 2012-13

RECLAIMED WASTEWATER	REUSED IN SMRW 9/	243	234	250		249	219	243	232	251	261	235	257	255	2.929	
VAIL	RELEASE AND RECHARGE 8/	368	864	326		241	208	134	2	79	75	84	33	200	2.614	
	TOTAL	6,250	4,468	2,809		3,313	3,018	4,463	5,689	6,683	7,512	7,873	7,766	7,883	67.727	i .
	// SSOT	(482)	(1,635)	(1,012)		994	(388)	1,502	1,365	1,893	(228)	848	(824)	412	2 435	1
	TOTAL	6,732	6,103	3,821	!	2,319	3,416	2,961	4,324	4,790	7,740	7,025	8,590	7,471	65 292	9
	IMPORT RECHARGE TO STORAGE 6/	8	(208)	326		168	145	(48)	(132)	(322)	422	(130)	80	111	325	)
USE	SMR RELEASE 5/	179	166	109		295	229	270	313	223	189	169	192	196	2 530	200,
	DOM F	2,781	2,655	1,580		1,108	1,440	1,343	1,730	2,231	3,017	2,980	3,707	3,022	27 594	2
	сомм	403	461	305		250	275	254	290	357	429	435	521	421	4 401	-
	AG/ DOM	695	622	314		104	296	247	439	492	992	724	898	764	A 331	5
	AG	2,656	2,407	1,187		394	1,031	895	1,684	1,842	2,917	2,847	3,294	2,957	24 111	74,
	TOTAL	6,250	4,468	2,809	==	3,313	3,018	4,463	5,689	6,683	7,512	7,873	7,766	7,883	707 73	17/1/0
	NET	3,381	1,605	1,195		1,519	1,292	1,826	3,305	4,097	6,213	5,468	5,486	5,184	40.571	- 10,04
	EXPORT 4/	25	41	4		œ	15	1	8	41	06	75	68	73	17	7
PRODUCTION	IMPORT 3/	3,435	1,646	1,209		1,527	1,307	1,837	3,335	4,138	6,303	5.543	5.575	5,257	44.45	41,112
0.	NET	2,869	2,863	1,614		1,794	1,726	2,637	2,384	2,586	1,299	2.405	2.280	2,699	07 456	27,130
	EXPORT 2/	35	32	19		10	22	17	21	22	16	58	32	35	000	587
	WELLS 1/	2,904	2,895	1,633		1.804	1,748	2,654	2.405	2,608	1315	2.433	2,315	2,731		27,445
	MONTH	2012 OCT	NOV	DEC	2013	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	HN II	! <u>&gt;  </u>	ALIG	SEPT		OIAL

Wells recovered 24,831 AF from older alluvium and 2.614 AF from Vail recharge. Does not include 119 AF pumped from wells 102, 121, 135, 146 and 155 directly into reclaimed water system. An additional 77 AF was delivered to Pechanga Indian Reservation and is shown on Table A-5.
 Groundwater used in San Mateo Watershed.
 Includes 27,294 AF direct use (15,030 AF to Rancho Division and 12,254 AF to Santa Rosa Division); 11,395 AF direct recharge; and 2,433 AF from MWD WR-34.
 Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 A Import used in San Mateo Watershed.

6/ 11,395 Af of fortier technique less 11,070 AF of import recovery.

7/ Loss = Total production less total use.

8/ Vali releases and the related Vail recharge are computed as Total Release less Inflow to be bypassed.

9/ Includes 119 AF pumped from wells 102, 121, 135, 146 and 155 directly into reclaimed water system. Does not include 1,097 AF reclaimed wastewater purchased from EMWD.

TABLE A-8

# MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

# U.S.M.C. - CAMP PENDLETON 2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

	NET	345	326	569		289	249	342	373	389	399	389	382	361		4,113
EXPORTS	WASTE WATER RETURNS 7/	108	93	63		82	65	89	110	132	139	134	125	114		1,254
14	TOTAL 6/	453	419	332		371	314	431	483	521	538	523	202	475		5,367
Ì		==	=	=	==	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
~	TOTAL 5/	225	222	200		199	176	243	252	242	242	241	244	234		2,723
RECLAIMED WASTEWATER	EXPORTED TO OCEANSIDE OUTFALL	200	205	198		192	167	220	209	187	185	192	181	184		2,320
ECLAIMED	RECLAIMED USE IN OUT SMRW SMRW 4/	25	17	2		7	6	23	43	55	09	49	63	20		403
R	RECLA!!	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
		==	=	=	==	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
	TOTAL IN SMRW 3/	267	232	155		202	163	220	271	327	343	330	308	282		3,100
	TOTAL	228	197	132		172	138	188	231	279	293	282	263	241		2,644
Щ	OUT OUT SMRW	228	197	132		172	138	188	231	279	293	282	263	241		2,644
USE	CAMP SUPPLY IN OUT SMRW SMRV	267	232	155		202	163	220	271	327	343	330	308	282		3,100
	LTURE OUT SMRW	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	AGRICULTURE IN OUT SMRW SMRV	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	TOTAL	11 495	429	287	==	374	301 11	408 11	502	11 909	636 11	612	571	523	=	5,744
PRODUCTION	CAMP SUPPLY	495	429	287		374	301	408	502	909	636	612	571	523		5,744
۵	AG LOCAL	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
	MONTH	2012 OCT	NOV	DEC	2013	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	TOLY	AUG	SEPT		TOTAL

There was no agricultural irrigation in Water Year 2013.
 Camp Supply water use is divided with 54% used inside the SMRW and 46% used outside the SMRW.
 Assumes no losses.
 Reclaimed use for irrigation of golf course, landscaping and park areas.
 All wastewater treated at Southern Regional Tertiary Treatment Plant (SRTTP) beginning December 2008.
 Agriculture and Camp Supply use outside the SMRW, reclaimed use outside the SMRW, plus Outfall to Oceanside.
 Percent Camp Supply reclaimed estimated as 2,723 AF divided by 5,744 AF equals 47.40%. Wastewater returns estimated at 47.40% of Camp Supply use outside of SMRW.

TABLE A-9

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### U. S. NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, FALLBROOK ANNEX

2012-13

	P	RODUCTION				US	E			WASTEWATER
MONTH YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO WATERSHED 1/	TOTAL		AG	COMM/ DOM	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE		EXPORTED
2012				П						
OCT	0.0	4.0	4.0	-ii	0.0	3.6	0.4	4.0	ii	1.0
NOV	0.0	3.9	3.9	H	0.0	3.5	0.4	3.9	H	0.0
DEC	0.0	7.3	7.3	П	0.0	6.6	0.7	7.3	-11	0.0
2013				11						
JAN	0.0	3.9	3.9	ΪÌ	0.0	3.5	0.4	3.9		0.0
FEB	0.0	3.5	3.5	$\Box$	0.0	3.2	0.3	3.5	-11	0.0
MAR	0.0	2.7	2.7	-11	0.0	2.5	0.2	2.7		0.0
APR	0.0	2.7	2.7		0.0	2.5	0.2	2.7	$\Box$	0.0
MAY	0.0	3.5	3.5	Ш	0.0	3.2	0.3	3.5		0.0
JUNE	0.0	4.3	4.3	-11	0.0	3.9	0.4	4.3	$\Box$	0.0
JULY	0.0	4	4.0	Ш	0.0	3.6	0.4	4.0	$\Box$	0.0
AUG	0.0	3.6	3.6	$\Box$	0.0	3.3	0.3	3.6	-11	1.0
SEPT	0.0	3.5	3.5	П	0.0	3.2	0.3	3.5		1.0
TOTAL	0.0	46.9	46.9		0.0	42.6	4.3	46.9		3.0

<sup>1/</sup> Import via Fallbrook Public Utility District

<sup>2/</sup> Loss = 10% of Use

TABLE A-10

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

# WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

2012-13 Quantities in Acre Feet

PRODUCTION USE

				_						
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	COMM	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS 1/	TOTAL USE
2012				11						
OCT	114	91	205	İİ	35	27	144	206	(1)	205
NOV	100	55	155	ΪÌ	45	2	133	180	(25)	155
DEC	66	32	98	ΪÌ	14	3	104	121	(23)	98
				$\Pi$						
2013				11						
JAN	80	35	115	$\prod$	26	1	76	103	12	115
FEB	82	30	112		24	1	71	96	16	112
MAR	103	47	150	$\Pi$	16	2	85	103	47	150
APR	74	110	184	11	63	4	108	175	9	184
MAY	75	159	234	$\Pi$	8	37	170	215	19	234
JUNE	85	186	271	$\Box$	45	22	179	246	25	271
JULY	76	211	287	11	53	22	192	267	20	287
AUG	80	204	284		53	20	193	266	18	284
SEPT	79	205	284		49	25	198	272	12	284
TOTAL	1,014	1,365	2,379	$\parallel$	431	166	1,653	2,250	129	2,379

<sup>1/</sup> Loss = Total production less total delivered

TABLE A-11

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

MISCELLANEOUS WATER PRODUCTION AND IMPORTS

2012-13

Quantities in Acre Feet

**IMPORT** 

#### **PRODUCTION**

MONTH YEAR	WESTERN MWD IMPORTS TO IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT A	ANZA MUTUAL WATER COMPANY 1/	RANCHO CALIFORNIA OUTDOOR RESORTS 2/	QUIET OAKS MOBILE HOME PARK 2/	LAKE RIVERSIDE ESTATES	HAWTHORN WATER SYSTEM	JOJOBA HILLS SKP RESORT
2012				_			
OCT	3.70	1.87	49.70	3.10	29.49	2.16	6.97
NOV	2.86	1.47	34.50	2.50	29.32	0.99	5.91
DEC	2.30	1.10	24.40	1.90	1.53	0.68	4.52
2013							
JAN	1.60	1.10	27.70	2.00	3.13	0.61	4.71
FEB	1.77	1.13	33.80	1.90	5.62	0.55	3.46
MAR	1.90	2.32	47.40	2.30	23.74	0.95	4.61
APR	2.78	2.39	59.40	2.80	27.81	1.43	6.54
MAY	3.20	3.17	68.70	3.20	59.24	1.68	8.72
JUNE	3.80	3.57	76.50	3.50	48.58	1.85	7.09
JULY	3.60	4.39	86.00	3.90	61.41	2.40	8.03
AUG	3.73	3.99	79.70	3.80	37.33	1.76	8.26
SEPT	3.60	1.80	67.40	3.40	14.09	1.70	7.95
TOTAL	34.84	28.30	655.20	34.30	341.29	16.76	76.77

<sup>1/</sup> December and January production shown as two month average values due to missing meter readings.

<sup>2/</sup> Annual production estimated based on partial-year meter readings, monthly quantities calculated assuming typical monthly distribution.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

# APPENDIX B WATER PRODUCTION AND USE WATER YEAR 1965-66 THROUGH WATER YEAR 2012-13

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### **EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT**

Quantities in Acre Feet

**PRODUCTION** 

**USE 2/** 

RECLAIMED WASTEWATER

		-								_ ~					1120		****	A1-IX	
WATER YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT 1/	EXPORT FROM SMRW	NET IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	сомм	DOM	TOTAL	LOSS	TOTAL USE		REUSE IN SMRW 3/	REUSE OUTSIDE SMRW	OTHER REUSE 4/	RELEASE TO RIVER	RECHARGE	TOTAL
1966	0	1,604	0	1,604	1,604	П	1,520	0	4	1,524	80	1,604	- 11	0	0		0	100	100
1967	0	1,630	0	1,630	1,630	ΞÜ	1,544	0	4	1,548	82	1,630	-ii	0	0		0	100	100
1968	0	1,464	0	1,464	1,464	H	1,386	0	5	1,391	73	1,464	ii.	0	0		0	100	100
1969	0	1,741	0	1,741	1,741	11	1,648	0	6	1,654	87	1,741	Ϊİ	0	0		0	100	100
1970	0	1,417	0	1,417	1,417	11	1,340	0	7	1,346	71	1,417	Ιİ	0	0		0	101	101
1971	0	1,383	0	1,383	1,383	11	1,306	0	8	1,314	69	1,383	ΪÌ	0	0		0	119	119
1972	0	1,470	0	1,470	1,470	-11	1,388	0	8	1,396	74	1,470	11	0	0		0	242	242
1973	0	1,533	0	1,533	1,533	Ш	1,447	0	10	1,456	77	1,533	11	. 0	0		0	217	217
1974	0	1,601	0	1,601	1,601	Ш	1,511	0	10	1,521	80	1,601	Ш	0	0		0	193	193
1975	0	1,969	0	1,969	1,969	-11	1,859	0	11	1,871	98	1,969	-11	0	0		0	253	253
1976	145	2,493	0	2,493	2,638	- 11	2,356	0	150	2,506	132	2,638	Ш	134	0		0	155	289
1977	431	2,947	0	2,947	3,378	- 11	2,723	64	423	3,209	169	3,378	11	244	0		0	70	314
1978	375	2,551	0	2,551	2,926	- 11	2,409	0	371	2,780	146	2,926	Ш	300	0		0	75	375
1979	289	1,894	0	1,894	2,183	-11	1,784	0	290	2,074	109	2,183	Ш	350	0		0	147	497
1980	281	1,192	0	1,192	1,473	-11	1,116	0	283	1,399	74	1,473	Ш	375	0		0	220	595
1981	282	716	0	716	998	Ш	663	0	285	948	50	998	Ш	375	0		0	304	679
1982	321	1,112	0	1,112	1,433	Ш	1,038	0	323	1,361	72	1,433	11	375	0		0	386	761
1983	106	1,211	0	1,211	1,317	Ш	1,131	0	120	1,251	66	1,317	Ш	375	0		0	466	841
1984	236	699	0	699	935	ij	644	0	244	888	47	935	Ш	400	0		0	525	925
1985	314	679	0	679	993	-!!	624	0	319	943	50	993	Ш	450	0		0	565	1,015
1986	229	760		760	989	-11	700	0	239	940	49	989	Ш	600	0		0	509	1,109
1987 1988	89 4	1,155	0	1,155	1,244	-11	638	0	543	1,182	62	1,244	H	650	0		0	554	1,204
1989		2,047	0	2,047	2,051	-11	524	0	1,424	1,948	103	2,051	II	650	0		0	650	1,300
1990	685 492	3,746 8,578	2,977	3,746	4,431	11	1,146	0	3,064	4,209	222	4,431	Ш	1,058	0		0	1,636	2,694
1991	456	16,621	7,142	5,601 9,479	6,093 9,935	- 11	978 851	0	4,810	5,788	305	6,093	Ш	1,567	0		0	2,160	3,727
1992	527	13,486	4.893	8,593	9,935	- 11	29	0	8,587	9,438 8.664	497	9,935	- 11	1,282	0		0	2,272	3,554
1993	524	7,287	1,894	5,393	5,917	- 11	36	0	8,635 5,585		456 296	9,120	-!!	1,323	•	(205)	245	2,385	3,953
1994	232	10,082	2,932	7,150	7,382	- ! !	0	0	7,013	5,621 7,013	369	5,917	- ! !	1,709	990	(285) 694	192 0	2,020	4,626
1995	182	11,539	6,914	4,625	4,807	- 11	16	0	4,551	4,567	240	7,382 4,807		2,687 2,154	2,465 1,357		0	0	5,846
1996	299	11,730	6,770	4,960	5,259	- 11	0	0	4,996	4,996	263	5.259	Ш	2,134	2,473	2,551 520	0	0	6,062
1997	408	5,093	1,809	3,284	3,692	- 11	0	0	5,226	5,226	(1,534)	3,692	- 11	3,126	2,473	882	0	0	5,972 6,327
1998	240	6,609	1,492	5,117	5,357	- 11	0	0	5,220	5,090	267	5,357	-   1	2,949 5		2,374	0	0	7,462
1999	669	7,118	2,719	4.327	4,996	- 11	0	0	4,746	4,746	250	4,996	- 11	3.741 6		1,063	0	0	7,402
2000	630	9,179	1,923	7,256	7,886	- 11	0	0	7,493	7,493	393	7,886	- 11	4,669 7		(15)	. 0	0	8,318
2001	355	9,219	3,271	5,948	6,303	H	0	0	5,989	5,989	314	6,303	H	4,571 8		1,208	0	0	9,028
2002	13	12,777	4,954	8,117	8,130	H	0	0	7,724	7,724	406	8,130	H	4,843 9		462	0	0	10,168
2003	0	14,175	5,113	9,062	9,062	- i i	0	0	8,610	8,610	452	9,062	- 11	3,542 1		4,681	0	0	11,178
2004	ō	17,381	8,243	9,138	9,138	H	ő	0	8.960	8,960	178	9,138	H	3,221	3,688	5,427	0	0	12,336
2005	Ō	16,336	5,478	10,858	10.858	ii	ō	ő	10,749		109	10.858	H	2.664 1		8,986	0	0	14,340
2006	0	21,034	6,873	14,161	14,161	ii	ő	ő	13,453		708	14,161	H	3,108 1		7,396	0	0	14,014
2007	0	21,161	5,763	15,398		ii	ō	0	14,628		770	15,398	H		3/ 5,960	4,593	0	0	14,103
2008	0	18,714	3,762	14,952		ii	ō	0	14,204		748	14,952	H	1,450	5,925	6,864	0	0	14,239
2009	0	16,919	2,447	14,472		ii	0	ō		13.748	724	14,472		2,615	6,786	5,241	ő	0	14,642
2010	0	15,024	1,472	13,552	13,552	ij	0	Ō		12,874	678	13,552		2,882	7,026	4.803	ő	ő	14,711
2011	0	14,675	283	14,392		-ji	131	2,879	10,662		720	14,392		2,561	7,241	5,140	ő	ő	14,942
			4.050			- ; ;											_	-	
2012	0	16,419	1,356	15,063	15,063	- 11	96	3,137	11,076	14,309	754	15,063	- 11	2,364	8.025	4,525	0	0	14,914

<sup>1/</sup> Does not include deliveries to RCWD, Elsinore Valley MWD and Western MWD.

<sup>2/</sup> Beginning in 2011, Use reported based on metered customer demands. Prior years reporting based on supply meter data and is not complete for all categories.

<sup>3/</sup> Reuse within Watershed includes noted amount of sewage distributed to RCWD for treatment by RCWD, reclaimed wastewater sold to RCWD for delivery to RCWD customers, and beginning in 2009, reclaimed wastewater sold to the Pechanga Band.

<sup>5/</sup> Includes 905 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

<sup>6/</sup> Includes 1,159 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

<sup>7/</sup> Includes 1,162 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.8/ Includes 1,201 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

<sup>9/</sup> Includes 1,219 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

<sup>10/</sup> Includes 1,056 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

<sup>11/</sup> Includes 574 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.12/ Includes 910 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

<sup>13/</sup> Includes 797 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

<sup>4/</sup> Other Reuse includes changes in storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, evaporation and percolation losses, and discharges to the Santa Ana Watershed.

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### **ELSINORE VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT**

	PROD	UCTION						USE				<u> 1.41 </u>
WATER YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT	TOTAL	AG	CON	IM D	ОМ	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS 1/	TOTAL USE		WASTEWATER EXPORTED
1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009		2,255 2,421 2,190 2,964 3,232 3,127 4,197 4,296 5,100 6,133 7,174 6,215 7,596 7,091 8,438 8,215 9,819 10,811 9,951 9,075			71 48 67 1,34 667 1,54 67 1,56	93 : 100 : 118 : 1896 : 1896 : 1896 : 1897 :	2,341 2,452 2,507 3,217 3,330 4,114 4,363 5,104 5,067 5,574 6,913	1,341 2,255 2,421 2,190 2,964 3,232 3,127 4,197 4,296 5,100 6,133 7,174 6,215 7,596 7,091 8,438 8,215 9,819 10,811 9,951		1,341 2,255 2,421 2,190 2,964 3,232 3,127 4,197 4,296 5,100 6,133 7,174 6,215 7,596 7,091 8,438 8,215 9,819 10,811 9,951		74 114 134 140 150 170 185 213 226 247 254 279 310 412 483 600 927 938 837 901
2010 2011 2012 2013	0 0 0	7,425 7,398	7,926 7,425 7,398 7,158	1	94 1,5 27 1,7	517 723	6,075 5,539 5,426 5,227	7,150 7,176	275 222 278	7,425 7,398	1	1,130 1,205

<sup>1/</sup> For period prior to 2011, assumes no loss. For 2011 to present, loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed is determined using the calculation to determine District-wide unaccounted for water by comparing District-wide annual supply and customer deliveries, and is assumed to be constant for all months.

#### TABLE B-3.1

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### **FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT**

Quantities in Acre Feet

**PRODUCTION** USE TOTAL LAKE TOTAL DELUZ **FALLBROOK** TOTAL TOTAL WATER LAKE SKINNER **WELLS** DISTRICT **AREA** AREA **SMRW** SMRW AG COMM/ TOTAL LOSS TOTAL USE SMRW YEAR SKINNER DIVERSIONS IMPORT IMPORT IMPORT IMPORT **PRODUCTION** DOM IN SMRW 2/ IN SMRW IMPORT DIVERSIONS DELIVERED 176 1966 11,169 0 11,169 3,351 3.351 3,404 || 2,735 328 3.063 341 3,404 1967 9,508 || 2,253 16 0 9,508 2,852 2,852 2,857 319 2,572 285 2.857 1968 13 11,411 3,423 || 2,554 0 11,411 3,423 3,427 531 3,085 342 3,427 1969 9,458 178 0 9,458 2,837 2,837 2.891 || 1,787 814 2,601 290 2,891 1970 305 11,794 0 11,794 3,538 3,538 3,630 || 2,649 617 3,266 364 3,630 1971 7 11,350 3,405 0 11,350 3,405 3,407 || 2,386 681 3.067 340 3.407 1972 0 13.054 0 13.054 3.916 3.916 3,916 || 2,749 775 3,524 392 3,916 || 2,156 1973 0 10,610 38 10.572 3,172 3.210 3.210 732 2.888 322 3.210 1974 11 2,703 0 12,911 134 12,777 3,833 3.967 3.967 868 3.571 396 3.967 1975 0 11.492 11,279 213 3,384 3,597 3,597 2,420 816 3,236 361 3.597 11 1976 0 13,147 431 12,716 4,196 4,627 4,627 3,200 965 4.165 462 4.627 11 1977 20 13,435 587 12,848 4,625 5,212 5,232 3,536 1,174 4,710 522 5,232 1978 97 12,626 651 11,975 4,551 5,202 5,299 3,504 1,265 4,769 530 5,299 11 1979 187 12,865 961 11,904 4,762 5,723 5,910 3,820 1,498 5,318 592 5,910 11 1980 192 13,602 12,411 5,213 1.191 6,404 6.596 4,258 1,678 5,936 660 6,596 1,994 1981 87 16,878 14.884 6,549 8,543 8,630 5,688 2,144 7,832 798 8,630 11,465 1982 0 13,270 1,805 5,274 7.079 7,079 4,614 1,862 6.476 603 11 7.079 1983 12.298 1,969 10.329 4.751 0 6,720 6,720 4.320 1,871 6,191 529 11 6,720 1984 0 15,429 2,609 12,820 5,897 8,506 8,506 || 5,814 2,077 7,891 615 8,506 1985 14,256 2,358 11,898 5,473 7,831 7,831 || 5,187 2,135 7,322 509 7.831 1986 15,383 12,589 0 2,794 5,791 8,585 8,585 11 5,698 2,319 8,017 568 8,585 1987 0 15,313 2,986 12,327 5,670 8,656 8,656 || 5,793 2,281 8,074 582 8,656 1988 28 14,460 2,559 11,901 5,474 8,033 7,529 532 8.061 || 5,181 2.348 8,061 1989 94 16,179 3,007 13,172 6,059 9,066 9,160 || 5,620 2,706 8,326 834 9,160 1990 15 17,568 3,745 13,823 6,358 10,103 10,118 11 6,275 2,878 9,153 965 10,118 1991 13,939 2,871 46 11,068 5,091 7,962 8,008 || 5,146 2,314 7,460 548 8,008 1992 45 13,698 2,950 7,893 || 5,285 10,748 4.943 452 7,938 2,201 7,486 7,938 1993 86 6,925 12,695 2,010 10,685 4,915 7,011 || 4,329 2,349 6,678 333 7,011 1994 83 13,124 2,246 10,878 5,004 7,333 || 4,282 2,666 7,250 6,948 385 7,333 1995 3 11,620 2,208 9.412 4,330 6.538 || 3,818 225 6,541 2,798 6,316 6,541 1996 0 14,168 2,733 11,435 5.260 7.993 7,993 | | 4,411 7.658 335 3.247 7,993 1997 0 14,005 2,688 11,317 5,206 7.894 7.894 || 4,351 3,249 7,600 294 7,894 1998 0 11,757 1,803 9,954 4,579 6,382 6,382 3,245 2,798 6.043 339 6.382 11 1999 0 14.307 1,572 12,735 5,858 7,430 7,430 11 3,748 3,271 7,019 411 7.430 2000 0 15.983 2.705 14.478 6.660 9.365 9,365 || 5,138 3.903 9,041 324 9.365 2001 0 15,249 2,562 12,687 5,836 8,398 8,398 | | 4,413 7,950 448 3.537 8,398 2002 0 17,422 2,900 14,522 6,680 9,580 9,580 11 5,185 4,036 9,221 359 9,580 2003 0 15,864 3,393 12,471 5,737 9,130 9,130 6,041 3,737 9,778 (648)9,130 Ш 2004 0 19.640 5,027 14,613 6,722 11,749 11,749 11 7,018 4,222 11,240 509 11,749 2005 1,261 1,261 0 13,986 10,885 3,101 5,007 8,108 9,369 П 4,654 3,581 8,235 1,134 9,369 2006 106 106 0 18,297 3,994 14,303 6,579 10.573 10,679 11 5,958 4,019 9,977 702 10,679 2007 5,087 0 0 n 20,750 15,664 7,205 12,292 12,292 11 7,271 4,500 11,771 521 12,292 2008 31 31 15,508 12,202 5,613 8,454 0 3.307 8.920 8,951 | | 4,492 3,962 497 8,951 2009 0 12,588 0 0 15,355 2,767 5,790 || 4,151 8.557 8,557 3,896 8.047 510 8.557 2010 20 20 0 12,752 2,438 10,314 4,754 7,183 7,203 || 3,576 3,195

6.771

432

7,203

<sup>1/</sup> Total SMRW production equals SMRW Import plus 30% local (1966-1971).

<sup>2/</sup> Loss = Total production less total use.

#### TABLE B-3.2

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### **FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT**

Quantities in Acre Feet

#### DISTRICT WIDE PRODUCTION

#### **SMRW PRODUCTION**

**SMRW USE** 

							*****					9111			
WATER YEAR	TOTAL LAKE SKINNER DIVERSIONS 1/	LAKE SKINNER DIVERSIONS DELIVERED	TOTAL DISTRICT IMPORT 2/	TOTAL DISTRICT SUPPLY 3/		SMRW LAKE SKINNER	SMRW IMPORT	TOTAL SMRW PRODUCTION	= = =	AG	сомм	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED IN SMRW	LOSS 4/	TOTAL USE IN SMRW
2011	284	284	11,264	11,548	11	284	6,234	6,518	11	3,742	327	1,990	6,059	459	6,518
2012	0	0	12,579	12,579	H	0	7,254	7,254	ii	4,261	337	2,060	6,658	596	7,254
2013	0	0	12,593	12,593	II	0	7,357	7,357	ii	4,541	300	2,140	6,981	376	7,357

<sup>1/</sup> Diverted under Permit No. 11356.

<sup>2/</sup> Includes production from Capra Well located in San Luis Rey Watershed and supply from San Diego County Water Authority.

<sup>3/</sup> A portion of the District is outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

<sup>4/</sup> Loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed is determined using the calculation to determine District-wide unaccounted for water by comparing District-wide annual supply and customer deliveries, and is assumed to be constant for all months.

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

#### ANNUAL WASTEWATER PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION

#### **FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT**

1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	395 460 524 588 652 717 782 847 912 976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,685 1,750 1,815	32 33 34 34	R R R R R R R R	498 535 573	RRRR	81 80 80 79 78 78 77 76 75 75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67 66	320 368 419 465 509 559 602 644 684 732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058 1,086		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	524 588 652 717 782 847 912 976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	20 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	105 123 143 158 180 203 228 244 270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	80 79 78 78 77 76 75 75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	419 465 509 559 602 644 684 732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	588 652 717 782 847 912 976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	123 143 158 180 203 228 244 270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	79 78 78 77 76 75 75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	465 509 559 602 644 684 732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	652 717 782 847 912 976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,492 1,621 1,685 1,750	22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	143 158 180 203 228 244 270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	78 78 77 76 75 75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	509 559 602 644 684 732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	717 782 847 912 976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	158 180 203 228 244 270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	78 77 76 75 75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	559 602 644 684 732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	782 847 912 976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	180 203 228 244 270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	777 76 75 75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	602 644 684 732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058 1,086		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1995 1997 1998 1999	847 912 976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	203 228 244 270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	76 75 75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	644 684 732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,003
1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1998	912 976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	25 25 26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34 34	R R R R	228 244 270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	75 75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	684 732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,003
1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	976 1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	25 26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	244 270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535	R R R	75 74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	732 770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,003
1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,040 1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	26 27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	270 298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	74 73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	770 807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,003 1,032
1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,105 1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	27 28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	298 328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	73 72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	807 842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 0 0 1,003 1,032
1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,170 1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	28 28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	328 346 376 409 443 463 498 535	R R R	72 72 71 70 69 69 68 67	842 888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058 1,086		0 0 0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 0 0 1,003 1,032
1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,234 1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	28 29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	346 376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	72 71 70 69 69 68 67	888 922 954 985 1,029 1,058		0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 0 1,003 1,032
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1990 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,298 1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	29 30 31 31 32 33 34	R R R R	376 409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	71 70 69 69 68 67	922 954 985 1,029 1,058 1,086		0 0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 0 1,003 1,032
1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1986 1987 1988 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,363 1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	30 31 31 32 33 34 34	R R R R	409 443 463 498 535 573	R R R	70 69 69 68 67	922 954 985 1,029 1,058 1,086		0 0 0 26 E 26 E	0 0 0 1,003 1,032
1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,428 1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	31 31 32 33 34 34	R R R R	443 463 498 535 573	R R R	69 69 68 67	985 1,029 1,058 1,086		0 26 E 26 E	0 0 1,003 1,032
1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,492 1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	31 32 33 34 34	R R R R	463 498 535 573	R R R	69 68 67	1,029 1,058 1,086		0 26 E 26 E	0 1,003 1,032
1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,556 1,621 1,685 1,750	32 33 34 34	R R R R	498 535 573	R R R	68 67	1,058 1,086		26 E 26 E	1,003 1,032
1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,621 1,685 1,750	33 34 34	R R R	535 573	R R	67	1,058 1,086		26 E	1,032
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,685 1,750	34 34	R R	573	R		1,086			
1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 2 1993 2 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,750	34	R			66				
1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999				595	_		1.114		18 P	1,094
1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,815	35	D		R	66	1,155		27	1,128
1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999			17	635	R	65	1,180		25	1,155
1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,881	36	R	677	R	64	1,204		22	1.182
1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 2	1,952	34	R	664	R	66	1,298		27	1,271
1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,622	40	R	649	R	60	973		11	962
1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	1,730	37	R		R	63	1,090		7	1,083
1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	2,051	38	R	780	R	62	1,271		16	1,255
1996 1997 1998 1999	1,834	42	R	761	R	58	1,073		5	1,068
1997 1998 1999	1,941	40	R	776	R	60	1,165		12	1,153
1998 2 1999 2	1,799	42	R	759	R	58	1,040		5	1.035
1999 2	1,780	42	R	753	R	58	1,027		6	1,021
	2,297	35	R	807	R	65	1,490		8	1,482
	2,175	36	R	793	R	64	1,382		5	1,377
2000	2,164	34	R	738	R	66	1,426		7	1,419
2001 2	2,191	35	R	767	R	65	1,424	24	8	1,392
2002 2	2,061	39	R	799	R	61	1,262	28	9	1,225
2003	2,276	39	R		R	61	1,390	21	10	1,359
2004 2	2,199	38	R		R	62	1,363	26	8	1,329
	2,505		R		R	58	1,457	24	16	1,417
	2,479	·-	R		R	58	1,429	26	8	1,395
	1,951		R		R	48	932	29	12	891
	1,940		R		R	43	838	28	11	799
	1,900		R		R	46	872	31	12	829
			R		R	49	960	27	7	926
	1.972		R		R	46	930	21	8	901
	1,972 2.006		R		R	49	958	21	9	928
2013	1,972 2,006 1,955	51		963	•	49	923	20	3	900

<sup>1/</sup> Measured quantities available for Total Wastewater in Water Year 1969 and July 1989. All other quantities are estimated (1966-1989).

<sup>2/</sup> San Luis Rey Watershed

<sup>3/</sup> United States Naval Weapons Station

<sup>4/</sup> Prior to 1983, Wastewater was discharged into Fallbrook Creek, located in the SMRW. After 1983, Wastewater was discharged into an ocean outfall located outside the SMRW.

E - Estimated

P - Partial Year Data

R - Revised

### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

### METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT DELIVERIES IN DOMENIGONI VALLEY

Quantities in Acre Feet

						II ACIC I CC	•			
		PRODUCTION						JSE		
WATER YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT TO SMRW	TOTAL IN SMRW		AG	COMM/ DOM 1/	GW RECHARGE	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE
1966	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
1967	0	0	0	ii	0	0	0	0	0	0
1968	0	0	0	ii	0	0	0	0	0	0
1969	0	0	0	ii	0	0	0	0	0	0
1970	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
1971	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
1972	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
1973	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
1974	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1975	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1976	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1977	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1978	0	0	0	- 11	0	0	0	0	0	0
1979	0	0	0	- ! !	0	0	0	0	0	0
1980	0	0	0	ij.	0	0	0	0	0	0
1981	0	0	0	- []	0	0	0	0	0	0
1982	0	0	0	-11	0	0	0	0	0	0
1983	0	0	0	- ! !	0	0	0	0	0	0
1984	0	0	0	II.	0	0	0	0	0	0
1985	0	0	0	- ! !	0	0	0	0	0	0
1986 1987	0 0	0	0	- ! !	0	0	0	0	0	0
1987	0	0	0	- ! !	0	0	0	0	0	0
1989	0	0	0	- ! !	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
1990	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0
1991	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1992	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1993	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
1994	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
1995	0	547	547	11	354	193	0	547	0	547
1996	0	1,005	1,005	- 11	763	242	ō	1,005	0	1,005
1997	0	3,521	3,521	li	591	2,891	39	3,521	Ö	3,521
1998	0	5,023	5,023	ii	193	4,403	427	5,023	0	5,023
1999	0	3,781	3,781	ii.	404	2,978	399	3,781	0	3,781
2000	0	712	712	-ii	92	356	264	712	0	712
2001	0	689	689	-ii	505	0	184	689	0	689
2002	0	595	595	ii	569	26	0	595	0	595
2003	0	496	495	ij	495	0	0	495	0	495
2004	0	766	766	ii	766	0	0	766	0	766
2005	0	556	556	11	556	0	0	556	0	556
2006	0	506	506	Ιİ	506	0	0	506	0	506
2007	0	660	660	11	660	0	0	660	0	660
2008	0	493	493	-11	493	0	0	493	0	493
2009	0	465	465	11	465	0	0	465	0	465
2010	0	372	372	11	372	0	0	372	0	372
2011	0	336	336	11	336	0	0	336	0	336
2012	0	466	466	11	466	0	0	466	0	466

<sup>1/</sup> Construction Water

2013

892

Ϊİ

892

892

<sup>2/</sup> Points of delivery located at metered pumps on San Diego Canal and thus the losses in the MWD system are zero.

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED **ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE**

#### **PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION**

Quantities in Acre Feet

			PRODUCTION 1/						ι	ISE 2/		
WATER YEAR	SURFACE DIVERSION	WELLS ON RESERVATION	DELIVERED GROUNDWATER FROM RCWD	RECLAIMED WASTEWATER FROM EMWD	TOTAL	AG	<b>3</b> C	ЮММ	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS 3/	TOTA
1966												-
1967						ii						
1968						Ï	88					
969						ll						
1970												
1971						!!						
1972 1973						11						
1974						!!						
1975						 						
1976						  1						
1977						ii						
1978						ii						
1979						11						
1980						ll						
1981						<u>II</u>						
1982						!!						
1983 1984						 						
1985						II						
1986						 						
1987						ii .						
1988						ii						
1989						II						
1990						11						
1991	0	58	0	0		II	0	0	58		N/R	58
1992	0	66	0	0		II.	0	0	66		N/R	66
1993 1994	0	91 70	0	0			0	0	91		N/R	91
1995	0	63	0	0		]] ]]	0	4	70 59		N/R N/R	70 63
1996	ő	145	0	0		ii .	0	45	100		N/R	145
1997	4	167	0	Ö		ii	Ö	25	146		N/R	171
1998	4	175	0	0		ii	0	62	117		N/R	179
1999	4	241	0	0		ii .	33	84	128		N/R	245
2000	4	370	0	0		II	51	182	141		N/R	374
2001	4	291	0	0		ii I	56	85	154		N/R	295
2002	4	460	0	0		!!	73	194	174		23	464
2003 2004	4 4	600 721	0 0	0		11	78	354	148		24	604
2004	0	608	0	0		1  	81 140	537 401	71 61		36 6	725 608
2006	0	754	0	0			159	401	194		N/R	754
2007	Ö	919	154	Ö			275	517	229		52	1,073
2008	0	865	412	Ō			599	370	282	•	26	1,277
2009	0	702	250	268			548	441	195	•	36	1,220
2010	0	561	230	394	1,185		531	364	235		55	1,185
2011	0	632	201	326			468	418	257		16	1,159
2012	0	669	177	329			513	405	215		42	1,175
2013	0	798	77	393	1 268	11	611	115	210	1 2/5	23	1 269

1,268

393

415

1,268

1,245

798

0

2013

<sup>1/</sup> Records prior to 1991 not available.

<sup>2/</sup> For period 1991 through 2006, use shown as reported to Watermaster and published in prior Watermaster reports.

<sup>3/</sup> For 2007, loss assumed to be 5% for all use types; for prior years any losses shown as reported to Watermaster.

For 2008 to present, loss determined as Total Production less Total Delivered. N/R--Not reported.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### **RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT**

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUCT	ION				USE		
WATER YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO DISTRICT	TOTAL IN WATERSHED 1/		AG 2/	COMMERCIAL/ DOMESTIC 3/	TOTAL DELIVERIES	LOSS 4/	TOTAL USE
1966	0	14,538	1,308	11	1,049	140	1,189	119	1,308
1967	0	12,167	1,095	ii	878	117	995	100	1,095
1968	0	15,301	1,377	ii	1,104	147	1,252	125	1,377
1969	0	13,917	1,253	ii	1,005	134	1,139	114	1,252
1970	0	18,764	1,689	Ħ	1,354	181	1,535	154	1,689
1971	0	18,338	1,650	Ϊİ	1,324	177	1,500	150	1,650
1972	0	22,633	2,037	ii	1,634	218	1,852	185	2,037
1973	0	17,955	1,616	ii	1,296	173	1,469	147	1,616
1974	0	22,768	2,049	ii	1,643	219	1,863	186	2,049
1975	0	13,856	1,247	ii	1,000	133	1,134	113	1,247
1976	0	24,878	2,239	11	1,796	240	2,035	204	2,239
1977	0	26,038	2,343	H	1,879	251	2,130	213	2,343
1978	0	24,312	2,188	ΪÌ	1,755	234	1,989	199	2,188
1979	0	26,084	2,348	Ϊİ	1,883	251	2,134	213	2,347
1980	0	27,660	2,489	Ιİ	1,997	266	2,263	226	2,489
1981	0	35,036	3,153	ii		337	2,866	287	3,153
1982	0	27,334	2,460	ii		263	2,236	224	2,460
1983	0	24,957	2,190	П		256	1,991	199	2,190
1984	0	32,526	3,068	П		306	2,789	279	3,068
1985	0	28,612	3,410	ii		302	3,100	310	3,410
1986	0	29,023	2,945	П	2,353	324	2,677	268	2,945
1987	0	29,449	3,390	П		317	3,082	308	3,390
1988	0	29,070	2,985	ii		342	2,714	271	2,985
1989	0	32,034	3,003	ii		345	2,730	273	3,003
1990	0	34,612	3,818	ii		468	3,471	347	3,818
1991	0	27,754	2,904	ii		364	2,640	264	2,904
1992	0	26,056	2,277	н		193	2,070	207	2,277
1993	Ö	23,766	1,965	П		132	1,787	178	1,965
1994	0	22,173	1,651	Н		133	1,501	150	1,651
1995	Ö	20,935	1,661	П		112	1,510	151	1,661
1996	Ö	24,835	1,815	ii		163	1,650	165	1,815
1997	0	24,638	1,429	П		160	1,299	130	1,429
1998	Ö	19,693	1,601	ii		141	1,456	145	1,601
1999	0	24,961	1,727	Н		159	1,570	157	1,727
2000	0	30,446	2,217	H		154	2,015	202	2,217
2001	0	27,214	1,804	ii		202	1,641	163	1,804
2002	0	32,854	1,676	П		156	1,524	152	1,676
2003	0	29,156	1,510	Ш		136	1,373	137	1,510
2004	Ő	33,686	1,888	ii		149	1,716	172	1,888
2005	0	25,135	1,610			133	1,464	146	1,610
2006	0	29,797	1,851			154	1,683	168	1,851
2007	0	32,939	2,262	11		185	2,056	206	2,262
2008	0	24,390	1,790			167	1,628	162	1,790
2009	0	27,075	1,852			220	1,683	169	1,852
2010	0	20,769	1,453	11		174	1,321	132	1,453
2010	0	18,599	1,492			105	1,356	136	1,492
2012	0	21,152	1,492	П		118	1,720	172	1,492
2012	U	41,104	1,092		1,002	110	1,120	1/2	1,092

<sup>1/ 1966</sup> through 1982 estimated to be 9% of total district imports

21,863

1,713

1,441

116

1,557

156

1,713

<sup>2/ 1966</sup> through 1982 estimated to be 80.2% of total deliveries to watershed

<sup>3/ 1966</sup> through 1982 estimated to be 10.7% of total deliveries to watershed

<sup>4/</sup> Loss = 10% of total deliveries.

# SANTA MARGARITA EIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

# RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

WATER	MURRIETA CREEK	5/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	0	0 0	0 0	٥ د			0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0 0		0	0	<b>5</b> 5	2 24	25	15	180	ţ =	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0		0
WASTE	AUM.	Della Della																													0 021				7				_					
RECLAIMED WASTEWATER	REUSE IN	OMIKW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	0	0 (	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 ;	2 2	168	133	352	374	1.936	1,753	2,264	693 9/	1,524 9/	3,550 9/	3,719 9/	4,519.9/	3,757 9/	4,284 9/	4,796 9/	4,730 9/	4,355 9/	4,191.9/	3,998 9/	0,100	3,237 9/
	NOIT		12	= 90	=		르:	=:	=:	<b>4</b> 9	9	9	200					=	2	4	=:	==	າ 0		=			==	=	=:	==	==		==	==	==	==	=	= 0	=:	==	==	==	=
VAIL LAKE	IRRIGATION		185	1,13	398	269 (	240	7,5	203	25	1,066	369		0 0				_			1,201								_															
VA	RELEASE	RECHARGE	_	_	_	_	_	_	_							10 944	6,802	6,058	12,113	6,612	5,027	8,72	8,089			6,253	2,24	8.469	11,158	9,427	1,72	1,010	(49)	(361)	(314	(101)	(1,269	1,399	704	4,845	1,236	2470	0/4/2	(9)
	TOTAL			_															_	_				49.026	55,271	47,741	46,899	42,440	48,219	989'69	60,972	65,088	83,347	68,244	79,043	87 449	74,901	87,853	91,099	76,561	77,723	192,99	\$ 20,00	66,558
	LOSS	6																						6.327	7,870	488	3,487	1418	-631	2,543	-2,442	1,409	4,316	3,529	3,924	2,843 5,043	4,007	4,032	6,251	2,499	2,802	3,387	000,	2,671
	TOTAL	100																						42.699	47,401	47,253	43,412	42,543	48,850	57,143	63,414	63,771	79,031	64,715	75,119	73,069	70,894	83,821	84,848	74,062	74,921	62,874	02,130	63,887
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	0	0 (	0 (	0 0	0 0		. 0	0	0	0	0	0 (	0 0	// 0	. 0	0 8/	0 0		. 0		164					2,730						2,075		702
	IMPORT RECHARGE	STORGAE																													_	2.2	8,0	2,3	1,4	2,1	5. 1.3	6,1	2,2	1,4	2,3	2,0	20	
USE	SMR	RELEASE																						852	902	785	683	519	1,464	2,149	2,978	1.044	1,067	514	715	4,836	3,384	4,923	3,859	4,092	5,302	3,913	4,399	3,708
	МОО																							13 198	14,916	10,603	9,672	10,618	13,779	16,330	18,635	16,273	23,783	22,866	26,573	26,044	26.656	30,209	31,820	31,759	30,159	26,778	75/14/	26.604
	COMM																							3 346	3,940	2,941	2,406	2,141	2.526	2,752	3,350	3,674	2,162	4,053	5,285	4,457	4,963	5,190	5,063	4,785	4,306	3,766	3,847	4.217
	AG/DOM C																																3,339	4,525	5,345	4,645	5.083	6,448	7,049	5,621	5,986	4,886	0L0,c	5.785
	AG AG																							25.333	27,643	32,924	30,651	29,265	31,081	35,912	38,287	28,307	40,672	30,383	35,747	30,277	25,819	30,888	34,810	26,388	26,811	21,456	20,954	22.871
			= 0	=	= 0		=	=:		=:	=:	=:	=:	=:	==	==	==	=	=	=	=	=:	==	==	==	=	=:	==	==	=	=:	==	=	=	=:	==	==	=	=	=	=	==	=:	=
	TOTAL			4,288	5,100	3,617	6,721	7,960	8,369	7,726	10,163	10,357	11,928	12,367	14,704	10,300	30,894	26,009	22,427	32,376	31,531	38,171	41,299	49.026	55,271	47,741	46,899	42,440	48.219	59,686	60,972	46,435	83,347	68,244	79,043	75,918	74.901	87.853	91,099	76,561	77,723	66,261	66,834	66.558
	NET	IMPORT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	119	1,845	5,774	901/	15.282	13,378	5,752	6,716	7,158	11,174	7,564	22 895	22,030	21,238	16,931	11,411	15.108	23,600	26,992	19,584	55,409	41,823	54,148	50,744	47 614	60,611	63,818	50,683	50,270	40,894	39,411	41,900
	EXPORT	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	183	578	725	974	770	718	513	431	495
PRODUCTION	IMPORT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	119	1,845	5,774	1,003	15.282	13,378	5,752	6,716	7,158	11,174	7,564	22 805	22,030	21,238	16,931	11,411	15.108	23,600	26,992	19,584	55,409	41,823	54,148	50,927	48 192	61.336	64,792	51,453	50,988	41,407	39,842	42 395
PRODE	NET																																			25,174	25,041	27.242	27,281	25,878	27,453	25,367	27,423	24 658
	EXPORT																																			<b>2</b> 5	312	317	364	361	367	318	302	284
	WELLS			4,288	5,100	3,617	6,721	2,960	8,369	7,726	10,163	10,357	11,809	10,522	8,930	17,3/1	15,021	12,631	16,675	25,660 6/	24,373	26,997	33,735	26 121	33,241	26,503	29,968	31,029	33,111	36,086	33,980	26,851	27,938	26,421	24,895	25,238	25,353	27.559	27.645	26,239	27,820	25,685	27,725	24 942
	YEAR		1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	6/61	1981	- ~	1983	1984	1985	"	1987	1200	_	1991	1992	1993		1996	1997	m a		2001	01	<b>.</b>	2004			2008	5009	2010	2011	2012

Groundwater used in San Mateo Watershed.
 Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 Loss = Total production less total use.
 In tost = Total production less total use.
 Import used in 1976 by pumping from Vail Lake. Figures from 1966 to 1972 supplied by USGS; 1972 to 2002 supplied by RCWD.
 Discharge from 2MGD Demonstration project.

 <sup>6/</sup> Includes 98 acre feet from wells out of groundwater area.
 7/ Import recharge was 2,294 AF but portion remaining in storage was not computed due to lack of data.
 8/ Import recharge was 701 AF but portion remaining in storage was not computed due to lack of data.
 9/ Does not include EMWD reclaimed wastewater production.

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

## U.S.M.C. - CAMP PENDLETON EXCLUDING NAVAL WEAPONS STATION SHOWN ON B-10

	PF				U	SE				RE	CLAIMED	WASTEWATE	R			
WATER YEAR	AG LOCAL	CAMP SUPPLY	TOTAL	1	RICUL N RW 1/	TURE OUT SMRW	CAMP S IN SMRW 2	OUT SMRW	TOTAL EXPORT	TOTAL IN SMRW 3/		RECLAIN IN SMRW 4/	OUT SMRW 5/	EXPORTED TO OCEANSIDE OUTFALL	TOTAL 6/	NET EXPORT 7/
1966	1,101	4,605	5,706	ı	429	672	2,026	2,579	3,251	2,455	H	1,893			1,893	1
1967	796	4,811	5,607		310	486	2,117	2,694	3,180	2,427		2,156			2,156	
1968	986	4,939	5,925	ĺ	385	601	2,172	2,767	3,368	2,557	٠.				2,080	•
1969	940	4,821	5,761	ĺ	367	573	2,058	2,763	3,276	2,485	İİ	2,189			2,189	
1970	1,106	5,481	6,587		431	675	2,347	3,134	3,809	2,778	П	2,145			2,145	ii .
1971	819	5,291	6,110		319	500	2,264	3,028	3,527	2,583	П	2,011			2,011	
1972	817	5,323	6,140		319	498	2,278	3,045	3,543	2,597	П	2,068			2,068	H
1973	1,003	5,121	6,124	•	391	612	2,189	2,932	3,544	2,580	1	2,137			2,137	11
1974	909	5,202	6,111	•	355	554	2,224	2,978	3,532	2,579	٠.				2,055	
1975	757	4,593	5,350	•	295	462	1,957	2,636	3,098	2,252	٠.	2,519			2,519	
1976	885	5,384	6,269	•	345	540	2,305	3,079	3,619	2,650					2,447	
1977	994	4,506	5,500	•	388	606	1,918	2,588	3,194	2,306					2,358	
1978	176	5,177	5,353	•	69	107	2,213	2,964	3,071	2,282					2,446	
1979	1,070	7,213	8,283	•	417	653	3,109	4,104	4,756	3,527	٠.				2,493	
1980 1981	835 1,464	5,495 5,240	6,330	•	326	509	2,353	3,142	3,651	2,679	٠.				2,506	
1982	1,447	5,024	6,704	•	571 564	893 883	2,241	2,999	3,892	2,812					2,368	
1983	942		6,471   5, <b>1</b> 57	•	367	575	2,146 1,790	2,878 2,425	3,761	2,710	٠.				2,254	
1984	1,078	4,501	5,579		420	658	1,790	2,425	3,000 3,243	2,157 2,336					2,494	
1985	1,069	4,764	5,833		417	652	2,039	2,725	3,377	2,456	٠.				2,443 2,619	
1986	953	4,807	5,760		372	581	2,062	2,745	3,326	2,434	٠.				2,240	
1987	1,098	4,838	5,936		428	670	2,064	2,774	3,444	2,492	٠.	-			3,166	
1988	1,223	4,721	5,944		477	746	2.010	2,711	3,457	2,487	٠.				3,396	
1989	856	5,044	5,900		334	522	2,148	2,896	3,418	2,482					2,747	
1990	855	4,228	5,083		333	522	1,779	2,449	2,971	2,112					2,728	• •
1991	554	3,159	3,713		216	338	1,329	1,830	2,168	1,545			362		2,651	
1992	898	3,254	4,152	i	350	548	1,376	1,878		1,726			279		2,760	• •
1993	1,067	2,879	3,946	İ	416	651	1,201	1,678	2,329	1,617	ii	2,975	205		3,180	
1994	1,471	3,150	4,621	İ	574	897	1,345	1,805	2,702	1,919			279	)	2,814	
1995	985	3,768	4,753	1	384	601	1,588	2,180	2,781	1,972	ii	2,453	280	)	2,733	li
1996	1,000	5,199	6,199		390	610	2,232	2,967	3,577	2,622	H	2,444	330		2,774	ii
1997	1,066	5,238	6,304		416	650	2,244	2,994	3,644	2,660	11	2,920	509	)	3,429	1
1998	1,026	5,468	6,494		400	626	2,352	3,116	3,742	2,752	$\Pi$	3,008	222	2	3,230	1
1999	1,064	5,054	6,118		415	649	2,145	2,909	3,558	2,560		3,023	205	5	3,228	1
2000	1,296		7,061	•	506	790	2,483	3,282		2,989	1	3,152	411		3,563	
2001	1,025		6,366	,	399	626	2,314	3,027		2,713	٠.		454	1	3,594	П
2002	1,184	5,269	6,453	*	462	722	2,290	2,979	-	2,752	٠.		469		3,369	
2003	1,270		6,480		495	775	2,218	2,992		2,713			415		3,102	
2004	1,227	5,538	6,765		479	748	2,396	3,142		2,875	٠.		444		2,988	
2005	1,317	4,902	6,219		514	803	2,134	2,768		2,648	٠,		489		3,015	• •
2006	1,530		6,841		597	933	2,301	3,010		2,898	٠,		449		2,747	
2007	1,385		7,235		540	845	2,535	3,315		3,075			416		2,725	
2008	1,606		6,921		579	1,027	2,603	2,712		3,182			357		2,787	
2009	882	-	6,398	•	273	609	2,593	2,923		2,866			488		2,503	
2010	645	- ,	5,782	•	202	443	2,672	2,465		2,874		•	396		2,241	
2011	76		5,241	•	24	52	2,583	2,582		2,607		•	320			
2012 2013	0		4,676		0	0	1,869	2,807		1,869			393			
2013	0	5,744	5,744	1	0	0	3,100	2,644	2,644	3,100	П	) 0	403	3 2,320	2,723	4,113

<sup>1/</sup> For years 1966 - 2007, agricultural water use is divided with 39% used inside SMRW and 61% used outside SMRW, thereafter proportions provided by Camp Pendleton.

<sup>2/</sup> Prior to 1969, 44% used inside the SMRW and 56% used outside the SMRW. For years 1969 - 2007, Camp Supply water use inside SMRW equals 44% of sum of Camp Supply production plus Naval Weapons Station Import, less the NWS Import. Annual proportions provided by Camp Pendleton beginning 2008.

<sup>3/</sup> Assumes no losses.

<sup>4/</sup> For years 1966 - 2003, reclaimed use inside SMRW reported as recharged wastewater from ponds and recharge areas. See prior reports from 2008 and earlier for additional information.

<sup>5/</sup> Reclaimed use for irrigation of golf course, landscaping and park areas.

<sup>6/</sup> All wastewater treated at Southern Regional Tertiary Treatment Plant (SRTTP) beginning December 2008.

<sup>7/</sup> Net Export equals the sum of Agriculture Out, Camp Supply Out, Reclaimed Out and Export to Oceanside Outfall, minus Wastewater Return, as shown on Table A-8.

TABLE B-10

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

#### U. S. NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, FALLBROOK ANNEX

		PRODUCTION				U			WASTEWATER				
WATER YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO WATERSHED 1/	TOTAL		AG	COMM/ DOM	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE		EXPORTED			
				•					•				
1966	87	0	87	- 11	0	79	9	87	- 11	0			
1967	92	0	92	ii	0	83	9	92	- ii	0			
1968	108	0	108	ii	0	97	11	108	- ii	0			
1969	138	0	138	ii	0	113	25	138	- ii	0			
1970	152	0	152	- ii	0	125	27	152	ii	0			
1971	39	76	115	- ii	0	100	15	115	- ii	0			
1972	0	115	115	ii.	0	105	10	115	ii	0			
1973	0	115	115	ii	0	105	10	115	- ii	0			
1974	0	115	115	- ii	0	105	10	115	- ii	0			
1975	0	115	115	- ii	0	105	10	115	- ii	0			
1976	0	115	115	- ii	0	105	10	115	- ii	0			
1977	0	115	115	- ii	0	105	10	115	- ii	0			
1978	0	115	115	- ii	Ō	105	10	115	- ii	0			
1979	0	115	115	- ii	0	105	10	115	ii	0			
1980	0	115	115	- ii	0	105	10	115	- ii	Ō			
1981	0	115	115	- ii	Ö	105	10	115	- ii	Ö			
1982	0	115	115	- ii	0	105	10	115	ii	0			
1983	0	115	115	ii	0	105	10	115	- ii	26			
1984	0	115	115	- ii	0	105	10	115	ii	26			
1985	0	102	102	ii	0	93	9	102	H	26			
1986	0	94	94	- 11	0	85	9	94	- 11	18			
1987	0	116	116	H	0	105	11	116	ii	27			
1988	0	120	120	- ii	0	109	11	120	H	25			
1989	0	128	128	H	0	116	12	128	- 11	22			
1990	0	145	145	ii	0	132	13	145	H	27			
1991	0	109	109	11	0	99	10	109		11			
1992	0	99	99	- ii	0	90	9	99	H	7			
1993	0	117	117	- ii	Ö	106	11	117	ii	16			
1994	Ö	73	73	ii	0	66	7	73	H	5			
1995	0	125	125	- ii	0	114	11	125	ii	12			
1996	0	100	100	- 11	Ö	91	9	100	H	5			
1997	0	109	109	- ii	Ö	99	10	109	- 11	6			
1998	0	97	97	- ii	ō	88	9	97	ii	8			
1999	0	111	111	- ii	0	101	10	111	H	5			
2000	0	104	104	ii	Ö	95	9	104	- ii	7			
2001	0	73	73	H	0	66	7	73	- 11	8			
2002	0	97	97	- ii	0	88	9	97	ii	9			
2003	0	88	88	H	0	80	8	88	- 11	10			
2004	0	73	73	ii	0	66	7	73	ii	8			
2005	Ö	40	40	ii	0	36	4	40	H	16			
2006	. 0	64	64	- ii	0	58	6	64	- 11	8			
2007	0	70	70	- ii	0	64	6	70	Ш	12			
2007	0	82	82	11	0	75	7	82	11 	11			
2009	0	74	74	11	0	67	7	74	- 11	12			
2010	0	69	69	11	0	63	6	69		7			
2010	0	45	45	11	0	41	4	45	- 11	8			
2011	0	48	48	11	0	44	4	45	11	9			
2012	0	47	47	11	0	43	4	47	11	3			
	•	71	71	11		70	7	71	11	9			

<sup>1/</sup> Estimate 1969-1984 - Records not available

<sup>2/</sup> Loss = 10% of Use

E - Estimate

P - Partial year data

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

# WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

PRODUCTION	US
------------	----

	PI	RODUCTIO	N		USE										
WATER YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	СОММ	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS 1/	TOTAL USE					
1966	41	0	41	П	0	0	37	37	4	41					
1967	45	0	45	ii	0	0	41	41	4	45					
1968	54	0	54	ii	0	0	49	49	5	54					
1969	54	0	54	ii	0	0	49	49	5	54					
1970	73	0	73	11	0	0	66	66	7	73					
1971	83	0	83	11	3	0	72	75	8	83					
1972	111	0	111	11	10	0	91	101	10	111					
1973	92	0	92	11	11	0	72	84	8	92					
1974	132	0	132	11	14	0	107	120	12	132					
1975	153	0	153	11	18	0	121	139	14	153					
1976	117	0	117	$\square$	22	0	84	106	11	117					
1977	170	0	170	11	21	0	134	155	15	170					
1978	169	0	169	11	19	0	135	154	15	169					
1979	197	0	197	11	19	0	160	179	18	197					
1980	218	0	218	11	20	0	178	198	20	218					
1981	265	0	265	11	30	0	211	241	24	265					
1982	230	0	230	11	21	0	188	209	21	230					
1983	216	0	216	11	14	0	182	196	20	216					
1984	304	0	304	11	26	0	250	276	28	304					
1985	308	0	308	11	19	0	261	280	28	308					
1986	305	0	305		22	0	255	277	28	305					
1987	326	0	326	1	23	0	273	296	30	326					
1988	303	0	303	11	13	35	262	275	28	303					
1989	286	0	286	11	11	72	262	344	(4)	286					
1990	465	0	465	11	13	76	266	355	110	465					
1991	459	0	459	Ш	15	88	250	353	106	459					
1992	492	0	492	П	6	122	302	430	62	492					
1993	508	0	508	11	4	105	323	432	76	508					
1994	512	0	512	11	10	103	324	437	75	512					
1995	521	0	521	11	12	99	321	432	89	521					
1996	629	0	629	11	88	113	384	585	44	629					
1997	638	0	638	11	76	99	392	567	71	638					
1998	603	0	603	11	79	90	362		72	603					
1999	827	0	827	П	79	125	548		75	827					
2000 2001	1,123	0	1,123	- 11	199	365	519	1,083	40	1,123					
2001	1,389	0	1,389	11	163	414	740	1,317	72	1,389					
2002	1,679	0	1,679	- 11	230	348	1,115		(14)	1,679					
	1,748	102	1,850	-	272	275	1,340	1,887	(37)	1,850					
2004	1,979	330	2,309	П	282	407	1,479		141	2,309					
2005 2006	2,098 2,233	75 216	2,173	11	262	274	1,539		98	2,173					
2007	2,233 1,978	316	2,549		338	396	1,696		119	2,549					
2007		723	2,701	11	467	276	1,980		(22)	2,701					
2008	210 861		2,390		408	251	1,827		(96)						
		1,654	2,515		396	219	1,723		177	2,515					
2010	753	1,462	2,215		264	140	1,642		169	2,215					
2011	559 750	1,642	2,201	- 11	324	239	1,497		141	2,201					
2012	750	1,371	2,121	- 11	250	340	1,418		113	2,121					
2013	1,014	1,365	2,379	11	431	166	1,653	2,250	129	2,379					

<sup>1/</sup> Loss = Total production less total delivered

TABLE B-12

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MISCELLANEOUS WATER PRODUCTION AND IMPORTS

IMPORT	PRODUCTION

	IMPORT						
WATER YEAR	WESTERN MWD IMPORTS TO IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT A	ANZA MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	OUTDOOR RESORTS RANCHO CALIFORNIA	QUIET OAKS MOBILE HOME PARK	LAKE RIVERSIDE ESTATES	HAWTHORN WATER SYSTEM	JOJOBA HILLS SKP RESORT
1966	23.50						
1967	20.40						
1968	27.00						
1969	24.60						
1970	30.60						
1971	34.40						
1972	34.10						
1973	30.20						
1974	36.40						
1975	34.20						
1976	35.00						
1977	24.20						
1978	26.00						
1979	24.00						
1980	24.70						
1981	34.30						
1982	34.20						
1983	26.00						
1984	26.00						
1985	27.00						
1986	34.40						
1987	35.50						
1988	35.70						
1989	22.80	33.00	42.00	23.50	249.52		
1990	21.90	37.00	50.69	23.50	247.42		
1991	20.70	35.06	50.59	12.21	339.77		
1992	24.60	31.21	42.86	12.24	279.04		
1993	31.40	32.16	42.44	12.20	192.09		
1994	36.60	37.32	38.04	23.82	262.69		
1995	29.10	45.69	69.54	22.60	130.06		
1996	35.10	45.53	58.59	21.96	219.73		
1997	30.40	43.87	83.42	30.25	233.56		
1998	31.00	39.54	87.42	24.41	134.96		
1999	40.70	33.30	70.74	25.70	209.55		
2000	41.90	44.67	90.10	24.58	316.57		53.28
2001	58.70	45.00	208.64	23.21	274.25		74.87
2002	64.40	41.10	216.13	24.43	323.65	82.87	91.83
2003	42.40	44.04	201.63	34.56	255.93	81.61	74.70
2004	50.30	40.44	216.77	32.20	350.80	94.19	74.89
2005	62.20	38.26	187.06	18.09	208.08	55.87	66.95
2006	65.80	51.36	198.92	27.30	268.60	40.25	64.68
2007	45.30	39.33	480.70	19.80	421.56	37.22	66.98
2008	53.90	34.13	483.69	23.30	334.31	21.56	65.50
2009	50.90	34.13	492.26	23.30	347.51	25.36	67.86
2010	62.30	36.97	510.42	23.30	255.19	24.01	55.39
2011	52.10	27.17	494.40	23.30	270.44	19.27	56.97
2012	48.50	26.22	506.40	23.30	310.31	26.37	69.12
2013	34.84	28.30	655.20	34.30	341.29	16.76	76.77
			300.20	3 1.00			

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

# APPENDIX C SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL RODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
AGUANGA GROUN	IDWATER AREA							
Vail Custodial Services and Vail Lake Rancho California	43425 Sage Road 44175 Sage Road Aguanga, CA 92536	917-050-007 917-050-009 581-070-013 581-070-015 581-070-016 581-150-013 581-150-016	82.19 309.74 43.10 2.73 157.21 120.56 25.37	Total	Me Ve	2015 7514		
				30.00	Alfalfa	8S/1E-7N(1) 8S/1E-7N(2) 8S/1E-7Q(1) 8S/1E-7Q(2)	Total of   90.00	
Val Verde Partners	43023 Hwy 79 Aguanga, CA 92536 m/t P.O. Box 1974 Rancho Santa Fe CA 92067	583-040-022 583-040-021 583-130-055 583-120-092 583-060-003-9	97.78 13.45 40.00 160.00 41.60	Total     of     13.45	Oats and Pasture	8S/1E-19Q(1) 8S/1E-19Q(2)	0.00 0.00	
	G/1 0200/	000-000-000-0	41.00	15.45		8S/1E-29L - Diversion	on	56.80
Zen-Kamata, LLC	42551 Hwy 79 Aguanga, CA 92536 m/t 2635 N. First St., Ste. 213 San Jose, CA 95134	583-040-024 583-040-025 583-040-026 583-040-027 583-040-028 583-040-029	23.48 23.12 23.16 22.64 25.52 19.89	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		8S/1E-19K 8S/1E-19G4	0.00 0.00	
						8S/1E-29L - Diversi	on	0.00
Aguanga Properties, LLC (Twin Creek Ranch)	44375 Hwy 79 44201 Hwy 79 Aguanga, CA 92536	583-120-083 583-120-090	68.09 132.82	60.00 40.00	Row Crops	8S/1E-28N1 8S/1E-28N(2) 8S/1E-29H	Total   	
	m/t Chester Mason P. O. Box 892378 Temporals CA 92599	583-120-091	39.57	10.00	Row Crops	8S/1E-33D	į	
	Temecula, CA 92589	583-140-014 583-140-015 583-140-016 583-140-018 583-140-019 583-140-020	48.03 40.00 40.00 10.09 10.12 10.15	15.00 35.00 36.00 0.00 0.00	Row Crops Row Crops Row Crops	8S/1E-33F 8S/1E-33G1 8S/1E-33B	of         900.00	
		583-150-001	80.00	20.00	Row Crops			

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
AGUANGA GROUN	DWATER AREA (Cont.	)						
Yanik, Robert	41750 Highway 79 Aguanga, CA 92536	917-050-006	233.57	70.00	Row Crops	8S/1W-13Q1 8S/1W-13Q2	Total of 736.00	
		917-170-003	80.81	38.00	Row Crops			
		917-290-001	126.26	38.00	Row Crops			
		917-290-002	82.25	38.00	Row Crops			
Harris, Leslie K. and Jeannette	44700 Sage Road	581-160-025	18.10	17.00	Citrus & Grass	8S/1E-18J(1) 8S/1E-18J(2)	0.00 0.00	
Harris, Dolores G.	44444 Sage Road Aguanga, CA 92536	581-150-009	7.00	10.00	Fruit	8S/1E-18H(1) 8S/1E-18H(2)	5.05	
		581-160-015	7.42	6.00	Fruit	` ′	0.00	
		581-180-004	20.00	0.00				
		581-180-020	20.00	0.00		8S/1E-17M	15.97	
		581-180-021	2.15	0.00		8S/1E-17E	41.20	
		581-180-022	30.00	0.00				
Valley-Wide Recreation and Parks District	901 W. Esplanade Ave San Jacinto, CA 92582	581-170-009	7.82	7.82	Grass	Used 8S/1E-17E	owned by Harris	
Wilson Creek Farms	44200 Sage Road	581-170-012	190.40	40.00	Row Crops*	8S/1E-17B	304.50	
	Aguanga, CA 92536	581-170-013	99.63	60.00	Row Crops			
	m/t P. O. Box 2921	581-180-005	2.76					
	Hemet, CA 92546	581-180-009	120.00	20.00	Row Crops			
		581-190-013	280.00	60.00	Row Crops			
		581-190-014	40.00					
Wilson Creek	44200 Sage Road	581-070-002	160.00					
Development, LLC	Aguanga, CA 92536	581-070-005	640.00			8S/1E-9Q - Diver	sion	420.0
	m/t P. O. Box 2921	581-100-013	80.00					
	Hemet, CA 92546	581-100-019	30.00					
		581-100-020	10.00					
		581-100-022	20.00	* Plus riparian	restoration.			
		581-100-038	9.53					
		581-100-039	9.23					
		581-100-040	8.91					

**TOTAL AGUANGA GROUNDWATER AREA** 

664.27

2,092.72

476.80

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
TEMECULA CREEK	CABOVE AGUANGA GR	OUNDWATER A	REA					
Agri-Empire <sub>,</sub> Inc.	m/t P. O. Box 490 San Jacinto, CA 92383	113-090-01* 113-130-01* 113-140-03	377.07 150.09 196.54	108.00 0.00 0.00	Potatoes	9S/2E-17D - Sprir 9S/2E-16N2 9S/2E-16M 9S/2E-16F1 9S/2E-16N1 9S/2E-16F2 9S/2E-16K - Dive	74.00 154.00 43.00 33.00 0.00	0.0
		114-020-09	37.16	0.00				0.0
		114-030-07	93.38	0.00				
* Land leased from the		114-030-34	137.50	0.00				
State of California		114-030-36	29.55	0.00				
** Land leased from Arlie W. and Coral R. Bergman	37126 Hwy 79 Warner Springs, CA 92086	113-140-01 **	358.62	0.00		9S/2E-16B(1) 9S/2E-16B(2) 9S/2E-16G	0.00 0.00 0.00	
		113-140-02 **	38.75	0.00				
Hill Springs Farm, LLC	38642 Highway 79 Warner Springs, CA 92086	112-030-38 112-030-67	40.00 67.41	Total		9S/1E-12A	Domestic	
	m/t P.O. Box 1946	112-030-07	129.90			9S/1E-1M - Diver	sion	0.0
	Duarte, CA 91009	112-030-74	70.50	of I	Grapes	9S/1E-1Q(1) 9S/1E-1Q(2)	0.00 0.00	
		113-060-012	63.21	65.00		9S/2E-7D 9S/2E-7E - Divers	71.50 sion	0.0
Lovingier Family Trust	35490 Highway 79 Warner Springs, CA 92086	114-070-007	76.42	Total	Pasture	9S/2E-27R1 9S/2E-27R2 9S/2E-27J	Total 	
		114-070-27	19.15	i		00/22 270	i	
		114-070-28	19.15	of			of	
		114-070-34	167.94				1	
		114-080-014	42.51	i i				
		114-080-013	21.30	i i			i	
		114-120-042	78.41	169.95		9S/2E-35D1 9S/2E-35D1	645.81	
TOTAL TEMECULA	A CREEK IGA GROUNDWATER AF			342.95	-10		1,021.31	0.0

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK AS ANZA VALLEY	BOVE AGUANGA GROUN	DWATER ARE	A					
Greenwald, Alvin G.	55255 Mitchell Road Anza. CA 92539 m/t 6010 Wilshire Blvd., #500 Los Angeles, CA 90036	573-180-001	156.38	0.00		7S/3E-17E	0.00	
Miller, Frank C. Grabowski-Miller, Jane	55520 Hwy 371 Anza, CA 92539	573-200-007 573-200-008 573-200-009	18.88 18.31 36.40	2.00	Grapes	7S/3E-17(N)	3.00	
						7S/3E-17(M) 7S/3E-17(P)	0.00 55.00	
Anza Development Corp Lanik, Gordon	m/t P.O. Box 391273 Anza, CA 92539	573-200-004 573-200-005 573-200-006 573-200-010	18.24 18.50 18.89 18.68	12.00 18.00	Potatoes Potatoes	Note: This prope and irrigated from located on Frank	erty is leased by A 1 Well # 7S/3E-1	7(P)
Agri-Empire, Inc.	P.O. Box 490 San Jacinto, CA 92383							
	Section 10	575-050-044	14.36	0.00				
	Section 11	575-060-002	133.93	0.00		7S/3E-11N4	336.00	
	Section 13	575-100-009	19.94	0.00		7S/3E-11P3	175.00	
	Section 13	575-100-009	89.02	0.00				
		575-100-032	89.08	0.00				
		575-100-034	37.63	0.00				
		575-100-035	157.20	0.00				
		575-100-036	27.91	0.00				
		575-100-037	57.80	0.00				
		575-100-039	7.91	0.00				
		575-100-040	0.88	0.00				
		575-100-041 575-100-042	19.93 60.00	0.00 0.00				
	Section 14	575-110-021	143.75	110.00	Row Crops	7S/3E-14D1	47.00	
		575-110-027	54.45	0.00				
		575-110-030	74.86	0.00				
		575-310-002	39.09	0.00	Detetee	7S/3E-14C2	318.00	
		575-310-011 575-310-012	80.00 80.00	27.00 0.00	Potatoes			
		575-310-012 575-310-013	17.46	0.00				
		575-310-014	0.75	0.00				
		575-310-027	17.46	0.00				
		575-310-028	0.92	0.00				
	Section 15	575-080-010	4.77	0.00				
		575-080-014	9.92	0.00				
		575-080-015	4.35	0.00				
		575-080-017	9.75	0.00				
		575-080-018 575-080-019	10.13 31.29	0.00				
		575-080-019 575-080-021	31.29 20.00	0.00				
		575-080-021	20.00	0.00				
		575-080-024	20.00	0.00				
		575-080-027	20.00	0.00				
		575-090-010	38.80	0.00				

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK ABOVE ANZA VALLEY (Cont.)	E AGUANGA GRO	UNDWATER ARE	A					
Agri-Empire, Inc. (Cont.)	Section 17	573-180-011	39.74	0.00				
	Section 20	576-060-009 576-060-031	8.26 16.09	0.00 0.00				
		576-060-033	79.45	0.00				
		576-060-038	5.41	0.00				
		576-070-003	80.00	0.00				
		576-070-005	116.57	0.00				
	Section 21	576-100-061	37.71	37.71	Organic Row Crop			
		576-110-001	160.00	42.29	Organic Row Crop	7S/3E-21P(1) 7S/3E-21P(2)	172.00 0.00	
		576-110-002	28.00	0.00		10/02 211 (2)	0.00	
		576-110-003	2.00	0.00				
		576-110-004	50.00		Organic Row Crop			
		576-110-006	19.29	Total	organia rion orop			
		576-110-007	17.82	10141				
		576-110-008	17.00	of		7S/3E-21R3	282.00	
		576-110-009	18.41	72.52	Organic Row Crop	7S/3E-21R(4)	403.00	
	Section 22	575-130-003	19.55	0.00				
		575-130-006	40.89	0.00				
		575-130-008	18.56	Total				
		575-130-009	20.06	i				
		575-130-010	20.07					
		575-130-011	19.19	of				
		575-130-012	18.18	ï				
		575-130-013	19.02	i				
		575-130-014	19.00	i				
		575-130-015	17.58	131.00	Potatoes			
		575-120-012	88.03	0.00				
		575-120-018	20.45	20.45	Potatoes			
		575-120-019	20.45	20.45				
		575-120-028***	4.68	4.68	Potatoes			
		575-120-029***	4.68	4.68				
		575-120-030***	4.68	4.68				
		575-120-031***	4.23	4.23				
		575-120-032	4.69	4.69				
		575-120-033	4.69	4.69				
*** Land leased from		575-120-034	4.68	4.68				
Dionisios & Irini Argyros		575-120-035	4.28	0.77				
	Section 23	575-140-006	9.90	0.00				
		575-140-020	90.48	0.00				

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK ANZA VALLEY (Co	ABOVE AGUANGA GROU	NDWATER ARE	Ē <b>A</b>					
Burnett, Gregory V.	36990 Bonita Vista Anza, CA 92539 m/t P. O. Box 391111 Anza, CA 92539	573-040-001 573-040-002 573-050-001	235.20 30.00 246.33	6.00 0.00 0.00		7S/3E-5	3.00	
Cahuilla Indian	Domestic and	d Commercial Wells	Reported by Bure	eau of Indian Affa	airs		Total	
Reservation	Wells in	Wells out of					1	
	Basement Complex	Watershed	Wells	with QYAL and/o	r QTOAL		i i	
	7S/2E-14L1 7S/2E-25D1 7S/2E-26B1 7S/2E-26B2 7S/2E-26B3 7S/2E-36A1 7S/2E-36A1 7S/2E-36A1 7S/2E-36A1 7S/3E-26A1 7S/3E-26A1 7S/3E-29Q1 7S/3E-31A1 7S/3E-31N1 7S/3E-31D1 7S/3E-32D2 8S/3E-6B1 8S/3E-6B1 8S/3E-6G1 8S/3E-6G1	8S/3E-2A1 8S/3E-2B1 8S/3E-2D1 8S/3E-2E1 8S/3E-2G1 8S/3E-2H1 8S/3E-2K1	7S/2E-14J1 7S/2E-14M1 7S/2E-14M1 7S/2E-14R1 7S/2E-23A1 7S/2E-23G1 7S/2E-23F1 7S/2E-23H1 7S/2E-23H1 7S/2E-23H1 7S/2E-23H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25C1 7S/2E-25C1 7S/2E-25F1 7S/2E-25F1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-25H1 7S/2E-27H1 7S/2E-27H1 7S/2E-28N1	78/2E-28Q1 78/2E-33C1 78/2E-33E1 78/2E-33H1 78/3E-27C1 78/3E-27C1 78/3E-27H1 78/3E-28A1 78/3E-28A2 78/3E-28D1 78/3E-30P1 78/3E-30P1 78/3E-30P1 78/3E-30R1 78/3E-30R1 78/3E-30R1 78/3E-31C1 78/3E-31C1	7S/3E-31L2 7S/3E-34E1 7S/3E-34N1 7S/3E-34Q1 8S/2E-4D1 8S/2E-4N1 8S/2E-4N2 8S/2E-4P1 8S/2E-4R1 8S/2E-4R2	Domestic Commercial Stock Watering	5.00	
SUBTOTAL ANZA	VALLEY			562.52			1,848.00	5.6
WILSON CREEK A LEWIS VALLEY  Green Shell Co.	ABOVE AGUANGA GROU	571-080-012	<b>EA</b> 80.00	1/ 40.00	Olive Trees	7S/1E-20Q	1/ 44.00	
Shellaberger, James L		5 550 012	55.55	40.00	0	. 0/12-200	., 44.00	
SUBTOTAL LEWIS	VALLEY			40.00			44.00	0.0
	CREEK		***************************************		135		-11	

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	1	ACRES RRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	PROD	ELL UCTION . FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
MURRIETA-TEMEC	CULA GROUNDWATER A	REA								
Louidar	33820 Rancho California Rd.	943-040-011	19.22	1/	18.00	Citrus	7S/2W-28L	1/	249.00	
Mount Palomar Winery	Temecula, CA 92591	943-060-010		1/	89.00	Citrus				
		943-060-011	26.47		24.00	Citrus				
Anza Grove	c/o McMillan Farm Mgt.	942-180-002	40.28		40.00	Citrus				
Cavaletto, Selina J.	29379 Rancho Cal. Rd, #201	942-240-003	40.83		40.00	Citrus				
Lassalette Enterprise	Temecula, CA 92390	942-240-004	40.83		40.00	Citrus				
		942-240-005	39.31		35.00	Citrus	7S/2W-26B1			
							7S/2W-26B2		294.00	
Mendoza, Bertha	38695 Highway 79 South Aguanga, CA	917-240-019	54.13		0.00					
Giddings, Richard	38055 Highway 79 South Aguanga, CA	917-150-002	117.76	1/	0.00					
Vail Lake Groves, LLC	38695 Highway 79 South	917-240-015	20.00	1/	0.00					
0,,	Aguanga, CA	917-150-006	120.00		110.00	Citrus	8S/1W-21K(1)	1/	262.00	
	m/t 29400 Rancho Cal. Road	011 100 000	120.00	.,	110.00	Oilius	8S/1W-21K(1)	1/	0.00	
	Temecula, CA 92593						8S/1W-21P(1)	1/	0.00	
							8S/1W-21P(2)	1/	0.00	
Wild Horse Peak	Highway 79 South	943-230-001	109.34		60.00	Grapes	7S/2W-26L		0.00	
Vineyard Mountain	Temecula, CA	917-250-004	80.00		Total		8S/1W-25Q(1)		0.00	
	m/t 3719 South Plaza Drive	917-250-005	80.00		of		8S/1W-25P(1)		28.00	
	Santa Ana, CA 92704						8S/1W-25N(1) - 8	Spring 3		0.00
		917-250-007	240.00		220.00	Grapes	8S/1W-36K - Spr			0.00
							8S/1W-36H - Spr			0.00
							8S/1W-36K(1)	•	59.00	
							8S/1W-36K(2)		56.00	
							8S/1W-36K(3)		94.00	
		10.71					8S/1W-36L - Stre	am Dive	ersion	52.00
Regency Properties	44051 Rainbow Cyn Rd.	922-220-002	86.11		Total		8S/2W-19(D)		62.85	
Temecula Creek Golf	Temecula, CA 92592	922-220-003	5.75							
		922-220-004	52.18		ļ					
		922-220-007	14.36		Į					
		922-220-008	3.99		of					
		922-230-002	59.29							
		922-230-003	1.00							
		922-230-004	40.00		1					
		922-230-007 922-230-008	25.00 16.11		47.00	Grass				
		J_L L00 000	10.11		71.00	01433				
Carson, Carol J.	25471 Hayes Ave	909-260-036	8.87		7.00	Pasture	7S/3W-29G		39.90	
Murrieta Six Cs LLC	Murrieta, CA 92562	909-260-042	4.31		3.50	Pasture				

TOTAL MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUNDWATER AREA

733.50

1,144.75

52.00

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL RODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
SANTA MARGARIT DE LUZ CREEK	A RIVER BELOW GORGE							
Stehly Family Holdings, LLC	40922 DeLuz Road Falibrook, CA 92028 m/t 13268 McNally Road Valley Center, CA 92082	101-271-28	45.01	10.00	Avocados and Citrus	8S/4W-29D(1) 8s/4W-29D(2)	1.00 16.00	
Prestininzi, Pete and Dorothy N.	2525 E. Mission Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 Richmond Truck Trail and DeLuz Murrieta Road	101-220-12 101-210-53	31.63 50.44	6.00 12.00	Pasture & Flowers Avocados and Citrus	8S/4W-20A(1) 8S/4W-20H(1) 8S/4W-20H(2) 8S/4W-20A - Divers	16.00 16.00 14.00	0.00
Alfred Varela Sr. Family Living Trust Varela, Alfred	41125 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-210-11	15.23	8.50 0.50	Avocados Citrus	8S/4W-20Q(1) 8S/4W-20Q(2)	Total of 21.60	
Lake Forest, LLC	41257 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t 26051 Glen Canyon Dr. Laguna Hills, CA 92653	101-210-12	30.28	9.00 15.00 1.00	Avocados Citrus Row crops	8S/4W-20Q(1) 8S/4W-20Q(2) 8S/4W-20Q(3)	Total of 50.00	
Wagner Family Trust	41128 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-210-23 101-210-22	17.19 4.55	15.00 3.00	Avocados Persimmons	8S/4W-20P(1) 8S/4W-20P(2) 8S/4W-20P(3)	0.00 0.00 39.30	
Chambers Family, LLC	40888 DeLuz-Mumeta Road 38664 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t Thomas Montllor 910 N. Pacific St., Apt. 38 Oceanside, CA 92054	101-571-03 102-130-42	41.72 54.37	40.00 5.00	Flowers Fruit	8S/4W-28A 8S/4W-28A - Divers	52.00 sion	8.00
Welbum Family Trust Welbum, Douglas and Sue	40787 DeLuz-Murrieta Rd. Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-571-19 101-571-20 101-571-21	4.01 4.00 14.28	4.00 4.00 5.50	Gourds Gourds Fruit Trees, Melons and Avocados	8S/4W-28G1	40.00	
Poladian, Jacqueline Bluebird Ranch	2193 Calle Rociada Fallbrook, CA m/t P. O. Box 1089 Fallbrook, CA 92088	101-312-01 101-312-02	82.29 58.17	42.00 45.00 5.00	Flowers	8S/4W-31L 8S/4W-31L - Divers 8S/4W-31K(1) 8S/4W-31K(2) 8S/4W-31K(3)	Total sion of I 162.18	31.48
Norman and Deborah Vanginkel Trust	39452 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t 21136 Trailside Drive Yorba Linda, CA 92887	101-312-03	80.00	10.00	•	8S/4W-31J(2) 8S/4W-31J(3) 8S/4W-31J(4) 8S/4W-31J(5)	12.00 0.00 38.00 0.00	
		102-052-04 102-731-02	22.04 4.26	15.00	Avocados			
Ross Lake, LLC Rose, William and Joanne	39985 Daily Road Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-430-30 101-480-14 101-500-01	16.39 13.20 16.62	Total of 21.00	Limes	8S/4W-34- Lake Di	version	7.00
SUBTOTAL DELUZ	CREEK			276.50			478.08	46.4

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2012-13	IRRIGATED CROP 2012-13	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL ODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
SANTA MARGARIT SANDIA CREEK	A RIVER BELOW GORG	E (Cont.)						
Serafina Holdings, LLC	40376 Sandia Creek Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-360-40	126.32	40.00	Avocados	8S/4W-25P(1) 8S/4W-25P(2) 8S/4W-25P(3) 8S/4W-25P(4) 8S/4W-25P(5)	Total I of I 0.00	**
** All water purchased fr	om FPUD for Water Year 2012-	13.				8S/4W-25P - Diversion	n	0.00
SUBTOTAL SANDIA	CREEK			40.00			0.00	0.00
SANTA MARGARITA	ARIVER				1-33-9			3(11)
San Diego State University Foundation	47981 Willow Glen Rd. Temecula, CA 92592 SDSU Foundation 5500 Campanile Dr. San Diego, CA 92182-4614	918-040-011 918-060-017	120.00 40.00	5.00 15.00	Citrus Avocados	8S/3W-33Q1 8S/3W-33Q(2) 8S/3W-33Q - Diversi	4.31 0.00 on	41.30
SUBTOTAL SANTA	MARGARITA RIVER			20.00			4.31	41.30
	RGARITA RIVER BELOW	V GORGE		336.50			482.39	87.78
LOWER MURRIET								
Ronnenberg Family Trust (Sage Ranch Nursery)	42522 E. Benton Rd. Aguanga, CA 92536 m/t c/o Cliff Ronnenberg 11292 Western Avenue Stanton, CA 90680	571-020-046 571-020-047 571-020-048 571-020-004 571-520-007 571-520-008 571-520-009 571-520-019 915-140-069 915-140-070	81.09 40.80 36.75 148.86 1.50 109.50 99.43 80.23 77.54 91.56 21.39	Total		7S/1E-7D	5.50	
		470-210-007 470-220-004	53.62 109.23	300.00	Olive trees	7S/1E-7E - Diversion	ı	100.0
EG High Desert Properties, LLC	39800 E. Benton Rd. Temecula, CA 92390 m/t 12881 Bradley Avenue Sylmar, CA 91342	915-120-045	37.45	10.00	Pasture	7S/1W-10R(1) 7S/1W-10R(2) 7S/1W-10R(3) 7S/1W-10R(4) 7S/1W-10R(5) 7S/1W-10R(6) 7S/1W-10R(7)	Total of ! 38.00 Domestic 0.00 0.00	
TOTAL LOWER MI	JRRIETA			310.00			43.50	100.00
GRAND TOTAL		ű-		2,989.74			6,676.67	722.18

95	

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

## APPENDIX D WATER QUALITY DATA

TABLE D-3

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
Holiday Well	06/16/89	1300	775	122	39	100	2	178	66	372	40
7S/3W-20C09	10/18/91										25
	11/15/91										26
	12/13/91										28
	01/10/92										27
	02/07/92										27
	05/01/92										32
	05/29/92										28
	08/21/92										27
	01/22/93	960	605	83	29		2	120	0.4	070	
	10/15/93					83		130	84	278	33
											32
	03/30/94										44
	06/22/94										35
	09/14/94										31
	12/07/94										30
	03/01/95										32
	06/21/95										11
	09/13/95										27
	12/06/95										26
	03/27/96										15
	06/06/96										24
	09/11/96										22
	11/08/96										55
	11/14/96										25
	12/05/96										24
	03/27/97										20
	06/18/97										21
	12/03/97										18
	03/25/98										21
	04/22/98	1090	680	89	29	85	1	150	76	290	22
	06/17/98										23
	10/01/98										25
	12/02/98										28
	02/24/99										
	03/24/99										33
	09/09/99										26
	12/03/99										36
											32
	07/12/00	1200	700	440				400	440		21
	08/04/00	1290	790	110	36	99		180	110	320	21
	10/24/01										17
	03/06/02										15
	07/11/02		780							310	
	10/03/03		800	113						332	
	04/21/04										11
	01/27/05		980	160	47					440	

TABLE D-3

## WELLS SAMPLED BY WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Cons	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
Holiday Well	03/30/05										25
7S/3W-20C09	03/30/03	1700	1000	160	48	120	4.6	240	420		35 46
(Cont)	01/30/06					130	1.6 	240	130		46 49
House Well	06/16/89	660	345	34	3	95	2	87	60	153	<1
7S/3W-20G06	02/27/91	770						110	65	168	<1
70,011 20000	03/01/91	730						110			<1
	03/08/91	680	420	42	5	90	2	110	68	122	<1
	05/10/91	750								122	<1
	10/11/91										<1
	11/08/91										<1
	05/22/92										<1
	08/14/92										<1
	01/22/93	720	415	40	5	106	2	100	68	168	<1
	09/07/94										<1
	12/27/95										<1
	03/22/95										<1
	06/14/95										<1
	09/06/95										<1
	12/27/95										<1
	03/20/96										<2
	06/12/96										<2
	09/04/96										<2
	12/26/96										<2
	03/19/97										<2
	06/12/97										<2
	12/30/97										<2
	03/18/98										<2
	04/15/98	660	360	30	3	94	1	91	62	130	<2
	06/10/98										<2
	10/01/98										<2
	12/23/98										<2
	02/17/99										<2
	03/17/99										<2
	06/09/99										<2
	09/01/99										<2
	12/22/99										ND
	03/15/00	640	370	29	3	92	2	82	61	130	<2
	06/07/00										<2
	09/27/00										<2
	10/24/01										<2
	03/06/02										<2
	07/11/02		440							170	
	10/03/03	630	380	34	3	103		87		140	ND
	04/21/04										<2

ND - None Detected

TABLE D-3

## WELLS SAMPLED BY WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
One Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	210  210  210  210  180 207  300	NO3
			***************************************		*********			***********			
South Well	09/07/90	690	405	62	17	68	2	83	56	229	4
7S/3W-20D	10/04/91										2
	11/01/91										3
	11/26/91										2
	05/15/92										<1
	10/01/93										2
	09/28/94										1
	12/21/94										3
	03/15/95										2
	06/07/95										2
	09/27/95										2
	12/20/95										3
	03/13/96										2
	06/15/96										3
	09/25/96										3
	12/18/96										3
	04/09/97										2
	06/04/97										2
	03/11/98										<2
	04/08/98	820	500	73	18	67	2	92	73	250	3
	06/03/98										3
	10/01/98										3
	12/16/98										2
	03/10/98										2
	06/09/99										2
	09/22/99										<2
	12/15/99										ND
	02/09/00	810	460	55	14	84	1	99	63	210	<2
	05/03/00										<2
	08/04/00	780	440	47	9	100		99	48	210	<2
	08/23/00										<2
	10/24/01										<2
	03/20/02										4
	07/11/02		460							180	
	10/03/03		460	59						207	
	04/21/04										<2
	01/27/05		610	110	28					300	
	03/30/05										5
	01/26/06	800	440	42	9.1	110	1.2	120	65		1.2
	04/12/06										6.1
	05/10/06										1.6
	06/14/06										1.4

ND - None Detected

TABLE D-3

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
	######################################			*********							
South Well	07/12/06										<1
7S/3W-20D	08/09/06										1.4
(Cont)	09/13/06										1.5
	10/11/06										1.4
	11/08/06										1.3
	12/13/06										1.3
	01/10/07										1.4
	02/13/07										5.3
	03/14/07										1.2
	04/11/07										<2
	05/09/07										<2
	06/13/07										1.2
	07/11/07										4.7
	08/15/07	800	480	40	8.5	100	<1	110	61	200	1.1
	09/12/07										5.6
	11/14/07										1.4
	12/04/07										1.2
	01/24/08										4.6
	03/26/08										3.9
	04/23/08										4.1
	06/09/08										4.1
	07/14/08										5.1
	09/08/08										4.9
	01/19/09										6.7
	11/13/09	1300	820	120	34	110	1.8	200	140	320	
	11/17/09										5.8
	11/09/11										1.6
	01/26/12										1.5
	01/20/12								-		1.5
North Well	06/16/89	730	390	40	7	98	2	98	45	201	<1
'S/3W-18J02	10/25/91										<1
	11/22/91										<1
	05/08/92										<1
	08/28/92										<1
	01/22/93	680	405	39	8	99	2	100	51	183	<1
	10/22/93										<1
	07/08/94	810	520			87		130	53		<1
	09/21/94										<1
	12/14/94										<1
	03/08/95										<1
	06/28/95										<1
	09/20/95										<1
	12/13/95										<1
	03/06/96										<2
	06/26/96										<2

TABLE D-3

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Cons	stituents	- mg/l		
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
North Well	09/18/96										<2
7S/3W-18J02	12/11/96										<2
(Cont)	06/25/97										<2
	07/08/98	760	460	49	9	100	2	110	51	220	<2
	10/01/98										<2
	12/09/98										<2
	02/03/99										<2
	03/03/99										<2
	06/23/99										<2
	09/22/99										<2
	12/08/99										<2
	01/05/00	780	440	47	9	100		99	48	210	<2
	05/03/00										<2
	07/19/00										<2
	10/24/01										<2
	03/06/02										<2
	07/11/02		420							180	
	10/03/03		440	53			-				
	04/21/04										<2
	01/27/05		440	59	10					230	
	03/30/05									230	<2
	01/26/06	820	450	60	11	96	2	120	52		1
	05/10/06										<1
	07/19/06										<1
	08/16/06										<1
	09/20/06										<1
	10/18/06										<1
	11/15/06										
	01/17/07										<1
	02/21/07										<1
	03/21/07										<2
	03/21/07										<2
	05/16/07										<2
	07/23/07										<2
	07/26/07		500								
		920	540		44		4.0	440			
	08/15/07	830	520	59	11	89	1.2	110	54		<2
	09/19/07										<2
	12/04/07										1.5
	01/24/08										1.8
	03/26/08										2.5
	04/23/08										2.0
	05/19/08										2.2
	06/16/08										2.1
	07/21/08										<2

TABLE D-3

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
North Well	09/15/08										2.0
7S/3W-18J02	01/19/09										1
(Cont)	02/23/09										<2
	03/16/09										<2
	04/20/09										<2
	05/18/09										<2
	06/02/09	830	470	54	11	92	1.6	100	54	230	<2
	06/08/09	830	410	57	10	89	1.6	110	54	230	<2
	06/15/09										<1
	07/07/09	870	490	51	10	87	1.5	110	56	220	
	07/20/09	830	460	54	10	90	1.7	110	52	220	<2
	08/03/09	820	480	49	9	82	1.4	120	49	220	<2
	08/25/09										1.2
	09/08/09	800	460	55	11	97	1.7	120	52	220	<2
	09/21/09										1.1
	10/05/09	780	470	55	11	97	1.8	110	53	220	<2
	10/19/09										<2
	11/02/09	790	470	55	11	91	1.7	110	53	220	<2
	11/16/09										<2
	12/07/09	810	480	56	11	94	1.8	110	52	220	<1
	12/21/09										<2
	01/04/10	810	470	57	11	91	1.7	110	52	220	<2
	01/18/10										<2
	02/01/10	860	460	59	13	87	1.7	110	54	240	1.2
	02/17/10										1.1
	03/01/10	810	460	56	11	88	1.7	110	55	220	<2
	03/15/10										<2
	04/07/10	820	450	56	11	92	1.5	110	52	220	<2
	04/19/10										<2
	05/03/10	810	450	57	11	92	1.5	110	52	220	<2
	05/17/10										1.1
	06/01/10	820	520	52	11	90	1.9	100	50	220	<2
	06/21/10										<2
	07/19/10										<2
	08/02/10	830	470	52	10	88	1.7	100	47	220	<2
	08/16/10										<2
	11/17/10	830	510	51	20	78	3.6	94	160	120	<2
	02/01/11	860	480	59	12	95	1.7	110	54	220	<2
	04/04/11	800	460	53	11	93	1.6	110	52	220 220 220 220 220 220 220 220	<2
	04/18/11										<2
	06/21/11										<2
	07/18/11										<1.0
	01710711										~1.0

TABLE D-3

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
Manda Maril	00/40/44										
North Well	09/19/11		470								<1.0
7S/3W-18J02	10/03/11	770	470	55	11	97	1.9	110	54	210	<1.0
(Cont)	10/17/11										<1.0
	11/02/11	820	440	55	11	92	1.8	110	54	200	<1.0
	11/15/11										1.1
	12/06/11	820	510	52	10	95	1.6	120	55	200	1.0
	12/19/11										1.1
	12/28/11	820	440	53	11	93	1.8	110	54	200	<1.0
	01/04/12	810	480	53	10	94	1.7	110	57	200	<1.0
	01/16/12										<1.0
	02/01/12	830	510	57	11	93	2.1	120	58	220	<1.0
	02/06/12										<1.0
	02/15/12	810	450	52	10	88	1.7	120	55	210	<1.0
	03/01/12	760	460	62	13	87	1.8	120	57	230	1.0
	03/19/12										<1.0
	04/16/12										1.1
	04/17/12										1.2
	05/02/12	800	460	52	11	96	1.8	120	61	210	<1.0
	05/14/12										<1.0
	06/04/12	820	460	50	10	92	1.8	88	110	200	1.2
	06/19/12										<1.0
	07/02/12	830	510	54	11	93	1.7	120	55	210	1.0
	07/17/12										<1.0
	07/25/12										<1.0
	08/01/12	830	470	56	11	98	1.7	110	54	210	<1.0
	08/13/12									210	<1.0
	09/10/12	830	440	52	10	96	1.9	110	54	210	<1.0
	09/17/12									210	<1.0
	10/01/12	850	480	52	10	94	1.6	110	53	210	<1.0
	10/15/12									210	<1.0
	11/05/12	830	450	57	12	94	1.7	120	56	220	<1.0
	11/19/12										
	11/27/12		460								<1.0
				C-1	40	0.4	4.5	400	 C4		4.4
	12/04/12		480	61	12	94	1.5	120	61	230	1.1
	12/17/12	900	 E40		40		4.7	440			1.1
	01/07/13	860	510	63	13	98	1.7	110	58	220	<1.0
	01/21/13		400					400			<1.0
	02/05/13		490	60	12	92	2.1	120		230	<1.0
	02/19/13										<1.0
	03/04/13		520	63	12	96	1.6	120		230	<1.0
	03/18/13										<1.0
	04/16/13										<1.0
	05/06/13		470	61	13	90	1.6	120	60		<1.0
	05/20/13										<1.0
	06/04/13		470	63	12	98	1.8	120	61	230	<1.0
	06/17/13										<1.0
	07/01/13		470	64	13	98	1.7	110	58	230	<1.0
	07/15/13										<1.0
	08/01/13	880	510	61	12	98	1.6	120	62	230	1.0
	08/19/13										<1.0
	09/04/13	850	480	61	12	94	1.4	120	58	230	<1.0
	09/16/13										<1.0

TABLE D-3

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
New Clay Well	03/09/04	480	340	23	1	87	1	79	64	98	<2
7S/3W-20	01/26/06	590	310	20	1.2	93	1.2	85	57		<1
	01/31/06							pp 4048			7.2
	01/31/06										6.9
	04/04/06										<1
	04/12/06										<1
	05/10/06										<1
	06/07/06										<1
	07/05/06										<1
	08/02/06										<1
	09/06/06										<1
	10/04/06										<1
	11/01/06										<1
	12/06/06										<1
	01/04/07										<1
	02/07/07										<1
	03/07/07										<2
	04/04/07										<2
	05/02/07										<2
	06/06/07										<2
	07/05/07										<2
	08/01/07										<2
	08/15/07	510	270	13	<1	91	1	65	50	83	<2
	09/05/07										<2
	12/04/07										<2
	03/26/08	-									<1
	04/23/08										<1
	05/05/08										<1
	06/02/08										<1
	07/07/08										<1
	09/02/08										<2
	01/19/09										
	11/13/09	630	350	25	4.7	07	1.5	0.4	76	440	<2
	11/17/09				4.7	97	1.5	84	76	110	
	08/25/11	700	380	20	2.7	110	4.0	07		450	<2
				30	2.7	110	1.8	97	62	150	<1.0
	05/21/12		240				4.4			440	<0.20
	06/01/12	590	340	19	<1.0	93	1.4	83	56	110	<1.0
	10/04/12	600	340	20	<1.0	96	1.1	84	55	110	<1.0
	11/05/12	560	320	18	<1.0	93	1.1	82	60	100	<1.0
	11/14/12										<1.0
	12/04/12	550	340	16	<1.0	91	<1.0	74	58	96	<1.0
	12/10/12										<1.0
	01/07/13	560	340	19	<1.0	96	1.1	78	57	93	<1.0
	01/14/13										<1.0
	02/05/13	540	300	17	<1.0	85	2.0	75	57	98	<1.0
	02/11/13										<1.0
	03/04/13	590	300	19	<1.0	98	<1.0	82	58	150	<1.0
	03/11/13										<1.0
	04/09/13	520	280	18	<1.0	91	1.0	74	56	80	<1.0

TABLE D-3

## WELLS SAMPLED BY WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Cons	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
Lynch Well 7S/3W-17R02	06/16/89	760	410	70	17	55	1	86	30	262	8
Morris Well 7S/3W-19R	09/07/90	530	280	38	7	68	3	50	49	168	3
Alson Well 7S/3W-7M	06/06/90 07/21/98	1520 1260	915 880	138 100	46 37	110 120	1 <1	250 180	81 92	433 330	31 23
707077-7101	09/09/98	1200	850	110	39	120	<1	180	100	320	23
	05/03/00	1200								320	20
	05/19/00	1290	800	97	36	110	<1	180	96	330	19
	11/28/01	1290	750	93	33	110	<1	180	96	310	17
	03/06/02										20
	07/01/02		650							270	
	10/03/03	880	550	80	26	95		ND	ND	259	ND
	01/27/05	1100	640	100	32	110		150	81	320	
	01/26/06	1500	870	120	41	120	1.2	230	120		18
	04/12/06										19
	05/10/06										18
	06/28/06										20
	07/26/06										20
	08/23/06										18
	09/27/06										21
	10/25/06										22
	11/22/06										22
	12/27/06										21
	01/24/07										22
	02/28/07										22
	03/29/07										23
	04/25/07										19

ND - None Detected

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	mical Co	nstituer	nts - m	ıg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 101	06/01/88	810	495	76	15	79	8	116	16	314	
7S/3W-34G1	08/05/88										<1
	05/23/90	630	365	30	6	91	2	101	35	107	3
	08/04/93	860	465	76	14	78	2	120	22	275	<1
	08/09/96	820	480	69	14	83	2	110	15	310	<2
	10/16/97										<2
	08/11/99	840	510	70	14	85	2	110	17	300	<2
	06/25/02										<2
	08/14/02	870	500	66	14	85	2.5	120	15	250	<2
	06/11/03			-			***				<2
	06/15/04							*******			<2
	06/14/05										<1
	08/09/05	880	440	75	15	87	2.5	140	22	300	<1
	06/07/06										<1
	06/01/07		***								<2
	06/03/08		620								<2
	08/11/08	1000	550	91	18	110	2.9	150	36	300	<2
	09/09/08		620								
	01/08/09		840								
	06/25/09		810								<2
	03/24/10		620								
	06/02/10		670								<2
	09/01/11		620								
	12/09/11		610								
	03/07/12		650								
	06/12/12		650								<1
	09/13/12		650								
	12/07/12		690								
	03/06/13		640								
	06/07/13		640								<1.0
	09/11/13	1100	700	95	19	110	2.8	180			
	03/11/13	1100	700	90	19	110	2.0	100	43	310	<1.0
No. 102	01/04/89	695	370	9	2	134	1	101	25	195	<1
8S/3W-2Q1	01/15/92	930	615	38	4	160	3	160	55	250	<1
	05/17/95	850	475	21	1	144	1	120	130	98	<1
	06/20/95	1190	700	26	2	207	2	150	220	131	<1
	06/09/97		***								<2
No. 105	07/06/89	500	280	30	6	66	2	71	22	134	14
7S/3W-25M1	03/17/93	480	310	17	2	80	2	67			14
No. 106	06/29/88	920	485	38	5	143	3	182	66	70	16
7S/3W-26R1	05/13/92	880	515	35	4		2				16
1 3/3 W * ZUK I						142		180			17
	05/16/95	870	495	32	3	138	2	160	57	116	14
	07/07/97										8
	07/20/98										9
	07/20/99				****				-		9
	07/06/00							***			8

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	ıg/I	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 106	05/01/01	400	200	7	-4	00	-4	70	00	400	0
7S/3W-26R1		490	300	7	<1	96	<1	70	23	100	8
	07/10/01										12
(Cont)	07/03/02		****								8
	07/07/03 05/11/04	530	240								6.8
			310	9	<1	93	1	80	25	88	8
	07/13/04										8
	07/07/05										6.5
	07/19/06	 EE0	200					0.4			6.1
	05/02/07	550	290	8.8	<1	91	<1	84	26		3.7
	07/03/07										6
	07/07/08		370								12
	01/13/09		440								
	04/16/09		310								
	07/01/09		340								6.8
	03/18/10	700	440								
	05/06/10	720	410	23	1.6	120	1.5	130	57		12
	06/02/10		390								
	07/13/10		040								2
	09/01/10		340								
	12/09/10		410	***							
	04/15/11		400								
	07/06/11		300					***			6
	10/04/11		320								
	01/31/12		430								
	04/09/12		430								
	10/02/12		380								
	01/17/13		440								
	04/04/13		360								
	05/01/13	730	420	22	1.4	120	1.4	120	56	100	9.8
	07/18/13	***	400								11
No. 107	04/11/88	490	365	19	4	73	2	69	22		15
7S/3W-26J1	05/29/91	950	535	63	15	104	3	130	120	171	11
No. 108	05/25/88	780	455	51	11	96	2	120	68	153	14
7S/3W-25E1	05/29/91	930	500	59	14	104	3	130	110	153	10
	05/13/94	640	395	23	5	100	2	120	51	104	7
	05/16/95										5
	05/13/97	540	300	7	<1	110	<1	110	15	85	4
	05/05/99										8
	05/16/00	630	350	7	<1	110	<1	130	12	65	3
	05/02/01		***								2
	11/19/02	***									2
	04/14/05										2
	04/18/06										1
	05/12/06	750	360	8.2	<1	140	<1	190	7.9	50	1.1

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	ıg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/I)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 108	08/06/08		400	***************************************				********			
7S/3W-25E1	02/05/09		340								2.2
	05/08/09					120			0.4		2.2
(Cont)	08/05/09	730	380	7.2	<1	130	<1	170	9.4		<2.0
	02/03/09	***	370								3
		***	200					***			3
	05/06/10		380								
	08/13/10		350				-				
	11/03/10		380		-				***	-	
	02/02/11		350								2
	05/05/11		380						****	000	
	08/02/11		400								-
	11/01/11	***	350								
	02/08/12		350								<2.0
	05/02/12	700	380	7.2	<1	130	1.2	180	10	63	2.3
	11/06/12		350								
	02/07/13		380								2.1
	05/01/13		350								
	08/13/13		400								
No. 109	06/01/88	1400	920	136	35	120	4	100	300	296	
8S/2W-17J1	08/05/88										10
	06/12/91	1330	800	110	26	120	5	120	270	275	9
	06/22/94	1370	1010	138	32	124	5	140	320	287	7
	06/06/95										8
	06/13/97	1440	1010	130	31	140	4	140	330	280	10
	07/16/97										2.2 as N
	04/14/99						***				12
	04/11/00										13
	06/21/00	1330	870	120	28	130	4	120	280	270	3.2
	04/10/01										13
	06/11/03	1400	970	140	32	130	4	130	340	290	12
	06/19/03	1400	970	150	32	120	4.2	130	340	290	12
	01/07/04	-									13
	01/11/05										13
	01/04/06			******							12
	07/12/06	1300	930	130	30	130	4.8	130	280	280	12
	01/10/07										13
	01/04/08				-						13
	07/07/08		810				-				
	01/13/09		860								16
	04/02/09		810								
	07/06/09		770								
	01/05/10										14
	04/07/10		930	***							14
	07/01/10			****							
			1000				***				
	10/06/10		830				m-m-m	000			4.4
	01/12/11		920						-		14
	01/25/12	-	880								12

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	mical Co	nstituer	nts - m	s - mg/l				
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	S04	НСО3	NO3			
No. 109	04/03/12	***	910	-			2000							
8S/2W-17J1	10/02/12	***	880				000	****		-				
(Cont)	01/17/13		950	-			-	-		***	12			
	04/03/13		830											
	07/02/13	***	910											
No. 110	03/31/88	1100	630	70	23	132	6	115	163	268	3			
8S/1W-06K1	03/11/93	1010	610	60	21	124	5	110	200	201	3			
	04/27/95										1			
	07/20/99										<2			
	07/06/00									***	2			
	07/10/01										2			
	03/11/02	850	500	58	20	81	5	74	190	160	<2			
	07/03/02										<2			
	09/16/03	***					***				2			
	09/01/04										2			
	03/02/05	810	510	56	21	79	4.9	76	170	150	<2			
	09/07/05										1.8			
	09/06/07										2			
	03/04/08	980	560	59	21	95	4.6	110	160	190	2.5			
	01/20/09		610											
	04/02/09		550											
	07/09/09		560											
	01/06/10	***	560											
	04/07/10		630											
	07/01/10		730											
	09/01/10										<2			
	10/07/10		600											
	01/12/11		520											
	04/05/11		560				-							
	07/06/11		530											
	09/02/11										3.8			
	10/13/11	-	470											
	02/16/12		440		***				-					
	04/04/12		400											
	09/05/12							000			1.5			
	10/09/12		380				-	-	-		***			
	01/09/13		420	-	***	***			-					
	04/08/13		420						-					
	07/09/13		450					***						
No. 113	03/28/88	700	400	41	12	87	2	11	20	192	18			
7S/2W-25H01	03/21/91	570	290	21	5	79	2	88			11			
	03/03/94		410	46	13	86	2	120			19			
	04/27/95							120			24			
	03/20/97		500	53	15	96	2	140			22			
	07/20/98										23			
	0										20			

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	nical Co	cal Constituents - mg/l				
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3	
		*		************								
No. 113	09/16/98	***									22	
7S/2W-25H01	02/25/99										19	
(Cont)	04/14/99										17	
	06/03/99										21	
	09/14/99										22	
	10/21/99										25	
	11/02/99										22	
	12/14/99										23	
	01/11/00										18	
	03/07/00	810	470	75	16	59	2	70	94	200	11	
	04/11/00										23	
	05/03/00										24	
	06/21/00										23	
	09/13/00										23	
	10/06/00										21	
	02/14/01										16	
	05/30/01						-2				23	
	06/12/01										22	
	08/01/01										22	
	11/13/01											
	05/01/02										22	
	08/06/02										19	
	11/05/02										20	
	02/07/03										21	
		4000	040		40	440	0.5	400	4.4		22	
	03/05/03	1000	610	65	19	110	2.5	160	41		26	
	08/05/03										21	
	11/13/03										24	
	02/10/04						***				24	
	05/04/04										23	
	08/10/04										24	
	11/17/04										25	
	02/09/05										25	
	05/12/05										23	
	11/02/05										25	
	02/14/06										24	
	03/08/06	880	540	54	15	100	2.3	140	31	210	24	
	05/11/06					,					24	
	08/03/06										21	
	11/08/06	***									23	
	02/07/07	***									24	
	05/01/07										23	
	08/07/07										23	
	02/12/08										22	
	05/06/08		540								21	
	08/11/08		530								21	
	11/06/08	***	570								24	
	02/05/09		530								21	
	02/05/05		550								41	

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/I	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 113	03/03/09	930	520	56	15	97	2.1	150	41	210	22
7S/2W-25H01	05/11/09										19
(Cont)	08/04/09		520								20
(00.11)	02/02/10		510					9			22
	05/07/10		600								22
	08/10/10		540								22
	11/03/10		520								21
	02/15/11		550								20
	05/04/11		550								20
	08/03/11		540								20
	11/02/11		540								21
	02/02/12		580								21
	05/03/12		570								20
	08/09/12										20
	11/02/12		600								21
	02/12/13		550								22
	05/14/13		570								20
	08/14/13		540								20
	00/14/10		340								20
No. 118	08/08/90	715	480	14	1	162	1	120	79	101	1
8S/3W-11B	09/26/90										1
	09/10/93	860	525	19	1	178	1	130	94		<1
	06/20/95										<1
	09/16/96	970	560	33	2	180	2	120	120		<2
	07/23/97								120	200	0.2 as N
	09/16/98										2
	11/02/99	1040	580	46	4	170	2	130	100		<2
	09/20/00										<2
	08/18/02										<2
	11/08/02	1100	590	46	4.5	160	1.3	140	94		<2
	09/23/03		****								<2
	12/30/04				****					****	<2
	01/25/05										<2
	09/07/05							-			<1
	11/03/05	980	590	55	5	150	1.7	140	110	240	<1
	09/05/07										1.1
	09/08/08		670								<2
	11/06/08	1100	640	71	150	150	1.9	150	140		ND
	12/05/08		660								
	03/03/09		620								
			610								
	06/04/09		טוט								
	06/04/09 03/03/10										
			640 630								
	03/03/10		640								***

ND - None Detected

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 118	03/02/11		650	***			-	-			
8S/3W-11B	06/08/11		640	-				-			
(Cont)	09/02/11		620	-							2
	12/06/11		610	-				***			
	06/12/12		640								***
	11/14/12	1100	680	70	7.2	150	2.0	140	130	250	1.1
	12/05/12		610				-				
	03/06/13		610			-					
	09/17/13		600			***	***				<1.0
No. 119	07/16/96	450	280	44	9	35	<1	39	18	180	15
8S/2W-19J	08/14/97	***									12
	12/24/97		320								3.1 as N
	03/04/98		380								3.3 as N
	06/04/98				-						3.8 as N
	06/12/98		400								0.0 03 14
	09/16/98										3.7 as N
	01/08/99		430								5.7 d5 N
	04/13/99	****									28
	06/02/99		560								4.8 as N
	07/27/99	940	640	103	21	58	1	70	150	264	4.0 as N
		940	040						150		
	09/14/99 09/14/99	***	****								22
	10/26/99	***									4.8 as N
						-					24
	11/02/99	***									22
	12/14/99		560								22
	04/04/00							****			20
	12/14/00										4.6 as N
	03/29/01										20
	06/20/01	****									4.2 as N
	09/14/01										4.2 as N
	09/28/01	****	***								18
	11/16/01					-	-				16
	05/23/02		480								18
	07/24/02	770	490	81	15	49	1.1	51	90	240	19
	11/08/02										15
	02/19/03										17
	02/10/04					-					15
	02/28/05										10
	07/06/05	820	600	95	20	63	1.4	64	140	260	13
	02/07/06		***								15
	02/07/07	***									15
	02/12/08				-						15
	05/14/08		520								13
	07/08/08	810	520	88	17	57	1.4	66	120	250	14
	08/11/08		480								13
	11/17/08		520								16

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chemical Constituents - mg/l					
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
								*********	*********		
No. 119	02/05/09		460								13
8S/2W-19J	05/11/09	***	560								12
(Cont)	08/04/09		540								14
	01/12/10		580								15
	04/09/10		560								13
	07/01/10		620								14
	10/07/10		610								14
	01/12/11		480								13
	04/12/11		560								12
	07/07/11	840	560	85	18	60	1.9	84	120	250	16
	10/13/11		610								15
	01/10/12		520								14
	04/03/12		550								
	10/04/12	***	550								15
	01/16/13		530								17
	04/12/13	***	540				****				18
	07/03/13	***	540								16
No. 120	06/20/90	570	330	6	1	116	1	82	31	113	11
8S/2W-17G	06/10/93	590	340	6	<1	122	1	85	35	104	12
	07/19/96	630	360	6	<1	120	1	88	42	120	14
	06/16/97			****							10
	08/14/97										9
	06/02/99	620	360	6	<1	122	<1	84	45	120	10
	06/06/00							-			11
	06/13/01										12
	06/01/02	670	370	8.1	<1	130	1	86	46	130	11
	06/11/03	***									12
	06/22/04			****							15
	06/15/05	720	410	11	<1	140	1.3	90	62		12
	06/07/06										11
	06/01/07										10
	06/05/08	690	400	11	<1	140	104	89	66		10
	06/05/08		400								10
	09/15/08		350								
	08/21/09	***	500								11
	02/02/10		440								
	05/05/10		440								
	08/09/10		430								11
	11/03/10		400								
	02/02/11		440								
	05/04/11										
	08/02/11		450 430				****				10
			420								10
	11/03/11		380						-		
	02/07/12		430								
	05/03/12	***	410					****			

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

### WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	ıg/I	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 120	08/09/12		400								10
8S/2W-17G	11/01/12		440								
(Cont)	02/07/13		810								
(	05/02/13		410								
	08/19/13		460								12
No. 121	10/27/89	900	475	63	14	99	2	109	28	290	<1
7S/3W-34J	05/19/92	1000	560	72	17	120	3	170	56		<1
	07/18/97										ND
	07/24/97		640								ND
	08/20/97	***									ND
	09/03/97										ND
	06/19/02			****							ND
No. 122	06/23/97										6
8S/2W-20P1	07/25/97	660	460	64	13	44	1	61	65	190	8
	10/10/97										9
	12/23/97		400								1.8 as N
	03/25/98		450							****	2.2 as N
	06/03/98										2.4 as N
	06/05/98		460							****	
	09/17/98										2.2 as N
	01/08/99		450								
	06/03/99		470								2.1 as N
	04/13/99										9
	09/21/99										2.1 as N
	03/07/00										16
	04/04/00										9
	06/28/00	780	470	79	16	62	1	73	100	210	11
	12/13/00					****					2.5 as N
	03/27/01		***								2.5 as N
	04/18/01										10
	06/20/01										2.4 as N
	09/13/01										2.7 as N
	12/13/01		550								
	05/14/02		570								9
	03/05/03										10
	03/16/04										12
	03/17/05										9
	03/21/06										9.4
	03/06/07		***								9.7
	03/03/08							***			8.5
	03/07/08		620								

ND - None Detected

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical C	onstitue	nts - m	g/I	
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 122	10/08/08		620								
8S/2W-20P1	01/20/09	***	620 680								
(Cont)	03/10/09				***		***				8.9
(Oont)	04/16/09		660								0.9
	07/14/09		670					•••			
	03/15/10		640								10
	03/10/11										9.6
	05/25/11		670					••••	000		3.0
	08/04/11		680			-					
	01/10/12	***	680								
	03/06/12									***	9.1
	04/03/12		730								
	08/07/12	1100	710	110	20	87	1.9	84	190	260	8.0
	10/04/12		680								
	01/17/13		720								
	03/07/13		***			-			-	***	8.4
	04/17/13		700							-	
	07/03/13		740	***				***			_
No. 123	06/06/90	1100	690	69	27	132	6	420	470	204	4
8S/1W-7B	06/10/93	1120	690	74	25	136	6	130 120	170 190		4 5
03/144-715	02/05/97	930	550	55	18	110	5	83	130		1.3
	04/27/99	330							130		3
	06/02/99										3
	07/20/99										2
	08/11/99						-				2
	09/14/99										2
	10/21/99	***									2
	11/02/99										2
	02/09/00	1150	610	59	20	100	5	83	150	240	3
	02/09/01										3
	03/10/03	880	550	59	20	87	4.5	80	180	170	<2
	02/03/04										2
	02/14/05										2
	02/14/06										3.6
	03/14/06	890	530	65	22	88	5	91	180	180	2.3
	04/24/07										1.4
	05/01/07								****		2.7
	06/05/07	***	***				***				2.2
	07/05/07										2.5
	08/07/07					-		-			2.2

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

### WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	mical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/l	
00	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	S04	НСО3	NO3
No. 123	09/05/07										0.4
8S/1W-7B	09/05/07	***									2.1
(Cont)											2
(Cont)	10/03/07 12/13/07										2
	01/10/08										1.9
	02/13/08										1.4
	03/03/08										1.1
	03/03/08		540								1.3
	04/08/08	***									2.2
	05/12/08										
	06/23/08										2.4
	07/08/08										2.7 2.9
	08/12/08				****						2.9
	09/15/08										
	11/06/08										2.7 2.6
	12/05/08										2.6
	01/07/09		640								ND
	02/04/09										1.6
	03/09/09	980	610	62	21	97	5	98	180		<2.0
	04/02/09	500	600								<2.0
	05/07/09										<2.0
	06/01/09										<2.0
	07/09/09		590								<2.0
	08/05/09										<2.0
	01/06/10		590								1.4
	02/02/10										1.1
	03/03/10										1.2
	04/08/10		600								1.2
	05/06/10										1.5
	06/02/10										<2
	07/01/10		750								<2
	08/10/10										2.4
	09/01/10										2.1
	10/07/10		630								<2
	11/01/10										<2
	12/02/10										<2
	01/12/11		570								2
	02/15/11										2
	03/09/11										2
	04/05/11	****	580								2
	05/05/11										2
	06/07/11				_				_		2
	07/06/11		600								2
	08/03/11										2
	09/02/11							-			2.3

ND - None Detected

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical C	g/l			
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
		• ••••••••						********			
No. 123	10/13/11		550								2.2
8S/1W-7B	11/10/11										<2
(Cont)	12/07/11	***									<2
	01/06/12		540								<2.0
	09/05/12										1.4
	10/10/12		360								1.2
	11/01/12										1.6
	11/28/12	710	450	46	16	69	4.3	69	110	150	1.7
	12/05/12										1.9
	01/09/13		440								1.3
	02/12/13										1.4
	03/06/13										1.6
	04/08/13		430							****	1.8
	05/07/13						-				1.9
	06/05/13										1.7
	07/09/13		470							****	2.2
	08/15/13										1.8
	09/05/13	****	***							***	1.6
No. 124	06/20/90	660	380	38	4	92	3	97	48	153	13
8S/2W-11R1	07/22/93	690	430	42	5	89	3	90	57	159	17
	07/18/95										11
	10/26/99	700	420	45	4	94	3	97	61	160	16
	07/06/00										17
	07/10/01	****									16
	07/03/02										10
	10/02/02	600	330	24	2.4	92	1.9	75	38	150	10
	01/08/03										2.3 as N
	07/01/03										8.3
	07/07/04										9.4
	07/06/05										8.4
	10/05/05	580	360	19	2.4	96	1.6	74	35	140	7.8
	09/26/06										17
	09/05/07										8.2
	10/28/08	780	490	52	6.5	84	3.1	91	84	150	1.8
	01/13/09		390	****							
	04/07/09		330								
	07/09/09		320								***
	01/06/10		390								
	04/08/10		360								

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/l	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 124	07/01/10		390								
8S/2W-11R1	10/06/10		320								10
(Cont)	01/04/11		390								
	04/05/11		390								
	07/06/11		350								
	10/12/11	610	390	23	2.5	95	2.2	80	44	150	9.8
	10/12/11		320								10
	01/10/12		330								
	04/04/12		410								
	10/09/12		360							-	9.3
	03/20/13		480								
	04/08/13		410			-					
	07/19/13		360	****							-
No. 125	06/20/90	740	425	17	5	132	3	99	54	186	4
8S/2W-12H	06/10/93	770	450	18	5	140	3	150	60	131	3
	06/20/95										2
	06/09/97										2
	09/17/98										3
	06/03/99	720	440	10	3	135	2	89	76	170	<2
	11/02/99										3
	11/15/00				***	-					2
	07/24/01										4
	06/19/02	700	400	8.8	2.3	130	1.8	87	54	170	<2
	07/03/02										2
	01/13/03		***								.38 as N
	07/01/03										<2
	06/09/04										<2
	06/14/05	650	350	8.3	2.1	130	1.6	82	52	180	1.8
	06/13/06	***									2.8
	06/05/07				****						1.6
	06/10/08	770	460	17	4.6	150	2.4	93	64	190	2.7
	09/15/08		370								
	12/05/08		450								
	03/04/09		440								
	06/01/09		560								<2.0
	07/27/10		480								3.7
	10/06/10		430								
	01/14/11		420		•••		-				
	04/05/11		390								
No. 126	05/04/88	480	290	4	<1	106	<1	53			<1
8S/2W-15H	07/06/89	500	270	2	1	108	<1	55			<1
	07/18/95	540	315	1	<1	122	<1	72	11	122	<1
	07/07/97										<2
	07/16/97		-						-		0.2 as N
	07/23/97							***			0.2 as N

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 126	08/20/97		****								0.4 as N
8S/2W-15H	09/03/97										0.2 as N
(Cont)	09/17/97										0.2 as N
	07/20/98	520	330	2	<1	120	<1	56	11	130	<2
	09/16/98		300								0.4 as N
	04/14/99										2
	04/11/00										<2
	04/11/01	****									2
	07/12/01	530	300	2	<1	100	<1	53	12	140	<2
	06/20/02										<2
	08/06/02										<2
	01/08/03										0.25 as N
	11/04/03										<2
	07/22/04	520	310	1.5	ND	110	ND	59	10	120	0.27 as N
	11/03/04										<2
	11/02/05		****								<1
	11/08/06	-									<1
	07/03/07	530	330	1.4	<1	110	<1	62	10		<2
	11/14/07										1.9
	08/07/08		280				*****				
	02/04/09		280								
	05/06/09		280								
											*****
	08/04/09		270								
	02/03/10		290								
	05/06/10		390	4.0		440			4.4		
	07/13/10	530	300	1.6	<1	110	<1	58	11		<2
	08/24/10	***	330								
	11/03/10		300								1.5
	02/04/11	-	280								
	05/03/11		300								
	08/02/11		280								
	11/01/11		270								<2
	02/06/12		350								
	05/02/12		330								
	08/06/12		290								
	11/05/12	****	320								1.9
	02/05/13		290								
	05/01/13	****	280								
	08/01/13		290				*****	****			
	08/01/13		310	2.4	<1.0	120	<1.0	81	13	140	2.3
No. 128	07/06/89	400	230	27	3	54	2	59	7	' 101	25
7S/3W-36M	07/08/92		230	21	2	59	2	55			24
	07/20/95		275	16	2	66	1	65			19
	07/07/97										15
	07/20/98		260	12	<1	71	1	48			14
	06/02/99		200	12	<u></u>	/1		46			13
ND- None Detecte									-		13

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 128	06/08/01										14
7/3W-36M	07/10/01	400	230	10	<1	68	<1	44	12	100	12
(Cont)	06/20/02		250		-1					100	12
(Cont)	01/08/03										12
	01/06/03	****									
	07/14/04	390	240	8.3	1	67	1	48	11	92	10 13
	01/11/05	350					1	40	11	92	6
	01/11/05										
										******	7.9
No. 129	11/29/89	430	260	16	3	66	2	71	16	92	9
7S/2W-20L	08/08/90	440	280	20	5	64	2	72	14	119	10
	04/01/92		****								12
	09/10/93	470	275	24	6	60	2	74	16	110	13
	08/09/96	460	270	19	3	67	2	70	15	100	11
	02/04/97										53
	12/20/00	550	330	44	13	47	2	81	14	130	20
	03/22/01										20
	04/17/01	****									20
	05/02/01						*****				18
	06/08/01						*****				20
	10/16/01										19
	11/13/01										18
	02/26/02										16
	05/23/02										14
	09/18/02										15
No. 130	02/17/88	650	365	16	1	132	1	69	64	0	4
8S/2W-11R	02/14/91	640	365	4	<1	132	1	68	56	122	
00/211 1111	04/24/91					102					3
	02/09/94	650	410	3	<1	148	1	81	72		4
	05/16/95	000		3	-1	140		01	12		4
	02/05/97	780	450	4	<1	170	<1	78	82		5
	05/14/97		450	7		170		70		150	4
	04/14/99										5
	02/10/00	750	440	4	<1	170	<1	76	77		5
	04/12/00		440	4				70	//	170	5
	05/25/00										6
	05/24/01								*****		6
	05/24/01										5
	03/24/02		460	4.4	<1	170	<1			100	
			460	4.1		170		87			5
	05/04/04										5.1
	05/12/05		450	4.4		470					5
	02/14/06		450	4.1	<1	170	<1	83		200	5.1
	05/12/06										4.5
	05/01/07		440								4.5
	05/07/08		440								4.1
	08/12/08		470								

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 130	11/09/08		560				ababab	***		****	****
8S/2W-11R	02/11/09	840	440	4.6	<1	170	<1	91	110	150	4.8
(Cont)	05/11/09		480								3.5
	08/31/09		470								
	02/04/10		480								
	05/06/10		410								4.5
	08/11/10		460								
	11/01/10		480								
	12/02/10		400								
	07/15/11		480								
	08/04/11										4.7
	10/13/11		490								
	01/10/12		460								
	02/09/12	810	480	4.4	<1.0	160	1.2	80	100	180	4.0
	08/08/12										4.2
	10/09/12		480								
	01/03/13		500								
	04/08/13		490		-						
	07/09/13		460								
	08/15/13							•••			4.2
No. 131	03/10/88	530	270	4	<1	108	1	57	52	31	1
8S/1W-12J	03/21/91	630	335	7	<1	120	1	74	65	98	3
	03/03/94	660	345	9	<1	124	2	86	73	119	2
	03/30/95										2
	03/20/97	660	370	6	<1	125	1	81	73	100	2
	07/07/97									-	<2
	07/27/98						-				2
	06/03/99										<2
	03/07/00	720	380	9	<1	140	2	81	80	130	3
	06/21/00		-								2
	06/27/01										2
	06/05/02										<2
	03/13/03	700	390	8	<1	130	1.4	88	88	130	3
	06/11/03										<2
	06/09/04										<2
	06/15/05										2
	03/07/06	710	420	9	<1	140	1.5	93	93	130	3
	06/07/06										1.7
	06/26/07										2.4
	06/04/08		390	***							1.5
	09/15/08		330	-	***	-					
	12/03/08		430								
	03/04/09	640	370	6	<1	130	1.2	71	77	130	<2.0
	03/04/09		380								

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstituer	ıts - m	ıg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
***************************************		* ************	***************************************								
No. 131	06/02/09		360								<2.0
8S/1W-12J	03/03/10		380								
(Cont)	06/02/10		360								2
	09/01/10		360								-
	03/02/11		430								
	06/07/11		360								2
	09/02/11		330								
	12/07/11		420								
	03/02/12		410								6010000
	06/05/12		350								1.5
	09/05/12	***	370								
	12/04/12		370								
	03/06/13		350							-	
	06/05/13		360								1.8
	09/04/13		370								1.0
	03/04/13		370								
No. 132	04/18/88	1000	620	94	13	103	6	109	153		2
8S/1W-07D	05/08/91	920	590	64	19	110	5	100	160	201	<1
	05/13/94	730	460	50	15	78	5	73	110	195	1
	05/16/95										<1
	07/18/95	860	520	59	17	100	4	90	130	223	1
	07/20/98	900	590	69	20	110	5	89	150	230	2
	01/06/99										2
	02/03/99										2
	04/14/99										3
	06/03/99										3
	07/27/99										5
	08/11/99	-									4
	09/15/99										4
	10/21/99		****								4
	11/02/99	****	****								3
	12/15/99	****									3
	05/03/00		****								2
	05/16/01	800	500	57	17	74	5	63	180	150	3
	05/01/02	-									2
	05/03/05										<2
	05/12/06	-									3.2
	05/01/07										4.7
	05/03/07	820	500	53	16	64	4.4	72	150		3.2
	05/06/08		670	55		04	4.4	12	100	100	3.6
	08/12/08		690								3.0
	11/06/08		650								
	02/05/09		570								
											<2.0
	05/11/09		590	****	****				-		<2.0
	08/05/09		600		-						
	02/03/10		580							470	4.0
	05/06/10	960	600	67	22	88	5.6	96	220	170	1.2

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
220101010101111111111111111111111111111				***********							*****************
No. 132	08/10/10		570								
8S/1W-07D	11/01/10		610								
(Cont)	02/15/11		580								
	05/04/11		590								2
	08/03/11		580								
	11/02/11		510								
	02/08/12		450								
	05/02/12		420								3.3
	08/08/12		360								
	11/01/12		370								
No. 133	03/28/90	970	605	50	20	112	5	120	131	235	3
8S/1W-7C	03/11/93	970	580	48	19	120	4	110	140	204	3
	06/06/95										2
	07/18/95	850	680	26	10	142	2	120	100	174	2
	06/23/97					172		120	100		3
	07/20/98	790	500	24	9	140	2	96	93	170	2
	08/02/00				_	140					3
	03/28/01	800	460	22	10	130	2	98	100	170	<2
	08/02/01								100		<2
	09/18/02										2
	09/16/03										2
	03/12/04	810	500	25	10	130	2.4	95	99	180	2
	03/07/07	820	500	26	9.7	140	2.4	94	98	160	2.3
	03/03/08					140					2.1
	03/07/08		480								2.1
	07/08/08		470								
	01/07/09		540								
	03/04/09	1000gt									2.6
	04/02/09		460								2.0
	07/09/09		450								
	01/06/10		490								
	03/03/10	860	460	37	16	110	3.1	110	110	200	3
	04/08/10		490				0.1		110	200	
	07/08/10		470								
	10/06/10		460								
	01/12/11		490								
	03/09/11										2.9
	04/05/11		460								2.5
	07/06/11		440								
	10/13/11		470								
	10/13/11		490								
	12/12/12		450								2.8
	01/15/13		470			-	-				
	03/07/13		510	36	15	110	2.0	100	100	200	2.0
	04/08/13		470			110	3.0	100	100		3.0
	07/09/13		470 470								
	01/09/13		470						-		

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date Tested	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	nical Co	onstituei	nts - m	ıg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 135	05/24/89	2450	1390	122	65	300	2	410	225	464	33
7S/3W-27M	06/06/90	1540	945	73	36	215	1	250	150	323	13
70,011 2711	12/11/90	4400	2670	270	109	480	4	1030	380	314	<1
	08/06/92	1800	810	63	33	170	1	200	160	281	
	01/16/97	1000						200		201	3.7 as N
	02/04/97		44			***					3.5 as N
	02/12/97										4.0 as N
	02/20/97										3.4 as N
	02/25/97		***								3.4 as N
	03/04/97										3.7 as N
	03/18/97	***			-						3.3 as N
	03/25/97										3.5 as N
	04/08/97				******						3.4 as N
	04/15/97						*****				3.4 as N
	04/22/97	00-00-00									3.5 as N
	05/06/97	1930	1050	97	48	220	2	340	190	360	3.3 as N
	05/14/97						_				3.4 as N
	05/21/97	-									3.3 as N
	06/04/97										3.3 as N
	06/11/97										3.3 as N
	06/18/97	***					-				3.3 as N
	06/25/97						****				3.3 as N
	07/02/97	****									3.3 as N
	09/17/97	1960	1260					430	220		13
No. 138	10/30/90	460	240	19	2	74	2	71	13	113	18
8S/2W-6F	10/06/93	420	240	11	<1	70	1	56	10	92	14
	10/11/96	430	270	9	<1	78	1	55	8.9	100	15
	04/14/99		****						-		5
	06/03/99						****	*****			3
	10/26/99	430	240	10	<1	76	1	60	11	100	19
	03/13/00									• ••••	5
	03/22/01										17
	03/13/02										21
	06/20/02										16
	10/02/02		220	10	<1	75	1.2	58	7.8	96	17
	06/12/03										16
	12/30/04										5
	01/27/05										12
	10/18/05		280	11	<1	72	1.3	65	8.3	110	18
	01/06/06						-				17
	01/10/07										16
	01/08/08				16						
	10/08/08	430	220	12	59	82	1.1	59	) 11	32	18
	01/08/09										18
	01/12/09	****	280								
	04/08/09		250								

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	g/l	
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 138	07/06/09		240								
8S/2W-6F	01/06/10		250								16
(Cont)	04/08/10		270								10
(Cont)	07/14/10		260								
	10/05/10		230				7				
	01/12/11		190								17
	04/06/11		290								
	07/07/11		250								
	10/04/11	440	240	10	1.0	78	1.9	62	10	110	17
	10/04/11	<del></del>	200		1.0	70	1.5	02	10	110	
	01/17/12		260								16
	04/03/12		280								10
	10/02/12		290								
	01/03/13		240								14
	04/03/13		230								
			220								
	07/02/13		220								
No. 139	12/29/87	460	295	24	7	65	1	60	11	104	7
7S/2W-32G	11/23/92	450	275	32	9	46	2	60	13		20
,	12/19/95	500	298	36	12	50	2	72	12		2.8
	03/25/97										10
	03/13/00										9
	03/28/01										8
	03/11/02	530	280	29	10	57	2	73	13	140	9
	03/09/04										8
	03/09/05	520	310	21	7.7	72	1.3	78	13		6
	03/09/06										9.9
	03/07/07										6.9
	04/15/08	550	340	40	14	43	1.9	80	10	150	14
	07/17/08		330								
	10/08/08	****	320						-		
	01/13/09		390								
	07/06/09		290								****
	04/08/09		310					-			5.8
	05/17/10		320								
	08/09/10		340								
	10/21/10										8.9
	11/03/10		290								
	02/09/11	****	340								
	04/21/11	570	340	39	15	45	2.3	97			12
	05/04/11		340								
	07/07/11		350								
	08/04/11		320								
	33/04/11		020								

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 139	10/05/11										6.1
7S/2W-32G	11/02/11		310							*****	0.1
(Cont)	02/09/12		330								
(COIII)	05/02/12		320								
	08/09/12		310							****	
	10/02/12										 F.4
	11/02/12		200								5.4
			360								
	02/07/13		320								
	05/02/13		300								
	08/13/13	***	330							****	
No. 140	02/18/88	560	325	33	10	65	2	77	14	153	13
7S/2W-33F	01/15/92	450	235	11	2	88	1	68	18	107	2
	02/28/95	560	325	36	11	58	2	94	14	140	12
	03/25/97										8
	02/27/98	650	360	31	11	76	2	95	16	130	5
	09/17/98										8
	05/16/01										11
	02/01/01	650	370	31	12	72	2	110	21	150	4
	05/24/02										7
	04/05/05	680	390	37	16	69	2.3	140	18	150	4
	04/06/06										4.4
	04/24/07										3
	04/08/08	630	340	26	9.5	79	1.9	110	21	140	2.7
	04/08/08		350								2.7
	07/07/08	-	360								
	01/07/09	-	400								
	04/15/09		380								4.6
	07/06/09		360								
	01/06/10		350			-					
	04/08/10		350								2.1
	07/14/10	***	360								
	10/05/10		350								
	01/12/11		280								
	04/05/11	640	360	26	9.4	82	1.9	100	19		2.4
	04/05/11	040						100			2.4
		****	340								2.7
	10/05/11	****	360								
	01/17/12		380								
	04/03/12		390								
	10/02/12		370			*****					

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstitue	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	нсоз	NO3
No. 141	01/06/88	780	440	64	11	82	3	65	91	217	13
8S/2W-11P	01/30/92	820	500	63	13	95	3	79	110		19
00/211	03/30/95	840	490	58	11	100	3	70	97	241	14
	03/25/97					100					15
	03/26/98	760	480	62	12	90	3	69	86		16
	01/04/99	700									14
	02/12/99										19
	10/21/99										17
	11/03/99										14
	12/14/99										14
	06/20/00							*****			15
	01/04/01	700	450		6	94	3	75	70		
		700	450	52	-	84		75			15
	09/28/01					-					18
	11/08/02										15
	09/16/03	700	400		44						19
	01/13/04	760	490	65	11	84	3.1	70			21
	01/06/05		****					******			18
	01/06/06										16
	06/04/08		410								11
	12/05/08		480								
	03/04/09		440								
	06/02/09		390								10
	01/05/10	760	450	62	8.1	84	3.5	77	68	200	16
	03/03/10		480					****			
	06/02/10		400			-		*****			13
	09/01/10		370								****
	01/12/11		460								*****
	04/05/11		420								
	06/07/11	***									12
	07/06/11		360								
	10/11/11		420								
	01/10/12		400								****
	04/03/12		510								
	06/05/12										12
	10/09/12	****	400								
	01/03/13		490								
	01/03/13	830	490	70	10	89	3.6	80	81	220	17
	04/17/13		460								
	06/06/13						****				13
	07/09/13		450								

TABLE D-4

Site Location			Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	Conductance umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 143	01/15/88	670	345	8	2	134	1	91	57	95	11
8S/2W-17J	10/17/90	660	345	25	4	112	2	89	62	140	12
	03/03/94	690	370	24	3	114	2	93	68	131	11
	03/30/95										11
	03/25/97	600	330	15	2	110	1	87	44	89	9
	07/18/97										2.0 as N
	07/23/97									****	2.0 as N
	08/20/97	****									2.3 as N
	09/03/97	****									2.2 as N
	09/17/97									*****	2.0 as N
	09/17/98		350								2.3 as N
	10/21/99										13
	03/07/00	730	400	21	3	120	2	84	68	140	12
	10/13/00				-						8
	10/10/01									-	8
	11/19/02							*****			10
	01/13/03									****	2.1 as N
	03/10/03	650	370	14	1.9	110	1	92			10
	01/07/04										12
	01/18/05										10
	01/06/06										8.7
	06/08/06	560	270	9.5	1.3	100	1	86	<0.5	100	7.2
	01/10/07										7.3
	01/04/08										7.1
	01/08/09										9
	02/04/09		300								
	05/11/09		290							****	
	08/05/09		300								
	01/05/10										6.5
	02/04/10	****	320								
	05/06/10		330				-	-			
	08/13/10		280								
	11/01/10		350								
	01/13/11										9.1
	02/09/11		320								
	05/04/11		300								
	08/03/11		320								
	11/02/11		370								
	01/06/12										7.2
	02/09/12		300	-							1.2
	05/10/12		300								
	06/05/12		320	7.3		100	1.0	73			5.9
	08/07/12	J-10	310	1.3	1.1	100	1.0	13		100	5.5

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 143	11/01/12		290								
8S/2W-17J	01/03/13										8.5
(Cont)	02/10/13		360								
` '	05/02/13		290								
	08/19/13		330								
No. 144	09/14/88	610	335	8	<1	114	1	95	33	92	<1
7S/3W-27D3	12/19/95	730	420	34	1	124	1	120	33	186	<1
	12/20/00	690	400	28	1	120	<1	120	35	170	<2
	05/22/01										<2
	08/20/02		_								<2
	08/27/03										<2
	12/16/03	630	420	33	1.8	110	1	110	28	170	<2
	08/12/04										<2
	10/11/05										2
	12/07/06	670	370	21	1	98	1.2	110	27	150	<1
	08/07/07										<2
	08/11/08		320								<2
	02/09/09		340								
	05/08/09		360			***					
	08/05/09		370					***	***	****	<2
	02/04/10		380		-		***				
	05/06/10		410								
	08/10/10		370								<2
	11/10/10		400								
	02/02/11		340								
	05/04/11		350								
	08/09/11		340								<2
	11/02/11		320								
	02/08/12		320								
	05/03/12		340								
	08/09/12		330								<1.0
	11/02/12		370								
	12/04/12	660	350	23	1.2	110	<1.0	100	26	150	<1.0
	02/06/13	****	350								
	05/03/13		360								
	08/14/13		340								<1.0

TABLE D-4

### WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	ıg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 145	10/04/90	800	490	43	8	110	2	110	78	171	<1
7S/3W-28C	10/06/93	650	375	23	3	106	1	85	58		<1
70,011 200	11/27/96	650	340	26	2	110	1	87	48		<2
	02/04/97	670	370	24	2	110	1	87	55		<2
	01/28/98										<2
	01/04/99										<2
	10/26/99	690	400	29	3	110	1	96	61		<2
	01/06/00										<2
	01/25/01										<2
	01/18/02										<2
	10/09/02	690	390	26	2.3	110	1.2	94	52		<2
	01/15/03				2.0			J-			<2
	01/07/04										<2
	01/13/05										<2
	10/11/05	680	430	33	2.7	120	1.4	100	54		<1
	10/18/05	700	440	34	2.8	120	1.5	100	59		<1
	04/13/06				2.0	120				100	<1
	01/19/07										<1
	01/04/08										<2
	08/11/08		360								
	10/08/08	720	400	37	3.2	100	1.3	95			ND
	01/06/09										ND
	02/03/09		390								
	05/08/09		410								
	08/05/09		400								
	01/07/10										<2
	02/04/10		400								
	05/07/10		470								
	08/10/10		390								
	11/10/10		410								
	01/12/11										<2
	02/09/11		390								
	05/05/11		380								
	08/04/11		360								
	10/05/11	670	380	28	2.6	110	1.6	100			<2
	11/10/11		400		2.0		1.0				
	01/12/12		400								<1.0
	02/08/12		510								~1.0
	05/17/12		440								_
	08/09/12		410								
	11/06/12		600								
	01/16/13										<1.0
	02/07/13		400								\1.U
	05/03/13		390								
	08/14/13		370								
	00/14/13		3/0								

ND- None Detected

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	ıg/l	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 146	12/10/96	900	500	57	23	98	<1	100	64	280	15
7S/3W-28	03/02/00										4
No. 149	06/15/93										5
8S/1W-2C	10/10/01									***	4
	03/11/02	1040	610	61	23	120	4	100	170	250	4
	12/11/02										3.2
	01/23/03										4
	03/12/03	1000	600	59	22	120	3.7	100	170	230	3
	01/13/04										4
	01/11/06										2.5
	03/09/06	940	580	56	21	110	3.8	87	160	220	2.7
	01/24/07										2.4
	03/11/08		550								
	07/08/08		590								
	01/08/09		590								2.6
	03/04/09	900	590	52	20	100	3.6	93	170	210	2.5
	04/02/09		570		***						
	07/13/09		560								***
	01/07/10		570								2.6
	04/08/10		570								
	05/12/11		570								2
	08/03/11		600								
	11/09/11		620								
	02/09/12		580								
	03/02/12	970	600	59	20	99	4.4	95	180	190	2.3
	05/03/12		600								2.0
	08/08/12		610								
	11/01/12		620								
	02/10/13		600								
	05/14/13		610								1.8
	08/15/13		580								***
No. 149A	08/26/88	950	540	71	211	96	1	115	47	302	18
7S/3W-28A	10/31/91	800	480	36	13	122	3	93			
No. 150	09/29/88	1950	1235	134	29	225	2	290			15
7S/3W-27P	12/21/91	1000	590	74	17	108	4	130	110	207	
No. 151	07/25/91	860	485	53	16	103	4	90	130	183	
8S/2W-2G	07/28/91	730	400	39	12	100	3	91			
	07/29/91	600	340	9	2	122	5	63			
	10/17/91	510	295	3	<1	118	1	45			
	08/10/94	550	340	3	<1	110	1	59			<1
	06/16/97										<2
	08/14/97	540	300	2	<1	110	<1	44	10	160	<2
	09/16/98										<2
											-

TABLE D-4

ite Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	mical Co	onstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 151	04/00/00	540	000			440				400	
	01/06/00	510	300	1	<1	110	<1	33	4.6	180	<2
8S/2W-2G	01/06/05										<2
(Cont)	05/12/09	530	380	1	1	110	<1	36	7.7	140	<2.0
	05/05/10										<2
	10/28/10		290								
	12/01/10		290								
	03/09/11		310								
	05/03/11										<2
	06/02/11		280								
	09/06/11		310								
	12/06/11		300								
	03/05/12		290								
	05/02/12	490	300	1.3	<1	110	<1	38	4.2	180	<1
	06/05/12	***	240								
	09/04/12		300								
	12/03/12	***	290								
	03/06/13		260								
	05/01/13										<1.0
	06/05/13		260								
	09/03/13	***	280								
No. 151 7S/3W-34B	09/20/88 Abandoned	5780 i	3410	280	114	840	5	1660	670	369	<1
No. 152	01/11/02	860	550	64	20	77	6	75	190	160	<2
3S/1W-5K2	01/08/03										<2
	01/07/04										<2
	01/24/05	850	510	71	25	77	4.6	85	190	160	<2
	01/04/06										1.1
	01/10/07										<1
	04/08/08		510								
	01/02/09		580								ND
	04/06/09		620								
	07/13/09		610								
	01/06/10		740								1.7
	04/19/10		670								
	07/08/10		620								
	10/07/10		580								
	01/11/11		710								3.8
	04/13/11		490								J.0
	07/12/11		460								
	10/06/11		420								
	01/11/12		270								-11
	04/12/12		330								<1.1
	10/10/12										
		760	420	E 4	20	70	F 0		440		4.4
ND - None Detec	11/28/12 te	700	590	54	20	70	5.2	80	110	170	1.4

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	ıg/I	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 152	04/00/42		520								4.0
8S/1W-5K2	01/09/13		530								1.8
	04/11/13		380								
(Cont)	07/10/13	***	530								
No. 153	12/29/93	804	485	53	18	92	5	86	120	214	<1
8S/1W-5K3	04/13/99	880	540	63	23	79	5	68	220	150	<2
	04/11/00										2
	06/14/01										<2
	04/02/02	820	500	63	22	75	4.2	80	190	140	<2
	04/14/05	700	410	44	17	65	3	76	110	140	3
	04/04/06										2.3
	04/04/07										<2
	04/08/08	920	560	62	23	79	4.3	100	170	170	1.9
	01/02/09		570								
	04/06/09		610								<2.0
	07/13/09		590								-2.0
	01/06/10		560	-							
	04/08/10		610								1
	07/08/10		590								
	10/07/10		540								
	01/11/11		640		4=				400	4=0	
	04/13/11	850	520	45	17	93	3.8	92	130	170	2
	04/13/11		490								2
	07/12/11		450								
	10/06/11		380								
	01/11/12		280								
	04/12/12		300								<1.0
	10/10/12	nnn	390								
	01/09/13		420								
	04/11/13		390								<1.0
	07/10/13		470				***				
No. 154 8S/1W-5L2	01/28/94	930	530	46	20	106	6	89	130	214	3
No. 155	09/16/93	680	355	22	2	108	1	90	64	104	<1
7S/3W-28C	02/23/95	760	445	30	3	126	i	120	82		4
. 0. 011 200	06/06/95							120			5
	08/14/97										4
	02/25/98	880	540		5	120	1				5
	07/27/98	000		43		130		100	100		
											3
	02/09/00		440			400		400	70		2
	09/13/00	690	410	23	2	120	<1	100			2
	02/14/01										5
	02/21/02										2
	02/28/03										<2
	01/07/04	600	360	10	<1	120	<1	100	60	100	<2

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	ıts - m	g/I	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 155	02/23/04										6
7S/3W-28C	10/11/05										2
(Cont)	02/16/05										5
	02/07/06 02/07/07										4.9 2.5
No. 156	08/11/08	670	350	48	13	78	2.2	70	62	190	1.9
7S/3W-18	08/11/08		370								1.7
	05/08/09		400								
	08/05/09		410								1.5
	02/03/10		370								
	05/07/10		470								
	08/10/10		390								<2
	11/10/10		410								
	02/09/11		410								
	05/04/11		400								
	08/04/11	660	380	44	11	72	1.8	75	53	180	2
	08/04/11		380								1.4
	11/10/11		390								
	02/08/12		340								
	05/03/12		360								
	08/09/12		360								1.3
	11/02/12		420								
	02/06/13		390								
	05/02/13		370								
	08/14/13		370								1.2
No. 157	04/13/99	930	600	59	21	110	7	95	150	240	<2
8S/1W-5L	04/11/00										2
	06/14/01										<2
	04/02/02	830	520	60	22	78	4.1	78			<2
	04/14/05	720	420	47	18	69	3.2	74	120	150	2
	04/04/07										<2
	04/08/08	1100	640	68	24	110	4.3	130	170	230	2.6
	07/08/08		580								
	01/02/09		560								
	04/06/09		640								<2.0
	07/13/09		590								
	01/07/10		660								
	04/08/10		620								<2
	07/08/10		610						-		
	10/07/10		540								
	01/11/11		590								
	04/13/11	830	520	49	17	84	3.4	89	120	180	<2
	04/13/11		490								<2
	07/12/11		460						-		
	10/06/11		370						-		

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/I	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	нсоз	NO3
No. 157	01/11/12	***	260								***
8S/1W-5L	04/12/12		330								<1.0
(Cont)	10/10/12		360								
(/	11/28/12	930	530	68	25	82	5.1	110	110	230	1.1
	01/09/13		470								
	04/11/13		370								1.1
	07/10/13	_	480								
No. 158	06/21/94	1090	620	67	23	124	7	120	170	259	
8S/1W-5K	04/14/99	1050	660	63	24	120	7	110	160	270	<2
	04/11/00										2
	06/14/01										2
	04/02/02	900	550	61	22	92	5.7	93	190	180	<2
	04/14/05	800	450	51	19	79	4.6	83	150	160	2
	04/04/06										3.9
	04/04/07										4.6
	04/08/08	1300	760	77	25	140	6.4	150	180	280	3.5
	07/08/08		750								
	01/02/09		640		***						
	04/06/09		650								<2.0
	07/13/09		670								
	01/06/10		810				***	***			
	04/08/10		800								1.5
	07/08/10		680								
	10/07/10	7000	750	-	****	-	***				-
	01/11/11		710								
	04/13/11	870	510	43	16	100	4.8	97	130	180	2
	04/13/11		530								2
	07/12/11		610								
	10/06/11		570							***	
	02/09/12		520								
	04/12/12										<1.0
	05/02/12		460								
	08/08/12		550								
	11/01/12		740		***						-
	02/12/13		470								
	04/11/13										1.3
	05/14/13		620		-						
	08/14/13	-	710								
No. 201	03/28/91	530	315	19	6	83	2	83			2
7S/2W-27J	03/11/93	460	300	8	2	87	1	51	20	146	<1

TABLE D-4

Site Location		Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	ssolved Chemical Constitue						ıg/l	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 202 7S/2W-36J1	12/11/88	740	440	47	18	84	3	97	48	223	17
No. 203 8S/1W-6P1	05/18/88 06/29/88	960 970	580 530	50 44	39 36	110 112	4	96 120	115 123	250	<del></del> 5
	06/12/91 06/22/94 06/07/95	800 980	415 645	21 59	17 38	108 99	3 4	91 130	90 130		2 4 5
	06/23/97 08/14/97	880	530	31	26	120	3	100	110		4 3
	11/02/99 06/22/00	820	580	94	18	58	<1	63	110		5 22
	07/12/00 08/08/00 11/22/00	880	570 	43	33	120	3	100	130		7 6 5
	11/20/01 11/08/02										5 4
	01/08/03 06/10/03	850	460	 31	23	100	2.2	92	100	220	.90 as N 5
	11/04/03 11/18/04 06/08/06	940	 540	39	 32	 110	 3	100	120	220	5 7 5.5
	06/04/08 06/04/08	940 	520					100	130		5.5 5.1 4.3
	09/16/08 12/02/08		450 500								
	03/04/09 06/01/09 03/03/10		470 440 460								2.7
	06/02/10 09/01/10		490 440								3.3
	12/08/10 03/31/11		450 490								
	06/02/11 09/02/11		430 420								3.2
	12/07/11 06/05/12 09/05/12		450 430 440	19	15	110	2.3	72	94	180	3.2
	12/05/12 03/06/13		410 420								
	06/05/13 09/05/13		400 430						-		2.7
No. 204 7S/2W-26G	05/22/91 05/13/94	740 690	425 375	50 37	12 7		3 3	120 130			19 19

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/I	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 205	03/28/88	500	290	23	3	81	2	83	27	107	21
7S/3W-35A	03/13/91	490	275	22	3	75	2	62	23	113	21
	03/03/94	510	275	20	2	72	2	72	24		20
	04/26/95										22
	03/25/97	480	270	20	2	75	2	66	18		21
	05/09/01	410	270	21	3	67	1	60	17		23
	11/13/01										21
	02/19/02	***					-				20
	05/14/02										18
	08/27/02										20
	11/20/02										18
	01/08/03										4.5 as N
	03/31/03										18
	06/11/03										18
	09/16/03	***									21
	12/04/03										20
	03/09/04										18
	06/09/04										18
	09/01/04										19
	12/07/04										20
	03/08/05										21
	06/07/05										17
	09/13/05										16
	12/05/05										15
	03/09/06										17
	06/07/06										17
	04/15/09	500	290	19	2	71	1.4	68			20
	07/14/09	300	270				1.4				20
	01/06/10		280								17
	04/08/10		200								17
	04/20/10		290								
	07/20/10		260								 16
	10/05/10		240								
	01/04/11		210								15 19
	04/12/11		280								15
	07/08/11		260								14
	10/04/11		260								16
	01/12/12		250								16
	04/03/12	470	300	16	1.4	72	4.6	70			18
	04/24/12	470	260	16	1.4	73	1.6	70			16
	10/02/12		240								15
	01/03/13		270								15
	04/03/13		250								14
	07/02/13		270								18

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/I	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 207	00/04/00	540	0.45			400					
No. 207	09/01/88	510	245	1	<1	108	<1	54	26	82	<1
8S/2W-14B	09/14/88	480	305	3	<1	106	<1	58	23	24	1
	08/14/91	480	245	1	<1	100	<1	52	28		<1
	08/10/94	440	285	2	<1	91	1	56	29		2
	08/15/97	510	280	2	<1	97	<1	52	25	98	<2
	07/27/98										2
	12/27/00	480	280	2	<1	100	<1	53	30	120	2
No. 208	09/01/88	680	415	44	15	77	3	119	14	186	18
7S/2W-35M	09/14/88	690	440	44	14	77	3	129	14	183	16
	08/14/91	600	340	23	7	89	2	85	18	162	4
	08/10/94	560	370	22	6	89	2	93	20	156	5
	06/06/95										4
	08/12/96										2
	07/27/99										15
	08/18/99	***									20
No. 209	05/22/91	790	435	40	14	105	2	150	35	162	8
7S/2W-28J	05/13/94	760	525	64	22	48	3	150	15	153	25
	06/20/95										5
	05/15/97	690	390	10	3	130	<1	110	56		1.3
No. 210	04/15/59	1366		101	23	150	10	149	200	275	3
8S/2W-12K	01/18/63	400	926	99	30	17.5	4.5	145	255		4
	11/30/67	1415	890	136	5	152	10	146	230		3
	07/26/68	1250	825	96	22	144	8	130	190		5
	09/06/68	1310	840	82	26	132	5	142	222		12
	07/19/73	1200	579	84	21.4	149	6.8	122	237		19.7
	08/08/75	1140	695	84	14	150	6	101	190		15
	06/22/76	1240	675	76	26	142	7	101	205		36
	10/13/76	1120	640	92	22	100	6	110	170		5
	06/16/77	1130	610	84	18	114	6	110	170		11
	05/20/80	580	340	30	8	75	4	51	67		9
	04/03/86	800	540	65	17	86	4.5	75	112		3.5
	07/15/86		560	72	19	86	4.5	87	118		4
	03/28/88	1030	575	76	22	93	5	99	143		4
	09/25/91	1040	600	76 74	20	120	5 5	120	160		5
	09/25/91	645	460	74 52	14	79	4	70	100		2
	09/19/94							70	100		3
	09/16/98										
	12/15/98										3
											2
	01/04/99										2
	02/03/99										2
	04/08/99										3
	06/02/99 09/07/99										3 4
	09/07/99										4

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 210	10/21/99										5
8S/2W-12K	12/15/99										5
(Cont)	05/03/00										5
	09/13/00	830	560	64	17	100	4	74	190	180	4
	05/08/01										4
	05/13/02										3
	01/08/03										.52 as N
	08/20/03										2.2
	09/16/03	830	560	65	18	78	4.5	76	180	160	2
	08/10/04										3.2
	08/02/05		***								5.4
	08/15/06										6.7
	08/14/07										12.0
	08/12/08		590								7.6
	03/05/09		520								
	06/02/09		570								
	08/05/09										4.9
	03/03/10	***	600								
	06/02/10	***	600								
	08/11/10										3.6
	09/08/10	***	600								
	12/08/10		590								
	03/09/11		620								
	06/08/11		600								
	11/10/11		600								3.8
	02/09/12		560								
	05/02/12		540								
	08/09/12		490							****	
	09/05/12	840	530	60	19	0.4			450	400	40
	11/01/12	040			19	84	5.6	86			12
			500								2.8
	02/12/13		460								***
	05/03/13		420								***
	08/15/13		420								
No. 211	04/08/97	720	400	67	14	54	1	59	65	220	13
8S/2W-20R1	12/23/97		410								3.1 as N
	03/25/98		620								3.6 as N
	06/03/98										3.4 as N
	06/05/98	***	480								
	09/17/98										3.3 as N
	12/17/98		430					56	66		16
	06/03/99		430								3.4 as N
	12/14/99		310								10
	04/04/00	700	430	71	14	52	1	57			17
	06/22/00		400								15
	12/13/00										4.5 as N
	03/27/01										4.5 as N
	00/21/01										T.J 65 IV

TABLE D-4

Site Location  No. 211 8S/2W-20R1	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Cons	tituer	nts - m	ıg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 211	06/20/01										2.7 as N
8S/2W-20R1	09/13/01										4.7 as N
(Cont)	11/13/01		450								
	05/14/02		370			-					12
	07/15/03	630	370	61	11	46	1.2	46	51	220	11
	12/09/08		480		-						22
	03/09/09		560								17
	06/02/09		480								14
	01/12/10		360								6.3
	04/15/10		500							*****	16
	07/21/10		510								15
	10/07/10		540								14
	01/18/11		550								15
	04/06/11		560								16
	07/07/11		520								13
	09/01/11	840	460	86	16	56	1.2	66	100		13
	10/12/11		420							200	14
	01/10/12		520								14
	04/18/12		510								14
	10/02/12		520								13
	01/10/13	***	520								13
	04/17/13		510								12
	07/03/13		540								14
	07703/13		540					-			14
No. 212	03/28/88	640	330	42	2	74	3	81	33	146	14
8S/2W-11N	09/25/91	600	320	41	2	82	4	86	35	146	14
No. 215	08/15/90	650	380	40	13	71	3	100	14	162	11
7S/2W-34M	09/26/90										13
	06/22/94	630	400	41	13	67	2	110	16	159	11
	06/16/97	630	370	29	9	81	2	110	16		6
	08/15/97										7
	08/11/04	630	380	35	12	76	2.6	100	14	150	<2
	09/09/04										9
	06/26/06										6.6
	06/05/07										2.4
	08/14/07	590	320	22	7.3	85	2.2	88	16		2.2
	12/02/08		370								
	03/09/09	***	380								
	06/04/09		300								
	03/04/10		340								
	06/18/10		340			_			_		
	08/18/10	580	330	20	6.5	79	1.9	82	16	150	2.5
	09/03/10		330	20	0.5	19	1.3	02			2.5
	12/17/10		350								۷.۷
	03/15/11		250								
	06/07/11		320								
	12/06/11		320								
	12/00/11		320								-

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 216	06/01/88	480	280	25	4	65	2	71	11	134	
8S/2W-7W	06/29/88	480	275	29	5	59	3		7		
03/244-744	06/12/91	500						81			26
	05/27/92		285	30	5	59	2	76	9	113	23
		470	285	33	6	53	2	72	10	119	20
	04/25/01	490	300	28	4	55	2	74	13		12
	09/21/04	540	320	31	5.6	53	2.1	74	10	130	14
	10/26/04										15
	11/02/04										15
	11/10/04										16
	10/18/05			-							19
	10/12/06										19
	09/07/07	510	300	28	4.7	57	3.5	82	12	110	18
	10/03/07									****	17
	04/23/09										14
	03/18/10		370								
	04/08/10										12
	06/10/10		380								
	09/01/10		340	-						****	
	09/01/10	570	320	41	6.9	58	2.3	86	16	130	16
	12/08/10		360								
	12/14/10		390								
	06/08/11		390								
	08/10/11										
	12/08/11		400								15
	06/08/12		420								
No. 217	03/28/88	580	285	8	1	108	1	81	20	113	15
8S/2W-17M1	08/10/88	570	280	8	1	105	1	82	20	55	13
	08/14/91	570	305	17	2	99	2	74	28	134	16
	08/10/94	610	365	20	3	97	2	82	38	134	16
	08/15/97	660	370	20	3	107	1	80	41	130	13
	05/09/00										15
	10/12/00	650	380	19	2	110	1	81	49	150	16
	05/14/01										17
	05/14/02										12
	10/15/03	690	400	25	3.3	110	1.6	84	58		16
	05/06/04		400			110				150	17
	05/00/04										15
	05/11/00										
			400								16
	05/06/08		400								14
	08/12/08		430								
	05/11/09		400								13
	08/05/09		400								
	02/02/10		390								
	05/06/10		480								17
	08/09/10		470								
	11/16/10		420								

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituer	ıts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
								********	***********		
No. 217	02/02/11		410								
8S/2W-17M1	05/04/11		440								15
(Cont)	08/02/11		440								
	11/03/11	***	400								
	02/07/12		420								
	05/02/12	***	440								16
	08/07/12		450	-							
	10/02/12	790	440	31	4.0	120	1.7	89	79	170	16
	11/01/12		440								***
	02/06/13		440								
	05/02/13		440								17
	08/19/13	***	470				****				
No. 231	08/15/90	1280	805	126	18	120	5	100	310	244	9
8S/2W-20B6	09/26/90										6
	03/04/92	1700	1270	180	51	160	6	140	510	332	5
	06/20/95	1640	1300	171	44	124	6	75	520	287	5.3
	02/27/98	***									3
	05/16/00					-					5
	05/24/01	1490	1080	140	35	120	5	120	340	330	3
	05/13/02										2
	07/12/05										2.2
	07/20/06										3.7
	05/02/07	1400	830	120	27	110	4	130	250	300	2.1
	03/07/08		900								2.4
No. 232	08/15/90	960	590	71	19	110	5	98	130	235	30
8S/2W-11J3	09/26/90										35
	09/25/91	980	565	74	19	106	5	98	120	244	37
	09/19/94	805	495	54	14	92	4	80	110	207	15
	09/13/96							****			22
	11/04/97	1000	660	76	20	110	4	97	130	230	29
	07/27/98		***								38
	12/10/98										22
	01/06/98										30
	01/29/99										10
	02/03/99										26
	02/24/99										37
	04/08/99										33
	04/21/99	***	***								34
	06/23/99	***						*****			33
	07/08/99										36
	08/25/99										33
	09/21/99										31
	10/06/99										30
	11/17/99										32
	12/14/99										32

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	mical Co	onstitue	nts - m	ıg/l	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
N. 000	04/40/00										
No. 232	01/18/00	***									31
8S/2W-11J3	02/29/00										10
(Cont)	03/21/00										25
	04/11/00										29
	05/25/00										26
	06/21/00										26
	07/11/00										25
	09/13/00	920	590	65	17	105	4	91	150	210	21
	10/06/00										18
	11/08/00										17
	12/13/00										20
	01/04/01										19
	02/28/01										10
	04/10/01										20
	10/10/01										26
	05/14/02										22
	08/06/02										4*
	01/08/03										6.0 as N
	03/31/03										11
	06/10/03	***									31
	07/08/03										30
	08/20/03										28
	09/16/03	1100	680	67	18	110	4.3	100	150		33
	10/14/03										31
	01/14/04			*****				-			23
	02/10/04										21
	04/14/04										25
	05/06/04										26
	06/22/04										25
	07/14/04										25
	08/10/04										31
	09/08/04										26
	10/26/04										15
	11/18/04										26
	12/07/04										26 16
	01/10/05			***							
											20
	02/14/05										14
	03/11/05										11
	04/13/05										25
	06/08/05									-	24
	07/12/05	***								-	22
	08/02/05										18

<sup>\*</sup> Sample may have been switched with Well 233

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical Co	nstitue	nts - m	ıg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 232	09/20/05	***									19
8S/2W-11J3	10/18/05										18
(Cont)	11/08/05									***	18
	12/06/05									****	19
	01/04/06										15
	02/14/06										18
	03/13/06										8.3
	04/18/06										12
	05/12/06										15
	06/22/06										11
	07/19/06										13
	08/15/06										14
	11/02/06					-					15
	01/10/07										13
	02/07/07										15
	03/14/07										15
	04/17/07										
											14
	05/01/07										13
	06/01/07										11
	07/05/07										12
	08/14/07										14
	10/03/07										13
	12/05/07										12
	01/08/08										11
	02/13/08							***			6.9
	03/04/08										9.7
	03/07/08		610								
	04/08/08										13
	05/07/08										12
	07/10/08		580								
	07/28/08	***									12
	08/12/08										13
	12/03/08										14
	01/13/09		660								14
	02/05/09										13
	03/04/09										12
	04/02/09		580								13
	05/11/09										11
	06/02/09										11
	07/13/09		580								12
	08/05/09										12
	01/06/10		590								12
	02/03/10										10
	03/10/10					_					8.5
	04/08/10		570					-			12
	05/07/10		510								13
	06/03/10										13
	00/03/10		-						-		13

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	mical Co	nstituer	ıts - m	g/I	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
							*****	***************************************			
No. 232	07/08/10		570				****				13
8S/2W-11J3	08/10/10										14
(Cont)	09/02/10										3.6
	10/06/10		590								15
	11/16/10	***	****							****	13
	12/01/10			****							14
	01/04/11		490			-					7.9
	03/09/11										8.4
	04/05/11		560								13
	05/03/11										11
	06/08/11								-		11
	07/06/11		590								10
	08/03/11										10
	09/02/11								-		10
	10/14/11		610								11
	11/02/11				_						11
	12/07/11										11
	01/11/12		590								9.9
	02/02/12							****			
		****									9.4
	03/07/12										9.7
	04/04/12		580								8.4
	05/02/12										9.4
	06/05/12										9.6
	08/08/12										10
	09/05/12	950	610	69	19	100	4.5	99	200	190	11
	10/17/12		620								10
	11/01/12										11
	12/04/12										10
	01/09/13		610								9.9
	02/12/13										11
	03/12/13										10
	04/11/13		600								12
	05/02/13			-							13
	06/05/13										11
	07/10/13	****	580								12
	08/14/13										12
	09/05/13										13
No. 233 (Old 112)	06/15/88	900	535	71	21	100	5	96	136	247	4
8S/2W-12K2	03/27/91	1020	580	66	19	114	5	95	140		12
	03/03/94	740	425	50	14	75	4	71	100		2
	04/27/95										6
	03/27/97	880	510	57	15	100	4	81	120		4
	01/04/99										5
	02/03/99										4
	04/08/99									-	4
	06/03/99								-		
	00/03/99	***							-		4

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	g/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 233 (Old 112)	07/20/99										_
8S/2W-12K2		***									5
(Cont)	08/11/99 09/07/99										4
(Cont)											4
	10/21/99		***								5
	11/03/99	070	570	C-4	40	440			450		4
	04/11/00	970	570	64	18	110	4	85	150	230	4
	10/06/00								***		3
	10/10/01	***				Christian					4
	08/06/02										26*
	01/13/03										1 as N
	07/07/03										2.7
	07/13/04		****								3
	07/12/05			75					400	400	2.8
	04/04/06	960	600	75	20	87	4.5	93	180		7.3
	08/04/06										11
	08/14/07										8.1
	08/13/08		530								6.1
	02/05/09		570								
	04/02/09	960	580	70	20	88	4.7	100	160	200	6.8
	05/11/09		610		-						
	08/04/09		570								5
	02/02/10		560								***
	05/06/10		660		-						
	08/10/10		580								5.1
	07/02/11		630								4.0
	08/03/11										4.2
	10/14/11		620								
	01/10/12		580								
	04/12/12	930	560	67	20	93	5.5	91	190	180	4.7
	04/12/12		570								
	08/08/12										5.3
	10/17/12		540								****
	01/09/13		520								****
	04/11/13		500								
	07/10/13		440								
	08/15/13										4.1
No. 234 (Old 114)	03/31/88	840	480	54	15	100	4	61	109	241	18
8S/2W-11P	03/27/91	1020	605	69	19	114	5	77	138	256	37
	06/20/95										11
	09/26/96		***								9
	02/04/97										12
	04/25/97	840	500	56	15	95	4	77	120	230	8
	01/19/99										12

<sup>\*</sup> Sample might have been switched with Well 232

TABLE D-4

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	ıg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 234 (Old 114)	02/12/99										16
8S/2W-11P	04/21/99										15
(Cont)	06/03/99										16
	07/27/99		***		-						18
	08/19/99		***								17
	09/21/99										16
	10/26/99										13
	04/13/00	900	550	64	18	10	4	70	150	220	13
	07/06/00										12
	07/12/01		direction .								7
	08/02/01										<2
	11/20/02										3
	12/11/02	850	520	62	17	80	3.7	74	170	170	4
	11/04/03										10
	11/05/04										10
	11/03/05									-	12
	12/06/05	890	620	70	19	89	4.1	85	180	200	12
	11/08/06		****								14
	11/16/07										16
	08/12/08		610								
	11/06/08		570								20
	12/03/08	960	660	83	21	89	4.9	87	160	230	20
	02/05/09		590					-			
	05/07/09		620					and an			***
	08/04/09		590								
	02/03/10		610								
	05/06/10		680								
	08/10/10		610								
	08/11/10		610								
	11/01/10		610								21
	02/09/11		620								
	05/03/11	-	620								
	08/03/11		570								
	11/02/11		560								20
	12/06/11	990	660	71	20	99	4.2	91			21
	05/03/12		620		20		-7.2		100	240	
	08/08/12		620								
	11/01/12		620								22
	02/07/13		580								
	05/02/13		610								
	08/15/13		620								
	00, 10, 10		020								
No. 235 (Old 137)	06/24/88	460	310	40	10	41	2	58	10	140	15
8S/3W-1Q1	06/20/90	420	230	22	4	56	2	50	(	128	18
	06/10/93	370	235	15	2	65	2	51	9	113	17
	07/16/96	410	230	16	2	60	1	48	8.9	110	20
	06/09/97										17

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstitue	nts - m	g/l	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 235 (Old 137)	06/03/99	390	240	13	1	63	1	46	6.7	98	17
8S/3W-1Q1	11/03/99	550	240							50	16
(Cont)	11/09/00										15
(Cont)	11/20/01										13
	06/11/02	380	210	10	<1	62	1.2	48		100	16
	11/05/02		210					40	1.2		17
	11/18/03								_		11
	11/18/05			_							18
	06/22/05	380	230	9	<1	68	1.1	49	7.3	96	16
	11/08/05	300	250				1.1		7.5	30	17
	11/14/06			_	_	_					16
	06/11/08	400	210	11	1	72	1.4	48	8.4		15
	07/07/08		200				17		0.4	100	
	01/13/09		260								
	04/07/09		210								
	07/13/09		200								
	01/06/10		230								
	04/08/10		220								
	07/14/10		220								
	10/05/10		180								
	11/16/10	-									15
	01/12/11	-	170								
	08/17/11	380	210	13	1.2	65	1.7	48	8.4	100	16
	08/17/11		230								
	11/02/11		200								15
	02/09/12		200								
	05/03/12		220								
	08/09/12		200								
	11/02/12		220								14
	02/10/13		230		-						
	05/02/13		200								
	09/10/13		220								
No. 301	07/29/92	500	290	20	6	80	1	45	5 56	143	<1
7S/3W-18Q1	02/27/97	580	350	45	16	48	2	49			4
	08/15/97										6
	12/27/00	570	360	49	15	53	2	55			7
	02/22/02										<2
	05/14/02	550	340					57			3
	12/11/02	580	350								2.5

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

### WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

No. 302 No. 302 No. 302 No. 305 No. 306 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 307 No. 308 No. 30	Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	ıg/l	
75/3W-18H					Ca	Mg	Na		CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
75/3W-18H	No. 202	04/11/00	600	260	26		100	4	77	CE	400	-4
05/14/92 — 270 12 2 90 <1 48 48 — — 05/05/94 870 530 69 16 84 2 110 88 238 <1 05/16/95 — — — — — — — — — — — — <1 05/16/96 530 320 — — — — 60 54 — 2 05/13/97 560 500 73 14 94 2 110 86 240 <2 05/13/97 560 500 73 14 94 2 110 86 240 <2 05/13/100 520 320 11 1 99 <1 51 50 130 <2 06/13/100 520 310 — — — — — — — — — — — <2 05/11/100 520 310 — — — — — — — — — — — — <2 07/11/100 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — <2 12/20/01 790 500 — — — — — — 110 140 — <2 12/11/102 870 510 — — — — — — — — — ND 06/19/03 620 370 22 3.8 95 <1 77 63 140 <2 03/11/104 830 510 — — — — — 110 85 — <2 03/11/104 830 510 — — — — — 110 85 — <2 03/21/04 900 550 — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — — 110 85 — <2 05/21/96 — — — — — — — 111 85 — <2 05/21/97 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 111 85 N 07/16/97 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 111 as N 07/16/97 — — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 07/12/397 — — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/03/97 — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/03/97 — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/03/97 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/18/97 — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/18/97 — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/18/97 — — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 07/12/99 — — — — — — — — — — — — 6 08/02/09 740 450 21 2 120 1 180 38 87 7 07/19/90 — — — — — — — — — 6 08/02/00 740 450 21 2 140 1 180 38 87 7 07/19/90 — — — — — — — — 6 08/02/00 740 450 21 2 140 1 180 38 87 7 07/19/90 — — — — — — — — — 6 08/02/00 740 450 21 2 140 1 180 38 87 7 07/19/90 — — — — — — — — — 6 08/02/00 880 490 21 2.1 140 1.5 190 33 83 5												
05/05/94	73/3W-10H											
05/16/96												
07/16/96		,										
06/13/97 560 500 73 14 94 2 110 86 240 <2 07/27/99 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —												
07/27/99 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —												
06/17/00 520 320 11 1 99 <1 51 50 130 <2 06/13/00 520 310					73	14	94	2	110	86	240	
06/13/00 520 310												
07/11/00					11	1	99	<1	51	50	130	
12/20/01 790 500 — — — — — — 110 140 — <2 12/11/02 870 510 — — — — — — ND 06/19/03 620 370 22 3.8 95 <1 77 63 140 <2 03/17/04 830 510 — — — — — 110 85 — <2 03/17/04 830 510 — — — — — 110 85 — <2 06/22/04 — — — — — — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — — — — — — — — — <3 09/21/04 900 550 — — — — — — — — — — — <001 09/25/91 730 365 19 2 122 2 150 27 82 5 08/11/94 730 430 20 2 120 2 160 30 73 5 02/16/95 — — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 07/16/97 — — — — — — — — — — — 1.2 as N 08/20/97 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/397 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/397 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/397 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/397 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/398 — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/16/98 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/16/98 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 07/120/99 — — — — — — — — — 6 08/02/00 740 450 20 2 130 <1 — — 85 —  07/10/100 — 450 20 2 130 <1 — — 85 —  07/10/100 — — — — — — — — — — — — 6 08/02/00 740 450 21 2 140 1 180 38 87 7 07/19/01 — — — — — — — — — — — — — 5 01/11/303 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 5 01/10/04 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —			520	310		****						
12/11/02 870 510 — — — — — — — ND 06/19/03 620 370 22 3.8 95 <1 77 63 140 <2 03/17/04 830 510 — — — — 110 85 — <2 06/22/04 — — — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — — 110 82 — <2 09/21/04 900 550 — — — — — — — — — — — <001 09/25/91 730 365 19 2 122 2 150 27 82 5 08/11/94 730 430 20 2 122 2 160 30 73 5 02/16/95 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 07/12/397 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 07/12/397 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 08/20/97 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/03/97 — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/03/97 — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/18/97 — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/18/97 — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/18/97 — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/18/97 — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 09/16/98 — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 07/20/99 — — — — — — — — — — 1.4 as N 07/20/99 — — — — — — — — — — — 1.4 as N 07/20/99 — — — — — — — — — — — — 1.4 as N 07/20/99 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 6 05/10/00 — 450 20 2 130 <1 — 85 — — — — 6 05/10/00 — 450 20 2 130 <1 — 85 — — 7 07/19/01 — — — — — — — — — — — — 5 01/13/03 — — — — — — — — — — — — — 5 01/13/03 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 08/20/03 880 490 21 2.1 140 1.5 190 33 83 5 01/07/04 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N		07/11/00	***									<2
06/19/03 620 370 22 3.8 95 <1 77 63 140 <2 03/17/04 830 510		12/20/01	790	500					110	140		<2
03/17/04 830 510 110 85 <2 06/22/04 110 85 <2 09/21/04 900 550 110 82 <2 09/21/04 900 550 110 82 <2  No. 309 08/15/90 690 370 19 3 119 2 140 25 73 5 7S/3W-27H 04/11/91 <001 09/25/91 730 365 19 2 122 2 150 27 82 5 08/11/94 730 430 20 2 120 2 160 30 73 5 02/16/95 11 as N 07/23/97 11 as N 07/23/97 11 as N 08/20/97 11 as N 09/03/97 11 as N 09/03/97 11 as N 09/18/97 11 as N 09/18/97 11 as N 09/18/97 11 as N 09/18/97 11 as N 09/18/97 11 as N 09/18/97 11 as N 09/18/97 11 as N 09/18/97 6 08/06/98 6 09/16/98 460 6 09/16/98 460 6 09/16/98 460 6 09/16/98 460 6 05/10/00 450 20 2 130 <1 180 38 87 7 07/19/01 6 08/02/00 740 450 21 2 140 1 180 38 87 7 07/19/01		12/11/02	870	510								ND
06/22/04		06/19/03	620	370	22	3.8	95	<1	77	63	140	<2
06/22/04		03/17/04	830	510		-			110	85	-	<2
No. 309  No.		06/22/04										
78/3W-27H		09/21/04	900	550								
09/25/91         730         365         19         2         122         2         150         27         82         5           08/11/94         730         430         20         2         120         2         160         30         73         5           02/16/95         — <td>No. 309</td> <td>08/15/90</td> <td>690</td> <td>370</td> <td>19</td> <td>3</td> <td>119</td> <td>2</td> <td>140</td> <td>25</td> <td>73</td> <td>5</td>	No. 309	08/15/90	690	370	19	3	119	2	140	25	73	5
08/11/94         730         430         20         2         120         2         160         30         73         5           02/16/95         — </td <td>7S/3W-27H</td> <td>04/11/91</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt;.001</td>	7S/3W-27H	04/11/91			-							<.001
02/16/95       —<		09/25/91	730	365	19	2	122	2	150	27	82	5
02/16/95       —<		08/11/94	730	430	20	2	120	2	160	30		5
07/16/97         —<		02/16/95										
07/23/97         —         —         —         —         —         —         1.2 as N           08/20/97         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         1.1 as N           09/03/97         —			-									
08/20/97 1.1 as N 09/03/97 1.1 as N 09/18/97 1.1 as N 10/03/97 790 520 21 2 130 2 170 33 85 6 08/06/98 6 09/16/98 460 6 09/16/98 460 6 05/10/00 450 20 2 130 <1 85 6 05/10/00 450 20 2 130 <1 85 6 08/02/00 740 450 21 2 140 1 180 38 87 7 07/19/01 5 01/13/03 5 01/13/03 880 490 21 2.1 140 1.5 190 33 83 5 01/07/04 6			-									
09/03/97         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         1.1 as N           09/18/97         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         1.1 as N           10/03/97         790         520         21         2         130         2         170         33         85         6           08/06/98         —					-							
09/18/97         —         —         —         —         —         —         1.1 as N           10/03/97         790         520         21         2         130         2         170         33         85         6           08/06/98         —												
10/03/97     790     520     21     2     130     2     170     33     85     6       08/06/98     —     —     —     —     —     —     —     —     —     —     6       09/16/98     —     460     —												
08/06/98       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       6         09/16/98       —       460       —       —       —       —       —       —       1.4 as N         07/20/99       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       6         05/10/00       —       450       20       2 130       <1			790		21	2	130	2	170			
09/16/98       —       460       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       1.4 as N         07/20/99       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       6         05/10/00       —       450       20       2 130       <1									170			
07/20/99       —       —       —       —       —       —       6         05/10/00       —       450       20       2       130       <1												
05/10/00     —     450     20     2     130     <1												
07/06/00       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       6         08/02/00       740       450       21       2       140       1       180       38       87       7         07/19/01       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       7         11/19/02       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       5         01/13/03       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       —       1.1 as N         08/20/03       880       490       21       2.1       140       1.5       190       33       83       5         01/07/04       —							420					О
08/02/00 740 450 21 2 140 1 180 38 87 7 07/19/01 7 11/19/02 5 01/13/03 1.1 as N 08/20/03 880 490 21 2.1 140 1.5 190 33 83 5 01/07/04 6							130					
07/19/01 7 11/19/02 5 01/13/03 1.1 as N 08/20/03 880 490 21 2.1 140 1.5 190 33 83 5 01/07/04 6							4.40					
11/19/02 — — — — — — — 5 01/13/03 — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 08/20/03 880 490 21 2.1 140 1.5 190 33 83 5 01/07/04 — — — — — — — 6			740		21	2	140	1	180	38	87	
01/13/03 — — — — — — — — 1.1 as N 08/20/03 880 490 21 2.1 140 1.5 190 33 83 5 01/07/04 — — — — — — — — 6												
08/20/03 880 490 21 2.1 140 1.5 190 33 83 5 01/07/04 6						*****						
01/07/04 6						****						
			880	490	21	2.1	140	1.5	190	33	83	
11/11/05 6		01/07/04										6
		11/11/05										6

ND - None Detected

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	onstituer	nts - m	ıg/I	
***************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 309	01/04/06		****								5.4
7S/3W-27H	12/07/06	870	470	21	1.9	140	2	190	36	84	5.4
(Cont)	01/10/07										5.3
	01/08/08										5.4
	08/12/08		470								
	01/06/09										6.7
	02/03/09		450	****							
	04/01/09			25	2.9						
	05/11/09		460								*****
	08/04/09		450								
	01/07/10						******				5.7
	02/02/10		480								***
	05/06/10		500		-						
	08/09/10		490								-
	11/10/10		460								
	01/04/11						****				5.8
	02/02/11		480				****				
	05/04/11		470								
	08/04/11		480								
	11/02/11		460								
	01/17/12										5.5
	02/08/12		480								5.5
	05/03/12		490								
	08/09/12		440								
	11/02/12		500								
	12/04/12	950	500	24	2.5	150	1.7	190	45	92	5.8
	01/10/13	330			2.5	150	1.7				5.6 5.5
	02/05/13		490								5.5
	05/02/13		490 470								
	08/14/13		460		****						

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Page Intentionally Blank

TABLE D-5

### **WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emical	Consti	tuents	- mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Pechanga Indian	Reservatio	n									
8S/2W-28M03	08/26/99	562	319	38	13	52	0.77	68	15		2.59 as N
	08/12/03	534	344	40.7	14.7	53.5	0.86	58.9	14.1		4.21 as N
	08/19/04	708	440	61.4	22.5	51	0.93	87.6	52		6.16 as N
	08/02/05	746	459	69.7	26.9	44.3	1.01	87.8	61.8		5.09 as N
	08/02/06	678	413	55.9	21	42.6	0.85	74.9	43.1	153	8.25 as N
	09/04/07	663	392	53.7	19.5	51.1	0.92	70.1	32.1	158	8.32 as N
8S/2W-28M05	09/01/09	457	253	10.7	0.483	77.7	0.53	65.6	17.4	91	0.08 as N
	07/26/10		261	11	0.942	83.3	0.53	78.3	17.1		E 0.048
	08/31/11	482	272	10.7	0.999	86.0	0.49	77.8	16.9	88	0.052
	08/13/13	475	281	12.3	1.14	81.9	0.51	77.6	15.8	87.9	<.177
8S/2W-28Q02	10/05/89	629	378	48	19	49	0.7	76	14	169	4.2 as N
	07/26/90	613	383	48	18	47	0.6	75	12	171	3.9 as N
	07/18/91	618	379	49	18	49	0.7	83	14	172	3.0 as N
	07/28/93	620	400	51	20	47	0.7	63	15	174	9.6 as N
	08/17/94	641	396	51	21	50	0.8	60	- 17	179	11.0 as N
	08/31/95	653	396	53	21	48	0.7	60	19	184	12.0 as N
	08/28/96										11.0 as N
	08/12/97	614	411	47	19	47	0.7	63	15	176	8.9 as N
	08/19/98	625	402	47	20	47	0.7	60	14		9.85 as N
	08/21/02	598	394	47	19	46	0.7	64	15	·	8.5 as N
	08/12/03	604	405	48.8	19.8	47.8	0.7	69.1	14.0		7.1 as N
	08/18/04	615	386	51.6	20.2	45.6	0.9	78.8	16.5		4.03 as N
	08/02/05	822	514	76.8	30.2	54	8.0	93.7	30.9		14.7 as N
8S/2W-28R01	08/03/89	495	286	41	4.0	60	0.9	37	13	177	1.1 as N
	07/26/90	525	296	48	4.8	54	1.0	45	14	191	1.5 as N
	07/17/91	462	261	31	3.2	66	8.0	44	12	155	.8 as N
	07/27/93	445	269	44	4.4	43	0.5	28	14	170	1.9 as N
	08/15/94	421	232	32	3.3	55	0.9	28	11	156	1.5 as N
	08/30/95	375	200	21	2.2	55	0.6	31	11	129	.7 as N
	08/27/96										
	08/13/97	398	241	20	2.1	59	0.62	37	11	130	.572 as N
	08/20/98	481	282	36	3.9	60	0.85	38	14	167	1.1 as N
	08/25/99	446	252	28	3.1	59	0.66	41	12	2	.758 as N
	08/22/00	456	265	29	3.3	61	0.73	39	14		.759 as N
	08/21/01	522	320	51	5.9	48	1.0	42	16	·	1.73 as N
	08/21/02	457	284	33	3.7	61	0.87	41	13	3	1.09 as N
	08/12/03	518	330	55	6.5	50.4	1.1	39.7	14.3	3	1.94 as N
	08/18/04	516	317	56.8	6.2	47.9	1.4	42.6	14.2	2	1.64 as N

<sup>\* -</sup> Alkalinity as CaC03 E - estimated

TABLE D-5

### **WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emical	Consti	tuents	- mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Pechanga Indian	Reservatio	n (Continued)									
8S/2W-28R01	08/03/05	541	333	60.5	6.5	45.3	1.2	40.2	14.1		2.23 as N
(Cont)	09/10/08	480	278	37.2	4.67	62.4	1.14	41.2	11.4	160	
	08/04/09	543	329	50	5.49	55.5	1.12	38.7	18.4	194	1.78 as N
	07/26/10	564	335	58.3	6.57	49.9	1.12	41.9	18.7	203	9.89
	08/22/11	548	357	55.0	6.75	52.9	1.07	41.3	18.8	187	10.5
	08/21/12	507	287	44.7	5.19	60.5	0.95	39.2	17.4	178	8.33
	07/24/13	498	302	43.9	4.87	60.6	0.91	39.8	17.6	178	7.63
8S/2W-29A01	08/02/89	346	207	31	11	24	0.4	18	7.0	131	2.0 as N
	07/24/90	354	193	32	11	25	0.4	24	6.7	133	2.0 as N
	07/18/91	361	194	32	10	26	0.4	25	6.0	134	1.8 as N
	08/15/94	363	216	33	12	25	0.5	24	7.7	132	2.6 as N
	08/31/95	363	208	32	11	23	0.4	21	8.1	137	2.6 as N
	08/28/96										2.9 as N
	08/12/97	368	238	32	12	24	0.44	22	7.4	138	3.05 as N
	08/19/98	411	246	36	11	31	0.45	25	8.2	153	2.94 as N
	08/25/99	375	222	33	12	23	0.39	20	6.7		3.81 as N
	08/22/00	374	237	33	12	24	0.42	18	7.3	3	3.48 as N
	08/21/01	374	236	34	12	24	0.46	20	7.3		3.56 as N
	08/02/05	382	243	38.7	11.6	27.1	0.53	27.6	7.7		2.79 as N
8S/2W-29A02	08/02/06	392	242	36.2	10.9	26.6	0.43	29.4	7.94	139	2.64 as N
	08/04/09	394	245	29.8	11.3	32.2	0.64	34.5	7.38	3 133	0.81 as N
	07/26/10		268	37.5	11.9	32.5	0.55	38.5	12.9		E 10.8
	08/22/11	434	299	35.9	12.0	35.7	0.59	41.9	12.7	7 132	9.30
	08/21/12	465	298	42.0	13.2	38.1	0.55	42.4	15.8	3 148	11.8
	07/24/13	464	297	39.7	13.6	37.0	0.62	45.6	16.3		
8S/2W-29B02	03/01/90	456	257	5.5	0.14	89	0.8	66	22	2 100	
	03/06/90	456	256	5.9	0.13	90	0.7	66	20	99	<0.1 as N
8S/2W-29B03	03/06/90	478	275	14	1.9	84	0.8	65	16	5 123	<0.1 as N
8S/2W-29B05	03/02/90	397	229	29	9.5	43	1.2	35	4.9	9 141	1.8 as N
8S/2W-29B06	03/02/90	406	259	34	11	38	0.8	38	10	143	
	03/06/90	427	240	32	11	40	1.0	40			
8S/2W-29B07	03/07/90	396	230	8.6	2.5	71	0.9	51			<0.1 as N
	08/16/90	371	199	8.4	1.8	69	8.0	50	14	4 106	<0.1 as N

<sup>\* -</sup> Alkalinity as CaC03 E - estimated

TABLE D-5

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	issolved Chemical Constituents - mg/l Solids							
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Pechanga Indian	Reservatio	n (Continued)		***************************************							
8S/2W-29B08	03/07/90 08/16/90	464 458	272 261	31 34	9.4 9.1	52 48	1.2 1.1	58 59	12 17		0.45 as N 0.4 as N
8S/2W-29B09	03/07/90 08/17/90	343 317	210 197	21 26	9.2 10	39 26	1.0 1.1	24 22	6.7 3.4		1.3 as N 1.6 as N
8S/2W-29B10	08/19/98 08/26/99 08/22/00 08/21/01 08/12/03	367 393 393 398 387	223 219 228 231 239	12 12 12 11 11.3	0.64 0.72 0.76 0.62 0.65	75 68 69 72 75.1	0.62 0.56 0.58 0.57 0.57	50 46 43 49 47.2	10 11 11 15 18.4		<.05 as N <.05 as N <.05 as N .04 as N 2.41as N
	08/18/04 08/02/05 08/03/06 09/04/07	390 404 381 430	232 242 222 237	11.2 12.5 12.3 12.1	0.64 0.67 0.77 0.70	72.6 69.9 62.8 78.3	0.64 0.65 0.54 0.65	48 47.2 40.3 47.2	20.8 23.2 17.3 27.5	 110 107	<.06 as N <.06 as N <.06 as N <.06 as N
	09/15/08 08/04/09 07/26/10 08/22/11 08/21/12	420 381 394 421 432	242 217 220 265 245	12.1 11.4 11.5 12.8	0.697 0.734	77.3 66 71.6 75.5 82.4	0.59 0.64 0.64 0.58 0.62	45.3 39.9 42.2 45.5 47.1	29.6 23.7 26 31.0 34.9	108 107 99 106	E .03 as N E .03 as N E 0.079 0.115 <.177
8S/2W-29B11	07/24/13 08/02/06 08/04/09 07/26/10	451 483 497 	264 285 281 287	13.6 30.1 33 34.7	7.84 8.51 9.09	51.5 51 53.4	0.63 0.93 0.98 1.05	49.2 57.1 52.6 56.8	43.1 11.8 16.6 15.3	138 140	<.177 1.44 as N 2.33 as N E 10.3
	08/22/11 08/21/12 07/24/13	482 492 505	308 300 300	32.7 35.9 36.2	9.52 10.0	53.0 55.9 57.2	1.00 1.03 1.05	54.2 54.3 54.5	16.0 17.9	131 142	10.9 11.9
8S/2W-29F3	08/03/06	378	251	21.9	7.67	38.9	1.9	47.2	10.4	104	0.46 as N
8S/2W-29J02	08/26/99 08/22/00 08/21/01 08/21/02 08/12/03 08/19/04	565 562 574 554 592 598	329 337 351 345 372 362	39 39 40 41 45.4 48.8	15 15 16 16.6	47 47 50 50 54.2	1.6 1.5 1.6 1.8 1.65 1.88	66 65 70 68 78.2 80	14 15 14 15.4	 i	2.67 as N 2.70 as N 2.63 as N 2.93 as N 2.41 as N 3.06 as N
8S/2W-29J03	08/02/06	532	337	40.3	13.2	43.1	1.34	44.8	17.5	152	8.48 as N

<sup>\* -</sup> Alkalinity as CaC03

E - estimated

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Chemical Constituents - mg/l								
277277400400010000	Tested	umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Pechanga Indian	Reservatio	n (Continued)									
8S/2W-34B04	10/05/89	617	371	51	8.2	67	1	58	30	192	.47 as N
	07/26/90	605	341	50	8	65	1	61	31	194	.50 as N
	07/18/91	564	339	46	7.4	67	1	53	27	185	.87 as N
	07/27/93	267	170	18	2.8	34	0.5	14	9.7	96	1.10 as N
8S/2W-35D01	08/03/89	660	358	43	5.5	87	1.2	78	35	169	.35 as N
	07/26/90	669	384	41	4.9	92	1.5	82	36	176	.40 as N
	07/17/91	641	371	40	4.4	98	1.7	81	36	175	.39 as N
	07/27/93	638	374	49	5.9	79	1.8	71	27	199	.34 as N
	08/16/94	601	334	30	3.2	95	1.5	71	29	163	.16 as N
	08/30/95	587	322	33	4	81	1.5	68	25	178	.11 as N
	08/27/96	596	352	28	3.3	92	1.4	72	29	167	.10 as N

<sup>\* -</sup> Alkalinity as CaC03

TABLE D-5

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emical	Constit	tuents	- mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Cahuilla Indian Re	eservation										
7S/2E14M01	12/14/83	1220	708	130	40	45	11	53	390	98	0.04 as N
7S/2E-23H01	05/18/06	428	288	39.6	5.7	33.7	3.1	31	14		8.26 as N
7S/2E-23Q01	05/18/06	245	160	15.6	2.55	26.6	2.5	29.5	5.4		1.07 as N
7S/2E-26B03	07/11/07	296	197	23.7	3.04	31	2.94	33.9	7.64	76	1.79 as N
7S/2E-33N1	08/02/89	355	206	16	2.1	53	3.5	48	15	78	.73 as N
7S/2E-36J01	02/03/84		252	43	4.4	36	4.8	32	5.4		3.40 as N
7S-3E-14P03	08/10/05	1080	741	113	42.4	70	9.7	66.8	296		.15 as N
7S-3E-20J05	08/23/07	753	466	49.4	7.09	89.2	3.19	87.9	83.6	110	6.88 as N
7S/3E-21L01	05/27/53 08/02/89 08/01/90 07/17/91 08/23/07	750 1050 1020 995 1040	675 610 636 677	66 90 87 93 96.1	20 19 18 18 20.2	70 100 100 100 90.9	3.5 3.4 3.7 3.67	67 84 85 95 96.2	76 190 180 180 169	216 217 206	3.1 as N 3.0 as N 2.5 as N 3.42 as N
7S/3E-31L02	02/03/84		184	23	4.8	24	2.9	24	0		2.0 as N
7S/3E-31N01	07/27/84	684	412	69	12	37		75	12		
7S/3E-34E01	07/07/76 09/22/77 07/19/78 06/28/79 07/02/80 07/08/81 06/29/82 08/10/83 08/21/84 08/01/85	  309 311 306 319 321	190	25 25 26 26 26 27 27 27 30 28	4.6 4.9 5.1 5 4.9 5 5.3 5 5.3	21 23 22 22 23 23 27 23 24 24	4.2 4.4 4.5 4.3 4.7 4.7 4.9 4.8 4.3 4.6	26 25 24 24 28 26 27 29 29	7.3 6.9 6.5 6.9 7.7 10 7.7 7.2 7.0	81 88 88 90 92	4.1 as N 4.0 as N 3.8 as N 3.7 as N

<sup>\* -</sup> Alkalinity as CaC03

TABLE D-5

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Ch	emical	Consti	tuents	- mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Cahuilla Indian R	eservation	(Continued)									
7S/3E-34E01	08/14/87	332	207	29	5.6	25	4.8	28	8.0	96	3.5 as N
	07/20/89	338	204	30	5.6	26	5.0	29	7.0	98	3.3 as N
	07/31/91	337	109	31	5.5	25	4.5	31	6.3		3.5 as N
	07/16/91	335	209	31	5.9	26	4.7	32	6.3	99	3.5 as N
8S/2E-4P01	01/21/86	1870		190	54	64	7.9	480	13	136	4.0 as N
	05/18/06	794	441	59.8	19.3	44.1	4.44	101	10.4		5.45 as N
8S/3E-2A01	02/05/86	591		54	11	43	3.2	93	21	103	3.4 as N
8S/3E-2D01	07/08/81	293		17	2.2	39	1.7	30	8.8	68	2.5 as N
	07/24/85	279		11	1.2	42	1.5	28	8	71	2.1 as N
8S/3E-2E01	12/07/50	-		30	10	53		50	14		
	11/15/51			38	8	43		50	6		
	05/27/76			39	9.4	32	2.2	49	12		4.9 as N
	09/22/77		280	39	9.6	33	2.6	42	8.4		
	07/19/78			42	10	36	2.4	57	13		5.7 as N
	06/28/79		284	40	9	32	2.8	42	9		
	07/02/80			34	6.5	22	2.4	27	7.4		0
	07/08/81	296		33	4.8	19	1.9	36	1		2.0 as N
	06/29/82	494		43	9.7	41	3	54	14		5.7 as N
	07/26/83	427		40	9.6	32	3	42	9.7		4.8 as N
	08/21/84	428		42	9.3	32	2.9	39	9.6		4.7 as N
	08/13/87	428	276	39	9.4	32	3.2	37	9.6		4.6 as N
	08/10/05	424	283	42.4	10.2	33.6	3.4	39.9	9.14		4.88 as N
8S/3E-2K01	09/22/77			43	10	48	3.2	65	18		
	07/19/78			42	9.8	48	3.4	68	17		3.7 as N
	06/28/79		342	46	10	46	3.1	69	19		
	07/02/80			64	12	92	2.7	140	48		4.1 as N
	06/29/82	454		41	10	38	3.7	46	13	129	3.6 as N
	08/10/83	435		39	9.5	32	3.6	43	13	133	3.6 as N
	08/21/84	561		50	11	48	3.1	68	27	139	4.0 as N
	08/01/85	472		41	9.7	34	3.4	48	15	125	3.7 as N
	08/13/87	451	282	40	9.9	31	3.4	41	16	133	3.6 as N
	07/20/89	531	323	46	11	41	3.4	60	22	136	3.6 as N
	08/01/90	508	310	46	11	38	3.3	60	19	134	3.8 as N
	07/16/91	522	306	50	10	39	3.3	61	21	139	3.7 as N

<sup>\* -</sup> Alkalinity as CaC03

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emica	l Cons	tituer	ıts - m	g/l
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-26C1	10/60	1060	639	66.5	24.0	116.0	4.5	160	110.0	264.0	trace
(Bldg 220001)	06/62	1190	718	60.0	33.2	123.0	3.8	190	124.0	232.0	1.4
	07/64	1217	734	79.2	27.8	144.0	1.6	180	150.0	248.9	
	05/65	1485	896	75.2	30.3	158.0	2.4	180	120.0	253.8	0
	01/66		808	76.8	33.2	157.0	3.4	170	180.0	292.8	0.62
	06/66		684	75.2	26.8	112.0	2.4	128	148.0	263.5	3.9
	01/67 08/67		856 880	81.6 99.2	26.3 38.1	138.0 156.0	3.5	162	140.0 230.0	310.0 322.1	3
	02/68		768	65.6	25.4	156.0	3.6 3.4	160 160	164.0	236.7	5.3 0
	04/69		852	66.0	32.0	162.0	3.4	166	210.0	249.0	0
	11/69		844	87.0	31.0	140.0	3.6	164	180.0	262.0	0
	07/70		672	99.0	32.0	139.0	3.0	158	205.0	259.0	2.7
	12/70	1180	712	83.0	28.0	138.0	3.0	166	170.0		0
	09/71	1062	640	83.0	27.0	128.0	2.8	136	175.0		0.4
	05/72		681	56.0	24.0	140.0	2.8	136	165.0		0.4
	10/72		703	64.0	27.0	159.0	3.6	132			1.8
	10/73		688	72.0	27.0	131.0	3.8	144			0.3 as N
	02/76		688	70.4	28.3	143.0	3.1	132			1.8 as N
	09/76		663	67.0	25.0	152.0	2.5	152			2.8 as N
	03/77		651	67.0	28.0	173.0	3.1	128			4.4 as N
	10/78		694	70.0	25.0	120.0	3.5	139			<1 as N
	06/79	1100	663	72.0	27.3	125.0	3.0	134	142.0	258.6	<1 as N
	10/80	1200	693	78.8	23.7	136.0	3.3	172	136.0	273.3	0.2 as N
	04/81	1160	737	82.4	22.4	126.0	3.6	140			<0.5 as N
	11/81	1300	863	97.6	31.5	169.0	2.2	204			0.8 as N
	11/81	950	573	74.0	18.3	120.0	2.1	144			0.3 as N
	05/82		663	80.8	26.6	140.0	1.5	181			<0.5 as N
	03/83		603	84.0	20.5	144.0	3.2	152			<0.5 as N
	05/84		694	80.0	27.6	126.0	3.1	133			0.2 as N
	06/85		680	89.0	26.0	140.0	3.0	150			<0.4
	09/85		724	78.0	28.0	122.0	6.0	154			<0.4
	05/86		750	85.2	29.1	130.7	4.3	166			<1
	06/89		734	78.1	23.0	85.9		136		212.0	<0.4
	01/91		750	81.0	36.1	152.0		166			<0.04
	06/91 03/92	1290	752 702	99.0	32.4	133.0		167			<0.4
	06/93		792 764	91.0 68.3	29.8 27.5	146.0 149.0		159 168			<0.4
	03/94		783	100.0	37.1	100.0		145			<0.4 2.2
	08/94		763 741	87.5	35.5	96.1		141			4.23
	06/95		806	97.7	37.4	142.0		207			<0.04
	01/96		764	91.0	33.0	142.0		177			<0.04 
	06/96		751	93.0	30.0	130.0		164			
	06/97		758	88.0	29.0	130.0	<2.0	151			<2 as N
	12/97	1200	690	81.0	29.0	140.0	3.0	155			ND
	04/98		790	83.0	31.0	101.0	3.0	165			ND
	06/98		714	85.0		136.0	3.0	163			ND
	02/99		731	84.0		127.0	3.0	160			ND
	04/99		769	88.0		127.0	3.0	168			ND
	05/01		794	98.0		130.0	3.0	173			ND

TABLE D-6

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Total Specific Dissolved Chemical Constituents - mg/l Date Conductance Solids						•							
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3				
10S/5W-18M5	06/89	1156	688	74.6	24.4	67.9		130	138.0		8.9				
(Bldg 23073)	01/90	1120	630	86.4	32.3	101.0		156	166.0	210.0	< 0.05				
(Previously	04/90	1160	720	98.8	34.8	107.0		152	146.0		1.4				
reported as	01/91	1202		84.1	40.5	117.0		162	153.0		<0.04				
10S/4W-18M4)	06/91	1180	736	102.0	37.1	106.0		163	138.0		<0.4				
	03/94	1020	658	69.6	27.8	104.0		135	140.0		0.89				
	08/94	1110	684	81.4	32.2	178.0		144	157.0		<0.44				
	06/95	1170	679	95.3	35.2	113.0		145	116.0		13.8				
	06/96	1100	682	86.0	32.0	95.0		155			<0.0				
	02/97	1180	640	79.0	32.0	110.0		142			<2 as N				
	06/97	1117	709	85.0	33.0	110.0	<5.0	150			<2 as N				
	12/97	1100	700	82.0	33.0	110.0	3.0	141	157.0		ND				
	03/98	1100	710	83.0	33.0	100.0	3.0	182			ND				
	06/98	1200	720	85.0	34.0	119.0	4.0	159	154.0		ND				
	02/99	1020	613	70.0	30.0	85.0	4.0	130			8				
	05/00	1020	709	81.0	33.0	94.0	4.0	146			ND				
	08/00	1160	728	83.0	33.0	89.0	4.0	161	178.0		ND				
	02/01	1200	736	85.0	35.0	116.0	4.0	164			0.7				
	04/01	1200	606	85.0	34.0	112.0	4.0	154			ND				
	09/01	1250	761	90.0	37.0	115.0	4.0	166			ND				
	11/01	1290	737	91.0	37.0	118.0	3.0	181	207.0		0				
	02/02	1260	781	89.0	36.0	123.0	4.6	170			1.3				
	04/02	1250	755	90.0	37.0	116.0	4.1	175			1				
	05/02	1290	750	92.0	38.0	110.0	4.0	157			0.6				
	07/02	1260	753	90.0	37.0	114.0	4.0	171			0				
	01/03	1350	816	96.0	40.0	131.0	4.6	160			0				
	04/03	1210	738	95.0	27.0	118.0	3.9	175			0				
	10/03	1290	752	91.0	37.0	134.0	5.0	167			0				
	01/04	1230	717	93.0	38.0	111.0	6.0	159			0				
	04/04	1280	722	82.0	36.0	112.0	6.0	168			2.2				
	07/04	1080	739	88.0	37.0	92.0	7.0	156			0				
	11/04	1230	563	91.0	38.0	124.0	4.8	172			0				
	01/05		687	96.0	39.0	124.0	4.0	172			0				
	04/07	1240	770	98.0	40.0	100.0	3.8	160			0				
	04/08	1370	908	100	42	110	3.7	180			<2				
	04/09		800	97	39	120	3.7	140			8.7				
	8/11/10		780	97	39	110	3.6	180			<2				
	4/22/11	1300	810	90	37	110	3.6	170			<2				
	4/20/12		810	94	38	120		160			2.0				
	4/18/13	1200	780	88	37	100	3.9	160	200	210	<2				

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emica	l Cons	tituer	nts - m	g/I
	Tested		(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
10S/5W-23J1	05/56	1090	685	61.5	24.3	142.0		142	110.0	293.0	0.06
(Bldg 23001)	12/56	1060	666	67.0	27.0	96.0		124	85.0	274.0	
, , ,	12/57		780	66.3	23.9	159.0		138	155.0	308.0	10.6
	05/59	1100	691	75.2	25.3	112.0		136	152.0	297.7	
	01/60		704	72.7	27.3	116.5		112	144.0	291.0	
	10/60	1045	657	63.2	21.4	99.0	3.6	140	112.0	242.0	0
	05/61	1280	770	76.0	36.5	136.0	3.0	124	195.0	299.6	0
	05/62		712	68.8	30.3	136.0	2.0	128	175.0	275.7	
	01/63		698	72.0	35.1	127.0	2.8	128	199.0	268.4	
	06/63		696	78.4	25.4	118.0	2.9	148	130.0	258.6	0 as N
	07/64		732	74.4	27.8	128.0	1.2	139	160.0	268.4	
	05/65		710	80.0	26.4	145.0	2.1	148	120.0	268.4	0.14
	01/66		736	88.0	18.1	142.0	2.8	124	155.0	263.5	1.8
	06/66		736	75.2	29.3	138.0	2.7	145	175.0	295.2	4.8
	01/67		744	76.8	25.9	118.0	3.0	136	125.0	287.9	2.2
	08/67		680	70.4	28.3	128.0	2.3	140	100.0	292.8	8.4
	02/68		660	48.0	19.5	130.0	2.8	124	119.0	234.0	6.1
	04/69		708	70.0	28.0	126.0	2.5	128	170.0	278.0	0
	11/69		684	73.0	28.0	126.0	2.8	138	165.0	273.0	0
	05/70		716	74.0	25.0	122.0	0.1	134	170.0	210.0	4.4
	12/70		385	78.0	25.0	126.0	2.6	142	170.0	250.0	3.1
	09/71	1025	644	75.0	38.0	120.0	2.7	124	190.0	229.0	0.9
	05/72		660	75.0	21.0	124.0	2.3	124	155.0	244.0	2.2
	10/73		716	74.0	22.0	128.0	2.8	136	160.0	220.0	0.5 as N
	06/74		680	74.0	13.0	131.0	2.9	158	138.0		0.01 as N
	02/76		660	73.6	25.4	136.0	2.9	119	170.0	248.9	2.0 as N
	09/76		691	58.0	32.0	146.0	2.6	140	148.0	321.8	2.6 as N
	03/77		679	69.0	29.0	110.0	3.0	128	155.0	259.0	4.3 as N
	01/78		691	70.0	23.0	147.0	3.0	140	135.0	259.0	4.4 as N
	10/78 04/79	1150	723	74.0	22.0	120.0	2.9	134	149.0	248.9	<1 as N
	10/80		628	70.4	22.4	118.0	2.6	122	138.0	239.1	<1 as N
	05/81		745 580	74.0	22.5	128.0	3.0	152	138.0	239.1	0.2 as N
	03/83	1020 900	580 599	67.2 65.6	17.3 19.5	116.0 129.0	3.1 2.8	132 136	111.0 129.0		<0.5 as N
	12/83		628	72.4	22.4	129.0	2.6	140	150.0		<0.5 as N
	05/84		691	78.8	25.9	120.0	2.8	130	150.0	254.0	0.1 as N
	06/85		691	59.0	26.0	130.0	3.0	140	70.0	440.0	0.2 as N
	09/85		705	66.0	26.0	110.0	6.0	150	144.0	226.6	<0.4
	06/89		662	71.5	21.7	80.8		117	128.0	209.0	<0.4
	01/90		632	90.6	32.4	102.0		160	170.0	214.0	<0.5
	01/90		032	73.7	32.4	128.0		136	136.0	214.0	<0.04
	06/91		662	87.4	29.7	117.0		140	121.0		<0.02
	03/92		644	74.2	25.8	133.0		127	118.0		1.3
	03/92		674	72.8	24.5	117.0		127	124.0		<0.4
	06/93		670	63.9	25.7	117.0		117			<0.4
											<0.4
	03/94	1120	683	73.9	27.0	121.0		141	130.0		<0

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emical	Cons	tituen	ts - m	g/l
***************************************	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4 I	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-23J1	08/94	1160	707	70.0	20.2	400.0		420	452.0		-0.44
(Bldg 23001)	06/95	1160	707 742	78.9 88.2	28.2 28.8	129.0 131.0		139 165	153.0 147.0		<0.44 <0.04
(Cont)	01/96	1300	690	79.0	29.0	140.0		147	131.0	292.0	~0.04
(00.11)	06/96	1020	674	82.0	29.0	120.0		134	129.0	204.0	
	02/97	1100	650	74.0	27.0	150.0		126	172.0	245.0	<2 as N
	03/97	1073	630	77.0	28.0	130.0		142	134.0	254.0	<2 as N
	02/99	1180	647	75.0	27.0	125.0	3.0	150	130.0	272.0	ND
	04/99	1240	722	81.0	30.0	124.0	3.0	157	150.0	293.0	ND
	08/99	1180	735	79.0	29.0	120.0	3.0	190	183.0	281.0	ND
	12/99	1190	699	83.0	30.0	118.0	3.0	100	158.0	278.0	ND
	02/00	1110	723	81.0	30.0	116.0	3.0	90	163.0	293.0	ND
	05/00	1070	714	81.0	29.0	115.0	3.0	170	152.0	273.0	ND
	08/00	1200	735	80.0	29.0	117.0	3.0	150	118.0	275.0	ND
	02/01	1230	730	84.0	31.0	132.0		158	158.0	293.0	ND
	04/01	1190	636	81.0	30.0	123.0	3.0	146	148.0	287.0	ND
	09/01	1300	751	88.0	32.0	132.0	3.0	155		293.0	ND
	10/01	1380	757	88.0	33.0	133.0	3.0	152		311.0	ND
	02/02 04/02		724	86.0	31.0	124.0	2.6	146		293.0	ND
	07/02		726 735	89.0	32.0	124.0	2.8	151		240.0	NID.
	10/02		735 701	85.0 87.0	31.0 31.0	129.0 141.0	3.1 2.9	155 157		236.0 257.0	ND ND
	01/03	1260	760	88.0	32.0	139.0	3.5	146		239.0	ND
	02/03	1200		68.0	32.0	139.0	3.5	140	102.0	233.0	ND
	04/03	1200	708	87.0	32.0	127.0	2.8	158		245.0	ND
	10/03	1210	696	82.0	30.0	144.0	3.0	167		232.0	0 as N
	01/04	1170	678	87.0	31.0	121.0	4.0	151	175.0	227.0	0 as N
	04/04	1270	697	82.0	31.0	120.0	4.0	155	171.0	250.0	0 as N
	07/04	1030	702	87.0	31.0	98.0	5.0	138	151.0	245.0	0 as N
	10/04	1230	879	89.0	31.0	102.0	5.0	158	176.0		0 as N
	02/05	1170	704	88.0	31.0	134.0	3.1	157	171.0	235.0	0 as N
	04/05	1220	755	88.0	30.0	121.0	2.7	132	167.0	213.0	0 as N
	07/05		725	83.0	29.0	117.0	2.8	153		206.0	0 as N
	04/07		708	89.0	32.0	120.0	2.6	150		270.0	0
	04/08		718	90	32	100	2.5	150		274	<2
	04/09		720	90	32	110	2.6	130		250	<2
	04/14/10		740	92	33	120	2.6	150		260	<2
	04/22/11	1200	770	90	32	110	2.6	160		260	<2
	04/20/12 05/02/13		790 790	96 93	34 34	120 120	2.9 2.8	160 160		250 240	<2 <2
10S/4W-18E3	06/89		758	80.5	28.1	67.4		132		198.0	9.5
(Bldg 230093)	01/90		748	97.4	39.7	106.0		178		226.0	<0.05
	04/90		733	99.6	37.5	112.0		159		207.0	2.5
	06/91	1130	680	97.6	37.6	100.0		139		166.0	2.7
	02/94		731	83.3	35.5	104.0		142			11.1
	08/94		725	84.3	35.2	102.0		147			1
	06/95	932	636	75.4	29.1	86.6		102	140		14

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Cons	tituen	ts - m	g/l
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4 i	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-18E3 (Bldg 230093)	06/96 02/97	1117 1100	710 686	92.0 89.0	36.0 38.0	93.0 110.0		180 157	297 166	206.0 220.0	 <2 as N
(Cont)	03/97	1116	673	87.0	36.0	110.0		147	113	213.0	<2 as N
(Oont)	06/97	1131	779	90.0	37.0	99.0	<5.0	151	177	199.0	<2 as N
	09/98	1160	727	83.0	36.0	90.0	3.0	160	181	232.0	ND
	10/99	1200	325	88.0	39.0	117.0	4.0	130	180	268.0	ND
	02/00	1100	739	84.0	37.0	100.0	4.0	130	180	281.0	ND
	05/00	1030	717	80.0	35.0	96.0	4.0	168	183	229.0	2
	02/01	1360	798	97.0	44.0	111.0	4.0	184	212	244.0	ND
	04/01	1310	728	94.0	42.0	114.0	4.0	168	208	232.0	ND
	09/01	1330	791	96.0	42.0	115.0	4.0	173	209	224.0	1
	03/02	1320	778	102.0	44.0	123.0	4.4	196	229	242.0	i
	04/02	1300	808	101.0	44.0	117.0	4.0	183	220	200.0	1.1
	07/02	1390	778	96.0	42.0	114.0	3.7	180	214	209.0	ND
	10/02	1360	763	97.0	41.0	126.0	4.0	180	207	214.0	ND
	01/03	1290	749	96.0	40.0	116.0	3.7	172	200	200.0	ND
	04/03	1210	783	99.0	42.0	129.0	3.9	176	229	191.0	1.3
	10/03	1320	775	97.0	41.0	126.0	5.0	168	231	174.0	0
	01/04	1270	763	101.0	42.0	106.0	6.0	162	220	180.0	0
	04/04	1320	781	96.0	43.0	105.0	6.0	179	250	195.0	0
	07/04	1370	784	100.0	43.0	89.0	6.0	169	219	203.0	0
	10/04	1300	857	99.0	42.0	88.0	6.0	188	245	210.0	0
	01/05	1270	760	99.0	42.0	115.0	4.3	170	234	185.0	2.7
	07/05	1120	724	89.0	36.0	91.0	3.5	133		203.0	0 as N
	11/05	1230	815	101.0	40.0	113.0	4.1	153	213	174.0	0 as N
	04/06	1350	832	110.0	44.0	120.0	3.8	180	250	220.0	0 as N
	04/07	1298	806	100.0	45.0	110.0	3.7	180	247	230.0	0
	04/08	1270	816	92	40	100	3.4	150	220	202	4.7
	04/09	1300	840	100	43	120	3.8	150	220	230	<2
	04/28/10	1200	700	83	36	99	3.4	140		190	2.8
	07/27/11	1200	810	88	39	98	3.4	160	230	190	4.3
	04/25/12	1200	830	95	42	100	4.0	170		190	<2
	05/08/13	1300	800	88	37	120	3.6	170	220	190	<2
10S/4W-7R2	06/89	1281	765	76.5	25.1	82.4		149		209.0	10.3
(Bldg 260003)	04/89	1270	788	104.0	36.5	126.0		173		215.0	2.6
	06/91	1400	836	111.0	41.1	130.0		195		215.0	0.04
	02/94	1260	738	83.3	32.0	131.0		169			<0.04
	08/94	1260	738	84.3	33.7	129.0		166			<0.44
	06/95		897	93.6	35.2	129.0		202			0.69
	02/97		720	84	36	130		150		240	<1 as N
	03/97		708	83	35	130		152		240	<2 as N
	06/97		831	94	34	120	<5.0	185		247	<2 as N
	12/97		700	84	36	120	3.0	150		240	ND
	12/97		700	84	36	120	3.0	150		240	ND
	03/98		780	85	36	110	3.0	187		180	ND
	06/98		734	83	35	110	3.0	160		275	ND
	02/99	1160	663	76	32	102	3.0	150	150	214	ND

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emical	l Cons	tituent	ts - mạ	g/l
***************************************	Tested		(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	S04 F	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-7R2	08/99	1120	727	76	33	99	3.0	156	230	281	ND
(Bldg 260003)	10/99	1130	660	78	33	120	3.0	110	160	262	ND
(Cont)	02/00	1030	592	79	35	96	3.0	120	160	244	ND
(	05/00	1010	699	76	33	96	3.0	129	127	229	ND
	08/00	1140	720	77	33	87	3.0		157	232	ND
	12/02	1120	617	73	32	102	3.6	132	164	174	0.4
	01/03	1150	689	76	34	113	3.6	135	165	185	ND
	04/03	1190	717	82	37	122	4.0	164	182	209	ND
	05/03	1190						156	182		
	10/03	1250	737	81	37	130	5.0	163	201	192	0
	01/04	1240	694	86	39	107	6.0	153	182	185	Ö
	04/04	1320	750	84	40	108	6.0	170	210	220	0
	07/04	1100	761	92	41	88	7.0	172	204	205	Ö
	10/04	1280	893	93	41	88	6.0	179	222		0
	02/05	1270	839	99	44	121	5.2	180	215	198	Ö
	04/05	1300	880	98	41	109	3.8	158	216	183	0 as N
	07/05	1380	870	101	43	109	4.0	430	540	176	0 as N
	11/05	1310	865	104	43	115	3.8	164	221	181	0 as N
	04/06	1220	810	100	43	110	3.8	170		206	0 as N
	04/07	1400	856	99	44	110	3.6	170		210	0
	04/08	1290	888	91	39	100	3.4	160		207	2.6
10S/4W-7R3	04/09	1300	830	100	45	110	4.5	170	240	220	<2
(Bldg 260002)	04/13/10	1300	800	100	43	100	3.6	160	240	200	<2
	04/13/11	1300	870	96	42	98	3.7	160	240	200	<2
	04/25/12	1300	860	100	44	110	3.6	170	260	200	<2
	04/18/13	1300	840	96	41	100	4.0	180	240	220	<2
10S/4W-7H2	08/56	1060	882	78.0	30.0	112.0		150		326.0	
(Bldg 260071)	01/60	820	500	55.2	14.7	85.0		76	98	224.0	
	10/60	1300	793	74.5	20.5	126.0	4.3	182		320.0	
	05/61	1390	840	100.0	29.2	170.0	3.3	170	135	362.0	
	05/62		744	70.4	39.0	142.0	2.4	184		312.3	
	01/63		740	65.6	26.4	162.0	2.4	166		259.0	0.7
	07/63		671	64.0	25.4	118.0	2.7	148		280.6	0.0 as N
	01/64		622	70.4	33.2	117.0	2.7	172	98	302.6	3.3
	07/64		854	83.2	27.3	134.0	1.4	164	98	322.1	
	04/65		909	97.6	23.4	152.0	4.7	196		346.5	0.9
	01/66		832	102.0	28.0	166.0	3.1	194	88	414.8	6.6
	06/66		768	86.4	26.3	150.0	3.1	184		331.8	6.9
	01/67		768	72.0	29.3	128.0	3.1	174		324.5	6.9
	08/67		608	57.6	24.4	116.0	2.4	132	70	251.3	10.2
	02/68		572	67.2	17.6	105.0	2.4	118		251.0	0
	09/68		636	74.0	19.0	112.0	3.0	144	96	268.0	0.4
	04/69		820	72.0	33.0	138.0	2.8	180	140	285.0	0.9
	11/69		604	66.0	24.0	116.0	2.8	140	110	259.0	1.8
	05/70		640	65.0	26.0	115.0	2.4	142	120	183.0	3.1
	09/71	1075	656	77.0	24.0	120.0	2.8	144	125	273.0	1.3

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mica	l Con	stitue	nts - m	g/l
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
9244444444444											
10S/4W-7H2	05/72	1000	610	46.0	24.0	117.0	2.4	4.4	. 400	444.0	
(Bldg 260071)	10/72	1000 1110	610 677	46.0	24.0	117.0	2.4	14		141.0	0
(Cont)	10/72	1120	683	88.0 75.0	26.0 23.0	105.0 118.0	3.6 2.7	14		283.0	3.5
(Cont)	06/74	1210	712	72.0	19.0	150.0	3.1	13: 20:		200.0	0.6 as N 0.01 as N
	01/75	850	519	61.0	21.0	93.0	2.4	10		212.0	2.3 as N
	02/76	1200	732	91.2	20.5	126.0	3.2	17		244.0	2.6 as N
	09/76	1200	732	48.0	29.0	180.0	2.4	19		336.7	4.2 as N
	03/77	1400	854	94.0	33.0	158.0	2.8	21		342.0	2.8 as N
	01/78	1000	610	66.0	23.0	100.0	2.7	12		205.0	4.4 as N
	10/78	1300	793	82.0	31.0	134.0	2.7	16		258.6	<1 as N
	04/79	1200	732	84.8	28.3	144.0	3.1	16		312.3	<1 as N
	01/80	1450	885	93.0	30.0	163.0	3.0	19		273.0	<1 as N
	10/80	1050	591	70.4	21.7	104.0	3.7	14		219.6	2.0 as N
	05/81	1000	645	72.4	21.7	105.0	3.5	12			<0.5 as N
	05/82	1330	811	100.8	35.9	176.0	1.6	26			<0.5 as N
	03/83	890	669	77.2	23.7	95.0	3.4	13			0.65 as N
	12/83	1000	610	70.4	23.7	123.0	2.6	13		224.0	0.5 as N
	05/84	1100	671	77.2	24.6	116.0	2.7	13		244.0	0.2 as N
	09/84	1300	650	6.6	29.0	120.0	2.6	20			12
	11/84	1100	671	81.6	23.4	124.0	2.7	14		249.0	1.2 as N
	05/86	1592	994	104.7	39.7	167.3	4.4	23		301.8	<1 as N
	06/89	1137	826	79.1	28.5	85.5		15		246.0	12.6
	01/90	1290	772	96.3	38.6	116.0		18		252.0	0.9/1.2
	04/90	1320	817	109.0	42.1	128.0		17			5.4
	01/91	401		87.3	44.4	103.1		20			1.1
	03/93	1500	824	92.6	33.1	136.0		19			1.8
	03/94	1370	827	103.0	36.4	135.0		16			0.9
	08/94	1270	762	91.1	35.5	129.0		16			5.64
	06/95	1260	771	100.0	35.8	127.0		19			2.8
	06/96	1300	751	96.0	36.0	120.0		16			1.1
	02/97	1300	830	100.0	41.0	150.0		18			<2 as N
	06/97	1323	831	94.0	36.0	140.0	<5.0	15	8 149	271.0	2 as N
	12/97	1200	670	91.0	36.0	120.0	3.0	15	0 169	220.0	ND
	12/97	1200	710	87.0	35.0	120.0	2.0	15	2 182	220.0	1.5
	03/98	1200	810	89.0	36.0	120.0	3.0	20	1 168	240.0	ND
	06/98	1390	830	91.0	36.0	140.0	2.0	18	5 150	366.0	ND
	02/99	1130	663	75.0	31.0	106.0	3.0	15			5
	05/99	1170	711	75.0	32.0	85.0	4.0	-	180	268.0	ND
	08/99	1040	692	74.0	30.0	94.0	2.0	10			ND
	10/99	1210	757	86.0	35.0	120.0	3.0	15	4 100	295.0	3
	08/00		766	83.0	33.0	89.0	2.0	18			ND
	02/01	1140	707	85.0	35.0	107.0	2.0	15	2 179		4.9
	04/01	1190	718	88.0	37.0	112.0	3.0	15			5
	09/01	1200	729	89.0	38.0	106.0	3.0	15			4.6
	11/01	1210	693	90.0	38.0	106.0	3.0	16	9 209		5.4

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emica	l Cons	tituer	nts - m	g/l
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
10S/4W-7H2	02/02	1190	726	94.0	39.0	106.0	2.7	147	184	218.0	5.9
(Bldg 260071)	04/02	1190	724	91.0	38.0	107.0	2.9	153	204	173.0	6.6
(Cont)	07/02	1200	755	88.0	37.0	107.0	3.1	162	201	180.0	6
,	10/02	1250	722	91.0	38.0	99.0	2.6	150	197	177	6.2
	01/03	1260	781	95.0	39.0	119.0	3.2	144	204	169	4.5
	04/03	1310	776	93.0	38.0	125.0	3.0	178	217	185	4.1
	04/04	1660	890	112.0	47.0	143.0	4.0	208	162	370	ND
	07/04	1460	785	98.0	38.0	109.0	4.0	186	191	275	3.4
	05/06	1380	870	100.0	41.0	110.0	2.3	180	240	210	3.0
	04/07	1300	812	99.0	41.0	110.0	2.5	160	230	220	5.2
	04/09	1300	830	100	43	110	2.9	170	260	190	4.7
	04/22/10	1300	790	100	42	110	2.7	170	230	210	4.2
	04/20/11	1400	860	97	42	110	3.2	180	250	210	2.4
	04/20/12	1200	840	93	40	110	3.3	160	220		5.1
	04/14/13	1300	830	88	40	100	3.6	160	220	230	12
10S/4W-7A2	05/56	920	651	59.0	22.0	100.0		104	94	213.0	
(Bldg 260073)	05/59		745	52.8	16.5	60.3		84	41	207.4	
	01/60		840	51.2	17.6	95.0		98	92	210.0	
	10/60	870	566	62.0	23.0	80.0	4.2	110	104	234.0	0
	05/61	1180	710	72.0	34.0	114.0	3.3	104	150	227.0	
	05/62		518	63.2	23.4	75.0	2.0	100	96	214.7	
	01/63 07/63		730 610	64.0	24.9	157.0	3.1	162	183	220.0	0
	01/63	574 760	494	57.6 59.2	19.5 19.3	85.0 82.0	2.7 3.3	102 100	100 85	244.0	0.3 as N
	07/64									253.7	0.5 as N
	04/65	980 1230	637 800	64.0 73.3	21.5 22.5	94.0 106.0	1.4 4.5	100 120	95 110	241.6 248.9	1.3
	04/65		448	73.3	22.5	86.0	2.5	82	75	190.3	9.7
	06/66		540	60.8	21.0	81.0	2.5	102	95	222.0	9.7
	01/67		544	60.8	19.5	88.0	2.9	102	69	229.4	6.9
	08/67		504	54.4	20.0	79.0	2.1	96	58	214.7	8
	02/68		456	60.8	17.6	86.0	2.7	94	78	222.0	0
	09/68		600	67.0	18.0	90.0	3.0	110	96	232.0	0
	04/69		428	46.0	18.0	73.0		76	90	183.0	3.1
	11/69		476	59.0	18.0	88.0	2.7	98	110	198.0	0.9
	05/70		416	54.0	18.0	79.0	2.6	92	90	151.0	2.9
	12/70	780	507	64.0	16.0	89.0	2.7	100	90	222.0	10.1
	05/72	990	644	77.0	24.0	86.0	2.8	116	135	207.0	0
	10/72	965	627	77.0	27.0	94.0	2.9	104	145	239.0	5.3
	10/73	960	624	72.0	19.0	105.0	2.8	112	140	195.0	0.9 as N
	06/74	950	548	68.0	19.0	101.0	3.1	138	102		0.35 as N
	01/75	840	546	58.0	22.0	87.0	2.7	98	95	217.0	2.2 as N
	02/76		533	68.8	20.5	76.0	3.0	106	88	214.7	2.2 as N
	09/76		585	48.0	45.0	98.0	2.3	116	112	258.6	3.0 as N
	03/77		585	70.0	23.0	76.0	2.8	123	113	195.0	2.6 as N
	01/78		618	64.0	24.0	100.0	2.7	124	108	200.0	4.3 as N
	10/78		683	74.0	20.0	80.0		113	128		<1 as N
	04/79	950	618	65.6	19.5	98.0	3.1	109	118	190.3	<1 as N

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emica	l Cons	tituen	ts - mę	g/I
. —	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
*************											21 W W W W W W W W W W W
10S/4W-7A2	01/80	1000	650	67.0	23.0	99.0	3.1	128	444	187.0	N
(Bldg 260073)	10/80	900	546	67.2	20.5	86.0	3.4	108	111 86	205.0	<1 as N 2.3 as N
(Cont)	05/81	810	585	57.2	14.4	83.0	3.4	92	84	180.6	0.7 as N
(Oone)	11/81	800	451	57.2	16.3	85.0	2.0	92	110	185.4	0.7 as N
	05/82	930	605	68.8	21.5	97.0	1.6	115	96		<0.5 as N
	03/83	900	663	78.8	23.7	95.0	3.4	132	135	209.8	0.7 as N
	09/84	1000	530	51.0	23.0	80.0	2.9	110	110	200.0	4.2
	11/84	850	553	67.2	28.3	73.0	2.9	111	137	190.0	1.7 as N
	09/85	1007	593	66.0	26.0	64.0	5.8	124	139	180.6	6
	05/86	1051	623	72.6	26.5	79.5	3.5	131	124	153.6	8.8
	06/89	1073	688	72.1	23.9	59.6		120	140	184	15.9
	01/89	1080	572	91.2	34.2	80.2		151	178	174	1.4
	04/90	1130	718	111.0	42.1	91.0		148	167	175	9.1
	06/91	1190	718	113.0	40.3	93.8		173	180	160	7.5
	03/93	1370	708	86.9	32.8	93.3		147	93.3	200	4.9
	03/94	1210	783	100.0	37.1	100.0		145	167		2.2
	08/94	1160	741	87.5	35.5	96.1		141	184		4.23
	06/95	1200	788	99.4	37.5	101.0		173	200		2.9
	06/96	1129	739	91.0	37.0	90.0		188	312	206	
	02/97	1100	690	82.0	35.0	140.0		127	131	180	<2 as N
	03/97	1109	695	91.0	39.0	93.0		137	191	166	2.2 as N
	06/97	1096	749	89.0	36.0	90.0	<5.0	138	178	187	2 as N
	12/97	1100	690	84.0	36.0	83.0	4.0	140	181	160	<.2 as N
	05/99	1050	648	78.0	32.0	111.0	3.0	171		207	ND
	08/99	1040	696	78.0	33.0	84.0	4.0	120	390	146	ND
	10/99	1070	663	78.0	34.0	90.0	4.0	132	120	195	6 as N
	02/00		559	83.0	36.0	82.0	4.0	140	190	220	4 as N
	05/00		688	80.0	34.0	79.0	4.0	144	167	190	4 as N
	02/01	1200	753	92.0	40.0	100.0	3.0	164	212		ND
	04/01	1210	736	91.0	40.0	103.0	5.0	159	217		4.2
	09/01	1200	741	93.0	41.0	98.0	4.0	153	202	183	7.6
	11/01	1220	750	92.0	41.0	106.0	4.0	170	228	189	8.0
	02/02		769	99.0	43.0	101.0	4.2	173	218	195	7.9
	04/02		793	101.0	45.0	102.0	4.5	170	229	160	8.5
	07/02		784	98.0	43.0	103.0	4.3	183	239	159	4.8
	10/02		788	102.0	45.0	104.0	4.3	175	241	167	3.4
	01/03		825	108.0	45.0	121.0	5.4	180	231	168	2.4
	04/03		721	90.0	40.0	102.0	4.3	170	228	153	9.9
	10/03		791	94.0	41.0	121.0	6.0	180	268	144	3
	01/04		800	99.0	46.0	105.0	7.0	173	264		4.1
	04/04		739 764	86.0	42.0	98.0	6.0	160	252		5.1
	07/04 10/04		764 943	97.0	45.0	87.0	7.0	176	262		3.7
			943	95.0	44.0	84.0	7.0	178			3.6
	01/05		610	76.0	35.0	93.0	3.8	136	194		6.9
	04/05		630	77.0	34.0	82.0	3.2	125			2.71
	07/05	1120	750	81.0	35.0	84.0	3.4	129		129	0 as N

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

			Total								
		Specific	<b>Dissolved</b>			Che	mica	Cons	tituen	its - mg	g/l
Site Location	Date	Conductance	Solids								
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
		4111105	(9,.)		9						
10S/4W-7A2	11/05	1170	790	94.7	41.2	97.9	3.7	138	199	156	7.53
(Bldg 260073)	04/06	1140	704	91.0	39.0	98.0	4.5	150	220	180	7.3
(Cont)	04/07	1200	716	97	44	97	3.7	160	240	190	4.2
,	04/08	1270	900	98	45	97	3.8	180	260	170	14
	04/09	1200	780	94	42	100	3.7	130	230	180	22
	04/13/10	1300	770	93	42	100	3.8	160	240	180	8.7
	04/13/11	1200	780	83	38	93	3.5	150	220	170	3.9
	04/19/12	1300	790	92	42	94	3.8	160	240	260	6.2
	04/17/13	1200	780	85	40	94	4.3	160	230	190	2.1
10S/5W-23G3	06/91	1160	684	83.4	28.3	125.0		145	124		<0.04
(Bldg 33926)	03/92	1060	674	75.9	24.1	127.0		139	111	269	<0.4
	03/93	1182	584	67.8	21.1	110.0		135	101	274	<0.4
	06/93	1020	623	60.5	22.4	116.0		125	107	225	<0.4
	03/94	1120	665	80.0	25.0	122.0		129	117		1.8
	08/94	1150	699	78.7	26.4	125.0		141	118		<0.44
	06/95	1060	673	75.9	23.1	118.0		158	114		<0.04
	01/96	1200	619	71.0	24.0	120.0		139	107	262	
	07/96										
10S/5W-23K2	06/89	1207	698	75.6	22.8	84.0		138	137	231	<0.4
(Bldg 33924)	04/89	1240	728	100.0	32.9	129.0		158	148		1.3
, ,	01/91	1193		80.6	35.2	131.0		21	146		< 0.04
	06/91	1160	676	88.1	29.6	118.0		141	129		< 0.04
	03/92	1130	705	76.7	26.0	126.0		149	125	279	< 0.4
	06/92	1130	717	66.8	26.7	124.0		146	140	232	< 0.4
	03/93	1285	331	72.1	23.8	115.0		131	122	273	< 0.4
	02/97	1200	780	89.0	32.0	130.0		166	165	250	<2 as N
	03/97	1230	700	94.0	34.0	140.0		187	162	264	<2 as N
	06/97	1231	778	91.0	31.0	130.0	<2.0	171	165	264	<2 as N
	12/97	1200	710	82.0	30.0	130.0	2.0	156	162	230	ND
	03/98	1200	710	82.0	30.0	110.0	2.0	191	146	240	ND
	06/98	1170	658	79.0	28.0	123.0	2.0	157	151	293	ND
	02/99	1170	698	75.0	27.0	123.0	3.0	160	130	259	ND
	04/99	1210	667	76.0	27.0	118.0	3.0	148	140	268	ND
	08/99	1140	714	79.0	27.0	116.0	3.0	180	165	268	ND
	10/99		721	80.0	28.0	131.0	3.0	110	150	281	ND
	02/00	1050	619	82.0	28.0	108.0	3.0	100	140	293	ND
	05/00	1060	716	80.0	29.0	112.0	3.0	173	141	268	ND
	08/00		722	82.0	29.0	105.0	3.0	162	156	268	ND
	04/01	1210	705	85.0	30.0	130.0	3.0	163			ND
	09/01		672	81.0	30.0	125.0	3.0	152		275	ND
	10/01		680	81.0	29.0	143.0	3.0	162	159	281	ND
	02/02		675	80.0	29.0	129.0	3.5	143	152	268	ND
	04/02		682	84.0	31.0	124.0	2.9	151	155	230	ND
	07/02	1210	706	80.0	29.0	127.0	2.9	156	156	221	ND
	10/02		669	83.0	30.0	122.0	2.9	151			8
	01/03	1320	801	97.0	34.0	140.0	2.8	154	180	245	ND

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Cons	tituent	s - m	g/I
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4 F	ICO3	NO3
10S/5W-23K2	04/03	1330	743	89.0	32.0	133.0	2.8	165	183	234	ND
(Bldg 33924)	10/03	1210	712	87.0	31.0	135.0	4.0	155	177	204	ND
(Cont)	04/04	1320	713	85.0	32.0	121.0	5.0	165	167	228	ND
	07/04	1070	703	89.0	32.0	101.0	5.0	147	173	230	ND
	10/04	1230	806	91.0	33.0	102.0	5.0	166	183		ND
	02/05	1310	837	104.0	37.0	136.0	4.2	175	191	253	0 as N
	07/05	1170	750	83.0	29.0	114.0	2.7	139		210	ND
	11/05	1260	750	91.9	29.6	119.0	3.1	144	171	225	ND
	04/06	1220	774	92.0	32.0	120.0	2.8	160	180	284	ND
	04/07	1010	706	86.0	29.0	120.0	2.7	150	170	260	0
	04/08	1270	792	91	30	110	2.6	160	190	175	<2
	04/09	1300	800	100	34	120	2.7	160	200	260	<2
	04/15/10	1200	740	95	34	120	2.8	150	180	260	<2
	04/27/11	1200	740	87	29	110	2.7	160	170	230	<2
	04/30/12	1200	800	92	32	110	2.6	170	190	220	<2
	05/16/13	1200	740	92	32	120	3.0	160	190	220	<2
10S/5W-13R2	01/90	1030	540	96.0	26.6	94.8		141	130	200	0.7
(Bldg 230063)	06/91	1150	702	98.7	32.0	109.0		149	125	288	1.3
	06/93	1130	705	72.0	28.4	107.0		140	139	262	0.9
	03/94	1020	658	69.6	27.8	104.0		135	140		0.89
	06/95	1140	636	92.5	30.7	115.0		149	151		14.2
	06/96	1103	680	91.0	31.0	100.0		148	251	233	
	06/97	1082	708	85.0	29.0	110.0	<5.0	135	145	244	<2 as N
	12/97	1000	640	81.0	28.0	100.0	2.0	119	128	250	ND
	03/98	1100	620	85.0	31.0	110.0	2.0	161	144	220	ND
	06/98	1100	680	83.0	30.0	109.0	3.0	137	140	275	0.68
	09/98	1160	662	81.0	28.0	90.0	3.0	144	90	256	ND
	04/01	1100	612	83.0	29.0	106.0	3.0	131	146	238	3.5
	09/01	1150	679	89.0	31.0	103.0	2.0	142	156	241	3.2
	11/01	1130	658	87.0	30.0	104.0	2.0	148	169	262	3.4
	02/02	1120	674	85.0	30.0	112.0	3.2	140	160	257	3.1
	04/02		682	89.0	32.0	106.0	2.7	142	167	205	2.8
	07/02	1150	676	83.0	30.0	111.0	2.7	145	64	205	2.3
	10/02	1220	711	87.0	31.0	110.0	2.7	149	175	203	ND
	01/03	1210	713	91.0	33.0	106.0	2.7	138	165	197	2
	05/03	1230	728	93.0	33.0	112.0	2.9	155	183	181	2.2
	10/03	1190	741	93.0	33.0	123.0	3.0	188	212	179	0 as N
	04/04	1270	701	87.0	32.0	103.0	4.0	163	186	220	ND
	07/04	1270	701	220.0	32.0	103.0	4.0	163	186	220	0 as N
	4/25/12	1200	790	100	37	120	2.8	160	220	220	<2
10S/4W-7D1	03/99	1280	765	91.0	34.0	127.0	2.0	190	160	272	ND
(Previously reporte	c 06/99	1080	706	76.0	31.0	88.0	2.2	163	118	220	ND
as 10S/4W-7A3	08/99	1080	690	76.0	32.0	93.0	3.0	160		244	ND
(Bldg 260072)	10/99	1070	660	76.0	32.0	100.0	3.0	131		232	4
	05/00	1010	702	79.0	34.0	94.0	3.0	177	164	254	ND

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emica 	l Cons	tituen	its - m	g/I
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
								*****			
10S/4W-7D1	02/01	1230	753	89.0	39.0	113.0	2.0	170	198	220	2.7
(Previously reported		1230	726	89.0	39.0	115.0	4.0	160		243	2.9
as 10S/4W-7A3	09/01	1210	735	89.0	39.0	107.0	4.0	153		217	5.3
(Bldg 260072)	11/01	1240	725	89.0	39.0	117.0	3.0	168		220	5.6
(Cont)	02/02	1250	765	97.0	43.0	109.0	3.4	155		234	4.7
	04/02	1290	790	98.0	44.0	109.0	3.4	158	208	200	3.9
	07/02	1320	809	96.0	43.0	117.0	3.7	182		200	ND
	10/02	1380	787	99.0	43.0	113.0	3.7	170		203	2.8
	01/03	1370	810	101.0	44.0	134.0	4.0	155		217	ND
	04/03	1440	789	93.0	40.0	125.0	3.6	177		216	2.1
	10/03	1370	820	91.0	40.0	130.0	4.0	175		180	4.3
	01/04	1350	747	97.0	42.0	114.0	6.0	168		184	2.1
	04/04	1400	766	92.0	42.0	112.0	6.0	162		198	2
	07/04	1410	784	98.0	43.0	92.0	6.0	171	231	200	3.8
	11/04	1290	831	100.0	43.0	134.0	4.2	176		203	ND
	01/05	1310	804	102.0	44.0	125.0	3.7	184		200	2.7
	04/05	1100	690	78.0	34.0	84.0	3.2	128		162	2.6
	07/05	1160	716	84.0	35.0	96.0	3.0	136		166	0 as N
	11/05	1180	785	92.5	40.4	97.1	3.8	138			5.93 as N
	04/06	1280	786	98.0	43.0	110.0	3.3	160		233	7.1
	04/07	1400	784	98.0	43.0	110.0	3.4	165		230	_ 5
	04/08	1230	840	88	40	98	3.4	160			7.1
	11/09	4000			40	400		470			<2
	04/13/10	1300	820	96	42	120	3.5	170		220	4.5
	07/27/11	1200	800	89	39	110	3.2	150		220	5.0
	04/19/12 04/18/13	1200	860	97	42	120	3.8	180			<2
	04/16/13	1500	960	120	45	150	4.0	200	210	370	<2
10S/5W-23G4	06/99	1070	668	69	23	106	1.7	163			ND
(Bldg 330925)	08/99	1090	657	72	25	115	2.0	180			ND
	10/99	1150	716	79	27	140	2.0	120			ND
	02/00	956	522	67	23	117	2.0	90			ND
	05/00	1040	686	77	27	116	2.0	181			ND
	08/00		722	80	28	105	2.0	155			ND
	02/01	1100	706	73	25	125	2.0	149			ND
	04/01	1170	701	81	29	128	2.0	154			ND
	09/01	1180	671	80	28	126	2.0	149			ND
	10/01	1180	678	81	28	132	2.0	161			ND
	02/02	1170	685	80	28	134	2.8	143			ND
	04/02		711	87	31	127	2.3	150			ND
	07/02		730	83	29	130	2.5	158			ND
	10/02		649	78	27	115	2.1	135			ND
	01/03		740	87	30	129	2.2	145	154		ND
	04/03		681	79	27	128	2.5	150	152		ND
	10/03		647	80	27	136	3.0	152	155		ND
	04/04		604	66	24	117	3.0	147	133		
	08/04		657	68	24	99	4.0	140	114		ND
	10/04	1170	712	85	29	97	5.0	160	172		ND

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	n Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emical	l Cons	tituent	s - m	g/l
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4 H	ICO3	NO3
10S/5W-23G4	02/05	1070	661	84	29	125	3.3	154	148	185	ND
(Bldg 330925)	07/05	1050	655	72	23	118	2.0	127	405	202	ND
(Cont)	11/05 05/06	1080 1110	665 650	76 71	23 24	121 120	2.0 1.9	135	125	227	ND
	04/07	950	632	72	2 <del>4</del> 25	120	1.9	140 140	130 130	217 260	ND 0
	04/08	1150	672	73	25	120	1.8	150	130	250	<2
	04/09	1100	670	76	26	120	2.1	150	140	250	<2
	04/22/10	1100	660	71	24	120	1.8	140	120	250	<2
	04/20/11	1200	720	83	29	110	2.1	150	170	240	<2
	04/30/12	1100	720	83	29	120	2.0	150	160	230	<2
	04/17/13	1200	750	82	29	110	2.4	160	170	230	<2
10S/5W-23K3	06/99	1150	700	75.0	27.0	106.0	2.2	163	155	317	ND
(Bldg 330923)	08/99	1170	722	79.0	28.0	114.0	3.0	330	161	342	ND
	10/99	1170	723	78.0	28.0	140.0	3.0	120	140	293	ND
	02/00	1120	712	83.0	30.0	117.0	3.0	120	157	293	ND
	02/01 04/01	1240 1220	758 735	85.0 85.0	31.0	136.0	3.0	167	152	305	ND
	09/01	1240	682	81.0	31.0 29.0	135.0 132.0	3.0 3.0	162 162	154 144	293 281	ND ND
	10/01	1330	746	87.0	32.0	134.0	3.0	166	156	293	ND
	02/02		720	83.0	29.0	140.0	3.5	150	155	281	ND
	04/02		691	82.0	29.0	127.0	2.7	145	142	231	ND
	07/02		738	81.0	29.0	134.0	3.1	167	151	240	ND
	10/02	1270	716	85.0	30.0	137.0	2.9	150	162	221	ND
	01/03		826	100.0	35.0	141.0	2.6	156	185	252	0.4
	04/03		733	85.0	30.0	129.0	2.6	162	171	235	ND
	10/03		800	84.0	30.0	141.0	3.0	160	173	224	ND
	02/04		698	83.0	29.0	120.0	4.0	154	172	233	ND
	04/04		706	78.0	28.0	121.0	4.0	163	170	220	ND
	07/04		729	84.0	30.0	99.0	5.0	158	169	240	ND
	10/04 02/05		857 685	86.0 87.0	30.0 31.0	97.0 125.0	5.0 3.7	159 159	172 168	235 210	ND ND
	04/05		760	91.0	30.0	123.0	2.6	149	148	213	ND
	07/05		755	83.0	29.0	115.0	2.6	135		210	ND
	11/05		735	92.8	29.5	123.0	3.0	141	165	332	ND
	04/06		720	89.0	31.0	120.0	2.7	160	170	233	ND
	04/07		718	87.0	30.0	120.0	2.6	160	170	250	0
	04/08	1250	754	91	32	110	2.5	160	180	184	ND
	04/09		760	92	33	120	2.7	160	180	250	<2
	04/15/10	1200	760	98	34	120	2.6	160	180	240	<2
	04/13/11	1300	760	88	30	110	2.6	160	180	240	<2
	04/16/12 04/10/13		760 780	98 95	34 33	120 130	2.9 3.3	170 160	190 190	230 240	<2 <2
10S/5W-26C3	09/01										
(Bldg 220002)	10/01		819 814	101.0 104.0	38.0 38.0	138.0 131.0	3.0 3.0	173 199		296 317	ND ND
(Didg 220002)	02/02		834	99.0	36.0	128.0	3.0	172		318	ND
	04/02		808	104.0	39.0	124.0	3.0	180		258	ND
	07/02		829	101.0	37.0	137.0	3.3	187		260	ND
	10/02		793	98.0	35.0	143.0	3.4	179		248	ND

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### **WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON**

Site Location	n Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	emica	l Cons	tituen	ts - mo	g/I
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	<b>SO4</b>	нсоз	NO3
10S/5W-26C3	01/03	1300	806	94.0	33.0	144.0	2.0	163	180	235	ND
(Bldg 220002)	04/03	1290	759	94.0	33.0	137.0	3.1	182	198	230	ND
(Cont)	04/03	1290	759	94.0	32.0	137.0	3.1	182	198	230	ND
	10/03	1340	761	90.0	31.0	146.0	4.0	162	188	210	ND
	01/04	1320	743	94.0	32.0	124.0	5.0	182	212	203	ND
	04/04	1350	731	90.0	32.0	127.0	5.0	184	197	235	ND
	07/04	1100	773	91.0	32.0	98.0	5.0	167	197	215	ND
	10/04	1290	826	93.0	32.0	106.0	5.0	187	185		ND
	02/05	1260	735	101.0	35.0	127.0	3.7	175	188	215	ND
	04/05	1300	760	98.0	33.0	122.0	2.8	160	184	200	ND
	07/05	1450	1260	97.0	33.0	119.0	2.9	154		200	ND
	11/05	1240	795	99.0	32.0	122.0	2.9	159	169	202	ND
	06/06	1300	796	95.0	34.0	140.0	2.9	180	170	250	ND
	04/07	1080	764	91.0	31.0	130.0	2.9	190	190	250	0
	04/08	1260	694	80	29	140	2.7	180	150	286	<2
10S/5W-18B1	04/01/10	1400	840	100	42	110	3.6	170	230	240	<2
(Bldg 260018)	04/20/11	1400	880	100	41	100	3.4	180	250	220	<2
	04/25/12	1300	910	100	44	120	3.8	180		230	<2
	04/18/13	1300	880	98	42	120	4.2	180	240	220	<2

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

#### SURFACE STREAMS SAMPLED BY USGS ON CAHUILLA CREEK

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Co	nstituent	s - mg	/I	
PATOTO NEEDO DE SENDIMENTO DE SE	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
Cahuilla Creek	02/28/05	644	446	41.90	11.20	76.90	10.10			2	23 @N
Cahuilla Creek Below Highway 37	02/28/05	476	337	34.20	10.10	51.90	3.69	36.9		6	64 @N
Unnamed Tributary to Cahuilla Creek	02/14/05	783	529	64.00	17.50	80.70	8.94	35.2		(	05 @N

## SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

#### **APPENDIX E**

COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS CALENDAR YEAR 2013

## COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

## JANUARY 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

**CAMP PENDLETON** 

											GROUN	GROUNDWATER BANK	BANK	
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement	Running Average Less Required	WR-34 Make-Up	e-Up	Climatic Credit Earned	dit Earned		_			Cumulative
Day	Discharge	Discharge	Website Discharge	11, 2/	Flow	Discharge	Je	%		Input 4/	Input	Output	Output	Balance
	cfs	cts	cts	cts	CIS	cts	ΑŁ	CIS	ΑŁ	CIS	Ą	CIS	¥	¥
1	9:9	9.9				4.4	8.8	1.4	2.8	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	9.9	9.9				5.7	11.3	2.7	5.3	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
'n	6.4	6.4				5.5	11.0	2.5	5.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	6.4	6.4				5.5	11.0	2.5	5.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
- 45	6.5	6.5				5.7	11.3	2.7	5.3	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	9.9	9.9				5.8	11.5	2.8	5.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	6.5	6.5				5.5	11.0	2.5	5.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
00	6.4	6.4				5.5	11.0	2.5	5.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6	6.4	6.4				5.6	11.2	5.6	5.2	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	6.7	6.7				5.8	11.5	2.8	5.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	0.0	5.7	11.4	2.7	5.4	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	0.0	5.7	11.3	2.7	5.3	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	9.0	0.6	6.8	6.5	0.3	5.8	11.5	2.8	5.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	6.5	6.5	6.8	6.5	0.3	5.6	11.1	2.6	5.1	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	5.7	5.7	6.7	6.5	0.2	5.1	10.1	2.1	4.1	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	5.7	5.7	9.9	6.5	0.1	2.0	10.0	2.0	4.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	5.6	5.6	6.5	6.5	0.0	4.9	8.6	1.9	3.8	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	5.3	5.3	6.4	5.3	1.1	4.7	9.4	1.7	3.4	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	5.3	5.3	6.3	5.3	1.0	4.7	9.3	1.7	3.3	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	5.3	5.3	6.1	5.3	0.8	4.7	9.3	1.7	3.3	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	5.3	5.3	0.9	5.3	0.7	4.7	9.4	1.7	3.4	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	5.4	5.4	5.9	5.3	9.0	4.8	9.2	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	5.4	5.4	5.6	5.3	0.3	4.7	9.4	1.7	3.4	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3	0.1	4.6	9.5	1.6	3.2	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	2.7	2.7	5.4	5.3	0.1	4.4	8.7	4.	2.7	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	18.0	18.0	6.7	5.3	1.4	1.3	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	6.2	6.2	6.7	5.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	5.6	5.6	6.8	5.3	1.5	2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	5.3	5.3	8.9	5.3	1.5	4.0	7.9	1.0	9.0	ر. دن ر	3.0	0.0	0.0	2,000.0
30	5.2	5.2	6.8	5.3	1.5	4.2	8.4	7.1	4.7		0.0	0.0	0.0	0,000,0
31	5.3	5.3	6.8	5.3	1.5	4.4	8.7	4.	2.7	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.000,6
TOTAL SFD	199.3	199.3	134.1	119.7	14.4	146.7		58.7		46.5		0.0		
TOTAL AF	395.3	395.3	266.0	237.4	28.6		291.9		116.0		93.0		0.0	5,000.0

2/ In December 2012, the preliminary winter-time flow requirement for 2013 was calculated as 6.5 cfs; in January 2013, the final winter-time requirement was computed at 5.6 cfs. To make up for 1/ Required flows for January through April are equal to 11.5 cfs less 5.9 cfs of credits (1,248 AF of Climatic Credit earned in 2012 and 148 AF CAP Credit carried over from 2011). excess releases made by the District between January 1-17, 2013, the winter-time flow requirement was reduced from 5.6 cfs to 5.3 cfs for Jan 18 - Feb 28.

3/ Climatic Credit equals the WR-34 discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA part. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater bank shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

## FEBRUARY 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

**GROUNDWATER BANK** CAMP PENDLETON

													,	
Dav	USGS Official Discharge	USGS Daily Website Discharge	10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/, 2/	Running Average Less Required Flow	WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	fake-Up arge	Climatic Cr	Climatic Credit Earned	Input 4/	Input	Output	Output	Cumulative Balance
	sjo	cts	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cts	AF	cfs	ΑF	AF
-	5.3	5.3	6.7	5.3	1.4	4.5	0.6	1.5	3.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	5,3	5.3	6.7	5.3	1.4	4.5	0.6	1.5	3.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
n	5.3	5.3	6.7	5.3	1.4	4.5	8.9	1.5	2.9	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	5.3	5.3	6.7	5.3	1.4	4.6	9.1	1.6	3.1	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ري د	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3	0.1	4.6	9.2	1.6	3.2	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	0.0	4.5	9.0	1.5	3.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	5.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	0.0	4.5	8.9	1.5	2.9	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
•	7.3	7.3	5.5	5.3	0.2	2.9	2.7	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6	13.0	13.0	6.3	5.3	1.0	1.3	2.6	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	4.9	4.9	6.2	5.3	0.0	1.9	3.7	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	5.3	5.3	6.2	5.3	6.0	3.8	7.6	0.8	1.6	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	5.4	5.4	6.2	5.3	6.0	4.1	8.1	<del>-</del> -	2.1	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	5.4	5.4	6.3	5.3	1.0	4.2	8.3	1.2	2.3	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	5.4	5.4	6.3	5.3	1.0	4.3	8.5	1.3	2.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	5.4	5.4	6.3	5.3	1.0	4.3	8.5	1.3	2.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	5.3	5.3	6.3	5.3	1.0	4.3	8.5	1.3	2.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	5.2	5.2	6.3	5.3	1.0	4.4	8.7	1.4	2.7	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	5.3	5.3	6.1	5.3	0.8	4.5	8.9	1.5	2.9	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	8.5	8.5	5.6	5.3	0.3	4.5	0.6	1.5	3.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	58.0	58.0	10.9	5.3	5.6	1.2	2.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	5.7	5.8	11.0	5.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	4.8	4.9	10.9	5.3	5.6	2.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	5.3	5.3	10.9	5.3	5.6	4.2	8.4	1.2	2.4	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	5.4	5.4	10.9	5.3	5.6	4.5	8.9	1.5	2.9	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	5.3	5.3	10.9	5.3	5.6	4.5	8.9	1.5	2.9	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	5.3	5.3	10.9	5.3	5.6	4.5	0.6	1.5	3.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	0.9	6.1	11.0	5.3	5.7	5.3	10.5	2.3	4.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
78	0.9	6.1	11.1	5.3	5.8	5.3	10.5	2.3	4.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL SFD TOTAL AF	215.3 427.0	215.7 427.8	214.9 426.2	148.4 294.3	66.5 131.9	108.4	215.0	32.4	63.4	42.0	84.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0

 In December 2012, the preliminary winter-time flow requirement for 2013 was calculated as 6.5 cfs; in January 2013, the final winter-time requirement was computed at 5.6 cfs. To make up for excess releases made by the District between January 1-17, 2013, the winter-time flow requirement was reduced from 5.6 cfs to 5.3 cfs for Jan 18 - Feb 28.
 Signatic Credit equals the WR-34 discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to 4/ Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to 1/ Required flows for January through April are equal to 11.5 cfs less 5.9 cfs of credits (1,248 AF of Climatic Credit earned in 2012 and 148 AF CAP Credit carried over from 2011).

groundwater bank shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

## MARCH 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

Dav	USGS Official Discharge	USGS Daily Website Discharge	10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/	Running Average Less Required Flow	WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	ake-Up	Climatic C	Climatic Credit Earned 2/	Input 3/	Input	Output	Output	Cumulative Balance
	sjo	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	ΑF	cfs	AF	AF
1	5.8	5.8	10.8	5.6	5.2	5.1	10.1	2.1	4.1	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	5.7	5.7	5.6	5.6	0.0	5.0	10.0	2.0	4.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
က	5.7	5.7	5.6	5.6	0.0	5.0	6.6	2.0	3.9	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	5.7	2.7	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.9	9.8	1.9	3.8	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
5	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.6	0.1	4.9	9.7	1.9	3.7	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.6	0.1	4.9	9.7	1.9	3.7	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	5.6	5.6	2.7	5.6	0.1	4.8	9.5	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
80	217.0	217.0	26.9	5.6	21.3	1.5	5.9	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6	47.0	47.0	31.0	5.6	25.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	11.0	11.0	31.5	5.6	25.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	5.4	5.4	31.5	5.6	25.9	2.6	5.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	5.8	5.8	31.5	5.6	25.9	4.2	8.3	1.2	2.3	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	5.7	5.7	31.5	5.6	25.9	4.5	9.0	1.5	3.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	5.5	5.5	31.4	5.6	25.8	4.6	9.1	1.6	3.1	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	5.6	5.6	31.4	5.6	25.8	4.7	9.3	1.7	3.3	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	5.6	5.6	31.4	5.6	25.8	4.8	9.5	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	5.7	5.7	31.4	5.6	25.8	4.8	9.5	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	5.5	5.5	10.3	5.6	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.7	3.4	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	5.6	5.6	6.1	5.6	0.5	4.8	9.5	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.8	9.5	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.8	9.5	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.8	9.5	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.8	9.2	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.8	9.5	1.8	3.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.8	9.6	1.8	3.6	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
79	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.9	9.8	1.9	3.8	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.9	9.8	1.9	3.8	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.6	0.0	4.9	9.8	1.9	3.8	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	5.0	10.0	2.0	4.0	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	0.0	5.0	9.9	2.0		1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.6	0.0	2.0	6.6	2.0	3.9	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
010 14101	7000	7000	9 767	173 G	264.2	1343		7 07		46.5		0.0		
TOTAL AF	452.2 857.3	452.2 857.3	868.4	344.3	524.0	2	266.6	1	9.96		93.0		0.0	5,000.0

Required flows for January through April are equal to 11.5 cfs less 5.9 cfs of credits of credits.
 Climatic Credit equals the WR-34 discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA less applicable credits, but not less than 3.0 cfs.
 Aft. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater bank shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

## APRIL 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

168.0 0.0 333.2 0.0
0.0
155.9
65.9 310.1
130.1
45.0
0.06
0.0
0.0

Required flows for January through April are equal to 11.5 cfs less 5.9 cfs of credits (1,248 AF of Climatic Credit earned in 2012 and 148 AF CAP Credit carried over from 2011).
 Climatic Credit equals the WR-34 discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater equal the flow indicated in Section 5 of CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater bank shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

## MAY 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

Day	USGS Official Discharge	USGS Daily Website Discharge	10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/	Running Average Less Required Flow	WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	ake-Up rge	Climatic C	Climatic Credit Earned	Input	Input	Output	Output	Cumulative Balance
	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	ΑF	cts	AF	cts	AF	cfs	AF	AF
1	3.8	3.8				3.4	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.8	3.8				3.4	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
٣	3.7	3.7				3.5	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	3.8	3.8				3.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	3.9	3.9				3.5	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	3.9	3.9				3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.8	3.6				3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
80	3.9	3.7				3.4	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6	4.1	3.9				3.7	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	4.1	3.8				3.6	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.5	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.8	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.6	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	4.2	3.9	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
56	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.6	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	0.0	3.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL SED	124.2	1181	79.8	79.8	0.0	111.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		
TOTAL AF	246.3	234.2	158.3	158.3	0.0		220.7		0.0		0.0		0.0	5,000.0
	_													

1/ Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals the Section 5 flow for a Critically Dry year. 2/ Climatic Credits not applicable in May through December.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

## JUNE 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

Day	USGS Official Discharge	USGS Daily Website Discharge	10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/	Running Average Less Required Flow	WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	ke-Up ge	Climatic Credit Earned 2/	edit Earned	Input	Input	Output	Output	Cumulative Balance
	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	ΑF	cfs	AF	AF
1	3.4	3.2				3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	3.6	3.4				3.3	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
٣	3.6	3.3				3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	3.6	3.4				3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ıç,	3.5	3.3				3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	3.5	3.3				3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.5	3.3				3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
80	3.5	3.3				3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6	3.6	3.4				3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	3.5	3.3				3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	2.9	3.1	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
59	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.0	3.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
										•		0		
TOTAL SFD	99.3	99.5	66.0 130.9	66.0 130.9	0.0 0.0	93.8	186.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	:													

1/ Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals the Section 5 flow for a Critically Dry year.
2/ Climatic Credits not applicable in May through December.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

## JULY 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

Cumulative Output Balance	cfs AF AF	0.0 0.0 5,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 5,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0 5,000.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				0.000,5
Input Input	cfs AF	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0							0.0 0.0						0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0				0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0				0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0
Climatic Credit Earned	cfs AF	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0		0.0					0.0 0.0					0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0					0.0 0.0				0.0 0.0							0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0
WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	cfs AF	2.8 5.6			2.7 5.4		2.7 5.3			2.7 5.4										2.7 5.4							2.8 5.5				2.9 5.8		84.2	167.7
Running Average Less Required Flow	cfs											0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	10
g Minimum Flow Maintenance qe Requirement 1/	1																3.0								3.0						3.0			125.0
10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	cfs																3.0								3.1			3.0			3.0	3.0	63.5	
USGS Daily ial Website Discharge		3.0	(r)			3.1				3.0							3.0						3.4					3 3.0					3 93.8	
USGS Official Discharge	-	2.8	2.5	ie	-	-	3 i 3	25	25	2.8	2.8	2.5	3.6	2.5	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.5	3.5	2.5	7.5	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5	7.5	2.8	2.8	FD 87.8	_
Dav		1	2	l en	. A	ı.	9	^	. 00	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	TOTAL SFD	TOTAL AE

1/ Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals the Section 5 flow for a Critically Dry year. 2/ Climatic Credits not applicable in May through December.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

## AUGUST 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

Cumula Bala	AF																															9,000.0	5,000.0	
Output	ΑF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Output	cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Input	ΑF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Input	cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
edit Earned	AF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Climatic Credit Earned	cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	AF	5.6	5.3	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.8	0.9	0.9	6.1	2.8	0.9	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.2	0.9	184.9	
WR-34 Disc	cfs	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.0	93.0	
Running Average Less Required Flow	cls											0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2
Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/	cfs											3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	63.0	2.24
10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	cfs											3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	63.0	0.04
USGS Daily Website Discharge	cfs	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	93.3	
USGS Official Discharge	cfs	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	92.0	0.201
Dav		1	2	· က	4	. 10	9		. 90	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	76	27	28	29	30	31	TOTAL SFD	IOIAL AL

1/ Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals the Section 5 flow for a Critically Dry year. 2/ Climatic Credits not applicable in May through December.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

# SEPTEMBER 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

USGS Official Discharge	cial e	USGS Daily Website Discharge	10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/	Running Average Less Required Flow	WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	lake-Up arge	Climatic C	Climatic Credit Earned	Input	Input	Output	Output	Cumulative Balance
cfs cfs	cfs		cfs	cls	cfs	cfs	ΑF	cts	AF	cts	ΑF	cts	ΑF	AF
	3.0					3.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0					3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0					3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0					3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0					3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0					3.3	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0					3.3	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	2.9					3.3	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
3.0 3.0	3.0					3.3	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.1					3.3	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.1		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.1		3.0	3.0	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.1		3.0	3.0	0.0	2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
3.1	3.1		3.0	3.0	0.0	2.6	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	2.9	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	2.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
3.0 3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
90.4	90.4		0.09	0.09	0.0	93.5		0.0	Ċ	0.0	c	0.0	ć	000
179.3 179.3	179.3		119.0	119.0	0.0		185.5		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.000,6

1/ Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals the Section 5 flow for a Critically Dry year. 2/ Climatic Credits not applicable in May through December.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

## OCTOBER 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

Dav	USGS Official Discharge	USGS Daily Website Discharge	10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/	Running Average Less Required Flow	WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	ake-Up rge	Climatic Cre	Climatic Credit Earned 2/	Input	Input	Output	Output	Cumulative Balance
	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	ΑF	cts	ΑF	AF
1	3.1	3.1				3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	3.0	3.0				2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
8	3.0	3.0				2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	3.0	3.0				2.8	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	3.0	3.0				2.9	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	3.0	3.0				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.0	3.0				2.9	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
80	3.0	3.0				3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6	3.3	3.3				2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	3.0	3.0				2.6	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.9	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	0.1	2.9	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	0.1	2.9	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	4.5	4.6	3.2	3.0	0.2	1.2	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	2.5	2.5	3.2	3.0	0.2	2.4	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	2.4	2.4	3.1	3.0	0.1	2.3	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	2.5	2.5	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.5	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000,6
TOTAL SFD	93.5	93.7	63.9	63.0	0.9	81.2		0.0		0.0		0.0		
TOTAL AF	185.5	185.9	126.7	125.0	1.8		161.3		0.0		0.0		0.0	5,000.0

1/ Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals the Section 5 flow for a Critically Dry year.
2/ Climatic Credits not applicable in May through December.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

# **NOVEMBER 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR**

Cumulative Balance	AF	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0		5,000.0
Output	AF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Output	cts	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Input	AF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0		0.0
Input	cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
dit Earned	AF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0:0
Climatic Credit Earned	cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
/ake-Up arge	AF	5.8	0.9	5.8	5.6	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.9	0.9	5.9	5.7	0.9	0.9	5.9	5.7	5.8	5.8	5.7	5.6	4.0	5.3	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6		170.5
WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	cfs	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.0	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	85.7	
Running Average Less Required Flow	cfs											0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/	cfs											3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.09	119.0
10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	cfs											3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.09	119.0
USGS Daily Website Discharge	cfs	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.2	2.9	90.4	179.3
USGS Official Discharge	cfs	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3,7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.2	2.9	90.4	179.3
Dav		1	7	m	4	· IC	9	_	. 00	6	10	11	12	13	4	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	TOTAL SFD	TOTAL AF

1/ Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals the Section 5 flow for a Critically Dry year. 2/ Climatic Credits not applicable in May through December.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

# **DECEMBER 2013 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR**

Cumulative Balance	AF	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	5,000.0	2,000.0		5,000.0
Output	AF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Output	cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Input	ΑF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Input	cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
dit Earned	AF	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
Climatic Credit Earned	cfs	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
ake-Up rge	ΑF	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.3	9.9	6.7	6.8	9.9	9.9	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.8	6.8	6.7	6.9	8.9	6.3	6.1	3.5	5.3	6.3	6.5	9.9	6.7	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.8	7.0		201.2
WR-34 Make-Up Discharge	cfs	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.1	1.8	2.7	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	101.4	
Running Average Less Required Flow	cfs											0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement 1/	cfs											3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	69.3	137.5
10-Day Running Average of Website Discharge	cfs											3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	69.3	137.5
USGS Daily Website Discharge	cls	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	102.5	203.3
USGS Official Discharge	cfs	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.2	33.3	3,3	3,3	3.3	3.4	3,3	3.4	3,3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3,3	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	101.7	201.7
Dav		1	2	m	4	22	9	_	. 90	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	TOTAL SFD	TOTAL AF

1/ Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals the Section 5 flow for a Critically Dry year. 2/ Climatic Credits not applicable in May through December.

## ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

#### **APPENDIX F**

ANNUAL REPORT ISSUES SUBORDINATED DURING EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THE COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT



#### APPENDIX F

#### SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

# ANNUAL REPORT ISSUES SUBORDINATED DURING EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THE COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

#### Introduction

Prior to implementation of the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA) entered into by Rancho California Water District (RCWD) and the United States on behalf of Camp Pendleton, there were contentions raised by Camp Pendleton each year, with respect to various aspects of the Annual Watermaster Report. These contentions are settled so long as CWRMA is in effect. Accordingly, there is no need to raise those particular issues or publish them in the main text of the annual report or in related correspondence.

However, the respective positions on these issues need to be preserved and protected from any finding of waiver, and there is a need to continue to collect related data in the event of need in the future.

Therefore, the applicable textual material in the previous annual reports and related comments and responses have been gathered here for preservation and maintenance of rights, with the understanding that the previous annual exchange of applicable contentions in the process of preparing the annual report is no longer necessary.

#### **Issues Reserved**

Section 3, Surface Water Availability and Use: In the absence of CWRMA implementation, Camp Pendleton disputes the method of calculation used in the annual report in Subsection 3.2 (Surface Water Diversions) and Table 3.3 (Surface Water Diversions to Storage for Vail Lake) for presentation of the information regarding Vail Lake and further asserts its belief that the Vail Dam impoundment fails to comply with the 1940 Stipulated Judgment.

Section 4, Subsurface Water Availability and Use: In the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with respect to Figure 4.1 (Water Level Elevations – Windmill Well) and to Subsections 4.3 (Water Levels) and 4.4 (Groundwater Storage), Camp Pendleton is concerned about the apparent excessive pumping in the Upper Basin, and further asserts its belief that the lengthy and significant drawdown and concomitant loss in storage adversely affect the water supply for adjacent and downstream users holding senior water rights.

<u>Section 7, Water Production and Use:</u> First, in the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with regard to the local production figures shown in Table 7.1 (Water Production and Use), Camp Pendleton is concerned about the high level of groundwater production from the Upper Basin, a level that Camp Pendleton believes to be substantially greater than the safe yield.

Second, in the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with regard to Footnote 4 of Table 7.1 (distinction between RCWD pumping of older alluvium water and of Vail recovery water), Camp Pendleton has serious reservations as to the accounting system that is being used as well as the legal and technical bases upon which such system has been formulated.

Third, in the absence of CWRMA implementation, and as to the RCWD part of Subsection 7.2.8 (Water Purveyors – Rancho California Water District), Camp Pendleton has serious reservations as to the accounting system that is being used as well as the legal and technical bases upon which such system has been formulated. These reservations include the following:

- As to the "Vail Appropriation" part: Representatives of the United States contend that under the 1940 Stipulated Judgment storage of water in Vail Lake is limited to Rancho California Water District's share of the flood waters of the Santa Margarita River system. However, to date, the parties have not agreed on a definition of "flood waters."
- 2. As to the "Division of Local Water" part: In 1995 well logs and geophysical logs of all Rancho California WD wells were reviewed by representatives of the United States and Rancho California WD to determine the depths of the younger alluvium. There was general agreement between the parties about the depth of the younger alluvium in production wells, except for ten wells shown on Table 7.7 of the 1994-95 report. The remaining disagreements relate to differences about the magnitude of the clay layer needed to define the base of the younger alluvium, the importance of neighboring well logs, and general concepts about overall geologic setting.

<u>Section 8, Unauthorized Water Use</u>: In the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with respect to water use by RCWD, Camp Pendleton asserts the following:

- 1. Such use is in violation of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment by reason of, among other things, Vail Lake operations in excess of entitlement and pumping from both younger and older alluvium in excess of entitlement, which contentions RCWD disputes;
- 2. Rediversion and use of water impounded by Vail Dam are not in accord with terms of Permit 7032;
- 3. Unauthorized pumping is being done, including pumping from the younger alluvium outside of Pauba Valley without a permit and pumping from the older alluvium in violation of Court adjudications.

<u>Section 9, Threats to Water Supply</u>: In the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with respect to Subsection 9.3 (Potential Overdraft Conditions) and as noted in the foregoing comments to Sections 4 and 7, Camp Pendleton is seriously concerned regarding the apparent excessive pumping in the Upper Basin.

# SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

# APPENDIX G INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT WATER YEAR 2012-13

James A. Rotherham, CPA CEO & Managing Partner

Roy T. Hosaka, CPA Retired

James C. Nagel, CPA Retired

WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

### WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** 

## WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED TABLE OF CONTENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

	Page <u>Number</u>
INTRODUCTORY SECTION	
Table of Contents	i
FINANCIAL SECTION	1
Independent Auditors' Report	2-3
Statement of Net Assets	4
Statement of Activities	5
Statement of Cash Flows	6
Notes to Financial Statements	7 - 10
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SECTION	11
Statement of Activities - Budget and Actual	12
OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS SECTION	13
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	14 - 15
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS SECTION	16
Schedule of Audit Findings and Questioned Costs	17 - 18
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	19

### WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

FINANCIAL SECTION

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** 



James A. Rotherham, CPA CEO & Managing Partner

Roy T. Hosaka, CPA Retired

James C. Nagel, CPA Retired

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Steering Committee Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Fallbrook, California

#### Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed, which comprise the statement of financial position as of September 30, 2013, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the fiscal year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT Page 2

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed as of September 30, 2013, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the fiscal year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 17, 2013, on our consideration of Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

, Hosaka, Rotherham & Company

San Diego, California December 17, 2013

## WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

#### **ASSETS**

Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents (Note 3)	\$	306,329
Short-term investments (Note 4)		200,988
Total current assets		507,317
Fixed assets, net of depreciation (Note 5)	<u></u>	3,125
Total assets	\$	510,442
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS		
Current liabilities:		
Advanced assessments	\$	141,180
Total current liabilities		141,180
Net assets:		
Unrestricted	•	369,262
Total net assets		369,262
Total liabilities and net assets	\$	510,442

# WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

#### Revenues

Assessments Interest	\$ 649,6 5	00 99
Total revenues	650,19	99
Expenses		
Watermaster fees:		
Consulting services	204,7	11
Travel reimbursements	21,3	41
Other expenses:		
Gauging station operation	232,2	25
Human resource services	5	90
Rent	18,0	00
Accounting services	6,7	99
Supplies	1,0	46
Insurance	5	75
Printing	7,4	68
Audit	6,3	
Legal services		70
Publications	2,9	75
Clerical / Data management	97,6	
Telephone / Internet		341
Travel	1,1	111
Office equipment and software	8	302
Network expenses		134
Depreciation expense	3	347
Miscellaneous	4,2	271
Total expenses	612,	525
Change in net assets	37,6	674
Net assets - beginning	331,	588
Net assets - ending	\$ 369,	262

## WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

#### Cash flows used by operating activities:

Depreciation Receipts from customers Receipts from interest Payment to suppliers and vendors Net cash provided by operating activities  Cash flows from financing activities:	\$ 347 674,780 599 (612,525) 63,201
Increase in short-term investments	(51)
Net cash used in financing activities	(51)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	63,150
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning	243,179
Cash and cash equivalents - ending	\$ 306,329
Reconciliation of operating revenues to net cash used by operating activities	
Change in net assets	\$ 37,674
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities	
Depreciation	347
(Increase) Decrease in: Accounts receivable	23,200
Increase (Decrease) in: Advanced assessments	 1,980
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 63,201

#### **NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION**

#### Nature of operations

Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed (Watermaster) was created by order of the United States District Court, Southern District of California (Court). The Court, as part of its continuing jurisdiction in the case of United States vs. Fallbrook Public Utility District et al., has authority to make judicial determination of all water rights within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Watermaster is empowered by the Court to administer and enforce the provisions of a Modified Final Judgment and Decree entered April 6, 1966, and subsequent instructions and orders of the Court. On November 15, 2005, the Court issued an Order authorizing the Steering Committee to execute an Employment Agreement with Charles W. Binder, DBA Binder & Associates Consulting, Inc., to serve as Watermaster.

A Steering Committee was appointed by the Court to assist Watermaster and the Court. The Steering Committee is comprised of representatives from the United States (Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base), Rancho California Water District, Fallbrook Public Utility District (FPUD), Eastern Municipal Water District, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians, and Western Municipal Water District.

The fees and expenses of Watermaster during the water year ended September 30, 2013, were, per court order, paid from equal assessments against the Steering Committee members. The Court retains the right to assess other parties in the watershed in future years. Pursuant to an agreement between Watermaster and the United States Geological Survey (USGS), the USGS provides operations and maintenance services for stream gauging stations and groundwater monitoring wells in the watershed.

#### **NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

#### A. Basis of accounting

The accounting policies of the Watermaster substantially conform to generally accepted accounting principles. The accounting records are maintained on an accrual basis. Revenue is recognized when earned and expenses are recorded upon incurrence of a liability. Accounts receivable represent amounts due from Steering Committee members.

#### B. Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are from time to time variously composed of cash in banks and liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

#### C. <u>Investments</u>

The Watermaster presents its investments in accordance with Accounting Standards. Investments in marketable securities with readily determinable fair values and all investments in debt securities are reported at their fair values in the Statement of Net Assets. The fair values of these investments are subject to change based on the fluctuations of market values. Unrealized gains and losses are included in the change in net assets. Investment income and gains restricted by a donor or by the Watermaster are reported as increases in unrestricted net assets if the restrictions are met (either by the passage of time or by use) in the reporting period in which the income and gains are recognized.

#### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### D. Accounts receivable

Watermaster considers accounts receivable to be fully collectible; accordingly, no allowance for doubtful accounts is required.

#### E. Fixed assets

Fixed assets are recorded at cost and depreciated under the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives of 3 to 10 years. Repair and maintenance costs, which do not extend the useful lives of the asset, are charged to expense. The cost of assets, sold or retired, and related amounts of accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the accounts in the year of disposal, and any resulting gain or loss is included in the earnings. Management has elected to capitalize and depreciate all assets costing \$2,000 or more; all other assets are charged to expense in the year incurred.

#### F. Advanced assessments

Advanced assessments represent amounts levied or collected in the current year that apply to the next fiscal year.

#### G. Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### H. Classification of items

Certain items may have been classified different from one year to another.

#### I. Income taxes

Watermaster was created by order of the Court and is exempt from taxation.

#### J. Excess of expenses over budgets

Excess of actual expenses over budgeted amounts in individual accounts were as follows:

Human resource services	\$ (590)
Insurance	\$ (75)
Audit	\$ (39)
Legal services	\$ (1,470)
Travel	\$ (311)
Depreciation expense	\$ (347)

There were two major items where the actual expenses exceeded the budget amount.

 Human resource services - These services were not listed as a separate line item in the 2013 budget. These services were anticipated and were provided in accordance with the services agreement with FPUD. Human Resources Services will be shown as a separate line item for future budgets.

#### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### J. Excess of expenses over budgets (continued)

Legal services - These services were not anticipated and were not listed as a separate
line item in the 2013 budget. The specific services relate to retention of legal counsel to
assist the Watermaster in responding to objections filed with the Court concerning the
2012 Annual Watermaster Report. Retention of legal counsel was approved by the
Steering Committee with expenses to be paid from reserves.

#### **NOTE 3 - CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS**

Cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

Cash in banks	\$ 2,552
Money market	 303,777
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 306,329

Cash balances held in banks are insured up to \$250,000 by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). At September 30, 2013, the Watermaster did not have any uninsured funds.

#### **NOTE 4 - SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS**

Short-term investments at September 30, 2013, are stated at Fair Market Value and consist of the following:

	Cost	Fair Value	(	Carrying Value
Unrestricted:				
Pacific Western Bank				
certificate of deposit	\$ 50,823	\$ 50,988	\$	50,988
Union Bank				
certificate of deposit	150,000	 150,000		150,000
Total unrestricted	\$ 200,823	\$ 200,988	\$	200,988

Short-term investment activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

	Unre	estricted
Interest and dividends	\$	300
Net investment return	\$	300

Watermaster realized a gain or loss on the Union Bank certificate of deposit when it earned its interest. During the fiscal year, Watermaster recognized the entire interest income related to the Union Bank certificate of deposit in the amount of \$300.

#### **NOTE 5 - FIXED ASSETS**

Fixed assets at September 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

Computer equipment	\$ 8,261
Office furniture and equipment	19,461
Less: accumulated depreciation	 (24,597)
Total fixed assets, net of depreciation	\$ 3,125

During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, \$347 was charged to depreciation expense.

#### **NOTE 6 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

The Watermaster has entered into an agreement with Fallbrook Public Utility District (FPUD), which is a member of the Watermaster Steering Committee, whereby FPUD provides office space and accounting services. Rent of office space and accounting services for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, were \$18,000 and \$6,799, respectively.

Data management and clerical support services are performed at the Watermaster office by an FPUD employee under contract. Watermaster reimburses FPUD for the actual cost of wages and fringe benefits. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, these reimbursements totaled \$97,680.

#### **NOTE 7 - OPERATING LEASES**

The Watermaster leases a copier and electronic storage under operating lease arrangements. Future minimum lease payments under the signed lease arrangements are as follows:

Year Ending September 30,		Lease ayments
2014	\$	5,344
2015		4,639
2016		4,639
2017		2,989
2018		2,839
To	otal \$	20,450

The Watermaster will receive no sublease rental revenue, nor pay any contingent rentals for these leases. At September 30, 2013, Watermaster's lease expense was \$4,806.

### WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SECTION

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** 

#### WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

		Original/ al Budget	Actual	Fav	riance /orable avorable)
Revenues					
Assessments Interest	\$	649,600	\$ 649,600 599	\$	- 599_
Total revenues		649,600	650,199		599
Expenses					
Watermaster fees:					
Consulting services		215,000	204,711		10,289
Travel reimbursements		27,500	21,341		6,159
Other expenses:					
Gauging station operation		232,225	232,225		-
Human resource services		-	590		(590)
Rent		18,000	18,000		-
Accounting services		7,000	6,799		201
Supplies		1,600	1,046		554
Insurance		500	575		(75)
Printing		9,800	7,468		2,332
Audit		6,300	6,339		(39)
Legal services		-	1,470		(1,470)
Publications		4,200	2,975		1,225
Clerical / Data management		104,400	97,680		6,720
Telephone / Internet		4,700	2,641		2,059
Travel		800	1,111		(311)
Office equipment and software		2,000	802		1,198
Network expenses		10,000	2,134		7,866
Depreciation expense		•	347		(347)
Miscellaneous		5,575	 4,271		1,304
Total expenses		649,600	 612,525		37,075
Change in net assets		-	37,674		37,674
Net assets - beginning	•	331,588	 331,588		•
Net assets - ending	\$	331,588	\$ 369,262	\$	37,674

### WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

#### OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS SECTION

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** 



James A. Rotherham, CPA CEO & Managing Partner

Roy T. Hosaka, CPA Retired

James C. Nagel, CPA Retired

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Steering Committee Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Fallbrook, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed, which comprise the statement of financial position as of September 30, 2013, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the fiscal year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 17, 2013.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS
Page 2

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the organization's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the organization's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Hosaka, Rotherham & Company

San Diego, California December 17, 2013

# WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS SECTION SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

### WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

#### A. Summary of Auditors' Results

1.	Financial Statements				
	Type of auditors' report issued:	Unqualified			
	Internal control over financial reporting:				
	One or more material weaknesses identified?	Yes <u>X</u> No			
	One or more significant deficiencies identified tha are not considered to be material weaknesses?	tYes <u>X</u> None Reported			
	Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	Yes <u>X</u> No			
2.	Federal Awards				
	Internal control over major programs:				
	One or more material weaknesses identified?	Yes <u>N/A</u> No			
	One or more significant deficiencies identified the are not considered to be material weaknesses?	atYes <u>N/A</u> None Reported			
	Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:	N/A			
	Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section .510(a) or Circular A-133?	Yes <u>N/A</u> No			
	Identification of major programs:				
	CFDA Number(s) Name of Fede	eral Program or Cluster			
	The Organization did not have over \$500,000 in Federal Expenditures.				
	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	N/A			
	Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes <u>N/A</u> No			

## WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SCHEDULE OF AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED) FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

A.	Summary of Auditors' Results (continued)	
	3. State Awards	
	Internal control over state programs:	
	One or more material weaknesses identified?	Yes <u>N/A</u> No
	One or more significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	Yes N/A None Reported
	Type of auditors' report issued on compliance	
	for state programs:	N/A
В.	Financial Statement Findings	
	None	
C.	Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs	
	None	
D.	. State Award Findings and Questioned Costs	
	None	

# WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Findings/Recommendations	Current Status	Explanation If  Not implemented
None	N/A	N/A



James A. Rotherham, CPA CEO & Managing Partner

Roy T. Hosaka, CPA Retired

James C. Nagel, CPA Retired

WATERMASTER OF THE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

REPORT TO THE STEERING COMMITTEE

**SEPTEMBER 30, 2013** 

James A. Rotherham, CPA CEO & Managing Partner

Roy T. Hosaka, CPA Retired

James C. Nagel, CPA Retired

To the Steering Committee Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Fallbrook, California

We have audited the financial statements of Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed (Watermaster), for the year ended September 30, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated December 17, 2013. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

### Our Responsibility Under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards and Government Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and are fairly presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Because an audit is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance and because we did not perform a detailed examination of all transactions, there is a risk that material misstatements may exist and not be detected by us.

As part of our audit, we considered the internal control of Watermaster. Such considerations were solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal control.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of Watermaster's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. However, the objective of our tests was not to provide an opinion on compliance with such provision.

#### Significant Accounting Policies

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by Watermaster are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. New accounting policies were adopted and the applications of existing policies were changed during the year ended September 30, 2013. We noted no transactions entered into by Watermaster during the year that were both significant and unusual, and of which, under professional standards, we are required to inform you, or transactions for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

#### **Accounting Estimates**

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events.

#### **Audit Adjustments**

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define an audit adjustment as a proposed correction of the financial statements that, in our judgment, may not have been detected except through our auditing procedures. An audit adjustment may or may not indicate matters that could have a significant effect on Watermaster's financial reporting process (that is, cause future financial statements to be materially misstated).

Watermaster of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Steering Committee Report Page 2 of 2

#### **Disagreements With Management**

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditors' report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

#### **Consultations With Other Independent Accountants**

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle Watermaster's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

#### Issues Discussed Prior to Retention of Independent Auditors

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as Watermaster's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.


#### **Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit**

We encountered no difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and Steering Committee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hosaka, Rotherham & Company

Hosaka, Rotherham & Company December 17, 2013





Map Produced by: Rancho California Water District Geographic Information Systems March 2009





1 inch = 4 miles

Watershed Watermaster River Margarita