SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

222

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT

WATER YEAR 2011-12

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, ET AL.

CIVIL NO. 51-CV-1247-GPC-RBB

CHARLES W. BINDER WATERMASTER P. O. BOX 631 FALLBROOK, CA 92088 (760) 728-1028 FAX (760) 728-1990

July 2013

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

1.	Summary	1
2.	Introduction	
	2.1 Background	5
	2.2 Authority	5
	2.3 Scope	5
3.	Surface Water Availability and Use	
	3.1 Surface Flow 3.2 Surface Water Diversions	7
	3.2 Surface Water Diversions	13
	3.3 Water Storage	13
4.	Subsurface Water Availability	
	4.1 General	19
	4.2 Extractions	19
	4.3 Water Levels	21
	4.4 Groundwater Storage	26
5.	Imports/Exports	
	5.1 General	33
	5.1 General 5.2 Water Year 2011-12	37
	5.3 Water Years 1966-2012	
	5.4 Lake Skinner	
	5.5 Diamond Valley Lake	42
6.	Water Rights	
	6.1 General	45
	6.2 Appropriative Surface Water Rights	47
	6.3 Fallbrook PUD Changes of Point of Diversion and Place of Use	51
	6.4 Federal Reserved Water Rights Claims By	
	Cahuilla and Ramona Bands	52
	6.5 Federal Reserved Water Rights Claims by Pechanga Band	52
7.	Water Production and Use	
	7.1 General	53
	7.2 Water Purveyors	54
	7.3 Indian Reservations	74
	7.4 Small Water Systems	77
	7.5 Irrigation Water Use	77

Page No.

8.	Unauthorized Water Use	
	8.1 General	79
	8.2 Unauthorized Small Storage Ponds	79
	8.3 Rancho California Water District Water Use	79
	8.4 Exportation of Treated Wastewater Derived from Native Waters	80
9.	Threats to Water Supply	
	9.1 General	81
	9.2 High Nitrate Concentrations	81
	9.3 Potential Overdraft Conditions	82
	9.4 Salt Balance	83
	9.5 High Arsenic Concentrations	85
	9.6 High Fluoride Concentrations	85
	9.7 High Manganese Concentrations	86
	9.8 Quagga Mussel	86
10.	Water Quality	
	10.1 Surface Water Quality	89
	10.2 Groundwater Quality	89
11.	Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement	
	11.1 General	95
	11.2 Required Flows	98
	11.3 Water Quality	100
	11.4 Monitoring Programs	100
12.	Five Year Projection of Watermaster Office Tasks, Expenditures	
	and Requirements	
	12.1 General	103
	12.2 Normal Tasks	103
	12.3 Additional Tasks	103
	12.4 Projected Expenditures	104
13.	Watermaster Office Budget 2013-14	105

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

LIST OF TABLES

3.1 – Stream Gaging Stations	8
3.2 – Measured Surface Water Flow 2011-12	9
3.3 – Surface Water Diversions to Storage for Vail Lake	14
3.4 – Surface Water Diversions to Storage for Lake O'Neill	15
3.5 – Surface Water Diversions to Use	16
3.6 – Water in Storage	17
4.1 – Water Production by Substantial Users	20
4.2 – Groundwater Storage at Camp Pendleton	27
4.3 – Changes in Groundwater Storage Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area	29
4.4 – Changes in Useable Groundwater Storage Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area	30
5.1 – Storage in State Water Project and Colorado River Reservoirs	34
5.2 – Imports/Exports 2011-12	38
5.3 – Total Dissolved Solids Concentration of Imported Water	39
5.4 – Imports/Exports 1966-2012	40
6.1 – Appropriative Water Rights, Permits & Licenses	48
6.2 – Pre-1914 Appropriative Water Rights	50
7.1 – Water Production and Use	55
7.2 – Definitions of Water Use by Municipal Water Purveyors	56
7.3 – Water Deliveries to Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility Service Area	59

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Ρ	ag	е	Ν	10	•

7.4 – Rancho California Water District, Permit 7032 Operations	64
7.5 – Rancho California Water District, Rancho Division Return Flow Credit 2011-12	66
7.6 – Rancho California Water District, Santa Rosa Division Return Flow Credit 2011-12	67
7.7 – Percent Production from Younger Alluvium In Rancho California Water District Wells	69
7.8 – Rancho California Water District Well Production From Younger and Older Alluvium	70
10.1 – Ranges in Average Daily Concentration of Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Specific Conductance and Temperature at Santa Margarita River Near Temecula	90
11.1 – Monthly Summary of Required Flows, Discharges, Credits and Accounts, Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement 2012 Calendar Year	96
11.2 – Monthly Summary of Required Flows, Discharges, Credits and Accounts, Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement 2011 Calendar Year	97

Page No.

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1 – Local Production 2003 – 2012	2
1.2 – Imports 2003 – 2012	2
1.3 – Total Production 2003 – 2012	3
3.1 – Annual Streamflow for Santa Margarita River near Temecula	11
3.2 – Annual Precipitation for Wildomar Gage	12
4.1 – Water Level Elevations Well No. 8S/2W-12H1	21
4.2 – Water Level Elevations Well No. 10S/4W-7J1	22
4.3 – Water Level Elevations Well No. 7S/3W-20C9	23
4.4 – Water Level Elevations Well No. 7S/3E-21G1	24
4.5 – Water Level Elevations Pechanga Indian Reservation Wells	25
5.1 – Storage in State Water Project 2003 – 2012	35
5.2 – Storage in Colorado River Reservoirs 2003 – 2012	35
10.1 – Total Dissolved Solids Concentration RCWD Well 8S/2W-12K	91
10.2 – Total Dissolved Solids Concentration Camp Pendleton Well 10S/4W-7A2	92
10.3 – Nitrate Concentration Camp Pendleton Well 10S/4W-7A2	93

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Production and Use Water Year 2011-12

Table A-1	Eastern Municipal Water District
Table A-2	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
Table A-3	Fallbrook Public Utility District
Table A-4	Metropolitan Water District
Table A-5	Pechanga Indian Reservation
Table A-6	Rainbow Municipal Water District
Table A-7	Rancho California Water District
Table A-8	U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton
Table A-9	U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex
Table A-10	Western Municipal Water District – Murrieta Division
Toble A 11	Miccollancous Water Production and Import

 Table A-11
 Miscellaneous Water Production and Import

Appendix B - Production and Use Water Years 1965-66 to 2011-12

Table B-1	Eastern Municipal Water District
Table B-2	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
Table B-3.1	Fallbrook Public Utility District
Table B-3.2	Fallbrook Public Utility District
Table B-4	Fallbrook Public Utility District (Wastewater)
Table B-5	Metropolitan Water District
Table B-6	Pechanga Indian Reservation
Table B-7	Rainbow Municipal Water District
Table B-8	Rancho California Water District
Table B-9	U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton
Table B-10	U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex
Table B-11	Western Municipal Water District – Murrieta Division
Table B-12	Miscellaneous Water Production and Import

Appendix C - Substantial Water Users 2011-12

Appendix D - Water Quality Data

		Last Published
Table D-1	Surface Streams Sampled by Camp Pendleton	1992-93
Table D-2	Surface Streams Sampled by Rancho California Water District	1998-99
Table D-2.1	Nutrient Sampling by Rancho California Water District	2002-03
Table D-3	Wells in Western Municipal Water District - Murrieta Division	2011-12
Table D-4	Wells in Rancho California Water District	2011-12
Table D-5 Table D-6	Wells on Indian Reservations Wells on Camp Pendleton	2011-12 2011-12
Table D-7	Eastern Municipal Water District	1992-93
Table D-8 Table D-9	Eastern Municipal Water District Eastern Municipal Water District	1992-93 1992-93
Table D-10	Eastern Municipal Water District	1993-94
Table D-11 Table D-12	Wells in Domenigoni Valley Surface Water Sampled by USGS on	1994-95
	Cahuilla Creek	2011-12

Appendix E – Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement Required Flows and Accounts – Calendar Year 2012

Appendix F – Annual Report Issues Subordinated During Effective Period of the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement

MAP

Major Water Purveyors

Bound at back of Report

SECTION 1 - SUMMARY

Section 1 - A summary of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Annual Watermaster Report for the 2011-12 Water Year.

Section 2 - This Annual Watermaster Report is prepared pursuant to Section II of the U. S. District Court Order dated March 13, 1989. The Court has retained jurisdiction over all surface flows of the Santa Margarita River Watershed and all underground waters determined by the Court to be subsurface flow of streams or creeks or which is determined by the Court to add to, support, or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system. Local vagrant groundwaters that do not support the Santa Margarita River stream system are outside Court jurisdiction.


Section 3 - Surface water flows varied in Water Year 2011-12. Flows for long-term stations on Murrieta Creek at Temecula, the Santa Margarita River near Temecula, and the Santa Margarita River at Ysidora were 24%, 43% and 25% of their long-term averages, respectively. Flows at Temecula Creek near Aguanga were 32% of the long-term average. Direct surface diversions to use totaled 721 acre feet, which reflects no change from the prior year. The total quantity of water in storage in the Watershed on September 30, 2012, was 800,026 acre feet, of which 27,206 acre feet were Santa Margarita River water and 772,820 acre feet were imported water.

Section 4 - Groundwater extractions were 39,163 acre feet in 2011-12 as shown on Table 4.1, compared to 41,832 acre feet in 2010-11. Water purveyors pumped 31,936 acre feet, and 7,227 acre feet were pumped by other substantial users. Total local production, including groundwater extractions and surface diversions in 2011-12 was 39,884 acre feet. This compares with 42,837 acre feet in 2010-11, and represents a decrease of seven percent. Total annual local production for use for the period 2003-2012 is shown on Figure 1.1.

Section 5 - During 2011-12, 75,440 acre feet of net imports were distributed for use within the Santa Margarita River Watershed, as shown on Table 5.2. This compares with 71,029 acre feet in 2010-11, and represents an increase of six percent. Annual imports for the period 2003-2012 are shown on Figure 1.2 and Table 5.4. Exports of wastewater and native water for use outside the Watershed in 2011-12 were 18,898 acre feet. This compares with 18,797 acre feet in 2010-11, and represents an increase of one percent.

Section 6 - Water rights consist primarily of riparian and overlying rights. Other rights include appropriative rights and federal reserved rights. Water purveyors in the Watershed also exercise groundwater appropriative rights. Except for surface water appropriative rights, water rights generally have not been quantified in the Watershed. Appropriative surface water rights on file with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) amount to 990,719 gallons per day. This corresponds to 1.53 cfs or 3.04 acre feet per day of direct diversion rights and 54,313.5 acre feet of active storage rights.






SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED LOCAL PRODUCTION 2003 TO 2012








Water Year

Section 7 – Total imported supplies plus local production during 2011-12 totaled 115,324 acre feet compared to 113,866 acre feet reported in 2010-11. Of that quantity, 44,179 acre feet were used for agriculture; 10,512 acre feet were used for commercial purposes; 48,885 acre feet were used for domestic purposes; 32 acre feet were discharged to Murrieta Creek; 54 acre feet were discharged to Santa Gertrudis Creek; 3,470 acre feet were discharged by Rancho California WD from MWD WR-34 during 2011-12, pursuant to the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA); 2,807 acre feet of fresh water were exported by Camp Pendleton; and 702 acre feet were recharged by Rancho California WD to storage. It is noted, the agriculture use includes 329 acre feet of reclaimed water and thus the agriculture use of production is 43,850 acre feet. The overall system loss was 4,818 acre feet. System gain or loss is the result of many factors including errors in measurement, differences between periods of use and periods of production, leakage and unmeasured uses. These data are shown on Table 7.1.

Total annual production for the period 2003-2012 is shown on Figure 1.3.



SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED TOTAL PRODUCTION 2003 TO 2012

Figure 1.3

Water Year

3

Section 8 - Use of water from small storage ponds may be unauthorized. Camp Pendleton has taken the position that exportation of treated wastewater, the source of which is the native waters of the Santa Margarita River system, without legal authority for such exportation, is an unauthorized use of water.

Section 9 - Threats to water supply include high nitrate levels in Rainbow Creek and Anza Valley in past years, potential overdraft conditions in the Murrieta-Temecula and Anza groundwater basins, and salt balance issues in the upper Watershed. Additional threats have been recently identified, including high concentrations of nitrates, arsenic, fluoride and manganese in the Murrieta-Temecula area, as well as the discovery of the Quagga mussel in imported supplies.

Section 10 - The U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) monitored surface water quality at the Temecula gaging station on the Santa Margarita River.

Groundwater samples from wells were analyzed for water quality by Camp Pendleton, Western MWD - Murrieta Division, Rancho California WD, and the Pechanga Band during 2011-12. The two primary constituents of interest are nitrates and total dissolved solids (TDS). The Basin Plan Objective for TDS of 750 mg/l was exceeded in eleven of twelve wells at Camp Pendleton. One well sampled by Rancho California WD showed concentrations exceeding 750 mg/l, the Basin Plan Objective.

Section 11 - The Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement between Camp Pendleton and Rancho California Water District was approved by the District Court on August 20, 2002. During the 2012 calendar year, Rancho California WD discharged 3,434 acre feet to the Santa Margarita River to meet flow requirements under the Agreement.

Section 12 - Projected Watermaster expenditures for the next five years are listed.

Section 13 - A total Watermaster budget for the Water Year 2013-14 is proposed to be \$658,840. This budget includes \$419,715 for the Watermaster Office and \$239,125 for operation of gaging stations and groundwater monitoring by the USGS.

4

SECTION 2 - INTRODUCTION

2.1 <u>Background</u>

On January 25, 1951, the United States of America filed Complaint No. 1247 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California to seek a judicial determination of all respective water rights within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The Final Judgment and Decree was entered on May 8, 1963, and appealed to the U. S. Court of Appeals. A Modified Final Judgment and Decree was entered on April 6, 1966. Among other things, the Decree provided that the Court:

... retains continuing jurisdiction of this cause as to the use of all surface waters within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River and all underground or sub-surface waters within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River, which are determined in any of the constituent parts of this Modified Final Judgment to be a part of the sub-surface flow of any specific river or creek, or which are determined in any of the constituent parts of this Modified Final Judgment to add to, contribute to, or support the Santa Margarita River stream system.

In March 1989, the Court issued an Order appointing the Watermaster to administer and enforce the provisions of the Modified Final Judgment and Decree and subsequent orders of the Court. The appointing Order described the Watermaster's powers and duties as well as procedures for funding and operating the Watermaster's office. Also in 1989, the Court appointed a Steering Committee that at the conclusion of 2011-12 was comprised of representatives from the United States, Eastern Municipal Water District, Fallbrook Public Utility District, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Pechanga Band, Western Municipal Water District, and Rancho California Water District. The purposes of the Steering Committee are to assist the Court, to facilitate litigation, and to assist the Watermaster.

2.2 <u>Authority</u>

Section II of the appointing Order requires that the Watermaster submit a written report containing findings and conclusions to the Court promptly after the end of each water year.

2.3 <u>Scope</u>

The subjects addressed in this report are responsive to Section II of the appointing Order. Information and data contained in this report are based on information reported to the Watermaster by the various water users within the Watershed and others. Therefore, the Watermaster does not guarantee the completeness and accuracy of the information presented in this report, although most of the data presented are based on measurements. Estimates by the Watermaster are so noted.

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Page Intentionally Blank

6

SECTION 3 - SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY AND USE

3.1 <u>Surface Flow</u>

Over the years, flows in the Santa Margarita River Watershed have been measured at the stations listed on Table 3.1. A number of these stations have been discontinued. During Water Year 2011-12, the USGS operated 13 stations under an agreement with the Watermaster. These include three stations where Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District shares the local costs with the Watermaster. In addition to stream flows, the USGS also measures water elevation and precipitation at Vail Lake.

The USGS also operates several stations in the Watershed under contract with Camp Pendleton. These include stream gaging stations on Fallbrook Creek and on the outlet channel and spillway for Lake O'Neill. The USGS also operates a tidal water level recorder on the Santa Margarita River at its mouth.

Monthly flows for stations in Water Year 2011-12 are shown on Table 3.2. Those flows consist of final USGS discharge determinations approved for publication by the USGS. Official USGS discharges for 2011-12 are published by the USGS at the following website: *http://waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/sw*.

In considering the historical record of flow at these stations, it should be recognized that the long term averages include variations in watershed conditions such as level of development, groundwater production, return flows, impoundments and vegetative use as well as hydrologic conditions, changes in gaging station locations and other factors. Descriptions of the various historical locations of gaging stations may be found in the publication, *Water Resources Data - California*, which was published annually by the USGS in hard copy form through Water Year 2003-04. For subsequent years, the gaging station descriptions can be found at the website provided above.

TABLE 3.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED STREAM GAGING STATIONS 2011-12

AREA RECORDS PERIOD OF RECORD STN. STATION NAME 1940 1950 1980 2000 2010 NO. SQ MI FROM 1920 1930 1960 1970 1990 8/5 Temecula Creek 1104 131 USGS Near Aguanga 2400 10/89 10/94 Wilson Creek USGS 1104 122 Above Vail Lake 2490 2/23 10/77 Temecula Creek 1104 320 USGS At Vail Dam 2520 10/48 Vail Lake at Temecula 1104 320 USGS ••• (Reservoir Storage) 2510 10/87 Pechanga Creek USGS 1104 13.8 Near Temecula 2631 10/87 Warm Springs Creek 1104 55.4 USGS ••• Near Murrieta 2800 10/87 Santa Gertrudis Creek 90.1 USGS 1104 Near Temecula 2900 10/97 Murrieta Creek USGS 1104 30 Near Murrieta 2700 10/25 Murrieta Creek 1104 222 USGS ••• At Temecula 3000 2/23 Santa Margarita River 1104 588 USGS Near Temecula 4000 9/89 Rainbow Creek 1104 10.3 USGS Near Fallbrook 4250 9/89 Sandia Creek 1104 21.1 USGS Near Fallbrook 4350 10/24 9/80 9/89 Santa Margarita River 1104 620 USGS At FPUD Sump 1/ 4300 10/61 9/65 Santa Margarita River 1104 USGS 0.52 **Tributary Near Fallbrook** 4600 10/92 DeLuz Creek 1104 33 USGS Near DeLuz 4800 2/51 77 9/89-9/90 4/02-2/03 DeLuz Creek 1104 47.5 USGS/ Near Fallbrook 2/ USMC 4900 10/24 - 9/26 Santa Margarita River 1104 705 USGS Near DeLuz Station 5000 10/64 9/76 12/88 Fallbrook Creek 3/ 1104 USGS/ 6.97 Near Fallbrook 5300 USMC 3/23 Santa Margarita River USGS 1104 723 ... At Ysidora 4/ 6000 WATER YEAR ENDING 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010

1/ Period of record includes measurements for Santa Margarita near Fallbrook (#11044500) for period October 1924 to September 1980

2/ Recorded by USMC, Camp Pendleton October 1966 to 1977

3/ Recorded by USMC, Camp Pendleton prior to October 1993

4/ Station temporarily operated as SMR at USMC Diversion Dam near Ysidora #11045050 from February 26, 1999 to September 27, 2001

TABLE 3.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED **MEASURED SURFACE WATER FLOW**

2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

GAGING STATION	DRAINAGE AREA SQ MI	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	WATER YEAR TOTAL	ANNUAL AVERAGE THROUGH 2011	YEARS OF RECORD THROUGH 2011
Temecula Creek Near Aguanga	131	62	150	193	222	192	346	361	150	43	13	21	20	1,773	5,590	54
Pechanga Creek Near Temecula 1/	13.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	482	24
Warm Springs Creek Near Murrieta	55.4	7	146	106	43	127	200	63	0	0	0	0	0	692	3,270	24
Santa Gertrudis Creek Near Temecula	90.2	15	53	41	9	54	145	49	0	0	0	0	0	366	2,890	24
Murrieta Creek Near Murrieta 2/	30	0	34	31	26	63	190	73	0	0	0	0	0	417	^{3/} 4,430	 8 (1998-2005
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	222	4	380	222	55	330	1,060	364	15	8	10	8	11	2,467	10,386	87
Santa Margarita River Near Temecula	588	282	679	591	686	900	1,670	729	314	332	190	185	179	6,737	15,605 20,390	63 (1949-201 ⁷ 26 (1923-48)
Rainbow Creek Near Fallbrook	10.3	90	95	48	87	71	142	106	44	11	9	3	2	708	2,770	22
Sandia Creek Near Fallbrook	21.1	179	277	272	254	231	290	229	159	86	95	48	56	2,176	7,100	22
Santa Margarita River At FPUD Sump	620	374	862	915	868	1,080	1,840	1,020	240	279	220	251	159	8,108	31,620	22
DeLuz Creek Near DeLuz	33	0	60	104	88	36	136	74	8	0	0	0	0	506	8,890	19 (1993-2017
Santa Margarita River At Ysidora	723	339	563	972	815	982	2,420	1,310	553	259	0	0	0	8,213	32,930 ^{4/} 31,390	63 (1949-201 ⁻ 26 (1923-48)
Fallbrook Creek Near Fallbrook	6.97	8	45	33	43	46	62	72	22	6	2	2	0	341	1,224 1,462 ^{5/}	23 (1989-201 ⁻ 12 (1965-76)

1/ In summer 2006, gaging location was moved upstream 0.4 miles from prior location to current location 100 feet upstream of Metropolitan Water District pipe crossing, 0.4 miles upstream of the Rainbow Canyon Road/Old Highway 395 Bridge.

2/ Previously published as Murrieta Creek at Tenaja Road.

3/ Continuous record stopped on February 22, 2005, in lieu of bridge installation. Only discharge measurements were taken from February 2005 until September 2007.

4/ Includes record of two years at Santa Margarita River at USMC Diversion Dam near Ysidora station.

5/ Includes wastewater flows.


Total flows at four long-term stations, for Water Years 2010-11 and 2011-12, are compared with their averages in the tabulation below. Average flows for the Santa Margarita River stations near Temecula and near Ysidora are shown for two periods: before and after Vail Dam was constructed (1923 to 1948, and 1949 to 2011).

	TOTAL FLOW		AVERAGE FLOW		
	2010-11 <u>Acre Feet</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		gh 2011 <u>e Feet</u>	
Temecula Creek Near Aguanga	7,168	1,773	5,590	(1957-2011)	
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	28,722	2,467	10,386	(1925-2011)	
Santa Margarita River Near Temecula	36,922	6,737	15,605 20,390	(1949-2011) (1923-1948)	
Santa Margarita River At Ysidora*	97,892	8,213	32,930 31,390	(1949-2011) (1923-1948)	

* At various locations

The foregoing tabulation indicates the flows for Water Year 2011-12 were below normal for all four stations. Flows for long-term stations on Temecula Creek near Aguanga, Murrieta Creek at Temecula, the Santa Margarita River near Temecula and the Santa Margarita River at Ysidora were 32%, 24%, 43% and 25% of their long-term averages, respectively.

The Santa Margarita River near Temecula station is of particular interest relative to discharge requirements specified in the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA) between Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD, as described in Section 11. The long-term time series for annual streamflow for Santa Margarita River near Temecula is provided on Figure 3.1, showing the 2011-12 flows were in the third quartile and 82% less than the flows for the prior year.




Annual Streamflow for Santa Margarita River near Temecula (USGS Gaging Station No. 11044000) 1924 through 2012

Figure 3.1

It is also interesting to review long-term precipitation records relative to long-term streamflow. Figure 3.2 shows the long-term time series for annual precipitation for the Wildomar gage maintained by the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. The Wildomar gage is specified in the CWRMA for determining water year types in establishing Rancho California WD discharge requirements to meet flows for the Santa Margarita River near Temecula. The long-term average precipitation for the Wildomar Gage for the period 1914 through 2012 is 14.11 inches. The reported precipitation for Water Year 2011-12 is 10.81 inches, which is in the second quartile for the period of record.

Monthly flows shown on Table 3.2 consist primarily of naturally occurring surface runoff, including return flows, except for Rancho California WD discharges into the Santa Margarita River and Murrieta Creek. Most of the Rancho California WD discharges are pursuant to the CWRMA. During Water Year 2011-12, the total discharges from MWD Meter WR-34 into the Santa Margarita River equaled 3,470 acre feet. The outlet from WR-34 is located just upstream from the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station. In 2009, Rancho California WD extended a pipeline from its distribution system to discharge at the same location as the outlet WR-34. Discharges from the potable connection to the Santa Margarita River totaled 152 acre feet. During Water Year 2011-12, there were no discharges to Murrieta Creek from the System River Meter.



Annual Precipitation for Wildomar Gage 1914 through 2012

Figure 3.2

During 2011-12, Rancho California WD also released 32 acre feet from wells into Murrieta Creek, and 54 acre feet from wells into Santa Gertrudis Creek.

3.2 Surface Water Diversions

Surface diversions to surface water storage and groundwater storage are shown on Table 3.3 for Vail Lake and Table 3.4 for Lake O'Neill. In general, diversions to surface storage at Vail Lake and Lake O'Neill are computed as being equal to inflow less spill, however, diversion to surface storage at Vail Lake excludes inflow during the period from May 1 through October 31 when Permit 7032 does not allow such diversions. Inflow to Vail Lake is calculated as the sum of evaporation, spill, releases and change of storage. Inflow into Vail Lake during the period when diversions are not permitted is released and not credited to groundwater storage.

Direct surface diversions for 2011-12 are shown on Table 3.5. The use is primarily irrigation. Estimated consumptive uses, losses and returns are also shown.

3.3 Water Storage

Major water storage facilities in the Santa Margarita River Watershed are listed on Table 3.6, together with the water in storage on September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012. Total Santa Margarita River stream system water in storage at the end of Water Year 2011-12 totaled 27,206 acre feet, compared to 30,032 acre feet at the end of the previous year. Imported water in storage in Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake, both operated by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD), is also shown on Table 3.6.

TABLE 3.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO STORAGE FOR VAIL LAKE 2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

	Surface Water Storage				
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12		
Storage end of prior year	21,920	23,850	29,390		
Inflow - Total	7,466	13,944	2,964		
Inflow to be Bypassed ^{1/}	571	1,262	906		
Spill	0	0	0		
Diversions to Surface Storage ^{2/}	6,895	12,682	2,058		
Annual Evaporation	4,164	4,672	4,893		
Releases - Total	1,372	3,732	901		
Release to GW Storage 3/4/	801	2,470	(5)		
Change of Storage	1,930	5,540	(2,830)		
Storage End of Year	23,850	29,390	26,560		
	Groundwater Storage				
Recharge Release from Vail Lake	801	2,470	0		

Data reported by Rancho California WD except end of year storage reported by USGS.

1/ Inflow to be bypassed Oct 1 to Oct 31 and May 1 to Sept 30.

- 2/ Inflow less Spill less Inflow to be Bypassed.
- 3/ Total Release less Inflow to be Bypassed.
- 4/ Vail Lake operations shown in Table 3.3 reflect water year operations to be consistent with reporting in the Annual Watermater Report. However, Permit 7032 specifies calendar year reporting and a continuous operating season of May through October for bypasses overlapping two water years. The value of negative 5 acre feet for Release to GW Storage is correct but misleading because the bypass season continues into October 2012. Inspection of Rancho California WD records for May through October 2012 shows total Inflow to be Bypassed in the amount of 915 acre feet with total Releases of 1,085 acre feet, resulting in 160 acre feet of excess releases during the bypass season.

TABLE 3.4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO STORAGE FOR LAKE O'NEILL 2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

	Surface Water Storage				
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12		
Storage end of prior year	789	653	642		
Inflow - Total	3,080 ¹	3,456 ²	2,248 ³		
Spill	265	979	8		
Diversions to Surface Storage	2,815 4	2,477 4	2,240 ^{4, 7}		
Annual Evaporation	405	380	364		
Releases - Total	1,790	683	107		
Release to GW Storage	1,790	683	107		
Apparent Seepage to GW	756 ⁵	1,423 ⁵	1,765 ⁵		
Change of Storage	(136)	(11)	4		
Storage End of Year	653	642	646		
	Groundwater Storage				
Recharge Release from Lake O'Neill	2,546 ⁶	2,106 ⁶	1,872 ^{6, 7}		
Deliveries to Recharge Ponds	5,931	3,921	559 ⁷		
Indirect Recharge from Ditch System	<u>1,124</u>	839	<u>881</u>		
TOTAL	9,601	6,866	3,312		

1/ 1,787 AF diverted from the Santa Margarita River, 849 AF estimated inflow from Fallbrook Creek, 296 AF from local runoff, and 148 AF from rainfall on lake surface.

^{2/ 1,185} AF diverted from the Santa Margarita River, 1,504 AF estimated inflow from Fallbrook Creek, 545 AF from local runoff, and 222 AF from rainfall on lake surface.

 ^{3/ 1,657} AF diverted from the Santa Margarita River, 341 AF estimated inflow from Fallbrook Creek, 141 AF from local runoff, and 109 AF from rainfall on lake surface.
 4/ Inflow less Spill.

^{5/} Includes seepage losses, leakage through flashboards and unaccounted for water.

^{6/} Includes Release to GW Storage and Apparent Seepage to GW from Lake O'Neill.

^{7/} Dredging operations for Lake O'Neill in 2012 affected timing and amount of diversions from Santa Margarita River for both deliveries to Lake O'Neill and recharge ponds.

TABLE 3.5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO USE

2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

	_	Consum		
DIVERTER	Surface Diversions	Use ¹	Loss ²	Return ³
Blue Bird Ranch	31.5	21.2	3.2	7.1
James Carter	52.0	35.1	5.2	11.7
Chambers Family, LLC	8.0	5.4	0.8	1.8
Cal June, Inc.	9.0	6.1	0.9	2.0
Sage Ranch Nursery	100.0	67.5	10.0	22.5
Rose Lake, LLC	7.0	4.7	0.7	1.6
Val Verde Partners (Strange)	56.8	38.3	5.7	12.8
Wilson Creek Development, LLC	410.0	276.7	41.0	92.3
Cahuilla Indian Reservation	5.6	3.8	0.5	1.3
San Diego State University	41.3	27.9	4.1	9.3
TOTAL	721.2	486.8	72.1	162.3

¹ Consumptive use equals 75% of Diversions less Losses

² Losses equal 10% of Diversions

³ Returns equal 25% of Diversions less Losses

TABLE 3.6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER IN STORAGE

2011-12 Quantities in Acre Feet

		Water in Storage		
Santa Margarita River Storage	Total Capacity 1/	9/30/2011	9/30/2012	
Dunn Ranch Dam	90	0	0	
Upper Chihuahua Creek Reservoir	47	0	0	
Vail Lake	49,370	29,390	26,560	
Lake O'Neill	1,670 R	642	646	
SUBTOTAL	51,177	30,032	27,206	
Imported Water Storage				
Lake Skinner	44,000	38,919	40,888	
Diamond Valley Lake	810,000	764,301	731,932	
SUBTOTAL	854,000	803,220	772,820	
TOTAL STORAGE	905,177	833,252	800,026	

1/ Capacity shown is current capacity reported by owner. Original capacity or decreed capacity may not be reflected in this table.

R - Revised, dredging operations for Lake O'Neill completed in 2012.

Page Intentionally Blank

٠

18

SECTION 4 - SUBSURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY

4.1 <u>General</u>

Much of the water from the Santa Margarita River stream system is obtained by pumping subsurface water. The Court has identified two basic types of subsurface water in its interlocutory judgments. One type is vagrant, local, percolating waters that do not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River or its tributaries. Such waters have been determined to be outside the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. These waters are typically found in the basement complex and/or residuum deposits in the Watershed. Wells tapping these deposits typically have low yields.

Other subsurface waters were found by the Court to add to, support and contribute to the Santa Margarita River and/or its tributaries. Aquifers containing such waters have been designated by the Court as younger alluvium and older alluvium. Younger alluvial deposits are commonly exposed along streams and in valleys. Older alluvium may be found underneath younger alluvium and is not limited to areas along stream channels. Older alluvium may or may not be exposed at ground surface. The use of subsurface water found in younger and older alluvium is generally under the continuing jurisdiction of the Court and is reported upon in this report.

4.2 <u>Extractions</u>

Total production of Santa Margarita River water by substantial water users in the Watershed from all sources is listed on Table 4.1 by hydrologic area, along with estimated consumptive use and return flows. Recovery of imported water that has been directly recharged is not included on Table 4.1. Substantial water users include water purveyors as well as private irrigators who irrigate eight acres or more or use an equivalent quantity of water.

In 2011-12, production by water purveyors totaled 31,936 acre feet, compared to 34,992 acre feet in 2010-11. Monthly quantities are shown in Appendix A and annual production for water years between 1966 and 2012 is shown in Appendix B.

The quantities of subsurface extractions by private irrigators are based on the irrigated acreage and the crop type. These quantities are reported in Appendix C to total 7,236 acre feet in 2011-12. Of the subsurface extractions, 75 percent is estimated to have been consumptively used and 25 percent to have been return flow. Return flow is that portion of the total deliveries that is not consumed. Although return flows average about 25 percent, such flows are affected with the type of use (domestic, commercial and irrigation), the type of irrigation application (drip, micro-sprinkler, furrow), and exports from watersheds.

TABLE 4.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATER PRODUCTION BY SUBSTANTIAL USERS

2011-12

HYDROLOGIC AREA	WATER PURVEYOR PRODUCTION	OTHER IRRIGATED ACRES *	OTHER IRRIGATION PRODUCTION ACRE FEET *	TOTAL GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS ACRE FEET *	TOTAL PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	ESTIMATED CONSUMPTIVE USE 1/ ACRE FEET	ESTIMATED RETURN FLOW ACRE FEET
Wilson Creek	382	e 640 ^{2/}	1,975	2,357	6	2,363	1,772	591
Above Aguanga GWA Includes Anza Valley	(Lake Riverside, (Anza MWC, Cah	uilla)						
Temecula Creek Above Aguanga GWA	23 (Quiet Oaks MHF		1,325	1,348	0	1,348	1,011	337
Aguanga GWA	575 (Outdoor Resorts) (Jojoba Hills)		2,051	2,626	467	3,093	2,285	808
Upper Murrieta Creek (Warm Springs Creek abo	0) 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lower Murrieta Creek (Santa Gertrudis/Tucalota	0 /Creek above 7S		44 les FPUD Divers	44 sion from Lake Skir	100 nner)	144	100	44
Murrieta-Temecula GWA			1,358	27,638	52	27,690	20,764	6,926
	(RCWD **, WMWD (Murrieta Division), EMWD, Pechanga and Hawthorn)							
Santa Margarita River Be	elow the Gorge							
Deluz Creek	C) 275	470	470	46	516	384	132
Sandia Creek	C) 55	0	0	9	9	6	3
Rainbow Creek	C) 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Margarita River	4,676 (USMC)	5 20	4	4,680	41	4,721	1,433	482
TOTAL	31,936	i 3,285	7,227	39,163	721	^{3/} 39,884	27,755	9,323

1/ Estimated consumptive use is equal to 75% of Total Groundwater Production plus 75% of Surface Diversions less 10% (CU = .75{GW + .90 * SW}0, except for Camp Pendleton where export of 2,807 acre feet is excluded and return flows include any measured wastewater returns to the Watershed.

2/ Includes lands overlying deep aquifer in Anza Valley.

3/ Includes surface water diversion for irrigation, commercial and domestic use.

* Data taken from Appendix C.

** RCWD pumped an additional 284 AF that was exported to the San Mateo Watershed

4.3 <u>Water Levels</u>

Water levels in selected wells in the Watershed are measured periodically by various entities. Historical water levels in five wells at various locations in the Watershed are shown in this report on Figures 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5.

Figure 4.1 shows water levels in Well No. 8S/2W-12H1 (Windmill Well) located in the Rancho California WD service area downstream from Vail Lake. Note the extended drawdown from 1945 to 1978, the major recoveries during the wet years in 1980 and 1993, and the effect of relatively dry years after 1980 and after 1993. Water levels declined by 5.3 feet between September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012. It should be noted that the Windmill Well is located in Pauba Valley about 1.5 miles downslope from the Valle de los Caballos (VDC) recharge area, where releases from Vail Lake as well as imported water are recharged. In Water Year 2011-12, 14,643 acre feet of imported water were recharged in the VDC of which 95 percent was recovered in the same year.





FIGURE 4.1



Year

Collar El. 1216.7 Feet; Depth 515 Feet; Drilled in Alluvium Ref: RCWD reports (1920-2012)

Figure 4.2 shows water levels at Camp Pendleton in Well No. 10S/4W-7J1 (previously referred to as 10S/4W-7J4), a monitoring well located in the Upper Sub-basin. Fluctuations in recent years illustrate recharge during the winter months and drawdown each summer, with the water levels ranging from approximately 82 to 92 feet in elevation. Water levels in Well 7J1 declined 1.4 feet in the period between September 2011 and September 2012.



WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 4.2

Year

1980

1990

2000

2010

2020

1970

Ground El. 93.8 Feet; Depth 141 Feet; Perf. Unknown; Drilled in Alluvium

1960


1950

1940

Camp Pendleton Records (1950-72) (1988-2012); Leeds Hill Study (1973-85) Dates Estimated

^{*} Data shown for Well No. 10S/4W-7J1 except for period October 1999 through September 2007 data shown for Well No. 10S/4W-7J4.

Figure 4.3 shows water levels from Holiday Well No. 7S/3W-20C9 in the Murrieta Division service area of Western Municipal Water District. The Holiday Well was used as a production well until February 2006, but now is used only as a monitoring well. Water levels in this well rose by 5.0 feet between September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2012. Water levels in the Lynch Well, 7S/3W-17R2, which serves as a monitoring well and had no production in 2011-12, rose by 5 feet.




WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS 7S/3W-20C9 - WMWD HOLIDAY WELL

FIGURE 4.3

Ground El. 1090 Feet; Depth 307 Feet; Perf. 60 - 307 Feet Western Municipal Water District Figure 4.4 shows water levels for Well No. 7S/3E-21G1, Anza Mutual Water Company Well No. 1, a production well located in the Anza Valley. Water levels in this well declined by 35 feet by the end of 2011-12. As may be noted from Figure 4.4, recent measurements show annual 50 foot fluctuations in groundwater levels at this well, partly in response to the operation of nearby irrigation wells. Current levels are at or near the lowest elevation for the period of record.






WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS¹ 7S/3E-21G1 - ANZA MUTUAL WATER COMPANY WELL NO. 1

Year

¹ Static water levels plotted after April 1999

Ground El. 3862.6 Feet; Depth 260 Feet; Perf. 20 - 260 Feet; Drilled in Alluvium Anza Mutual Water Co. Well No. 1 (1987-2012); DWR Bulletin 91-22 (1950-73) Figure 4.5 shows water levels at Well No. 8S/2W-29G1, located in Wolf Valley on the Kelsey Tract of the Pechanga Indian Reservation. The well is not used for water production. Water levels collected since 1925 reflect unconfined groundwater levels. As shown on Figure 4.5 the groundwater levels have fluctuated within a 44 foot range above and below elevation 1050 feet in response to wet years and dry periods until recently. In the past few dry years, levels have declined below their usual range. In November 2004, this well went dry due to the preceding relatively dry hydrological conditions and pumping of the nearby New Kelsey Well on the Pechanga Reservation. In order to continue to monitor water levels on the Pechanga Indian Reservation, water levels for Well No. 8S/2W-29B9 are also shown on Figure 4.5. Well No. 8S/2W-29B9 is completed in the younger alluvium. As shown on Figure 4.5, water levels for Well No. 8S/2W-29B9 coincide with water levels for the common period of record for Well No. 8S/2W-29G1. Water levels in Well 8S/2W-29B9 rose by 1.0 feet in 2011-12.





WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION WELLS

8S/2W-29G1: Ground El. 1091.1 Feet; Depth 159.1 Feet 8S/2W-29B9: Ground El. 1075.93 Feet; Depth 113.0 Feet U.S. Geological Survey Records Changes in water levels in the above noted wells between the end of the previous Water Year and the end of the 2012 Water Year are shown below:

Well	Water Elevation 2011 Feet	Water Elevation 2012 Feet	Change in Water Level Feet	
<u></u>	<u></u>			
RCWD 8S/2W-12H1	1129.0	1123.7	Down	5.3
USMC 10S/4W-7J1*	87.3 R	85.9	Down	1.4
WMWD 7S/3W-20C9	1020.0	1025.0	Up	5.0
Anza MWC 7S/3E-21G1	3802.6	3767.6	Down	35.0
Pechanga IR 8S/2W-29B9	971.2	972.2	Up	1.0

* Well previously referred to as 10S/4W-7J4

R - Revised

4.4. Groundwater Storage

Bulletin 118 Update 2003 prepared by the State of California Department of Water Resources describes three groundwater basins in the Santa Margarita River Watershed: Santa Margarita Valley, Temecula Valley, and Coahuila (Cahuilla) Valley. These basins are also known as the Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin, the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin, and the Anza Groundwater Basin. Groundwater storage in each of these basins is described in this section.

Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin – The Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin is located along the Santa Margarita River at Camp Pendleton and includes three sub-basins: Upper, Chappo, and Ysidora. Useable groundwater storage is summarized on Table 4.2. Table 4.2 shows that the total combined storage for all the sub-basins between the depths of 5 and 100 feet is 48,100 acre feet. However, much of that storage is below sea level. Thus, the useable capacity is considered to be 28,700 acre feet as shown on Table 4.2. In 2011-12, useable groundwater storage in place was computed for all three sub-basins to be 25,467 acre feet. The useable storage in place for the three sub-basins amounted to 27,322 acre feet in 2010-11. Thus, there was a decrease in groundwater storage in place of 1,855 acre feet for the water year. It may be noted that classification of storage as useable is made without allowances for maintenance of riparian habitat.

TABLE 4.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED GROUNDWATER STORAGE AT CAMP PENDLETON 2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

			Sub-ba	sin	
I. Available Storage	Upper		Chappo	Ysidora	Total
A. Total Storage ¹	12,500		27,000	8,600	48,100
B. Useable Storage	12,500		15,000 ²	1,200 ³	28,700
II. Unused Storage					
A. Wells used for Depth	10S/4W-7J1		10S/4W-18L1 *	11S/5W-11D4	
B. Land Surface Elevation - Feet	93.8	**	75.9 **	18.8	
C. Depth to Water - Feet ⁴	7.9		11.7	10.0	
D. Depth below 5 Feet	2.9		6.7	5.0	dat be at the
E. Average Area - Acres ⁵	840		2,560	1,060	
F. Specific Yield ⁶	0.216		0.130	0.090	
G. Unused Storage below 5 Feet	526		2,230	477	
III. Useable Storage in Place ⁷	11,974		12,770	723	25,467
IV. Useable Storage in Place 2010-11	12,246	R	14,324 R	752	27,322 R
V. Change in Storage 2011-12	(272)		(1,554)	(29)	(1,855)

¹ Computed by USGS (Worts, F. C., Jr. and Boss, R. F., *Geology and Ground-Water Resources of Camp Pendleton, CA, July 1954*) as the storage between depths of 5 and 100 feet.

4 Reported by Camp Pendleton as average values for month of September unless noted otherwise.

R-Revised

² Storage between 5 foot depth and sea level.

³ Storage between 5 foot depth and 10 feet above sea level.

⁵ Average area estimated over depth interval for unused storage.

⁶ From Worts and Boss for depth interval of 5 to 50 feet.

⁷ Useable storage includes stored water reserved for riparian habitat; however specific amount stored for such purposes not delineated.

^{*} Well 10S/4W-18L1 was destroyed during 2012, depth to water extrapolated from measurements for well 10S/5W-13G1.

^{**} Revised to conform to NAVD88 datum as reported by Camp Pendleton.

<u>Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin</u> – The Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin is located along Murrieta and Temecula creeks in the Upper Santa Margarita River Watershed. Total groundwater storage at the end of Water Year 2001 was computed for each of 22 hydrologic sub-areas that make up the Groundwater Basin. These computations were based on the areal extent of each sub-area, the thickness of each of three aquifers, (younger alluvium, Pauba aquifer and Temecula aquifer), a specific yield for each aquifer, and the depth to water in each aquifer at the end of the water year. Specific yields were based on unconfined conditions for all aquifers. The total groundwater storage in the uppermost 500 feet as of September 30, 2001, was estimated at 1,340,556 acre feet.

Annual changes in groundwater storage have been computed for the years since 2001 using two methodologies – a water budget method and a groundwater level method. The water budget method determines the change in storage as the difference between the major elements of inflow and outflow to the groundwater area. Table 4.3 shows the changes for Water Years 2008 through 2012. The change in groundwater storage for 2011-12, calculated using the water budget method is a decline of 11,785 acre feet.

The groundwater level method is based on the changes in water levels in key wells in the hydrologic sub-areas as shown on Table 4.4. Changes in storage under the groundwater level method for Water Years 2008 through 2012 are shown on Table 4.4. The change in groundwater storage for Water Year 2012 is calculated as a decline of 11,113 acre feet.

The foregoing two methods are based on independent measurements and estimates. The estimates from the two methods are generally comparable for 2012, as well as other years for the period 2001 through 2011. However, the estimates from the two methods for certain years indicate differences in the results. It will take testing over a number of years under varying hydrologic conditions to refine these approaches. These values will be compared with those computed with the groundwater model that is used for implementation of the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement between Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD when the model update is completed. It is noted, as part of the groundwater model update that the two methods are also being updated. A review of the various elements of the water budget method will be conducted. With respect to the groundwater level method, key wells for all sub-areas will be reviewed for suitability. The values for storativity and aquifer area will also be evaluated.

TABLE 4.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED **CHANGES IN GROUNDWATER STORAGE** MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUNDWATER AREA Water Budget Method **Quantities in Acre Feet**

Elements of Inflow		Wate	r Year Ending	1	
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Releases from Vail ¹	5,061 R	1,461 R	1,372 R	3,732 R	901
Releases from Lake Skinner ²	132	142	156	471	0
Freshwater Releases to Stream ³	4,092	5,302	3,913	4,399	3,708
Reclaimed Water Released to Stream ⁴	0	0	0	0	0
Recharged Imported Water ⁵	12,419	14,828	12,858	13,873	14,643
Return Flow from RCWD Groundwater Production ⁶	8,660	9,325	8,441	8,409	8,984
Return Flow from Import Direct Use ⁷	4,725	3,903	2,999	2,668	3,015
Return Flow from Applied Wastewater ⁸	1,335	1,565	1,582	1,391	1,288
Underflow and Tributary Inflow ⁹	27,906	15,251	30,674	47,957	4,119
Subtotal	64,330 R	51,777 R	61,995 R	82,900 R	36,658
Elements of Outflow					
Riparian Evapotranspiration and Underflow ¹⁰	508	508	508	508	508
Total RCWD Groundwater Production ¹¹	37,653	40,541	36,698	36,560	39,060
Net Pumping by Others ¹²	1,841	2,225	2,042	2,002	2,138
Surface Outflow ¹³	23,071	14,948	25,894	36,922	6,737
Subtotal	63,073	58,222	65,142	75,992	48,443
Change in Groundwater Storage	1,257 R	(6,445) R	(3,147) R	6,908 R	(11,785)

- 1 Table 3.3, Total Releases
- 2 Section 5.4
- 3 Table A-7, SMR Release
- 4 Table A-7, Reclaimed Wastewater, Murrieta Creek Discharge (ceased October 18, 2002)
- 5 Table A-7, Footnote 3
- 6 Table 7.8, Total Production times 0.23
- 7 Rancho Division Direct Use Imports, Table A-7 Footnote 3, times 0.23
- 8 The sum of: (Reclaimed Wastewater Table A-7, Reuse in SMRW) plus (Table A-1, Reuse in SMRW), times 0.23
- 9 Murrieta Creek at Temecula Flow times 1.6697 which is based on a correlation between Murrieta Creek at Temecula flow and Tributary Inflow, Areal Recharge and Subsurface Inflow for the period 1977-1998 as shown in Table II-10, Vol. II, Geology and Hydrology, Surface and Ground Water Model of the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Basin, California, dated January 31, 2003.
- 10 Table II-10, Vol. II, Geology and Hydrology, Surface and Ground Water Model of the
- Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Basin, California, dated January 31, 2003.
- 11 Table 7.8 Total Production
- 12 The sum of Groundwater Production from: [Table A-1 (EMWD), A-5 (Pechanga IR), A-10 (WMWD Murieta Division, previously A-5), Appendix C, Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area], times .77
- 13 Table 3.2 Santa Margarita River near Temecula
- R Revised

TABLE 4.4

CHANGES IN USEABLE GROUNDWATER STORAGE MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUNDWATER AREA Groundwater Level Method SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

	2012	(18)	(16)	(84)	(341)	967	1130	ø	55	36	149	(2,016)	(609)	(674)	(215)	(1,889)	(169)	(4,987)	(219)	(10)	(5)	(40)	(47)	(641)	(62)	(985)	(192)	(164)	(11,113)
. Year	2011	(09)	51	59	(1,993)	1457	810	30	(6)	(9)	(30)	1510	456	222	71	1765	158	4149	182	62	(12)	(02)	(128)	(676)	(63)	(174)	321	(33)	8,049 (
storage in Water Acre Feet	2010	(8)	116	143	834	6	1025	9	(8)	(2)	5	814	246	(301)	(96)	(517)	(46)	(2)	0	48	(3)	(57)	١	(605)	(32)	(961)	212	(99)	691
Change in Storage in Water Year Acre Feet	2009	(153)	97	233	1484	(315)	189	11	85	56	(26)	595	180	(278)	(68)	(1,928)	(173)	(2,536)	(111)	(121)	3	I	I	(130)	(32)	(651)	(9)	98	(4,149)
Che	2008	(187)	(52)	(259)	(2,231)	853	(202)	(24)	(22)	(36)	18	290	88	45	4	1550	139	1857	81	100	(8)	I	I	(1,090)	(28)	(11)	119	(295)	170
	2011 - 2012	(3.69)	(4.75)	(3.39)	(14.04)	22.93	10.36	9.34	0.81	0.81	20.00	(1.01)	(1.01)	(2.40)	(2.40)	(5.34)	(5.34)	(27.77)	(27.77)	(1.30)	(1.10)	(5.70)	(6.51)	(4.29)	(11.00)	(4.13)	(0.60)	(5.00)	
	2010 - 20 2011 - 20	(12.11)	2.67	2.40	(82.07) (34.54	7.43	35.00	(0.13)	(0.13)	-			0.79	_	4.99	4.99	_	23.10 (8.30	(2.50)	(06.6)	(17.65)	(4.52)	_	(0.73)	1.00	(1.00)	
Change in Depth Feet	2009 - 2010	(1.58)	6.06	5.76	34.33	0.22	9.40	7.02	(0.12)	(0.12)	0.64	2.83	2.83	(1.07)	(1.07)	(1.46)	(1.46)	(0.01)	(0.01)	6.40	(0.70)	(8.10)	ł	(4.05)	(16.30)	(4.03)	0.66	(2.00)	
Chan	2008 - 2009	(31.06)	5.08	9.42	61.10	(7.47)	1.73	12.31	1.26	1.26	(7.50)	2.07	2.07	(0.99)	(0.99)	(5.45)	(5.45)	(14.12)	(14.12)	(16.07)	0.37	I	I	(4.88)	(5.70)	(2.73)	(0.02)	3.00	
	2007 - 2008	(37.96)	(2.71)	(10.44)	(91.86)	20.23	(6.44)	(27.48)	(0.81)	(0.81)	2.41	1.01	1.01	0.16	0.16	4.38	4.38	10.34	10.34	13.33	(1.66)	I	I	(7.29)	(4.97)	(0.07)	0.37	(00.6)	
	2012	215.40	30.75	29.61	175.15	62.05	78.76	108.66	27.79	27.79	318.00	40.05	40.05	65.00	65.00	93.00	93.00	55.25	55.25	409.80	329.60	527.30	536.90	230.25	336.22	279.64	54.40	77.00	
Vater Year	2011	211.71	26.00	26.22	161.11	84.98	89.12	118.00	28.60	28.60	338.00	33.04	33.04	62.60	62.60	87.66	87.66	27.48	27.48	408.50	328.50	521.60	530.39	225.96	325.22	275.51	53.80	72.00	
at end of Water Year Feet	2010	199.60	28.67	28.62	79.04	119.52	96.55	153.00	28.47	28.47	333.96	38.29	38.29	63.39	63.39	92.65	92.65	50.58	50.58	416.80	326.00	511.70	512.74	221.44	314.00	274.78	54.80	71.00	
Water Depth	2009	198.02	34.73	34.38	113.37	119.74	105.95	160.02	28.35	28.35	334.60	41.12	41.12	62.32	62.32	91.19	91.19	50.57	50.57	423.20	325.30	503.60	I	217.39	297.70	270.75	55.46	69.00	
Wa	2008	166.96	39.81	43.80	174.47	112.27	107.68	172.33	29.61	29.61	327.10	43.19	43.19	61.33	61.33	85.74	85.74	36.45	36.45	407.13	325.67	I	I	212.51	292.00	268.02	55.44	72.00	
	Aquifer Area Acres	1371	479	802	694	1322	1562	719	339	496	2066	1438	1165	1405	1413	1769	752	868	398	2084	1347	1967	2008	1546	1562	3231	2303	1008	
	Key Well	510 8	439 1	146 1	101 ^{3,5}	102 ^{3,6}	495	211	492 1	492 1	410	426	426	422	422	417 4	417 4	484 3.7	484 3.7	462 1	464 1	509 ^{1, 9}	139 1	129 2	466 1	493	463 1	Lynch	
	Specific Yield/ Storativity	0.0036	0.0398	0.0309	0.0350	0.0319	0.0698	0.0012	0.20	0.0891	0.0036	0.20	0.0746	0.20	0.0634	0.20	0.0422	0.20	0.0198	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0036	0.0967	0.0036	0.0738	0.1392	0.0325	
	Key Aquifer S	Temecula	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	Qyal	Pauba	Temecula	Qyal	Pauba	Qyal	Pauba	Qyal	Pauba	Qyal	Pauba	Temecula	Temecula	Temecula	Temecula	Pauba	Temecula	Pauba	Pauba	Pauba	
	Sub-area		2	 	4	- 2	9	7	80		თ	10	_	5	_	12	_	13	~	14	15	16	17	18	6	20	21	*	TOTAL

1 - Well not measured for year with dashes; Sub-area excluded for change in storage calculation for years with no measurement.

Fer 2007 used reading right musclements, or used for years 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010.
 Data for wells 101, 102, and 484 were revised for years 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010.
 Ever 2007 data was revised to use the reading of October 28, 2007.
 Fey Well 101 designated for Sub-area 4 in Year 2011; previously Well 401 designated as the Key Well.
 Key Well 102 designated for Sub-area 5 in Year 2011; previously Well 402 designated as the Key Well.
 Key Well 102 designated for Sub-area 13 in Year 2011; previously Well 402 designated as the Key Well.
 Key Well 102 designated for Sub-area 13 in Year 2011; previously Well 402 designated as the Key Well.
 Key Well 309 for Sub-area 15 in Year 2012; previously the well was named as Well 209.
 Key Well 309 for Sub-area 16 renamed in Year 2012; previously the well was named as Well 209.
 Sub-area 16 for area 107 sub-areas 100.

<u>Anza Groundwater Basin</u> – The Anza Groundwater Basin is located along Cahuilla Creek in the upper portion of the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

The most recent study that determined storage volumes was conducted by Riverside County in 1990. That study concluded that the groundwater storage of about 182,200 acre feet in 1950 had decreased to about 165,000 acre feet in 1986. The study also concluded that "... basin hydrogeologic features, production facilities conditions, and locations/depths of storage ..." limited the useable portion to 40% of the groundwater storage or about 56,200 acre feet in 1986.

During Water Years 2005 through 2009, a series of water level measurements were made by the USGS in Anza Valley under contract with the Bureau of Indian Affairs. The data from these measurements are available at the USGS website: *http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/ca/nwis/gwlevels*.

The wells included in the program can be located by selecting the latitude-longitude box selection criteria and specifying the following bounds:

North Latitude - 33° 37' 00" South Latitude - 33° 30' 00" West Longitude - 116° 48' 00" East Longitude - 116° 38' 00"

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Page Intentionally Blank

SECTION 5 - IMPORTS/EXPORTS

5.1 <u>General</u>

Court Orders require the Watermaster to determine the quantities of imported water used in the Watershed. Most of the water imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed is delivered by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) to local districts. MWD obtains its water from the State Water Project (SWP) and the Colorado River. Both the SWP and the Colorado River system have major storage reservoirs to provide long-term carryover storage. The quantities of water in storage at the end of the water year in the major reservoirs in each system are indicated on Table 5.1. Total storage in the SWP for the last ten years is shown graphically on Figure 5.1. Similarly, total storage for the Colorado River Reservoirs for the last ten years is shown on Figure 5.2. It may be seen from Table 5.1 that during Water Year 2011-12, water in storage in the SWP decreased from 4.50 million acre feet on September 30, 2011, to 2.94 million acre feet on September 30, 2012. Storage on September 30, 2012 corresponds to about 56 percent of the total SWP storage capacity.

Water in storage in the Colorado River system decreased 4.7 million acre feet from 38.3 million acre feet in the prior year to 33.6 million acre feet on September 30, 2012. On September 30, 2012, those reservoirs contained 52 percent of their total combined capacity.

The California Department of Water Resources prepares projections of water availability in the SWP for the coming year (2013) on a monthly basis from February through May. The report DWR Bulletin 120-4-13 dated May 1, 2013, indicated that statewide precipitation October 1 through April 30 was 75 percent of average compared to 75 percent last year. As of March 22, 2013, the SWP allocation for 2013 will meet 35 percent of contractors' requests.

The following entities imported water directly or indirectly from MWD into the Santa Margarita River Watershed:

Eastern Municipal Water District Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District Fallbrook Public Utility District Rainbow Municipal Water District Rancho California Water District U. S. Naval Weapons Station – Fallbrook Annex Western Municipal Water District

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TABLE 5.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED STORAGE IN STATE WATER PROJECT AND COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS

Thousands of Acre Feet 1/

STATE WATER PROJECT RESERVOIRS

Reservoir	Total Capacity	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Oroville	3,540	2,284	1,753	2,877	2,833	1,568	1,097	1,337	1,755	3,045	1,977
San Luis (State Share)	1,060	653	514	925	911	445	200	224	415	874	389
Pyramid	171	165	161	160	163	166	163	166	164	164	169
Castaic	324	314	298	306	266	313	268	200	260	284	264
Silverwood	73	70	72	72	72	73	71	70	70	71	71
Perris	132	114	116	82	72	66	69	62	61	66	72
- / -					4.047		4.000	0.050	0 705		2.042
Total	5,300	3,600	2,914	4,422	4,317	2,631	1,868	2,059	2,725	4,504	2,942
Percent of Capa	city	68%	55%	83%	81%	50%	35%	39%	51%	85%	56%

MAJOR COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS


Reservoir	Total Capacity	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Flaming Gorge	3,789	2,635	2,679	3,177	3,130	3,063	3,024	3,394	3,154	3,467	3,030
Blue Mesa	941	387	507	588	667	687	650	651	609	699	340
Navajo	1,709	729	935	1,516	1,420	1,510	1,319	1,314	1,412	1,327	1,035
Powell	27,000	12,109	9,170	11,939	11,917	11,929	14,509	15,463	15,267	17,593	13,929
Mead	28,537	15,618	13,937	15,219	13,887	12,505	12,013	10,933	10,092	12,977	13,135
Mohave	1,818	1,643	1,605	1,573	1,584	1,545	1,586	1,501	1,575	1,610	1,606
Havasu	648	562	589	554	555	576	584	564	560	585	561
	·										
Total	64,442	33,683	29,422	34,566	33,160	31,815	33,685	33,820	32,669	38,258	33,636
Percent of Capa	city	52%	46%	54%	51%	49%	52%	52%	51%	59%	52%

1/ Storage reported for end of water year on September 30

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

FIGURE 5.1






End of Water Year

FIGURE 5.2





End of Water Year

In addition to net deliveries through member agencies, MWD, pursuant to a Court Order, imported 466 acre feet of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed for irrigation of lands in Domenigoni Valley during 2011-12.

Water is also imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed from adjacent watersheds. Such importation occurs from the Santa Ana Watershed where Elsinore Valley MWD delivers water to a portion of its service area that is inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Elsinore Valley MWD obtains its supply from imports or from wells outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

At Camp Pendleton there is a pipeline connection to wells located in the Las Flores Creek Watershed to the north of the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Water can be either imported or exported through that line, depending on relative water demands and pumping capacities.

Exportations from the Santa Margarita River Watershed include water pumped at Camp Pendleton that is used in the San Luis Rey River Watershed to the south or in the Las Flores Creek Watershed to the north. The wastewater that is derived from the exported fresh water is returned to the Watershed for treatment at the Southern Region Tertiary Treatment Plant. Reclaimed wastewater is used for irrigation both within and outside the Watershed. Treated wastewater in excess of reclaimed use is exported for discharge at the Oceanside Outfall. Wastewater from the Fallbrook area and the Naval Weapons Station is exported by the Fallbrook Public Utility District and wastewater in the Elsinore Valley MWD is exported by Elsinore Valley MWD. Rancho California WD exports water into the San Mateo Creek Watershed.

Eastern MWD uses a 24-inch pipeline along Winchester Road to transport wastewater from the Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility to areas within the Watershed for reuse as well as for export of up to 10 MGD from the Watershed. Eastern MWD uses a second, 48-inch pipeline along Palomar Valley for delivery of reclaimed wastewater for reuse and export from the Watershed. Rancho California WD also uses the Palomar Valley pipeline for exporting wastewater from the Watershed. The exported wastewater can be reused outside the Watershed, delivered to storage facilities or discharged to Temescal Creek. In 2011-12, Eastern MWD's export of wastewater that was discharged to Temescal Creek was 285 acre feet. Rancho California WD had no export of wastewater for discharge to Temescal Creek in 2012.

36

The following paragraphs of this report describe imports and exports during Water Year 2011-12 and during the period 1966-2012. There is also discussion of MWD's Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake operations.

5.2 Water Year 2011-12

During 2011-12, a total of 75,440 acre feet of water of net imported supplies were distributed for use in the Santa Margarita River Watershed. This compares with 71,029 acre feet in 2010-11 and represents an increase of approximately six percent. The term net imports is used because several entities report gross imports into the Santa Margarita River Watershed but due to system configurations and operations, a portion of the gross imports may be transported to serve areas outside of the Watershed. Thus, the net imports reflect the quantities of imported supplies used within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Net imports into the Santa Margarita River Watershed are listed on Table 5.2 for Water Year 2011-12.

The water exported from the Santa Margarita River Watershed for 2011-12 primarily includes wastewater except for Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD. As described in Section 7, Camp Pendleton exports native water for use outside the Watershed. Also, Rancho California WD exports groundwater as part of a blended water supply to serve customers in the San Mateo Watershed. Exports from the Santa Margarita River Watershed for 2011-12 were 18,898 acre feet as shown on Table 5.2. This compares to 18,797 acre feet in 2010-11 and represents an increase of about one percent.

The quality of the water supplies imported through the MWD system in 2011-12 is indicated by the average monthly total dissolved solids at the Skinner Treatment Plant effluent line as shown on Table 5.3. The table also shows the percent of imported water obtained from the SWP. Water imported by Elsinore Valley MWD has the same quality as the MWD system.

5.3 Water Years 1966-2012

Water quantities imported by districts into the Santa Margarita River Watershed during Water Years 1966-2012 are shown on Table 5.4. Total imports to these districts are measured; however some districts serve lands outside the Watershed. For these districts, which include Eastern MWD, Elsinore Valley MWD, Fallbrook PUD and Rainbow MWD, the portion delivered in the Santa Margarita River Watershed must be estimated.

Review of the historical trend of total imports shown on Table 5.4 indicates significant year-to-year variations with relatively low imports in wet years and higher imports in dry years, combined with an underlying growth rate to serve increasing municipal water demands in the Murrieta-Temecula area.

TABLE 5.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

IMPORTS/EXPORTS 2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

NET IMPORTS

EXPORTS 3

	TOTAL EXPORTS	,528	1,581	,667		,616	,602	,679	1,609	,675	,446	,525	,505	1,465	18,898
						•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	
	RANCHO CAL WD 7/	23	23	18		23	17	21	16	17	29	25	31	40	283
	FALLBROOK	74	11	83		61	74	86	78	62	68	80	88	80	928
	ELSINORE VALLEY MWD	94	100	101		98	100	100	8 6	105	66	109	106	95	1,205
	EASTERN MWD 6/	985	1,047	1,137		1,105	1,100	1,160	1,122	1,141	926	965	938	924	12,550
	U.S. Naval WS	0	0	0		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	7	თ
	NET EXPORT	352	334	328		328	310	311	294	332	323	345	342	324	3,923
CAMP PENDLETON	WESTERN TOTAL NET EXPORTS WASTEWATER WWD 2/ IMPORTS 4/ RETURNS 5/	153	97	111		131	85	85	93	132	190	202	203	190	1,672
CAN	EXPORTS W 4/	505	431	439		459	395	396	387	464	513	547	545	514	5,595
i	TOTAL NET E Imports	6,505	4,510	3,392		4,113	4,000	3,571	3,772	6,976	9,067	9,567	10,413	9,554	75,440
	NESTERN MWD 2/	4	ę	e		4	e	4	e	9	4	4	5	2	48
	U. S. Naval WS	ო	S	1		ŝ	2	2	2	2	4	e	e	ς	48
	RANCHO CAL WD	3.625	1,832	1,736		2,216	2,018	1,830	2,071	4,180	5,295	5,780	6,091	5,226	41,900
	RAINBOW MWD	243	178	107		100	96	06	120	113	206	178	210	251	1,892
	MURRIETA Division Western F MWD	144	67	52		41	78	65	76	148	172	181	194	153	1.371
	MWD 1/	4	18	24		21	14	20	54	64	58	44	41	64	466
	FALLBROOK PUD	734	544	320		508	435	351	321	415	769	606	879	1,069	7.254
	ELSINORE VALLEY MWD	419	764	351		347	501	391	257	854	755	774	1,156	829	7.398
	EASTERN 1 MWD	1.289	1.099	788		873	853	813	868	1,194	1,804	1,694	1,834	1,954	15.063
	YEAR B MONTH	2011 OCT	NOV	DEC	2012	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	МАҮ	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	TOTAL

1/ Metropolitan Water District direct deliveries in Domenigoni Valley as shown on Table A-4.

Improvement District A - Rainbow Canyon Only (WR-13).
 All exports are wastewater except as noted for Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD.

4/ Agricultural and Camp Supply use outside the SMRW, reclaimed use outside the SMRW, plus export to Oceanside Outfall as shown on Table A-8.
5/ Estimated as reclaimed percentage of Camp Supply use outside the SMRW as shown on Table A-8.
6/ Includes Other Reuse shown on Table A-1 which includes changes of storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, evaporation and percolation losses, and discharges to Temescal Creek in the Santa Ana Watershed for discharge to Temescal Creek.

7/ Includes groundwater used in San Mateo Watershed and wastewater exported via Palomar Valley pipeline.

TABLE 5.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS CONCENTRATION OF IMPORTED WATER

YEAR MONTH	SOLID	ISSOLVED IS MG/L 1/	PERCENT PROJECT 2/	•
	<u>2010-11</u>	<u>2011-12</u>	<u>2010-11</u>	<u>2011-12</u>
ост	489	302	30	71
NOV	496	269	33	79
DEC	486	261	35	81
JAN	456	264	36	76
FEB	406	329	51	73
MAR	424	344	45	74
APR	451	386	40	66
MAY	429	447	43	51
JUNE	416	459	46	43
JULY	376	442	54	46
AUG	333	398	56	54
SEPT	317	386	67	56

- 1/ As measured in the Skinner Treatment Effluent line.
- 2/ Skinner Plant treated a blend of California State Project Water and Colorado River water.

TABLE 5.4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED IMPORTS/EXPORTS

Quantities in Acre Feet

NET IMPORTS

EXPORTS 5/

																			ſ
WATER	EASTERN	ELSINORE	ELSINORE FALLBROOK			RAINBOW	RANCHO CAL 1	U.S. NAVAL	WESTERN	TOTAL		CAMP PENDLETON WASTEWATER	r NET	U. S. Naval e	EASTERN	ELSINORE	FALLBROOK	RANCHO	TOTAL
YEAR	QMW	VALLEY	PUD	MWD 77	WESTERN		Ø ≽	ş	0/MW	IMPORTS	EXPORTS	RETURNS	EXPORT	SM	QMW	QMW		CAL WD 7/	CAL WD EXPORTS 7/
1966	1,604	N/R	3,351	0	0	1,308	0	0	24	6,287	3,251	974	2,277	0	0	0	0		2,277
1967	1,630	NR	2,852	0	0	1,095	0	0	20	5,597	ю́.	1,243	1,937	0	0	0	0		1,937
1968	1,464	R I	3,423	0 0	0 0	1,377	0 0	0 0	27	6,291		1,214	2,154	0 0	0 0	00	0 0		2,154
1909	1,741		2,03/ 3,538		5 0	1 680			69 F	0,000 6,675	3,2/0	1,170	2,606	- c	- c				2, FQ6
1971	1.383	N N	3.405	0	00	1.650	0		2 25	6.548		1.090	2.437	0	0	0	00		2,437
1972	1,470	NR	3,916	0	0	2,037	0		34	7,572		1,168	2,375	0	0	0	0		2,375
1973	1,533	NR	3,210	0	0	1,616	0		30	6,504		1,187	2,357	0	0	0	0		2,357
1974	1,601	RN	3,967	0	0	2,049	0	115 E	36	7,768	3,532	1,140	2,392	0	0	0	0		2,392
1975	1,969	RN R	3,597	0	0	1,247	0	115 E	34	6,962		1,530	1,568	0	0	0	0		1,568
1976	2,493	a a	4,627	0 0	ò	2,239	119	115 E	83	9,628		1,497	2,122	00	0 0	0 0	00		2,122
19/1	2,947	N/N	5,212	0 0	5 0	2,343	1,845	115 E	47 %	12,485	3,194	1,410	1,789						1,1/0
1070	1 804	60C	5,202	- c		2, 100 2 3 4 8	7,000	115 E	87	17 824		1 427	3,320	- c			• c		3 329
1980	1.192	 969	6.404	0	0	2.489	10.126	115 E	ន	21,047	3,651	1,405	2,246	0	0	0	• •		2,246
1981	716	198	8,543	0	0	3,153	15,282	115 E	8	28,642		1,249	2,643	0	0	0	0		2,643
1982	1,112	678	7,079	0	0	2,460	13,378	115 E	34	24,856		1,273	2,488		0	0	0		2,488
1983	1,211	658	6,720	0	0	2,190	5,752	115 E	2 6	16,672		1,242	1,758		0	0	1,003		2,787
1984	669	816	8,506	0	0	3,068	6,716	115 E	58	19,946	3,243	1,120	2,123	26 E	0	0	1,032		3,181
1985	619	808	7,831	0	0	3,410	7,158	102	27	20,015		1,200	2,177		0 0	0 0	1,060		3,263
1986	760	882	8,585	0 (0 0	2,945	11,174	8	88	24,474		981	2,345		0 0	0 -	1,096		3,45/ 2,00E
1987	1,155	938 1 03 0	8,656	0 0	0 0	3,390	1,564	116	99 8 99	201 00	3,444	1,/99	1,645	82 8	2 0	4 Y	1,123		008/2
1080	2,04/	1 201,1	0,055 0,066		- c	2,003	22 805	128	8 %	40.202	200	1 446	1 972	3 %	• c	3 12	1181		3.250
1990	5.601	2.255	10.103	0	, o	3.818	22.030	145	3 8	43.974	2	1.451	1,520	51	00	114	1,271		2,932
1991	9,479	2.421	7,962	0	0	2,904	21,238	109	21	44,134		1,219	949	13	0	134	960		2,056
1992	8,593	2,190	7,893	0	0	2,277	16,931	66	25	38,008		1,548	878	7	0	140	1,083		2,108
1993	5,393	1,914	6,925	0	0	1,965	11,411	117	31	27,756		1,926	403	16	705	150	1,255		2,529
1994	7,150	3,221	7,250	0	0	1,651	16,386	73	37	35,768		1,501	1,201	ۍ ا	3,159	170	1,068		5,603
1995	4,625	3,117	6,538	547		1,661	15,108	125	29	31,750		1,611	1,170	ç, i	3,908	185	1,153		6,428
1996	4,960	4,181	7,993	1,005		1,815	23,600	001	88	43,689	3,5//	1,493	2,084	n u	2,993	213	1,035		0,33U 6 165
1990	3,284	4,283	1,834 6 202	3,521		474'L	10,594	201	3 5	240,14		205,1	1 2 2 2 2	0 0	3,2UI	247	1 20,1		7 010
1999	4 327	6 134	7 430	3 781		1,727	34,490	111	- 1	58.041	3.558	2,130	1.428	o vo	4,133	254	1.377		7,197
2000 R	7.256	7.172	9.365	712		2.217	55.409	104	42	82.277		2.115	1.957	2	3.649	279	1,419 %		7,311
2001 R	5 948	6.592	8.398	689	0	1.804	41.823	73	59	65.386		2.075	1.578	80	4.457	310	1.392 9/		7,745
2002 R	8,117	7,596	9.580	595	0 0	1.676	54,148	67	649	81,873		1,950	1,751	თ	5,325	412	1,225 %		8,722
2003 R	9,062	7,091	9,130	495	102	1,510	50,744	88	42	78,264	3,767		2,079	10	7,636	483	1,359 %	64	11,631
2004 R	9,138	8,438	11,749	766	330	1,888	62,408	73	50	94,840	4,951 ^{6/}	0	4,951	80	9,115	600	1,329 %	312	16,315
2005 R	10,858	8,215	8,108 %	556	75	1,610	47,614	40	62	77,138	4,625 ^{6/}	0	4,625	16	11,676	927	1,417 %	1,574	20,235
2006 R	14,161	9,819	10,573 %	506	316	1,851	60,611	64	66	97,967	4,912 ^{6/}	0	4,912	80	10,906	938	1,395 %	1,379	19,538
2007 R	15.398	10.811	12.292	660	723	2.262	63,818	70	45	106.079	5,152 ^{6/}	0	5,152	12	10,553	837	891 %	364	17,809
2008 R	14,952	9,951	8,920 %	493	2,180	1,790	50,683	82	54	89,105	4,774 6/	0	4,774	11	12,789	901	ль 66 <i>1</i>	361	19,635
2009 R	14,472	9.075	8.557	607	1.654	1,852	50,270	74	51	86,612	5,362 ^{8/}	1,119	4,243	12	12,027	1,069	829 %	367	18,547
2010 R	13,552	7,926	7,183 %	385	1.462	1,453	40,894	69	62	72,986			4,068	7	11,829	1,120	926 %	318	18,268
2011	11 202	7 475	6 224	326	1 642	1 402	20.411	45	ŝ	71 020			A 075	a	13 284	1 130	901	302	18 707
	700'41	n				704.1		2 :	3 :	270,11					100121		100	100	101101
2012	15,063	7,398	7,254	466	1,371	1,892	41,900	48	48	75,440	5,595 ~	1,672	3,923	თ	12,550	1,205	928	283	18,898
1/ Incluc	tes DeLuz F	Heights MWL	1/ Includes DeLuz Heights MWD prior to 1991. 2/ Metronolitan Water District direct delivarias in Domenicani Valley.	1. Vin Dome	lleV inconice		4/ Impro	vement D	istrict A - R	ainbow C: except as	Improvement District A - Rainbow Canyon Only (WR-13). All evolute are unstaurated avoid for Camp Ben	Improvement District A - Rainbow Caryon Only (WR-13). All eccords are unsetwired as and of for Plann Bandleton and Bandho Cal WD	fond Pane	in Cal W	c			N/R - No P - Partis	N/R - Not Reported P - Partial vear data
a snig	niscellaneou	us maintenai	plus miscellaneous maintenance releases beginning 2009.	beginnin	g 2009.			ties export	of native w	ater plus	wastewater fi	In exports are masternated except as noted to Campt a material includes export of native water plus wastewater from in-basin use	e.					E - Estim	E - Estimate
3/ For p	eriod 2003 i ted water de	For period 2003 to present values shown imported water delivered to San Mateo V		are net in atershed	are net imports excluding latershed	uding	7/ Includ 8/ Includ	tes ground tes export	twater user of native w	d in San N ater plus i	Includes groundwater used in San Mateo Watershed and v Includes export of native water plus reclaimed wastewater.	Includes groundwater used in San Mateo Watershed and wastewater exported to Santa Ana Watershed Includes excort of native water olus reclaimed wastewater.	water expo	rted to S	anta Ana	Watershed.		R - Revis	8
-								ook PUD'	s total expo	rt data we	re revised in	Fallbrook PUD's total export data were revised in 2011 due to reporting methodology changes. In 2012, Fallbrook PUD's	porting me	thodolog	y change:	s. In 2012, I	Fallbrook PUI)'s	
							total 5	SMRW im	oort data w	ere revise	d due to erro	total SMRW import data were revised due to error in reporting Lake Skinner diversions delivered	ake Skinne	r diversic	ins delive	red.			

Exports over the 1966-2012 period are also shown on Table 5.4. These include estimated water exports on Camp Pendleton less estimated wastewater returns, as well as an estimate of exports by the Fallbrook Public Utility District and the Naval Weapons Station after 1983, and Elsinore Valley MWD after 1986. Exports by Eastern MWD were initiated in 1992-1993, and Rancho California WD began quantifying export of water in 2002-03. Exports do not include water that naturally flows from the Santa Margarita River into the Pacific Ocean.

5.4 Lake Skinner

Lake Skinner is a 44,000 acre foot reservoir constructed by MWD on Tucalota Creek, within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The purpose of Lake Skinner is to provide regulatory and emergency storage capacity for water imported to southern California. MWD does not have a water right to store or divert water in Lake Skinner. Accordingly, a Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Lake Skinner (MOU), dated November 12, 1974, approved by the Court on January 16, 1975, contains provisions to protect Santa Margarita River Watershed water users from potential effects of Lake Skinner on either subsurface or surface flows.

Protection against a decrease in subsurface flows caused by the dam is afforded by a provision in the MOU that requires that MWD release water from Lake Skinner into Tucalota Creek if groundwater levels in Well AV-28B fall below an elevation of 1356.64 feet. At the end of September 30, 2012, the well level was 1359.10 feet, a decrease of 4.3 feet compared to September 30, 2011.

The MOU also provides that all local surface inflow that enters Lake Skinner will be released into Tucalota Creek. In its 1980 modification, the MOU provides that local surface inflow is to be determined by using the hydrologic equation for Lake Skinner that is specified in the MOU. That equation is used to determine inflow and the related release for large flood events. However, in many years the local inflow is small compared to the large quantities of imported water inflow and outflow at Lake Skinner. The error of measurement for these large inflows and outflows is larger than the local inflow in many instances. Accordingly, MWD also monitors the flow in Tucalota Creek, Rawson Creek and Middle Creek during storms and uses those observations to supplement the hydrologic equation.

On February 16, 2005, the Court approved an Order Amending the MOU to provide for diversion from Lake Skinner on Fallbrook PUD's behalf after specified releases are made, according to State Water Resource Control Board Permit 11356 and the amended Lake Skinner MOU. In 2011-12, no water was accumulated in Lake Skinner for diversion to Fallbrook PUD. In 2011-12, MWD records show no local inflow to Lake Skinner and subsequently there were no required releases in accordance with the MOU.

5.5 Diamond Valley Lake

Diamond Valley Lake is located in Diamond and Domenigoni Valleys within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The Lake was created by three dams, one each at the east and west ends of Domenigoni/Diamond Valley and a saddle dam at the low point on the north rim. The East Dam diverts surface and groundwater flows from a 4.2 square mile drainage area in the Santa Margarita River Watershed, known as Goodhart Canyon, into the Santa Ana River Watershed. The West Dam intercepts existing westward surface and subsurface flows from an additional 13.19 square mile area.

MWD does not have a water right to store local waters in the reservoir, so a Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Domenigoni Valley Reservoir (now known as Diamond Valley Lake) (MOU) was developed and approved by the Court on January 19, 1995. Among other things, the MOU provides:

The quantity and quality of surface runoff that would flow past the West Dam in the absence of the Reservoir will be determined and a like quantity of water of similar quality will be released from the Reservoir or San Diego Canal (SDC) into Warm Springs Creek.

The MOU indicates that the required releases would be determined by measuring the surface inflows into Goodhart Canyon Detention Basin. A quantity equal to 4.1 times the measured flow will be released into Warm Springs Creek.

There were no required releases into Warm Springs Creek during 2011-12.

Although all surface waters within the Santa Margarita River Watershed in Domenigoni Valley and Diamond Valley are subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court, groundwater contained within the younger alluvium, north of the south line of Section 9, Township 6 South, Range 2 West, SBM is not considered by the Court to be a part of the Santa Margarita River system as long as groundwater levels are below an elevation of 1400 feet. During 2011-12, groundwater elevations in Well MO-6, which is located along the south line of Section 9, decreased 4.72 feet from 1374.30 feet at the beginning of the water year to 1369.58 feet on October 2, 2012.

During 2011-12, there were no injections into the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin pursuant to Agreements for Mitigation of Groundwater. However, pursuant to a Court Order, MWD imported 466 acre feet of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed for irrigation of lands in Domenigoni Valley. As previously noted, the groundwater in the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin is outside this Court's jurisdiction when groundwater levels are below an elevation of 1400 feet.

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Page Intentionally Blank

44

SECTION 6 - WATER RIGHTS

6.1 <u>General</u>

Water is used in the Santa Margarita River Watershed under a variety of water rights. In the early 1960's, the U. S. District Court in its Interlocutory Judgments described water rights in the Watershed as primarily riparian rights and overlying rights. Riparian rights belong to owners of land parcels located adjacent to streams in the Watershed or overlying younger alluvium deposits generally along the stream channels. Overlying rights were divided by the Court into two categories based on the location where the water is obtained and used. Water extracted from lands where subsurface waters add to, contribute to and support the Santa Margarita River stream system was found to be subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. Lands in this category were identified by the Court and listed in Interlocutory Judgments. In general, these parcels of land overlie younger or older alluvium deposits. The Court has stated that the issue of apportionment of water rights has not been presented to the Court, but the Court would litigate the apportionment if and when in the future it becomes necessary to do so.

The other category of overlying use applies to parcels of land where subsurface flows do not add to, contribute to or support the Santa Margarita River stream system. These parcels were also identified by the Court and found to be outside the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. In general, these lands overlie basement complex or residuum deposits.

The Court also described a number of other rights in the Watershed. These included surface water appropriative water rights that have been administered by the State of California since 1914. These rights are discussed in the following subsection of this report.

In Interlocutory Judgment No. 41, the Court found that the United States reserved rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations, including rights to the use of groundwater, sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon. In Interlocutory Judgment No. 44, the Court recognized and reserved water rights for lands within the Cleveland and San Bernardino National Forests and for lands being administered pursuant to the Taylor Grazing Act.

Since the early 1960's, there have been substantial changes in water use in the Watershed, especially in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. During the 1950's and early 1960's, when this case was under active litigation, most of the water use in the Murrieta-Temecula area consisted of individual property owners pumping water for use on their own properties. In 1965, the Rancho California WD was formed. The District developed Agency Agreements with most of the landowners within the District. In these

Agency Agreements, the landowners "...without transferring any water rights and privileges pertaining to said land..." designated the District as their exclusive agent for the development and management of their water supply.

Thus, many landowners within the Rancho California WD are not exercising their overlying rights. Instead, Rancho California WD pumps groundwater and uses it throughout the District area as agent on behalf of the landowners.

Rancho California WD also pumps water as a groundwater appropriator along with Western Municipal Water District within its Murrieta Division.

Another change from the early 1960's is the large scale importation of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed by Rancho California WD. A portion of such importation finds its way into the groundwater aquifers. The legal status of return flows from imported supplies as well as direct recharge of imported water was clarified by the final judgment in *City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando, et al.*, 1975 14 Cal.3rd 199. This decision in the Supreme Court of the State of California made two major findings with respect to imported water.

The first was that agencies have the right to recharge and store imported water in a groundwater basin and to extract the imported water for use, subject to applicable state and federal laws. In addition, agencies that import and deliver water to lands overlying a groundwater basin have a continuing right to extract the return flow from such water. The return flow is that portion of the imported supply that percolates into the groundwater basin. In the San Fernando case this portion was found to range from 20 percent to 35.7 percent of the imported supplies.

The Rancho Division of the Rancho California WD overlies the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Thus a portion of the import supply delivered to the Rancho Division of Rancho California WD percolates into the underlying aquifers.

Imported water is also supplied to the Santa Rosa Division within Rancho California WD, however only a relatively small part of this division overlies the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Thus there is less imported water return flow from the Santa Rosa Division.

Classification of Rancho California WD supplies into various water right categories is discussed in Section 7 of this Report.

Camp Pendleton representatives contend that the Court has jurisdiction over imported water to the full extent that imported water, as well as its use, its returns and its products, affects in any significant manner the water rights within the Watershed over which the Court has traditionally asserted its jurisdiction. Other parties dispute the Court's jurisdiction over imported water.

6.2 Appropriative Surface Water Rights

Another broad category of water rights used in the Watershed is surface water appropriative rights. Since 1914, these rights have been administered by the SWRCB.

A list of current permits, licenses and other active rights obtained from the SWRCB is shown on Table 6.1. A permit by the SWRCB authorizes water diversion, sets terms for the water project's completion and development of water use, and may impose other conditions. After the permittee demonstrates that construction is complete, water is being put to use and the permit conditions have been met, the SWRCB can issue a license. The license remains in effect as long as the license conditions are met and the water is put to beneficial use.

Active direct diversion rights and storage rights from creeks in the Watershed are summarized below:

	Direct Diversions Gallons Per Day	Storage <u>Acre Feet</u>
Cahuilla Valley	720	5
Cottonwood Creek	485,000	60
Cutca Creek	5,825	
DeLuz Creek	4,700	100
Fern Creek	213,000	100
Kohler Canyon	158,000	40
Long Canyon Spring	89	
Rainbow Creek		0.5
Rattlesnake Canyon	12,000	
Temecula Creek	13,050	40,000
Tucalota Creek		10,000
Sandia Canyon		8
Sourdough Spring	55	
Santa Margarita River	96,730	4,000
Nelson Creek	1,550	
TOTAL	990,719	54,313.5

These direct diversion rights of 990,719 gallons per day correspond to 1.53 cfs or 3.04 acre feet per day.

TABLE 6.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED APPROPRIATIVE WATER RIGHTS

PERMITS AND LICENSES

APPLICATION I.D.	OWNER	FILING DATE	SOURCE OF WATER	POINT OF DIVERSION	AMOUNT	USE	STATU
A006629	William H. & Sandra J. Cyrus	4/9/30	Coahuila Valley	Sec. 4, 7S, 3E	DD-720 gpd	D	License
A007035	Nyla Lawler Trust	8/10/31	Cutca Creek	Sec. 29, 9S, 1E	DD-5725 gpd	D/I	License
A009137	JR SA, LLC	10/07/37	Temecula Creek	Sec. 12, 9S, 1E	DD-400 gpd	D	License
A009291	Richard W. Long	5/13/38	Nelson Creek	Sec. 23, 8S, 5W	DD-1550 gpd	D	License
A010806	James R., Phyllis & Bruce Grammer	4/22/44	Temecula Creek	Sec. 34, 9S, 2E	DD-2880 gpd	D	License
A011161	Roy C. Pursche & Barbara Booth	9/26/45	Rattlesnake Canyon	Sec. 28, 9S, 2E	DD-12,000 gpd	D/I	License
A011518	Rancho California Water District	8/16/46	Temecula Creek	Sec. 10, 8S, 1W	ST-40,000 AF	D/I/IN/M/R	Permit
A011587	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	10/11/46	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W	ST-10,000 AF	D/I/M	Permit
A012178	Fallbrook Public Utility District	11/28/47	Tucalota Creek	Sec. 3, 7S, 2W	ST-10,000 AF	D/I/M	Permit
A012179	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	11/28/47	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W	ST-10,000 AF	D/I/M	Permit
A013505	Robert R. Baum	12/12/49	Cottonwood Creek	Sec. 30, 8S, 4W	DD-0.75 cfs & ST-42 AF	R/S	License
A017239	Nancy A. Wiley	8/15/56	Temecula Creek	Sec. 20, 9S, 2E	DD-120 gpd	D/E	License
A020507	Robert R. Baum	11/24/61	Cottonwood Creek	Sec. 19, 8S, 4W	ST-18 AF	I/R	License
				Sec. 30, 8S, 4W			
A020608	Pete and Dorothy Prestininzi	2/13/62	DeLuz Creek	Sec. 20, 8S, 4W	ST-100 AF	D/I/R	License
A020742	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	4/24/62	Sourdough Spring	Sec. 25, 9S, 1E	DD-55 gpd	Е	License
A021074	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	12/07/62	Cutca Spring	Sec. 17, 9S, 1E	DD-100 gpd	S/W	License
A021471A	U. S. Department of Navy	9/23/63	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 5, 10S, 4W Sec. 2, 11S, 5W	ST-4,000 AF	D/I/M/Z	License
A021471B	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	9/23/63	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 32, 9S, 4W	ST-165,000 AF	D/I/M/Z	Permit
A027756	James R. Grammer	5/23/83	Temecula Creek	Sec. 3, 10S, 2E	DD-9,650 gpd	I/W	License
A028133	B&E Inv., Inc.	5/14/84	Cahuilla Creek	Sec. 15, 8S, 2E	ST-5AF	E/H/I/R/S	Permit
			OTHER RIGHTS				
F005751S*	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	1/01/70	Long Canyon Spring	Sec. 16, 9S, 1E	DD-89 gpd	E/R/S/W	
S000024**	Judge Dial Perkins	12/26/86	Santa Margarita River			D	
S000751**	Lawrence Butler	5/31/67	Fern Creek	Sec. 31, 8S, 4W	ST-100 AF	I	
S011411**	Agri Empire, Inc.	5/16/84	Kohler Canyon	Sec. 33, 9S, 2E	DD-0.245 cfs ST-40 AF	I/S	
S012235**	Lenny F. Kuszmaui	8/27/85	DeLuz Creek	Sec. 4, 9S, 4W	DD-4700 gpd	D/I	
S014009**	San Diego State University	6/7/93	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 27, 8S, 3W	DD-0.15 cfs	D/I/Z	
001583***	George F. Yackey	12/27/77	Sandia Canyon	Sec. 25, 8S, 4W	ST-8.0 AF	S	
002380***	Chris R. & Jeanette L. Duarte	12/16/77	Rainbow Creek	Sec. 12, 9S, 3W	ST-0.5 AF	S	
KEY TO USE:	DD - Direct Diversion D - Dom	estic	R - Recreation E - I	Fire Protection	H - Fish Cult	ure	
	ST - Diversion to Storage I - Irriga			Stockwatering	Z - Other		
	IN - Industrial		W - Fish & Wildlife Pro	•			

NOTES: * Federal Filing

** Statement of Diversion and Use

*** Stock Filing

Storage rights shown in Table 6.1 include 185,000 acre feet of storage rights on the Santa Margarita River held by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation (ID Nos. 11587, 12179, and 21471B) that have not been exercised. The deadline for exercising these rights is currently set at December 31, 2008. On November 14, 2008, the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation filed petitions for time extensions for completion of beneficial use under the three permits. On September 14, 2009, change petitions were filed to amend the permits to conform to the Santa Margarita Conjunctive Use Project being developed jointly by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Navy Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, and Fallbrook Public Utility District. Those extension and change petitions have been accepted and in accordance with SWRCB Order 2009-0063-EXEC they are under consideration in tandem.

Table 6.1 also lists other rights recognized by the SWRCB. These rights generally are based on Statements of Water Diversion and Use that have been filed with the SWRCB. Such statements include one by the United States on behalf of the Cleveland National Forest, which states that the diversion and use of water from Long Canyon Spring is made pursuant to a withdrawal and reservation of the land and resources for National Forest System purposes as of February 14, 1907.

Besides the federal filing, there are also Statements of Water Diversion and Use filed by other entities. Four of these statements represent riparian or pre-1914 appropriative diversions from DeLuz Creek, Fern Creek and Santa Margarita River that have been reported to the SWRCB. The other statement represents a pre-1914 appropriative right to divert water from a spring in Kohler Canyon into a 40 acre foot reservoir.

The last two rights noted on Table 6.1 represent filings made in 1977 pursuant to Subchapter 2.5 to Chapter 3 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations. That subchapter deals with Water Rights for Stockponds.

In addition to appropriative rights under SWRCB jurisdiction, there are a number of nonstatutory appropriative rights that were established prior to 1914. These rights continue to be used to support diversions of water from the Santa Margarita River stream system. Such rights, which are listed in the various Interlocutory Orders developed in this litigation, are shown on Table 6.2.

In 1990-91, in Order No. 91-07, the SWRCB revised its Order No. 89-25 entitled, "Order Adopting Declaration of Fully Appropriated Stream Systems and Specifying Conditions for Acceptance of Applications and Registrations." These Orders list the Santa Margarita River stream system as fully appropriated "from the confluence of the Santa Margarita River and the Pacific Ocean upstream including all tributaries where hydraulic continuity exists."

TABLE 6.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED PRE - 1914 APPROPRIATIVE WATER RIGHTS Listed in Interlocutory Judgments

INTERLOCUTORY JUDGMENT	UISTED OWNER	CURRENT OWNER	DATE OF	SOURCE OF WATER	POINT OF DIVERSION	AMOUNT	USE
NO. 32	Anderson, Nina B.	Poladian, Jacqueline	April 11, 1892	Fern Creek	NW 1/4 of SE 1/4 Sec 31, T8S, R4W	32 gpm	Irrigation
NO. 32	Butler, Lawrence W. and Mary C.	Vanginkel, Norman Tr and Vanginkel, Deborah Tr San Diego Gas & Electric	Sept. 23, 1896	Fern Creek	NW 1/4 of SE 1/4 Sec 31, T8S, R4W	Capacity of 8 inch pipe	Irrigation
NO. 32	Wilson, Samuel M. and Hazel A.	Shirley, Bobble	Aug. 3, 1911	DeLuz Creek	NW 1/4 of SW 1/4 Sec 32, T8S, R4W	50 miner's inches 65 AF/Yr	Irrigation
NO. 24	United States	United States	1883	Santa Margarita River	Sec 5, T10S, R4W	20 cfs 1200 AF/Yr	Domestic Irrigation Stock Water

The consequences of this Order are as follows:

- 1. The Board is precluded from accepting any application to appropriate water from the Santa Margarita River System except where the proposed appropriation is consistent with conditions contained in the Declaration.
- 2. Initiation of a water right pursuant to the Water Rights Permitting Reform Act of 1988 (Water Code Section 1228 <u>et seq.</u>) --that is, by registering small use domestic appropriations--is precluded, except where the proposed appropriation is consistent with conditions contained in the Declaration. Small use domestic appropriations refer to uses that do not exceed direct diversions of 4,500 gallons per day or diversion by storage of 10 acre feet per year for incidental aesthetic, recreational, or fish and wildlife purposes.
- 3. Pursuant to Water Code Section 1206(a) the Board is authorized, but not required, to cancel pending applications where inconsistent with conditions contained in the Declaration; previous Orders implement a procedure for disposition of such applications pending on the effective date of the Declaration.

The Order provides for reconsideration of the Order either upon petition of an interested party or upon the Board's own motion.

6.3 Fallbrook PUD Changes of Point of Diversion and Place of Use for Permit No. 11356

On November 20, 2001, the Chief of the Division of Water Rights of the State Water Resources Control Board authorized an Order Approving Changes in Source Point of Diversion, Place of Use and Amending the Permit (No. 11356). The permit allows Fallbrook PUD to divert and store up to 10,000 acre feet per year at Lake Skinner. The Court approved an Order Amending the Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Lake Skinner on February 16, 2005. The Amendment provides for diversions from Lake Skinner after specified releases are made.

On December 18, 2009, Fallbrook PUD filed a petition for a time extension for completion of beneficial use under Permit No. 11356. The petition was accepted and noticed by the SWRCB on February 23, 2009, and no protests were filed.

On May 25, 2012, the SWRCB issued Order WR 2012-0007-EXEC with an amended Permit No. 11356 extending the time to apply the water to full beneficial use by December 31, 2048.

6.4 Federal Reserved Water Rights Claims by Cahuilla and Ramona Bands

On October 6, 2006, the Cahuilla Band of Indians filed a Motion to Intervene as Plaintiff-Intervenor in *United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al.* The Cahuilla Band also filed a Complaint asking the Court to quantify its federal reserved water rights by confirming elements of the water rights as declared and decreed by the Court in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41. On October 16, 2006, the Ramona Band of Cahuilla filed a similar motion and Complaint. On January 22, 2007, the Court issued an Order granting the Motions to Intervene and filing the Complaints in Intervention. On February 25, 2009, the Court ordered the Cahuilla Band and Ramona Band as plaintiffs to serve by April 30, 2009, all water right holders subject to the Court's jurisdiction within the entire Watershed. Service was completed and the parties commenced settlement negotiations. On April 1, 2009, the Cahuilla and Ramona Bands filed motions to dismiss claims against certain downstream defendants and to file second amended complaints to limit the claims to the Anza-Cahuilla Groundwater Area. On April 29, 2009, the Court issued an Order granting the motions. The parties are progressing with settlement negotiations and Court proceedings for quantification of each Band's federal reserved water rights based on the second amended complaints.

6.5 Federal Reserved Water Rights Claims by Pechanga Band

In 1974, the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Indians filed a Motion to intervene as a Plaintiff-Intervenor in United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al., and in 1975 the Court granted the Motion. Rather than filing a complaint asking the Court to quantify its federal reserved water rights, the Pechanga Band is in the process of resolving its claims to water rights in the Santa Margarita River Watershed through a comprehensive settlement agreement with the United States and principal water districts, including Rancho California WD, Eastern MWD, and Metropolitan Water District. On December 17, 2009, Pechanga and Rancho California WD announced an agreement on a framework, developed with the assistance of Metropolitan Water District and the United States Federal Negotiating Team, to resolve Pechanga's water rights claims. On April 27, 2009, Pechanga and Rancho California WD agreed to a Settlement Conceptual Agreement and on June 11, 2009, the Rancho California WD Board approved the Settlement Conceptual Agreement. On November 16, 2009, the parties announced the Pechanga Water Rights Settlement Agreement was finalized. On December 11, 2009 and January 26, 2010, the Pechanga Indian Water Rights Settlement Act was introduced in the United States House of Representatives and Senate, respectively. The parties are now in the process of reintroducing legislation and finalizing agreements in anticipation of Congressional and Court approvals.

SECTION 7 - WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

7.1 <u>General</u>

Water production and use data were obtained from several types of substantial users including water purveyors, Indian Reservations, mobile home parks and private landowners. Private landowners who qualify as substantial water users are those who irrigate eight or more acres or who produce or use an equivalent quantity of water.

Major water purveyors, who reported production and use data in the 2011-12 Water Year, are listed as follows:

Anza Mutual Water Company Eastern Municipal Water District Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District Fallbrook Public Utility District Lake Riverside Estates Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Rainbow Municipal Water District Rancho California Water District U. S. Marine Corps, Camp Pendleton U.S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex Western Municipal Water District

Lake Riverside Estates is listed with major water purveyors although it does not deliver water to customers. However it does produce make-up water for losses from Lake Riverside.

In addition to the major purveyors, there are a number of smaller water systems in the Watershed. Of these, Quiet Oaks Mobile Home Park, Jojoba Hills SKP Resort, Outdoor Resorts Rancho California, Inc., and Hawthorn Water System are substantial users.

Three Indian Reservations, the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona, are noted in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41, the Judgment that deals with Water Rights on Indian Reservations in the Watershed. Estimates and/or measurements of water production and use are reported for the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations.

A portion of a fourth Reservation, the Pauma Mission Reserve Tract of the Pauma Yuima Band of Mission Indians, is also located within the Watershed. However, these lands overlie basement complex, which waters have been found by the Court to not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system.

The final category of water users is private landowners who use water primarily for irrigation.

The water use data collected for the 2011-12 Water Year is summarized on Table 7.1. Total imported supplies plus local production totaled 115,324 acre feet compared to 113,866 acre feet reported in 2010-11. Of that quantity, 44,179 acre feet were used for agriculture; 10,512 acre feet were used for commercial purposes; 48,885 acre feet were used for domestic purposes; 32 acre feet were discharged to Murrieta Creek; 54 acre feet were discharged to Santa Gertrudis Creek; 3,470 acre feet were discharged by Rancho California WD during 2011-12, pursuant to the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA); 2,807 acre feet of fresh water were exported by Camp Pendleton; and 702 acre feet were recharged by Rancho California WD to storage. It is noted, the agriculture use for Pechanga includes 329 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater and thus this amount is double counted on Table 7.1 relative to production from the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Actual agriculture use of production from the Watershed is 43,850 acre feet, reflecting the reduction of 329 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater used by Pechanga. In order for the totals to balance on Table 7.1, the 329 acre feet of reclaimed water is subtracted from the indicated loss for Pechanga as reflected in Footnote 13 for Table 7.1.

The overall system loss was 4,818 acre feet. System gain or loss is the result of many factors including errors in measurement, differences between periods of use and periods of production, leakage and unmeasured uses.

Monthly production and use data for major water purveyors are attached to this report as Appendix A. Uses are listed under agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic categories. The definition of agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic uses varies for the different purveyors in the Watershed. Accordingly, definitions of these uses for major water purveyors are shown on Table 7.2. It is noted that much of the non-agricultural water use in the Watershed can also be considered municipal use, which includes both the domestic and commercial uses shown in tables in this report. Similar data for Water Years 1966-2012 are summarized in tables presented in Appendix B. Appendix C presents information on substantial users outside purveyor service areas.

7.2 Water Purveyors

Anza Mutual Water Company

Anza Mutual Water Company's service area is in the eastern part of the Watershed in the Anza Valley. Production is from two wells: Well No. 1 drilled in 1951 and perforated from 20 feet to 260 feet; and Well No. 2 drilled later to a depth of 287 feet and perforated in the bottom 130 feet. Production for 2011-12 was 26.22 acre feet from Well No. 1 as shown on Appendix Table A-11. Well No. 2 was not in use for 2011-12. Water levels in Well No. 1 declined 35 feet from last year.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TABLE 7.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

	PR	ODUCTION				USE			
	WELL/ SURFACE	IMPORT	TOTAL	AG	СОММ	DOM	LOSS	TOTAL	WATER RIGHT
WATER PURVEYORS									-
Anza Mutual Water Company	26	0	26	0	0	23	3 1/	26	Appropriative
Eastern MWD	0	15,063	15,063	96	3,137	11,076	754	15,063	Appropriative
Elsinore Valley MWD	0	7,398	7,398 ^{12/}	27	1,723	5,426	222	7,398	
Fallbrook PUD	0	7,254	7,254	4,261	337	2,060	596	7,254	Appropriative
Lake Riverside Estates	310	• 0	310	0	310 ^{2/}	0	0	310	Appropriative
Metropolitan Water District	0	466	466	466	0 3/	0	0	466	*
Murrieta Division of Western MW	750	1,371	2,121	250	340	1,418	113	2,121	Appropriative
Rainbow MWD	0	1,892	1,892	1,602	8/	118	172	1,892	
Rancho California WD	24,658 ^{4/}	41,900 ^{5/}	66,558	28,656 ^{6/}	4,217	26,604	7,081 7/	66,558	Various
U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton	4,676	0	4,676	0		1,682	2,994 ^{1/9/}	4,676	Appropriative/
									Riparian
U.S. Naval Weapons Station	0	48	48	0	8/	44	4 ^{1/}	48	
Western MWD Improvement Dist	0	48	48	0	43	0	5 ^{1/}	48	
Through Rancho California WD									
INDIAN RESERVATIONS									
Cahuilla	52	0	52	0		52	0	52	Overlying/Reserved
Pechanga	846	0	846	513	405	215	(287) ^{13/}	846	Overlying/Reserved
SMALL WATER SYSTEMS									
Quiet Oaks Mobile Home Park	23	0	23	8	0	13		23	Riparian/Overlying
Outdoor Resorts	506	0	506	429	0	69	8 ^{1/}	506	Overlying
Jojoba Hills SKP Resort	69	0	69	0	0	62	7 1/	69	Overlying
Hawthorn Water System	26	0	26	0	0	23	3 1/	26	Appropriative
OTHER SUBSTANTIAL USERS	7,942 ^{10/}	0	7,942	7,871	0	0	71 ^{11/}	7,942	
TOTAL	39,884	75,440	115,324	44,179	10,512	48,885	11,748 ^{14/}	115,324	

1/ Assumes 10% system loss.

2/ Recreational Use.

3/ Construction use at Diamond Valley Lake.

4/ Includes 24,942 AF production from Older Alluvium minus 284 AF exported to the San Mateo Watershed.

5/ Includes 24,282 AF direct use; 14,643 AF direct recharge; 3,470 AF from MWD WR-34; and minus 495 AF export.

6/ Includes 22,871 AF Ag, and 5,785 AF Ag/Domestic.

7/ Includes 32 AF discharged into Murrieta Creek; 54 AF discharged into Santa Gertrudis Creek; 3,470 AF discharged into Santa Margarita River from MWD WR-34; 0 AF from System River Meter; 152 AF from potable connection to WR-34 outlet pipe and 702 AF of import remaining in storage; and a system loss of 2,671 AF.

8/ Listed with Domestic use.

9/ Includes exports of 2,807 acre feet.

10/ Includes 712 AF for surface diversion plus 7,282 AF from groundwater as shown in Appendix C, minus 52 AF on the Cahuilla Reservation.

11/ Loss is equal to 10% of surface diversions.

12/ Sales figures.

13/ Includes a system loss of 42 acre feet, minus 329 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater from EMWD, accounted for on Table A-1. See Table A-5 for Pechanga production and use.

14/ Includes an overall system loss of 4,818 AF.

TABLE 7.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED DEFINITIONS OF WATER USE BY MUNICIPAL WATER PURVEYORS

2011-12

DISTRICT	AGRICULTURAL	DOMESTIC	COMMERCIAL
EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	Row crops, sod farms, dairies, horse ranches and other miscellaneous agricultural users	Single family and multi- family residential connections	All other usage including commercial, industrial, institutional, golf courses, landscaping, temporary and construction
ELSINORE VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	Delivery of water for agricultural purposes in growing or raising for commerce, trade or industry or for use by public eduational or correctional institutions	Delivery of water to single family residential customers in single, detached residential units	Delivery of water to multi-family residential units, commercial, industrial establishments, cities, political sub-divisions or quasi- governmental associations
FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	AG - A commercial enterprise producing a crop/livestock/fowl on at least 1 acre fully used for ag purposes; can include incidental domestic use related to residency AG/DOM - Water used for both ag and domestic purposes	Single family, multi-unit and large domestic residences and the first 20,000 gallons used by an ag/domestic meter	Offices, businesses, schools and hydrants
PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION	Irrigation, including water used for golf course, parks, grass areas, and landscaping	Residential	Resort, on-Reservation businesses, tribal facilities
RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	AG- 1 acre or more of plantable, resalable products DOM/AG - Same as Ag with a house on the parcel	DOMESTIC - Homes	Generally no commercial use in district
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT	AG - 1 acre or more of plantable, resalable products GOLF - Outside water use at golf courses VINEYARDS - Outside irrigation for vineyards	DOMESTIC - Homes MULTIPLE - Apartments and Condominiums	COMMERCIAL - Office buildings, industrial users other than agri- businesses FLOATING - Fire hydrants used during construction CONSTRUCTION - Other fire hydrants used for grading
	LANDSCAPE - Landscaping around freeways, parking lots, office buildings, median strips, AG/DOM - First 1600 c.f. for each user alloted to domestic, and the balance to agriculture		LAKE SKINNER - Recreational use at Lake Skinner MISCELLANEOUS - Schools, fire departments, parks, government agencies DETECTOR CK. METERS - Only used when there is a fire
MURRIETA DIVISION OF WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	Agricultural uses and irrigation for crops	Homes and multiple units	Businesses, public agencies, schools and construction
USMC, CAMP PENDLETON	Irrigation - Water used for ag purposes, not landscaping, golf courses or parks	Camp Supply - Includes landscaping, parks and commercial use	Reported under Camp Supply

Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 divides aquifers in Anza Valley at this location into two categories: the shallow aquifer and the deep aquifer. Based on information available to the Court, the shallow aquifer was determined to include the younger and older alluvial deposits in the Anza Groundwater Basin, and extend to a maximum but variable depth of approximately 100 feet. The deep aquifer underlies the shallow aquifer in an area about one-half mile in width and two miles in length, within portions of Sections 16, 17, 21, 22, 27 and 28 of Township 7 South, Range 3 East, SBM. Anza Mutual Water Company's wells are within the area of the deep aquifer. From the perforated intervals in the wells, it may be concluded that most of the production from Well No. 1 and all of the production from Well No. 2 are from the deep aquifer. Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 concluded that waters contained in the deep aquifer did not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system and were, therefore, declared to be outside the Court's jurisdiction.

Accordingly, most of the water produced by the Anza Mutual Water Company is outside the Court's jurisdiction. The relatively small portion pumped from the shallow aquifer in Well No. 1 is pumped under a groundwater appropriative right. Data for Water Years 1989 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-12.

Eastern Municipal Water District

Eastern Municipal Water District is a member agency of Metropolitan Water District and its service area includes a portion of the Rancho California Water District and the Murrieta Division of Western Municipal Water District. Within the Watershed, Eastern MWD wholesales water to those districts and also retails water directly to consumers. Water sold to Rancho California WD and the Murrieta Division of Western MWD is not listed in this report as imported water to Eastern MWD.

Eastern MWD's service area outside Rancho California WD and the Murrieta Division of Western MWD is located in the northern part of the Watershed. Water for Eastern MWD's retail service area is all imported with no groundwater production during 2011-12.

Imports, not including water wholesaled to Rancho California WD or the Murrieta Division of Western MWD or delivered to Elsinore Valley MWD, totaled 16,419 acre feet. A portion of that import, amounting to 1,356 acre feet, was exported from the Santa Margarita River Watershed for delivery to Eastern MWD's retail customers located outside the Watershed, resulting in net import to the Watershed of 15,063 acre feet. These data are shown on Appendix Table A-1.

In addition to importing fresh water, Eastern MWD also reclaims wastewater at its Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility.

Disposition of wastewater from the Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility (TVRWRF) service area for Water Years 2010-11 and 2011-12 is shown below:

	<u>2010-11</u>		<u>2011-12</u>	
<u>Use</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	Percent	<u>Quantity</u>	Percent
	AF	%	AF	%
Reuse in Santa Margarita	2,561	17	2,364	16
Reuse outside Santa Margarita	<u>7,241</u>	<u>_48</u>	<u>8,025</u>	<u>54</u>
Subtotal	9,802	65	10,389	70
Discharge to Dissipater at				
Temescal Creek	2,507	17	285	2
Other	2,633	<u>_18</u>	4,240	<u>_28</u>
TOTAL	14,942	100	14,914	100

It can be noted that the quantities of reclaimed wastewater used within the Santa Margarita River Watershed declined from 2,561 acre feet in 2010-11 to 2,364 acre feet in 2011-12. During the same period, reuse outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed increased from 7,241 acre feet to 8,025 acre feet. From the foregoing, it may be concluded that 16 percent of the wastewater is reused in the Watershed and 54 percent is used outside the Watershed. The quantity of wastewater discharged to the dissipater at Temescal Creek decreased from 2,507 acre feet to 285 acre feet. The Other use increased from 2,633 acre feet to 4,240 acre feet. This Other use includes changes of storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, as well as evaporation and percolation losses.

Due to concerns about the potential export of native Santa Margarita water, the sources of water supply to the TVRWRF service area were determined and are shown on Table 7.3. In 2011-12, 23 percent of the supply to the service area was groundwater. Thus, the percent of groundwater supply was greater than the percentage of wastewater reused within the Santa Margarita Watershed, and on a proportional basis there was some export of native waters.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER DELIVERIES TO TEMECULA VALLEY REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY SERVICE AREA	2009 2010 2011 2012 AF % AF % AF % AF %	0 0 14,472 13,552 14,392 15,063 14,472 13,552 14,392 15,063	8,579 R 8,641 R 9,774 R 7,902 12,260 R 10,755 R 8,770 R 11,462 20,839 R 19,396 R 18,544 R 19,364	4% 8,579 R 24.3% 8,641 R 26.2% 9,774 R 29.7% 7,902 23.0% <u>6% 26,732 R 75.7% 24,307 R 73.8% 23,162 R 70.3% 26,525 77.0%</u> 0% 35,311 100.0% 32,948 100.0% 32,936 100.0% 34,427 100.0%	EMWD imports are based on discharges from EM-17. Based on the ratio of groundwater to total production in Rancho Division of RCWD. Groundwater includes Vail Lake recovery under Permit 7032. Based on ratio of import to total production in Rancho Division of RCWD. Total RCWD deliveries in TVRWRF Service Area. Revised
	2010 AF	0 13,552 13,552		24.3% 8,641 R 75.7% 24,307 R 100.0% 32,948 1	າວ Division of RCWD. Groundwate າ of RCWD.
	%	0 14,952 14,952 14,472 14,952	8,310 R 8,579 F 13,821 R 12,260 F 22,131 R 20,839 F	22.4% 77.6% 2 100.0% 3	EMWD imports are based on discharges from EM-17. Based on the ratio of groundwater to total production in Rancho Division includes Vail Lake recovery under Permit 7032. Based on ratio of import to total production in Rancho Division of RCWD. Total RCWD deliveries in TVRWRF Service Area. Revised
	2008 Eastern MWD AF	F Service rea dwater 1/	Rancho California WD TVRWRF Service Area 1. Groundwater 2/8 2. Import 3/13 3. Total 4/22	Total Deliveries to TVRWRF Service Area1. Groundwater8,310 R2. Import28,773 R3. Total37,083	 EMWD imports are based on discharges from EM-17. Based on the ratio of groundwater to total production includes Vail Lake recovery under Permit 7032. Based on ratio of import to total production in Rancho 4/ Total RCWD deliveries in TVRWRF Service Area. R - Revised

TABLE 7.3

59

On August 4, 2009, a Judgment was entered in *United States of America and Fallbrook Public Utility District v. Eastern Municipal Water District and Rancho California Water District* (CV 04-8182 CBM (RNBx), United States District Court, Central District of California) pertaining to the contractual obligations of the 1990 Four Party Agreement and the export of treated wastewater from the Santa Margarita River Watershed. On May 17, 2011, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued an Order granting the parties' joint motion to dismiss the appeals in this matter and thus the August 4, 2009 Judgment stands. For purposes of this annual report the export of treated wastewater will be reported consistent with prior annual reports with no changes pursuant to the Judgment. The Watermaster will reevaluate the calculations and reporting to be included in future annual reports.

Estimates of water production and use for the period 1966 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-1.

Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District

Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District provides water to its service area around Lake Elsinore, a portion of which is within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Elsinore Valley MWD obtains its supply from ten wells, all located outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed, and also imports Metropolitan Water District water through Eastern MWD and Western MWD.

As shown on Appendix Table A-2, Elsinore Valley MWD reports that 7,398 acre feet of imported water were delivered in the portion of its service area that is inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed in 2011-12. Also during 2011-12, 1,205 acre feet of wastewater were exported from that same area.

Production and use for the period 1966 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-2.

Fallbrook Public Utility District

In 2011-12, Fallbrook Public Utility District imported 12,579 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-3. In 2011-12 Fallbrook PUD there were no diversions from Lake Skinner, under Permit No. 11356, resulting in a total district-wide production of 12,579 acre feet. In addition, Fallbrook PUD has three wells within the Santa Margarita River Watershed; however, in 2011-12, there was no production from these wells. The Fallbrook PUD service area is located in both the San Luis Rey River and Santa Margarita River watersheds. The total production for the Santa Margarita River Watershed as shown on Appendix Table A-3 is 7,254 acre feet, or about 58 percent of the total district-wide production.

In 2011-12, Fallbrook PUD treated 958 acre feet of wastewater from areas served within the Watershed, of which 21 acre feet were reused in the Watershed, and the remainder was exported. The wastewater production and distribution for 2011-12 is shown on Appendix Table A-3.

Production during the period 1966 to 2012 included direct diversions from the Santa Margarita River prior to 1972, as well as imported water and well production, as shown in Appendix B. During Water Year 2010-11, Fallbrook PUD revised its reporting methods for both water production and wastewater operations. The historical water production and use for the period 1966 through 2010 are provided on Appendix Table B-3.1 reflecting prior reporting methods, particularly previous estimates associated with the Deluz portion of the service area. It is noted, on Appendix Table B-3.1 that production and use values for 2005, 2006, 2008 and 2010 have been revised to correct double counting of Lake Skinner deliveries as reported in prior annual reports for the indicated years. Appendix Table B-3.2 is provided to show the current water production and use reflecting the revised reporting methods. The revised reporting methods include metered deliveries for the reported uses within the Watershed and application of a district-wide loss factor.

The Fallbrook PUD wastewater production and distribution for the period 1966 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-4.

Lake Riverside Estates

Lake Riverside Estates pumps water from Well No. 7S/2E-32C1, into Lake Riverside to replace evaporation losses. Production for 2011-12 was 310 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-11. The production well was drilled in 1962 and is located in an area of younger alluvium in the Cahuilla Groundwater Basin. The well was drilled to a depth of 338 feet.

Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 indicates that the owners of lands in the Cahuilla Groundwater Basin have correlative overlying rights to the use of the groundwater that is the basis for this production. Data for 1989 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-12.

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Pursuant to a Court Order, Metropolitan Water District (MWD) imported 466 acre feet of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed for irrigation of lands in Domenigoni Valley. MWD did not import any water for groundwater recharge and there was no water used for construction purposes. As previously noted, the groundwater in the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin is outside this Court's jurisdiction when groundwater levels are below elevation 1400 feet. This production is shown on Appendix Table A-4, and production for the period 1966 to 2012 is shown on Appendix Table B-5.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Rainbow Municipal Water District

Rainbow Municipal Water District is located in San Diego County in the south-central part of the Watershed. In 2011-12, the District imported a total of 21,152 acre feet of water as shown on Appendix Table B-7. However, most of the District is in the San Luis Rey River Watershed and only about nine percent of the District's imported supply was delivered to the portion of their service area inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed. As shown on Appendix Table A-6, total deliveries of imported water in the Santa Margarita River Watershed in 2011-12 amounted to 1,892 acre feet.

The import production for years between 1966 and 2012 is shown on Appendix Table B-7.

Rancho California Water District

Rancho California Water District serves water to a 99,600 acre service area in the central portion of the Watershed. The District produced water from 47 wells in 2011-12 and also imported water, as shown on Appendix Table A-7. Use is shown under the categories of agriculture, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic. In Water Year 2011-12, well production of native water included 24,942 acre feet from the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area comprised of pumping from the older alluvium. A portion of the groundwater amounting to 284 acre feet was exported for use in the San Mateo Watershed, resulting in a net well production of 24,658 acre feet.

Import supplies totaled 42,395 acre feet of which 24,282 acre feet were used for direct use; 14,643 acre feet were recharged; and 3,470 acre feet were discharged by the District to the Santa Margarita River from MWD Meter WR-34 during 2011-12, pursuant to the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA). A portion of that import amounting to 495 acre feet were exported from the Santa Margarita River Watershed, resulting in net import to the Watershed of 41,900 acre feet.

During 2011-12, use totaled 66,558 acre feet including 22,871 acre feet by agriculture; 5,785 acre feet by ag/domestic; 4,217 acre feet by commercial; 26,604 acre feet by domestic; 3,708 acre feet were released into Murrieta Creek, Santa Gertrudis Creek and the Santa Margarita River; 702 acre feet of import were recharged to storage; and 2,671 acre feet were system loss.

In 2011-12, Rancho California WD did not export wastewater from the Watershed to the dissipater at Temescal Creek in the Santa Ana Watershed.

Rancho California WD produces groundwater under a variety of rights as follows:

- 1. Recovery of water appropriated at Vail Lake
- 2. Recovery of import return flows and recharged imported water
- 3. Groundwater appropriative rights
- 4. As agent on behalf of overlying landowners

Vail Appropriation

Rancho California WD's Vail Dam appropriative rights are described in Application No. 11518 as amended on June 17, 1947, and in Permit 7032 originally issued on February 18, 1948. Permit 7032 was subsequently amended on July 28, 1971, and April 22, 2009. The water right provides that the District may store up to 40,000 acre feet in Vail Lake each year between November 1 and April 30, subject to applicable limitations. The water so stored may be used for recreational uses at Vail Lake and municipal, domestic, industrial, and irrigation uses within the entire service area of Rancho California WD. Such uses may be by direct diversion from Vail Lake or by recovery of water released from Vail Lake and spread downstream in Pauba Valley. Points of re-diversion for recovery from underground storage are permitted for 12 production wells: Rancho California WD Well Nos. 109, 110, 123, 132, 152, 153, 157, 158, 210, 232, 233, and 234.

As shown on Table 3.3, there were no releases from Vail Lake during 2011-12 for groundwater recharge. Releases from Vail Lake for groundwater recharge for the period 1980 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-8.

Permit 7032 operations for 2011-12 are summarized on Table 7.4. The recovery from groundwater recharge for 2011-12 was zero corresponding to the amount released from Vail Lake for recharge. The negative five acre feet shown as a release to groundwater recharge corresponds to five acre feet of required bypass flows that remained in storage at the end of September 2012. The value of five acre feet was subtracted from the Vail Recharge Account balance as shown on Table 7.4.

It is noted, with the issuance of the amended Permit 7032 in 2009, the place of use, purposes of use, and permitted points of re-diversion were changed. Accordingly, the reporting of Permit 7032 operations needs to be modified to reflect the changed permit conditions. Table 7.4 was modified in 2009 to reflect the changes subject to further refinement as part of the update of the CWRMA groundwater model. The reporting on Table 7.4 reflects the assumption that all water released from Vail Lake for recharge is recovered from the younger alluvium by pumping from the permitted recovery wells. The remainder of the pumping from the younger alluvium is apportioned to direct import recharge. The updated groundwater model will be used to develop a refined accounting methodology for recharge and recovery of Vail Lake releases and imported water. The updated model will also be used to evaluate the status of and accounting for the Vail Recharge Account and the Imported Water Carryover Account.

TABLE 7.4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT PERMIT 7032 OPERATIONS 2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

Diversion to Storage in Vail Lake ^{1/}		2,058
Release to Groundwater Storage ^{1/}		(5)
Recovery from Groundwater Storage ^{2/ 3/ 4/}		
Younger Alluvium Older Alluvium Total	0 0	0
Vail Recharge Account Balance from 2010-11		54,297
Release minus Recovery		(5)
Vail Recharge Account Balance for 2011-12		54,292

1/ See Table 3.3.

- 2/ Permitted Points of Re-Diversion RCWD Well Nos. 109, 110, 123, 132, 152, 153, 157, 158, 210, 232, 233, and 234.
- 3/ Total pumping from Vail recovery wells is greater than amount shown as recovered under Permit 7032. Total pumping from the 12 recovery wells shown on Table 7.8.
- 4/ At end of September 2012 on water year basis, Release to Groundwater Storage balance shows negative 5 acre feet. Thus, Recovery from Groundwater Storage is set equal to zero and all pumping from the 12 recovery wells is allocated to recovered recharge of imported water.

Imported Water Return Flows

Return flows for 2011-12, based on imported water use in the Rancho Division and Santa Rosa Division are shown on Tables 7.5 and Table 7.6, respectively.

In the following tables, imported water is allocated to agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic uses in each of eight hydrogeologic areas in the Rancho Division service area and three hydrogeologic areas in the Santa Rosa Division service area. This allocation is the proportion of the total deliveries to each use that is made up of imported water. For 2011-12, 58.95 percent of the supply to the Rancho Division was imported and 61.56 percent of the supply to the Santa Rosa Division was imported.

In general the Santa Rosa Division does not overlie the groundwater area. However there are several areas classified as being in the Santa Rosa Division that do overlie the groundwater area and generate return flows from imported supplies. Data from most of these lands have been reported since December 1991.

The percentage of imported water that becomes return flow varies according to the use as follows:

Agricultural Use	25%
Ag/Domestic Use	25%
Commercial Use	10%
Domestic Use	25%

Based on the foregoing factors, the total return flow credit for 2011-12 is computed to be 4,800.22 acre feet for the Rancho Division and 318.27 acre feet for the Santa Rosa Division, as shown on Tables 7.5 and 7.6, respectively.

Some of the hydrogeologic areas overlie older alluvium and some overlie younger alluvium. Comparison of exposures of younger alluvium with maps of the District's hydrogeologic areas indicates that the Santa Gertrudis, Pauba and half of the Murrieta-Wolf areas overlie younger alluvium. The area of the Santa Rosa Division that overlies the groundwater area is one-fourth in the younger alluvium and three-fourths in the older alluvium. Import return flows in these areas can be credited against pumping from the younger alluvium. These credits for 2011-12 are 984.83 acre feet for the Rancho Division and 79.57 acre feet for the Santa Rosa Division, as shown on Tables 7.5 and 7.6, respectively.

Rancho California WD imported an additional 14,643 acre feet of water for groundwater recharge in 2011-12, of which 13,941 acre feet were recovered.

TABLE 7.5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED **RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT RETURN FLOW CREDIT** 2011-12 **RANCHO DIVISION**

Quantities in Acre Feet

HYDROGEOLOGIC AREAS

	0 NO HYDRO- GEO CODE	1 MURRIETA WOLF 1/2 QYAL 1/2 QTOAL	2 SANTA GERTRUDIS QYAL	3 LOWER MESA QTOAL	4 PAUBA QYAL	5 SOUTH MESA QTOAL	6 UPPER MESA QTOAL	7 PALOMAR QTOAL	TOTAL
AGRICULTURAL *									
Total Use	951.60	858.99	566.23	2,171.86	262.12	636.03	736.12	762.73	6,945.68
% Import	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	
Import Use	560.98	506.38	333.80	1,280.33	154.52	374.95	433.95	449.64	4,094.53
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	140.24	126.59	83.45	320.08	38.63	93.74	108.49	112.41	1,023.63
AG/DOMESTIC									
Total Use	564.97	33.56	0.00	32.95	428.71	30.36	491.63	180.96	1,763.15
% Import	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	
Import Use	333.06	19.78	0.00	19.42	252.73	17.90	289.82	106.68	1,039.39
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	83.26	4.95	0.00	4.86	63.18	4.47	72.46	26.67	259.85
COMMERCIAL									
Total Use	320.51	1,302.85	854.60	686.13	215.33	111.06	68.70	14.94	3,574.12
% Import	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	
Import Use	188.95	768.04	503.79	404.48	126.94	65.47	40.50	8.80	2,106.97
% Credit	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Credit	18.89	76.80	50.38	40.45	12.69	6.55	4.05	0.88	210.70
DOMESTIC									
Total Use	1,033.78	2,519.16	2,398.97	10,370.85	631.97	3,628.85	1,420.13	428.85	22,432.56
% Import	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	58.95	
Import Use	609.42	1,485.06	1,414.21	6,113.69	372.55	2,139.23	837.18	252.81	13,224.17
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	152.36	371.27	353.55	1,528.42	93.14	534.81	209.29	63.20	3,306.04
TOTAL USE	2,870.87	4,714.55	3,819.80	13,261.78	1,538.14	4,406.30	2,716.58	1,387.49	34,715.51
TOTAL									
Total Import Use	1,692.40	2,779.26	2,251.80	7,817.92	906.74	2,597.55	1,601.44	817.93	20,465.06
Total Credit	394.76 **		487.38	1,893.81	207.64	639.57	394.29	203.16	4,800.22
Total Credit Qyal		289.81	487.38		207.64				984.83
Total Credit Qtoa		289.81		1,893.81		639.57	394.29	203.16	3,420.63

* Includes golf course and landscape irrigation ** This credit not applied to either Qyal or Qtoal

TABLE 7.6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT RETURN FLOW CREDIT 2011-12 SANTA ROSA DIVISION

Quantities in Acre Feet

	HYDRO	DGEOLOGIC AREAS		
	1	3	8	
	MURRIETA	LOWER	RTS 279,	TOTAL
	WOLF	MESA	280 & 285	
	1/2 QYAL	QTOAL	1/4 QYAL	
	1/2 QTOAL		3/4 QTOAL	
AGRICULTURAL *				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	523.95	523.95
% Import	61.56	61.56	61.56	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	322.53	322.53
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	80.63	80.63
AG/DOMESTIC				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% Import	61.56	61.56	61.56	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
COMMERCIAL				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	547.98	547.98
% Import	61.56	61.56	61.56	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	337.33	337.33
% Credit	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	33.73	33.73
DOMESTIC				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	1,324.93	1,324.93
% Import	61.56	61.56	61.56	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	815.60	815.60
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	203.90	203.90
TOTAL USE	0.00	0.00	2,396.86	2,396.86

TOTAL Total Import Lion	0.00	0.00	1,475.46	1,475.46
Total Import Use		0.00	318.27	318.27
Total Credit	0.00	0.00	79.57	318.27 79.57
Total Credit Qyal Total Credit Qtoal	0.00 0.00	0.00	238.70	238.70
	0.00	0.00	2.00.10	200.70

* Includes golf course and landscape irrigation

Division of Local Water

During 2011-12, Rancho California WD pumped 39,060 acre feet of groundwater, comprised of 25,119 acre feet of local water and 13,941 acre feet of recovered imported water. Some of this water was pumped from the younger alluvium and some from the older alluvium. The Court determined that water in both the younger alluvium and older alluvium adds to, contributes to and supports the Santa Margarita River stream system. The primary reason for differentiating between younger alluvium and older alluvium production is that, in California, production from the younger alluvium is generally considered to be governed by water rights that apply to the regulation of surface waters. Production from the older alluvium is generally considered to be governed by regulations that apply to groundwater. Of the 25,119 acre feet of local water, 177 acre feet were delivered to the Pechanga Indian Reservation under the terms of the Wolf Valley Groundwater Management Agreement. This production is shown on Appendix Table A-5.

During joint development of a groundwater model of the area it was necessary to develop estimates of the transmissivity for each aquifer. These estimates were based on pumping tests. The resulting transmissivity values were then used to estimate the relative groundwater production from each aquifer. For Rancho California WD wells, the percent production estimated to originate in the younger alluvium is shown on Table 7.7.

Production from the younger alluvium and older alluvium for 2011-12, using the percentages noted on Table 7.7 is presented on Table 7.8. It may be noted that 13,941 acre feet were pumped from the younger alluvium and 25,119 acre feet were pumped from the older alluvium in 2011-12.

The production of 13,941 acre feet from the younger alluvium, as shown on Table 7.8 is the recovery of 13,941 acre feet of direct import recharge. Rancho California WD imported 14,643 acre feet of water in 2011-12 for direct recharge, of which 13,941 acre feet were recovered leaving 702 acre feet as unrecovered direct recharge.

Imported water carryover to 2011-12 includes the following:

		<u>AF</u>
1.	Carryover from 2010-11	59,523
2.	Unrecovered direct recharge in 2011-12	702
3.	Import Return Flow Credit for 2011-12	<u> 1,065</u>
4.	Total Carryover to 2012-13	61,290

Thus, the Imported Water Carryover Account balance of 61,290 acre feet remains available to offset younger alluvium production in future years.

TABLE 7.7

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED PERCENT PRODUCTION FROM YOUNGER ALLUVIUM IN RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT WELLS

	LOCATION TOWNSHIP/ RANGE/ SECTION	SEAL DEPTH FEET	PERFORATED INTERVAL FEET	DEPTH YOUNGER ALLUVIUM FEET	PERCENT YOUNGER ALLUVIUM %		REMARKS
106	7S/3W-26R1	55	130-210; 250-310; 340- 440; 700-740; 780-980	0	0.0%	Murrieta	No. 108 Winchester, clay 0'-40'
107	7S/3W-26J1	55	60-120; 190-260; 280- 300; 390-590	58	0.0%	Murrieta	No. 105 - gravel & clay 58'-84'
108	7S/3W-25E1		60-110; 190-280; 350- 410; 430-450; 470-490;	55	0.0%	Murrieta	Formerly No. 109 gravel/sandy clay 55'-70'
109	8S/2W-17J1	52	70-150; 170-210	75	84.0%		Brown clay and gravel 75' to 105'
110	8S/1W-6K1	54	75-155	165	97.0%		Clay 165'-190'. Prior to 10/23/97 perf int. 70-150; 200-240; 320-380; 420-
113	7S/2W-25H1	52	96-136; 275-462; 482-	Shallow	0.0%		
116	8S/1W-6J		60-120; 140-200; 220- 260; 270-330; 370-390	150	94.0%		Clay 150'-170'
119	8S/2W-19J	55	170-260; 300-470		0.0%	Wolf Valley	Perforated below 170'
123	8S/1W-7B	55	100-260; 300-380; 420-	135	65.0%		Brown Sand Clay 135'-210'
129	7S/2W-20L			Shallow	0.0%	Santa Gertrudis Creek	Qyal very shallow along Santa Gertrudis Creek
132	8S/1W-7D	55	70-390; 430-500	135	82.0%		Brown Clay Streaks 135'-175'
135	7S/3W-27M10	55	70-170	50	0.0%	Murrieta Valley	Silty clay 50'-69'
141	8S/2W-11P	55	120-190; 215-235; 270- 380; 430-510	104	0.0%		Silt & sand 104'-185'; Well 11L1 is 112'
144	7S/3W-27D	55	983-1123; 1143-1283; 1343-1483; 1503-1743	25	0.0%	Murrieta Valley	Sand with silty clay 25'-45'
146	7S/3W-28	50	50-190	42	0.0%	Murrieta	
152	8S/1W-5K	50	70-470; 490-540	130	90.8%		Forebay
153	8S/1W-5K3	50	50-220	170	99.0%		Forebay
157	8S/1W-5L	50	50-210	128	96.8%		Forebay
158	8S/1W-5K	50	50-210	100	96.5%		Forebay
205	78/3W-35A	50	150-1000	10	0.0%	Santa Gertrudis/ Murrieta Valley	Sandy clay 10'-20'
210	8S/2W-12K	None	48-228	140	94.0%		Clay cobblestones 160'-167', 175'-
218	8S/2W-20B5	27	48-289	40	0.0%		Old 28; clay with sand layer 40'-60'; now monitoring wells 427, 428 and
466	8S/3W-1P2	Unknown	106-822	49	0.0%	Long Canyon	Old 219, Cantarini, hard clay 49'-60'
220	7S/3W-26Q1	34	114-450	58	0.0%	Long Ganyon	Clay 58' - 73'
467	8S/2W-12K1		50-100; 100-140	140	100.0%		Old 221, JK, Exh. 16, Monitoring well since 1983
223	8S/2W-20C1	Unknown	48-250	60	94.0%	Wolf Valley	CAT Well; east of Wildomar Fault; nearby Exh 16 wells 17Q @62' & 17M @55' are also east of Wildomar
224	8S/2W-15D	Unknown	48-250	106	68.0%		Old Well 50, clay 106'-138'
230	8S/2W-11J1		24-31; 32.5-34; 35-40; 61-65; 70-76; 80-85; 86.5-91; 92.5-98.5	>119	100.0%		Old Well 30, depth of well is 119
231	8S/2W-20B6	55	80-120; 150-270	35	0.0%		Old 104, P-34, Clay 20'-23'; 35'-41'; East of Wildomar Fault
232	8S/2W-11J3	51	95-135; 175-215; 235- 295	135	92.0%		Old 111, 105, P-31; coarse sand & clay 135' - 155'
233	8S/2W-12K2	51	95-135; 175-215; 235-	145	88.0%		Old 112, P32; sand and clay at 145'-
234	8S/2W-11P1	52	80-100; 120-140; 200- 240; 280-320; 340-400	125	74.0%		Brown Clay at 125'; sand and clay at 125'-140'
235	8S/3W-1Q1	55	Unknown	Shallow	0.0%	Long Canyon	
	8S/2W-11L1	Unknown		112	86.0%		Old Well No. 40; clay 112'-136'
240	00/200-1111						

TABLE 7.8

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT WELL PRODUCTION FROM YOUNGER AND OLDER ALLUVIUM

2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

VELL NO.	QYAL	QTOAL	TOTAL
101	0.00	743.00	743.00
102	0.00	68.00	68.00
106	0.00	253.00	253.00
108	0.00	529.00	529.00
10 9	498.96	95.04	594.00
110	1,163.03	35.97	1,199.00
113	0.00	512.00	512.00
118	0.00	527.00	527.00
119 *	0.00	510.00	510.00
120	0.00	1,675.00	1,675.00
121	0.00	0.00	0.00
122 *	0.00	346.00	346.00
123	63.05	33.95	97.00
124	0.00	355.00	355.00
125	0.00	0.00	0.00
126	0.00	814.00	814.00
128	0.00	0.00	0.00
129	0.00	0.00	0.00
130	0.00	1,155.00	1,155.00
131	0.00	1,099.00	1,099.00
132	1,088.14	238.86	1,327.00
133	0.00	278.00	278.00
135	0.00	21.00	21.00
138	0.00	1,922.00	1,922.00
139	0.00	955.00	955.00 846.00
140	0.00	846.00	455.00
141	0.00	455.00	
143	0.00	546.00	546.00 469.00
144	0.00	469.00	254.00
145	0.00	254.00	
146	0.00	40.00	40.00 351.00
149	0.00	351.00	658.00
151	0.00	658.00	
152	1,719.75	174.25	1,894.00
153	1,831.50	18.50	1,850.00
155	0.00	8.00	8.00
156	0.00	642.00	642.00
157	2,240.92	74.08	2,315.00 2,146.00
158	2,070.89	75.11	2,140.00
201	0.00	0.00	556.00
203	0.00	556.00	1,795.00
205	0.00	1,795.00	-
207	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00
208	0.00	0.00	0.0
209	0.00	0.00	713.0
210	670.22	42.78	346.0
211*	0.00	346.00	111.0
215	0.00	111.00	116.0
216	0.00	116.00	
217	0.00	878.00	878.0
231	0.00	0.00	0.0
232	1,006.48	87.52	1,094.0
233	1,391.28	189.72	1,581.0
234	196.84	69.16	266.0
235	0.00	1,459.00	1,459.0
301	0.00	0.00	0.0
302	0.00	0.00	0.0
309	0.00	2,692.00	2,692.0
TOTAL	13,941.06	25,118.94	39,060.00

* A portion of 1,202 AF of water from Wells 119, 122 and 211 was delivered to Pechanga Indian Reservation for their use.

Western Municipal Water District

Western Municipal Water District operations within the Watershed are comprised of three categories. First, Western MWD wholesales imported water to Rancho California WD. Deliveries to Rancho California WD are included under Rancho California WD. Second, Western MWD serves water to its Murrieta Division in the vicinity of the City of Murrieta. Third, Western MWD serves imported water to its Improvement District A near the southern boundary of Riverside County along the I-15 freeway. Improvement District A is operated by Rancho California WD under an operations and maintenance contract on behalf of Western MWD.

Murrieta Division

In November 2005, Western MWD merged with the Murrieta County Water District assuming their operations in an area in the vicinity of the City of Murrieta. Prior Watermaster Reports present information under Murrieta County Water District.

All of the Murrieta Division of Western MWD wells are located in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Interlocutory Judgment No. 30 indicates the younger alluvium deposits in Murrieta Valley extend in various depths to a maximum of approximately 30 feet from the ground surface.

The Court noted that it was impossible, based on evidence available in 1962, to determine with exactness the depth of the younger alluvial deposits throughout the Valley. However, the Court did retain continuing jurisdiction so that subsequent findings could be made, if needed. Older alluvial deposits are found below the younger alluvium.

Six of the seven Murrieta Division wells are perforated at depths of 106 feet or more. The Holiday Well has perforations beginning at a depth of 60 feet, which is well below the maximum depth of younger alluvium found by the Court in 1962. In addition, water depths in the Holiday Well rose to around 65 feet in 2011-12, and there has been no production from the Holiday Well since March 2006. Accordingly, all of Murrieta Division well production is from the older alluvium under a groundwater appropriative right.

In Water Year 2011-12, the Murrieta Division of Western MWD produced 719 acre feet of water from the North Well and 10 acre feet from the South Well. In addition, in September 2012, Western MWD brought the New Clay Well back online and produced 21 acre feet from the newly renovated well. Western MWD imported 1,371 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-10.

Well <u>Designation</u>	Well <u>Name</u>	2011-12 Production <u>Acre Feet</u>	Casing Depth <u>Feet</u>	Water Depth <u>Feet</u>	Well Depth <u>Feet</u>	Perforated Interval <u>Feet</u>
7S/3W-20	New Clay	21	101	220 – 252	940	330 - 350 370 - 470 680 - 790 830 - 900
7S/3W-20C9	Holiday	0	25	59 – 71	307	60 – 307
7S/3W-20G5	House	0	50	*	298	120 – 252
7S/3W-17R2	Lynch	0	26	70 – 80	212	172 – 212
7S/3W-18J2	North	719	50	270 – 280	650	240 – 260 500 – 640
7S/3W-20D	South	10	50	175 – 223	446	120 – 446
7S/3W-7M	Alson	0	50	*	416	106 – 416
TOTAL		750				

The following table itemizes the production from the Murrieta Division wells:

* Water levels not measured during Water Year 2011-12

Western MWD's Murrieta Division production for the period 1966 to 2012 is shown on Appendix Table B-11.

Improvement District A

In Water Year 2011-12, imports to Improvement District A amounted to approximately 48 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-11. Deliveries to Improvement District A through turnout WR-13 for the period 1966 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-12.

U. S. Marine Corps - Camp Pendleton

Camp Pendleton is located on the coastal side of the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Water was provided by 10 wells that produced 4,676 acre feet in Water Year 2011-12. This production is from the younger alluvium and is based on riparian and appropriative rights. In 2011-12, there was no agricultural use and 4,676 acre feet were used for Camp Supply. Camp Supply includes domestic and commercial uses as well as irrigation for landscaping and park areas. Camp Pendleton water use is located both inside and outside the Watershed. A total of 1,869 acre feet were used inside the Watershed and 2,807 acre feet were exported to areas of the Base outside the Watershed. The production and use of water for Camp Pendleton are shown on Appendix Table A-8.

Beginning in December 2008, all wastewater for Camp Pendleton is treated at the Southern Region Tertiary Treatment Plant replacing the regional treatment Plant Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 13. On March 11, 2009, the Regional Water Quality Control Board issued Order No. R9-2009-0021 for a Master Reclamation Permit for the Camp Pendleton Southern Region Tertiary Treatment Plant. Wastewater effluent is discharged to either: (1) approved areas for use of reclaimed water for irrigation purposes; or (2) the Oceanside Outfall under NPDES Permit No. CA0109347, Order No. R9-2003-0155, and Order No. R9-2008-0096. The approved areas for use of reclaimed water are located both within and outside the Watershed. In Water Year 2011-12, the total amount of reclaimed wastewater for Camp Pendleton was 2,788 acre feet as shown on Appendix Table A-8. A total of 393 acre feet were reclaimed for irrigation purposes entirely for use outside the Watershed. An additional 2,395 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater were exported by Camp Pendleton to the Oceanside Outfall.

Production and estimated use inside and outside the Watershed, as well as wastewater reclamation and use, are shown in Appendix B for the period 1966 to 2012. It is noted, the format and reporting shown on Appendix Table B-9 were changed for the Annual Watermaster Report for Water Year 2008-09. Prior reports show for the period 1966 through 2003 reclaimed use inside the Watershed reported as recharged wastewater from ponds and recharge areas. In addition, the prior reports distinguished the source of the recharged wastewater between wastewater treated within or outside the Watershed at the various regional treatment plants. The format and reporting for Water Year 2011-12, on Appendix Tables A-8 and B-9, reflect current and anticipated operations for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, the prior format is obsolete and the reader is directed to prior reports from 2008, and earlier, for additional information regarding historical wastewater operations.

U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex

The U. S. Naval Weapons Station (NWS) occupies about 9,148 acres northeast of Camp Pendleton. Since 1969, the NWS has relied on imported water delivered via Fallbrook PUD for its supply. Wastewater is exported from the NWS, Fallbrook Public Utility District and the Watershed via an outfall line maintained by Fallbrook PUD with an easement across Camp Pendleton. In 2011-12, 48 acre feet were imported of which nine acre feet of wastewater were exported, as shown on Appendix Table A-9. Imports and use for the period 1966 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-10.

7.3 Indian Reservations

Water use information about the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations in the Watershed is described in the following sections:

Cahuilla Indian Reservation

In general, domestic water use on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation is not measured; however reports indicate that 330 people reside on the Reservation. These residents use water primarily for domestic purposes. Annual domestic water use, based on 125 gallons per capita per day, amounts to a total annual use of about 46 acre feet from wells listed in Appendix C. In addition, reports indicate Reservation non-irrigated lands are used for the grazing of 500 cattle. Based on a daily requirement of 10 gallons per head per day, the annual use is estimated to be about six acre feet.

The foregoing estimate is for total domestic water use on the Reservation. A portion of this use may not be under Court jurisdiction, but the estimate will be used until individual well production quantities are available to allow determination of the portion under Court jurisdiction. The estimated domestic use and stock watering is included on Table 4.1 under water purveyor production.

An additional five acre feet pumped from well 7S/2E-26B3 were put to commercial use at a casino. This well is located on lands overlying basement complex, which are outside Court jurisdiction.

Under federal law, production from groundwaters within the lands of the Cahuilla Indian Reservation in either the younger or older alluvial deposits, which are a part of the shallow aquifer of the Anza Ground Water Basin, or which are part of the Cahuilla Groundwater Basin, can be considered to be under a federal reserved right, in accordance with Interlocutory Judgment No. 41, which provides as follows in Order No. 3: IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America intended to reserve, and did reserve, rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation, including rights to the use of ground waters, sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon with priority dates of December 27, 1875, for lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date; March 14, 1887, for lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date; December 29, 1891, for lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date.

Pechanga Indian Reservation

On December 21, 2006, the Pechanga Band of Luiseño Mission Indians and Rancho California WD entered into a Groundwater Management Agreement for the Wolf Valley Groundwater Basin. The Pechanga Band and Rancho California WD agreed to jointly manage groundwater pumping from the basin and to manage the basin to protect groundwater resources. Among other things, the agreement provides for Rancho California WD to deliver pumped groundwater from its wells to Pechanga.

During 2011-12, Pechanga received 177 acre feet of delivered groundwater from Rancho California WD. In addition, the Pechanga Water System produced 669 acre feet from wells, and received 329 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater from Eastern MWD, resulting in a total production for Pechanga of 1,175 acre feet. The monthly production and uses for the Pechanga Indian Reservation are shown on Appendix Table A-5. Information about Pechanga Water System wells is shown below:

Well Designation <u>8S/2W</u>	Name	2011 Water Depth <u>Feet</u>	2012 Water Depth <u>Feet</u>	Well Depth <u>Feet</u>	Perforated Interval <u>Feet</u>
29A2	Kelsey	155	130	425	105 - 415
29B10	Eduardo	198	156	697	437 - 687
29B11	Eagle III	162	153	645	275 - 635
29J3	South Boundary	125	144	350	150 - 340
28M5	Cell Tower	113	109	518	372 - 432
					468 - 508
28R1	Ballpark Well	109	104	1,000	126 - 996
19Q1	Zone V Rock 1	58	49	451	210 - 430

The total groundwater pumping for the Pechanga Water System wells increased from 632 acre feet in 2010-11 to 669 acre feet in 2011-12. The total pumping in Wolf Valley by Rancho California WD Well Nos. 119, 122 and 211, for both the District's use and for delivery to Pechanga, decreased from 1,731 acre feet in 2010-11 to 1,202 acre feet in 2011-12. Therefore, the total pumping in Wolf Valley, for 2011-12, decreased by 492 acre feet.

The wells listed above are in areas of younger alluvium at ground surface. The depth of the younger alluvium in Wolf Valley was estimated by representatives of Rancho California WD and the United States for Rancho California WD Wells No. 495 (8S/2W-20E) and No. 119 (8S/2W-19J) to be in the range of 120 to 170 feet in depth. Thus, based on available well construction data, some of the production is from the younger alluvium and some from the older alluvium. Under state law, production from the wells that originate in the older alluvium can be considered to be under a groundwater appropriative right or an overlying right, depending on the circumstances at each well.

Under federal law, production from groundwaters that originate in either the younger or older alluvium within the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area can be considered to be under a federal reserved right, in accordance with Interlocutory Judgment No. 41 which provides as follows in Order No. 7:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America intended to reserve, and did reserve, rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Pechanga Indian Reservation, including rights to the use of ground waters sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon with priority dates of June 27, 1882, for those lands established by the Executive Order of that date; January 9, 1907, for those lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date; August 29, 1893, for those lands added to the Reservation by Patent on that date; and May 25, 1931, for those lands added to the Reservation by Patent of that date.

Production and uses for the Pechanga Indian Reservation for Water Years 1991 to 2012 are shown on Appendix Table B-6.

Ramona Indian Reservation

The Ramona Indian Reservation occupies 560 acres of land of which 321 acres are inside the Watershed. The water supply is provided for domestic use by two individual wells. Total production for 2011-12 is reported as 2.65 acre feet. It has not been determined whether the groundwater production is under Court jurisdiction and thus the estimated water use is not included in the various water use tabulations provided throughout the report.

Under federal law, production from groundwaters contained in shallow aquifer of the Anza Groundwater Basin overlain by lands of the Ramona Indian Reservation within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River can be considered to be under a federal reserved right, in accordance with Interlocutory Judgment No. 41 that provides as follows in Order No. 1:

IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America when it established the Ramona Indian Reservation intended to reserve and did reserve rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Ramona Reservation, including rights to the use of ground waters, sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon with a priority date of December 29, 1891.

7.4 Small Water Systems

There are a number of small water systems for mobile home parks in the Watershed. These range from relatively permanent structures, to those catering to recreational vehicles and campgrounds. Water production from wells is shown on Appendix Table A-11 for Quiet Oaks Mobile Home Park, Hawthorn Water System, Outdoor Resorts Rancho California, Inc., and Jojoba Hills SKP Resort. Data for previous Water Years are shown on Appendix Table B-12.

7.5 Irrigation Water Use

Estimated water production reported by substantial users for irrigation in the Santa Margarita River Watershed is shown on Table 7.1 to be 7,942 acre feet. This quantity includes 7,236 acre feet of well production and 706 acre feet of surface diversion as shown in Appendix C.

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Page Intentionally Blank

SECTION 8 - UNAUTHORIZED WATER USE

8.1 <u>General</u>

From time to time, there are complaints of unauthorized water uses of various types in the Watershed. Such complaints are investigated in accordance with the powers and duties of the Watermaster. The status of the current list of unauthorized uses is described as follows:

8.2 Unauthorized Small Storage Ponds

Many small dams and reservoirs have been constructed on streams in the Watershed. The legal basis for these ponds is described in the 1988-89 Watermaster Report. Basically, the Court has held that storage of water in ponds less than 10 acre feet in capacity and used for stock watering is a valid use of riparian water. The Court has also held that:

The temporary or non-seasonal impoundment by riparian owners for the purpose of providing a head for irrigation or for the purpose of temporarily accumulating sufficient water to make possible efficient irrigation is a proper riparian use of water.

Criteria for determining non-seasonal storage of irrigation water have yet to be developed.

8.3 Rancho California Water District Water Use

A number of unauthorized water use issues raised by the United States are settled so long as the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA) between the United States on behalf of Camp Pendleton and Rancho California Water District is in effect.

As further explained in Section 11, many of these issues are described in Appendix F. One area of past concern pertains to Rancho California WD's petition to the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) to change the place of use, type of use and re-diversion facilities in Permit 7032. On April 22, 2009, the SWRCB issued an order and amended Permit 7032 with the desired changes and conditions to resolve concerns by Camp Pendleton and the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The reporting of Vail Lake operations in accordance with Amended Permit 7032 is provided on Table 3.3 and in Section 7.

8.4 Exportation of Treated Wastewater Derived from Native Waters

Camp Pendleton continues to assert that the exportation of treated wastewater, the source of which is the native waters of the Santa Margarita River System, without a legal basis for such exportation is an unauthorized water use. On May 17, 2012, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued an Order granting the parties' joint motion to dismiss the appeals in *United States of America and Fallbrook Public Utility District v. Eastern Municipal Water District and Rancho California Water District* (CV 04-8182 CBM (RNBx), United States District Court, Central District of California) and thus the August 4, 2009 Judgment in this case stands. The Watermaster is reviewing the calculations and reporting of exportation of treated wastewater for possible changes in future annual reports.

SECTION 9 - THREATS TO WATER SUPPLY

9.1 General

General threats to the long-term water supply in the Santa Margarita River Watershed, which have been described in previous Watermaster reports, are as follows:

- 1. High nitrate concentrations in Rainbow Creek, Anza Valley and the Murrieta-Temecula areas.
- 2. Potential overdraft conditions at various locations in the Watershed.
- 3. Potentially adverse salt balance conditions in the upper Santa Margarita River area.
- 4. High concentrations of arsenic, fluoride, and manganese in the Murrieta-Temecula area.
- 5. Quagga mussel infestation in imported supplies from the Colorado River system.

9.2 High Nitrate Concentrations

In past years, high concentrations of nitrate have been measured in Anza Valley and in Rainbow Creek. Conditions in Anza Valley were generally described in the 1993-94 report. Additional water quality data for Anza Valley have been collected periodically by the Riverside County Department of Health Services and the USGS.

As described in prior Watermaster reports, in 1999 the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region (Regional Board) began preparation of a plan for Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus on Rainbow Creek. On February 9, 2005, the Regional Board adopted an amendment to the Basin Plan to include the Total Nitrogen and Total Phosphorus TMDLs and implementation plan. The State Water Resources Control Board, on November 16, 2005, and Office of Administrative Law, on February 1, 2006, subsequently approved the Basin Plan amendment. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency granted final approval of the TMDLs on March 22, 2006.

The full plan and implementation programs are presented on the Regional Board's website:

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sandiego/water_issues/programs/tmdls/ rainbowcreek.shtml. Recent data show high concentrations of nitrate pose a risk to water supplies from the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. In January 2006, Western MWD ceased production from the Holiday Well because nitrate concentrations exceeded the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 45 mg/l. The depth to the top of the perforated interval for the Holiday Well is only 60 feet and the high nitrate concentrations appear to be a result of nearby septic systems and agricultural practices. Concentrations of nitrate for some of the other Western MWD and Rancho California WD wells in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area have been detected in the range of 20 to 25 mg/l, which is below the MCL. The other Western MWD and Rancho California WD wells have deeper perforated intervals than the Holiday Well.

9.3 Potential Overdraft Conditions

Previous Watermaster reports have noted concerns about overdraft conditions in Anza Valley and in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Previous studies for Anza Valley include 1976 and 1988 reports by the U. S. Geological Survey and a 1990 report by a consultant to Riverside County. No further studies relative to groundwater use in Anza Valley are available. Historical measurements of groundwater levels for Anza Mutual Water Company's Well No. 1 (7S/3E-21G1) located in Anza Valley are plotted in this report on Figure 4.4.

No recent published studies of safe yield are available for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Groundwater resources in the area are managed by Rancho California WD, Western MWD, and the Pechanga Band. Annual groundwater production programs are prepared with the goal of maximizing production within the apparent safe yield of the basin. Each year, groundwater levels and well production combined with other information including water quality, natural and artificial recharge, pump settings, and well construction factors, are used to develop the recommended production programs for several hydrogeologic sub-areas. Production rates are commonly decreased in sub-areas where water levels have declined over several years, and production rates are increased in sub-areas where decline has not occurred. As a final check, the recommended production rates are checked using the groundwater model for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area.

In addition, Rancho California WD in cooperation with Camp Pendleton is in the process of developing a multi-level groundwater monitoring network, pursuant to the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement. The purpose of the network is to collect data for use in assessing safe yield operations. In September 2006, the USGS began drilling and constructing the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well as part of this network. The monitoring well was completed with six piezometers and continuous water level recording devices. In 2009, the groundwater monitoring network was expanded to include the Wolf Valley Monitoring Well previously constructed by the USGS under a cooperative program with the Pechanga Band. Groundwater levels and water quality data for the two monitoring wells are reported in the annual report for the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement.

Groundwater level data for three additional wells in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area are included in this report as Figures 4.1, 4.3 and 4.5. Water levels in the Windmill Well (8S/2W-12H1) located at the eastern part of Pauba Valley declined by 5.3 feet in 2011-12. Water levels in Well 7S/3W-20C9 in the Murrieta Division of Western MWD area rose by 5.0 feet in 2011-12.

Well 8S/2W-29G1 on the Pechanga Indian Reservation in Wolf Valley became dry at the end of 2003-04. The declining water levels in Well 8S/2W-29G1 appear to be attributed to recent relatively dry hydrologic conditions and pumping of the nearby New Kelsey Well. To allow continued monitoring of water levels on the Reservation, Well No. 29G1 has been replaced with Well No. 8S/2W-29B9 which showed water levels rose 1.0 feet in 2011-12.

9.4 <u>Salt Balance</u>

A key issue in management of a groundwater basin is potential build up of salts from imported water supplies and use of reclaimed wastewater. Such a build-up could decrease the usability of waters in a basin. Consideration must be given to measures that allow desalination of water supplies and export of salts from a basin to offset the salt load in water entering the groundwater basin.

The Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) concentration for imported supplies into the watershed is shown on Table 5.3. During 2011-12, the reported TDS concentration ranged from 261 to 459 mg/l as compared to concentrations for 2010-11 ranging from 317 to 496 mg/l. The reduced levels for TDS in 2011-12 are attributed to a greater percentage of the imported supplies derived from the State Water Project compared to supplies from the Colorado River.

The salt balance for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area is increasingly of interest due to increased imported supplies to meet existing and future demands, and also increased use of reclaimed wastewater for irrigation. The potential salt loading can be illustrated by estimating the total salts imported into the basin by the major purveyors overlying the groundwater area. The net imported supplies for the major purveyors are provided on Table 5.2 and the individual production and use tables are included in Appendix A. Assuming the groundwater area is subject to salt loading from net imports for Eastern MWD, Elsinore Valley MWD, Western MWD (Murrieta Division), and Rancho California WD (Rancho Division); the total net imports for 2011-12 were 51,584 acre feet. It is noted, imports for a portion of the Rancho California WD, Santa Rosa Division, potentially contribute to salt loading for the groundwater area but such contribution is ignored for this illustration. Applying the monthly TDS concentrations from Table 5.3 to the monthly net imports for these major purveyors results in an estimated total annual salt import for 2011-12 of 26,400 tons compared to the estimated salt import of 26,950 tons for 2010-11.

The salt balance for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area is affected by the export of wastewater from the Watershed. In 2011-12, Elsinore Valley MWD exported 1,205 acre feet of wastewater for treatment outside the Watershed. During 2011-12, Eastern MWD exported 8,025 acre feet of treated wastewater for reuse outside the

Watershed and 285 acre feet were exported for operational reasons for discharge to Temescal Creek. Additional treated wastewater may have been exported from the Watershed through recirculation in the system, but such additional amounts have not been determined. At an average TDS concentration of 650 mg/l, there are approximately 1,768 pounds of salt in every acre foot of wastewater. Thus in 2011-12, approximately 8,400 tons of salt were exported by Elsinore Valley MWD and Eastern MWD through the export of 9,515 acre feet of wastewater. For comparison in 2010-11, approximately 9,600 tons of salt were exported with the export of 10,878 acre feet of wastewater.

The use of reclaimed wastewater for irrigation is also a consideration in evaluating the salt balance for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. The reuse within the groundwater area does not import additional salts into the Watershed; rather the source of water supply further concentrates the salts in contrast to relatively lower TDS levels for other sources of water supplies. The total use of reclaimed wastewater by Eastern MWD, Rancho California WD, and the Pechanga Band within the Santa Margarita River Watershed for 2011-12 was 5,601 acre feet compared to 6,049 acre feet in 2010-11, and compared to 690 acre feet in 1986-87. Assuming an average TDS concentration of wastewater of 650 mg/l, the salt loading for 5,601 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater is approximately 5,000 tons. It is expected that the use of reclaimed wastewater within the Watershed will increase in the future.

The salt balances of the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area, the Santa Margarita River, and the groundwater basins on Camp Pendleton are affected by operational and maintenance discharges by Rancho California WD from wells into Murrieta Creek, Temecula Creek and Santa Gertrudis Creek. In 2011-12, wells discharged 86 acre feet, as shown below, together with estimated total dissolved solids for each well. Additional water guality data for the wells are provided in Appendix D.

Well No.	Release	TDS	Sample Date	
	Acre Feet	mg/l		
101		050	0/40/40	
101	11	650	9/13/12	
106	1	430	4/09/12	
108	53	380	5/02/12	
118	_21	640	6/12/12	
Total	86			

The salt balances for the Santa Margarita River, and the groundwater basins on Camp Pendleton, are also influenced by discharges by Rancho California WD of imported supplies into Santa Margarita River as part of make-up flows under the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement. During 2011-12, the discharge of imported supplies to the Santa Margarita River as make-up flows and testing for the proposed WR-34 Hydroelectric Power Generation Facility was 3,622 acre feet.

Trend analyses of TDS levels from groundwater samples throughout the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area show a mix of increasing and decreasing trends depending upon location and aquifer. A more detailed study should be conducted to analyze available data and develop a comprehensive regional salinity management plan. Rancho California WD and Eastern MWD are preparing the Temecula Valley Groundwater Basin Salt and Nutrient Management Plan with an anticipated completion in 2014. The plan is being prepared pursuant to the State Water Resources Control Board Recycled Water Policy adopted by Resolution No. 2009-0011 on February 3, 2009, as amended by Resolution No. 2013-0003 on January 22, 2013.

The TDS levels from groundwater samples throughout the groundwater basin on Camp Pendleton also show varied increasing and decreasing trends depending on location and sub-basin. Figure 10.2 shows the historical concentration for Camp Pendleton Well 7A2, located in the Upper Sub-basin. In November 2012, Camp Pendleton completed the Salt and Nutrient Management Plan, Southern MCB Camp Pendleton, also prepared pursuant to the State Water Resources Control Board Recycled Water Policy cited above.

9.5 <u>High Arsenic Concentrations</u>

The maximum contaminant level (MCL) for arsenic is 10 ug/l. High concentrations of arsenic have been detected in groundwater wells for both the Murrieta Division of Western MWD and Rancho California WD, posing a risk to water supplies in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. In November 2007, Western MWD ceased pumping from the New Clay Well due to arsenic levels exceeding the MCL. Pumping from the New Clay Well resumed in September 2012, under an approved monitoring plan after Western MWD completed well renovation measures.

The elevated arsenic levels have significantly impacted groundwater pumping and distribution system operations for Rancho California WD. Two wells have been taken out of production due to arsenic levels exceeding the MCL. In 2011-12, three other wells showed levels exceeding the MCL with the wells still in operation under approved blending plans. Two additional wells showed levels approaching the MCL and may be included in a blending plan in the future.

9.6 <u>High Fluoride Concentrations</u>

The MCL for fluoride is 2 mg/l, and samples exhibiting high concentrations of arsenic often show high concentrations of fluoride in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. High levels of fluoride are impacting operations for Rancho California WD. In 2011-12, two wells showed fluoride levels exceeding the MCL with the wells in operation under approved blending plans.

9.7 High Manganese Concentrations

The MCL for manganese is 50 ug/l, and high concentrations of manganese have been detected in wells for both the Murrieta Division of Western MWD and Rancho California WD. In 2011-12, two Rancho California WD wells showed manganese levels exceeding the MCL with the wells in operation under approved sequestering plans.

9.8 Quagga Mussel

In early January 2007, the invasive, non-native Quagga mussel was discovered in Lake Mead. Subsequently, upon thorough inspection, MWD discovered the mussel throughout the Colorado River Aqueduct system including in August 2007, finding the mussels in Lake Skinner. To date, no mussels have been found in Diamond Valley Lake.

The Quagga mussel is indigenous to the Ukraine and was discovered in the United States in September 1989 with the first sighting in the Great Lakes. The Quagga mussel is a small freshwater mollusk ranging in size from microscopic in the embryonic state to about two inches in length at the adult stage. The mussels can be transported during the larval stage with currents or running water, and at the adult stage by attaching to hard surfaces such as boats.

The Quagga mussel is a filter feeder removing food and nutrients from the water column, decreasing the food source for zooplankton and therefore, altering the food web. The filtration of the water also alters water clarity impacting aquatic plants and water chemistry. The economic impact is also significant because these species can rapidly colonize on hard surfaces, clogging water intake structures, pipes, and screens and reducing pumping and distribution capacities. Costs are also associated with maintenance of facilities and control of the species.

Since the discovery of Quagga mussels in the Colorado River Aqueduct and Lake Skinner, MWD has implemented various control activities. In July 2007, the aqueduct was shut down for ten days for inspection, chlorination, and removal of adult populations. Also in July 2007, MWD initiated continuous chlorination in the Colorado River Aqueduct to control the spread of Quagga mussels. Additionally, as part of ongoing maintenance activities for the Colorado River Aqueduct, MWD subsequently shut down the aqueduct in October, January and March 2008, October 2009, and April and May 2010, for approximately three weeks each shutdown, resulting in desiccation of Quagga mussels present at those times. Releases from Lake Skinner are chlorinated at the outlet tower prior to distribution through the raw water delivery system.

Effective October 10, 2007, Assembly Bill 1683 added Section 2301(a)(1) to the California Fish and Game Code prohibiting the release of Quagga mussels into the waters of the State. Assembly Bill 1683 also requires development of a Quagga mussel control plan. On December 8, 2007, MWD temporarily suspended required releases of water to Tucalota Creek from Lake Skinner and Warm Springs Creek from the San Diego Canal near Diamond Valley Lake. These required releases would have been made in accordance with Memoranda of Agreement for releasing native inflows from the reservoirs. On March 6, 2008, MWD provided notice to the parties in United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al., regarding the temporary suspension of required releases of native water inflows from Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake. On June 23, 2008, MWD provided notice to the parties in United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District, et al., regarding the resumption of required releases of native water inflows from Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake, according to MWD's Action Plan submitted to California Department of Fish and Game on May 30, 2008. On April 5, 2010, the California Department of Fish and Game approved the Quagga Mussel Control Plan for Lake Skinner. MWD is still operating under the May 30, 2008 Action Plan and June 23, 2008 Notice describing provisions for releases to Warm Springs Creek from the State Water Project Eastside Pipeline to meet release requirements at Diamond Valley Lake.

Infestation by the Quagga mussel has also altered Rancho California WD operations in accordance with the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement. Beginning on April 10, 2008, Rancho California WD periodically ceased making releases of raw water from Turnout WR-34 on the MWD Pipeline No. 5 to meet make-up flow requirements for the Santa Margarita River. Alternatively, Rancho California WD releases make-up flows from its treated water distribution system at the System River Meter located just upstream of the Murrieta Creek at Temecula gaging station, or from the potable connection to the WR-34 discharge location. The treated water is de-chlorinated prior to release into Murrieta Creek.

On July 17, 2009, Rancho California WD submitted its Quagga mussel response and control action plan to the California Department of Fish and Game. Key components of the plan include:

- Raw MWD water is released into the Santa Margarita Watershed only when chlorination is being performed at Lake Skinner.
- All watercraft vessels, trailers, and equipment are being inspected before launching in Vail Lake.

In addition, Rancho California WD is developing a Quagga mussel response and control plan for the Vail Lake Conveyance System to deliver imported supplies for storage in Vail Lake.

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Page Intentionally Blank

SECTION 10 - WATER QUALITY

10.1 Surface Water Quality

The USGS collected continuous water quality measurements for dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, and temperature at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station during 2011-12. Data collected at the station are published by the USGS. The highest average daily high and the lowest average daily low for each parameter for each month are shown on Table 10.1 for months in Water Year 2012.

Surface water quality data collected by the USGS in 2004-05 for Cahuilla Creek are shown on Appendix Table D-12. No surface water quality data for Cahuilla Creek was collected in 2011-12.

Surface water quality data collected in prior years by Camp Pendleton, Eastern MWD, and Rancho California WD are listed in earlier Watermaster reports.

10.2 Groundwater Quality

During 2011-12, water quality data was collected from wells at Western MWD – Murrieta Division, Rancho California WD, Pechanga Indian Reservation, and Camp Pendleton.

Western MWD – Murrieta Division sampled three wells in 2011-12 as shown in Appendix D-3. Two of the wells were each subjected to standard chemical analysis in addition to samplings for nitrates only. The third well was sampled for nitrates only. The North Well was sampled 27 times and included 13 samples subjected to standard chemical analysis. Concentrations of nitrates were below the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 45 mg/l as nitrate for samples in the three wells was generally reported to be below or near the laboratory detection limit with the highest reported value as 1.6 mg/l.

Water quality data for Rancho California WD wells are shown on Appendix Table D-4. Samples were collected from 43 wells during 2011-12. Of the 43 wells, 25 wells were analyzed for nitrates and TDS only. Nitrate concentrations ranged up to 21 mg/l as nitrate, with the MCL being 45 mg/l as nitrate. Fifteen of the remaining wells were subjected to standard chemical analysis, 38 wells were sampled for TDS only, and 13 wells were sampled for nitrates only. Samples from one well (Well 109) showed TDS concentrations exceeding 750 mg/l, the Basin Plan objective. Well 158, which showed TDS concentrations exceeding 750 mg/l in prior years, showed reduced TDS concentrations for 2011-12, ranging from 460 to 570 mg/l. During 2011-12, 16 wells showed TDS concentrations ranging from 500 to 750 mg/l.

TABLE 10.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED


RANGES IN AVERAGE DAILY CONCENTRATION OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN, PH, SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE AND TEMPERATURE AT SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

COLLECTION MONTH/YEAR	DISSOLVED (mg/l		рН		SPECIF CONDUCT/ microsieme	ANCE	TEMPERA Deg	
	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	<u>High</u>	Low
2011					-			
October	8.7 *	4.1 *	7.8 *	7.2 *	1,180 *	461 *	23.1 *	16.0 *
November	9.9 *	4.1 *	7.7 *	7.2 *	1,500 *	271 *	19.0 *	10.1 *
December	11.0	8.3	8.2	7.4	1,380	274	21.7	7.8
2012								
January	11.5	9.0	8.1	7.5	948	501	13.2	10.4
February	11.2	8.7	8.2	7.5	1,220	286	13.8	8.6
March	10.5	7.5	8.1	7.3	1,230	142	20.8	8.7
April	10.7	6.8	8.2	7.2	1,030	157	22.2	11.2
May	10.1	7.3	8.4	7.7	837	703	23.2	17.9
June	8.7	7.0	8.1	7.6	815	671	23.9	21.5
July	8.7 *	7.1 *	8.1 *	7.7 *	840 *	727 *	24.9 *	22.4 *
August	8.9 *	6.6 *	8.2 *	7.6 *	790 *	636 *	24.6 *	23.0 *
September	8.6	7.0	8.1	7.5	728	632	24.4	21.4

Water Year 2011-12

* - Partial Record: Indicates months with interruptions in record at times due to malfunction of recording equipment. High and low values indicated for days with reported data. Daily data and number of days with no record can be viewed at the following website: http://web10capp.er.usgs.gov/adr06_lookup/search.jsp searching by site number 11044000

Total dissolved solids concentrations for Rancho California WD Well 210 are shown on Figure 10.1 for samples collected since 1957, when the well was constructed. The figure shows a decline in TDS from approximately 900 mg/l for the samples collected during the 1960's to the 500-600 mg/l range in recent years. Trend analyses for other wells throughout the Murrieta-Temecula area show a mix of increasing and decreasing trends in TDS levels depending upon location and aquifer.




Appendix Table D-5 shows water quality data collected by the USGS from wells on Indian Reservations. In 2011-12, samples were collected from four wells on the Pechanga Indian Reservation. For the Pechanga wells, TDS concentrations ranged from 245 to 300 mg/l, showing a decrease from the prior years.

In 2011-12, no samples were collected from wells on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation.

During 2011-12, groundwater samples were collected from 12 wells at Camp Pendleton as shown on Appendix Table D-6. All 12 wells were subjected to standard chemical analysis. During 2011-12, samples show 11 wells with TDS concentrations exceeding the Basin Plan Objective of 750 mg/l. Seven of the 12 wells showed TDS concentrations that exceeded those in the prior year, and two wells showed a decline of TDS concentrations compared to the previous year. Three wells showed the same concentration as the previous year.

Historical TDS concentrations for Camp Pendleton Well 7A2 are shown on Figure 10.2 for samples collected since mid-1950. The figure shows a decline between mid-1950 and 1970, then a period of increasing concentrations to levels in the 550-950 mg/l range. Analysis of the sample collected in 2011-12 indicated TDS concentrations of 790 mg/l, an increase of 10 mg/l compared to the sample taken last year.






TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS CONCENTRATION 10S/4W-7A2 - CAMP PENDLETON

Year

Historical nitrate concentrations for the same well (7A2) are shown on Figure 10.3. The one sample collected in 2011-12 showed a nitrate concentration of 6.2 mg/l, an increase from the prior year.





NITRATE CONCENTRATION 10S/4W-7A2 - CAMP PENDLETON

Year

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Page Intentionally Blank

SECTION 11 – COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

11.1 General

On August 20, 2002, the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA) between Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD was approved by the District Court. The CWRMA provisions specify required accounting will be reported on a calendar year basis and, accordingly, Section 11 and Appendix E present data reported on a calendar year basis. However, the remainder of the Annual Watermaster Report is prepared on a water year basis requiring the CWRMA calendar year reporting to be converted to a water year basis to be incorporated into other sections of the report. The water year period begins on October 1 and concludes on September 30 of the following year.

It is noted that prior Annual Watermaster Reports served as the annual report required under CWRMA. Beginning in calendar year 2011, a separate annual report has been prepared and submitted to the Court to meet the requirements of CWRMA. Section 11 continues to be included in the Annual Watermaster Report focusing on the accounting and operations related to make-up water releases and flow requirements for the Santa Margarita River at the Gorge. Section 11 also includes an overview of other topics included in the stand-alone Annual CWRMA Report.

The CWRMA provides that on May 1 of each year, the Technical Advisory Committee is to compute a hydrologic index for the year based on streamflow and precipitation between October and April. In May 2012, the hydrologic index was determined and the year classified as a "Critically Dry" hydrologic year. The hydrologic year establishes the required flows at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station for the calendar year. Required flows for 2011-12, a "Critically Dry" year, are listed in Section 5 of the CWRMA and are shown on Table 11.1.

As indicated above, CWRMA calendar year accounting must be converted to a water year basis for other sections of the annual report. The data for October through December 2011 for the various accounts are needed to convert the amounts shown on Table 11.1 to water year values. These data for October through December 2011 were reported in the prior year Annual Watermaster Report. To assist the reader in calculating water year amounts for various CWRMA operations, Table 11.2 in the current report is a repeat of Table 11.1 from the prior year's report. Additional information concerning the operations underlying the values reported on Table 11.2 can be found in the prior year's report.

TABLE 11.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

MONTHLY SUMMARY OF REQUIRED FLOWS, DISCHARGES, CREDITS AND ACCOUNTS COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

2012 CALENDAR YEAR - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

		USGS			No. of Days 10-				
	NSGS	Website	Minimum Flow		Day Running		Climatic	Camp	Camp Pendleton
	Official	Daily	Maintenance	Section 5	Average is Less	Discharge	Credits	Groundwa	Groundwater Account /8
	Discharge	Discharge	Requirement	Flows	Than Required	from WR-34	Earned	Input	Cumulative
Month	AF	AF	cfs /1, 2	cfs /3	Flow	AF /4, 5, 6	AF /7	AF	Balance AF
Jan	686.3	686.3	10.9	4.5	0	608.6	372.3	37.9	5,000.0
Feb	900.1	900.1	10.9	4.5	0	477.7	285.1	35.4	5,000.0
Mar	1,672.1	1,672.1	10.9	4.5	0	448.2	255.5	37.9	5,000.0
Apr	728.9	731.3	10.9/5.0/3.8	4.5	0	313.5	334.9	36.6	5,000.0
Mav	314.0	314.8	3.8/7.8	3.8	0	285.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
June	331.6	331.6	7.3/3.3	3.3	0	314.4	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Julv	190.2	190.2	3.0	3.0	0	178.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Aud	185.5	185.5	3.0	3.0	0	179.1	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Sept	178.5	178.5	3.0	3.0	0	180.6	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Oct	189.6	189.6	3.0	3.0	0	178.1	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Nov	179.1	179.1	3.0	3.0	0	163.6	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Dec	860.0	860.0	3.3	3.3	0	107.3	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
CALENDAR YEAR TOTAI	6 415 Q	6 410 1			-	3.434.3	1.247.8	147.8	EULL
	0,410.0	0,410,1			•	2112152			
Monthly totals	are rounded t	to the nearest te	Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot.						
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	-	-			1100				
1 - Required t	 Required flows for January-April are 		equal to 11.5 cfs, less 0.6 cfs CAP Credit from 2011.	6 cts CAP Cre	adit from 2011.				

- until May and June. For April 12-23, 2012, the Flow Requirement was reduced from 10.9 cfs to 5.0 cfs; for April 24-30, 2012, the requirement was further 2 - In April, Camp Pendleton requested that the District reduce remaining winter-time releases and postpone that portion of the winter-time releases reduced to 3.8 cfs. For April, the District has been credited with Climatic Credit as if they had released according to the original schedule. Flow Requirements in May and June were increased as follows: 7.8 cfs for May 21-31, 2012 and 7.3 cfs for June 1-18, 2012.
 - 3 The Table in Section 5 of the CWRMA sets forth guaranteed monthly flows at the Gorge once the Hydrologic Condition for the calendar year is established. 4 - CAP Credits equals WR-34 discharge in excess of 4,000 AF. No CAP Credits earned in 2012.
 - 5 From March 16-23, 2012, and from October 19-27, 2012, a portion or all of the daily releases were provided from the District's potable connection at the
- 6 From August 6-19, 2012, and from November 19-December 12, 2012, the District conducted flow tests in which the instantaneous release rate at WR-34 WR-34 pipeline. March potable releases were 65.8 AF, and October potable releases were 45.0 AF, for a total 2012 potable release of 110.8 AF
 - 7 Climatic Credits equal the WR-34 discharges less actual Flow Requirements, which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of the CRWMA less applicable varied from 0 to 13 cfs throughout the day. However, the average daily release rate remained at 3.0 cfs.
- 8 Camp Pendleton's rights to groundwater equals the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement, which credits but not less than 3.0 cfs.
- cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

TABLE 11.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT MONTHLY SUMMARY OF REQUIRED FLOWS, **DISCHARGES, CREDITS AND ACCOUNTS**

2011 CALENDAR YEAR - VERY WET YEAR

	uses	Website	Minimum Flow		Dav Running		Climatic	Cam	
Month	Official	Dailv	Maintenance	Section 5	Average is Less	Discharge	Credits	Groundwat	Groundwater Account /8
	Discharge	Discharge	Requirement	Flows	Than Required	from WR-34	Earned	Input	Cumulative
	AF 、	AF	cfs /1, 2	cfs /3	Flow /4	AF /5, 6	AF /7	AF	Balance AF
Jan	1.107.0	1,112.1	9.8	24.1	0	266.6	0.0	774.7	5,000.0
q	4,849.8	4,859.9	9.8	24.1	0	286.1	0.0	699.8	5,000.0
ar	2,673.3	2,673.3	9.8	24.1	0	159.3	0.0	774.7	5,000.0
or	593.3	593.5	9.8	24.1	0	403.9	0.0	749.8	5,000.0
av	700.2	708.1	11.5	15.7	0	652.1	0.0	258.2	5,000.0
ne	682.3	684.3	11.5	12.2	0	688.4	0.0	41.7	5,000.0
N	557.8	554.6	9.7/4.3	9.7	0	607.5	0.0	64.3	5,000.0
'n	266.4	266.4	4.4	9.2	0	277.9	0.0	295.1	5,000.0
eot	362.4	331.8	4.1	9.4	0	318.7	0.0	315.4	5,000.0
t t	282.0	282.0	3.9	10.1	0	243.6	0.0	381.2	5,000.0
20	678.7	678.7	4.5	11.5	0	142.3	0.0	416.5	5,000.0
Dec	591.5	591.5	5.3	13.5	0	249.1	0.0	504.2	5,000.0
CALENDAR									
YEAR					ſ		Ċ		
TOTAL	13,444.7	13,336.2			0	4,295.5	0.0	9,2/9.6	FULL

- 2 On July 25, 2011, Camp Pendleton requested to forego the makeup by reducing the minimum Flow Kequirements from Very wet to below hormal for ine ten of the year, effective July 26, 2011. Additionally, a 14-day Flow Test was conducted by RCWD from August 24 September 6, 2011, in which releases were varied from zero to 13 cfs during the test period. RCWD actually released an additional 60.4 AF during that period that is not shown. This quantity has been excluded from the accounting of flows and credits.
 - 3 The Table in Section 5 of the CWRMA sets forth guaranteed monthly flows at the Gorge once the Hydrologic Condition for the calendar year is established.
 - 4 During 8 days in August, the 10-day running average dropped below 4.4 cfs due to RCWD's Flow Test.
- 5 CAP Credits equal WR-34 discharge in excess of 4,000 AF. CAP Credits earned in 2011 equal 296 AF.
 6 An additional 60.4 AF were discharged from WR-34 during the RCWD Flow Test in excess of CWRMA Flow Requirements.
- 7 Climatic Credits equal the WR-34 discharge less actual Flow Requirements, which is the flow indicated in Section 5 of the CRWMA less applicable credits but not less than 3.0 cfs. No Climatic Credits can be earned during a Very Wet Year.
- cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF. 8 - Camp Pendleton's rights to groundwater equals the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement, which

Prior to implementation of the CWRMA, each year there were contentions raised by Camp Pendleton with respect to various aspects of the Annual Watermaster Report. These contentions are settled so long as that agreement is in effect. Accordingly, there is no need to raise those particular issues or publish them in the main text of the annual report or in related correspondence. Rather, the issues are provided in Appendix F.

11.2 Required Flows

Under the CWRMA, Rancho California WD guarantees that the ten-day running average of the measured flows at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station shall meet the Required Flows for each month during the year. In order to meet the Required Flows, Rancho California WD discharges Make-Up Water from two primary sources, both discharging into the river at the same location immediately upstream from the USGS gaging station for Santa Margarita River near Temecula. The first primary source of Make-Up Water is raw water from MWD Aqueduct No. 5 discharged at Outlet WR-34. The second primary source of Make-Up Water is from the Rancho California WD treated water distribution system through a potable connection to the WR-34 outlet pipe. In prior years, Make-Up Water was also discharged from the treated water distribution system to Murrieta Creek from two system discharge meters collectively referred to as the System River Meter. The two discharge meters are located on opposite sides of Murrieta Creek, immediately downstream of the USGS gaging station for Murrieta Creek at Temecula, which is located approximately 2,000 feet upstream of the confluence of Temecula Creek and Murrieta Creek. The System River Meter is operable as a secondary source of Make-Up Water if needed.

Flow requirements are based on two-thirds of the median natural flow of the Santa Margarita River at the Gorge for a given hydrologic year type. During the winter period (January through April), Rancho California WD shall maintain a ten-day running average equal to 11.5 cfs, less carry-over credits, less requested Foregone Make-Up Water, but not less than 3.0 cfs. Rancho California WD may earn Climatic Credits in Below Normal and Critically Dry years if it has provided Make-Up Water in excess of the Actual Requirement. The Climatic Credit is equal to the Make-Up Water released less the Actual Requirement less credits. The Actual Requirement is determined on May 1 of each year and applied retroactively to the flows during the winter period. During the non-winter period (May through December), Rancho California WD shall maintain a ten-day running average equal to the flow requirements specified in the Agreement as determined on May 1st, less requested Foregone Make-Up Water. When Rancho California WD is required to provide Make-Up Water in any calendar year in excess of 4,000 acre feet, it may apply CAP Credits for such excess during the following two winter periods. At no time is Rancho California WD required to make up more than 11.5 cfs.

The measured daily flows, the ten-day running average, and the differences between the running average and the Required Flows are shown in Appendix E. Two listings of daily discharges are shown in the tables in Appendix E: the USGS official discharge and the USGS website discharge. The discharges shown on the website are those that dictate daily decisions regarding the quantities of Make-Up Water required and those discharges are used to compute the ten-day running average. The official discharge is a more refined estimate developed later by the USGS for publication.

During calendar year 2012, Rancho California WD conducted flow tests for the proposed WR-34 Hydroelectric Power Generation Facility. The proposed facility involves installation of power generation equipment at the existing WR-34 Outlet and modification of the hourly Make-Up Water releases. The ten-day running average and volumetric flow requirements under CWRMA would not be changed. The flow tests were conducted from August 6 through 19, 2012, and from November 19 through December 12, 2012, in which the instantaneous release rate at WR-34 varied from zero to 13 cfs throughout the day. However, the average daily release rate remained at 3.0 cfs. The operations during the flow tests are reflected on Table 11.1 and the monthly tables included in Appendix E.

The number of days each month when the ten-day running average was less than the Required Flow is summarized on Table 11.1. For calendar year 2012, there were no days when the running average was less than the required flow under normal CWRMA operations.

During calendar year 2012, the total releases by Rancho California WD to meet CWRMA flow requirements were 3,434 acre feet as shown on Table 11.1. The releases were comprised of 3,323 acre feet of raw water from WR-34 and 111 acre feet from the potable connection at WR-34. The releases of potable supplies during the periods March 16 through March 23, and October 19 through October 27, and were due to the MWD operational shutdowns of the raw water line at WR-34.

No Climatic Credits were used in calendar year 2012 and Climatic Credits of 1,248 acre feet were earned in calendar year 2012 in accordance with CWRMA provisions. CAP Credits of 148 acre feet were used in calendar year 2012 and no CAP Credits were accumulated in calendar year 2012 for use in subsequent years to meet required releases by Rancho California WD. The remaining CAP Credits balance of 148 acre feet may be applied in 2013.

The CWRMA also provides that Camp Pendleton may acquire rights to groundwater above the gorge by foregoing its right to Make-Up Water from the District, or to the extent that the District's Actual Flow Maintenance requirements are less than the flows in the table in Section 5 of the CWRMA. The maximum cumulative balance for the Camp Pendleton groundwater account is 5,000 acre feet. During calendar year 2012, 148 acre feet were calculated as input to the groundwater account but the balance was already at the maximum balance of 5,000 acre feet and no additional water was credited to the account.

11.3 Water Quality

The U. S. Geological Survey continuously monitors four parameters of water quality at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula gaging station, including dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance, and temperature. The daily averages for each of these parameters are reported annually. Monthly highs and lows for each parameter are listed in Table 10.1 for the water year ending September 30, 2012.

11.4 Monitoring Programs

The CWRMA provides for the establishment of two monitoring programs: (1) Section 5(g) provides for a program to assess the impacts of operations on water supply, water quality and riparian habitat within Camp Pendleton, and; (2) Section 7(d) provides for a program to assess safe yield operations of Rancho California WD through the use of a multi-level groundwater monitoring network and periodic updates of the CWRMA Groundwater Model.

During 2007-08, Camp Pendleton initiated the Section 5(g) program named as the Lower Santa Margarita River Watershed Monitoring Program (Program) to evaluate whether the increased flows under CWRMA influence threatened and endangered species, riparian and wetland habitats, or water quality downstream. The Program will also support other water quality monitoring and watershed management activities in the Santa Margarita River Watershed. A copy of the Statement of Work for the Lower Santa Margarita River Watershed Monitoring Program was provided in the 2007 and 2008 Annual Watermaster Reports. The monitoring was funded for a two-year period and the final report, *Hydrological and Biological Support to Lower Santa Margarita River Watershed Monitoring Program Water Years 2008-2009* was published on February 21, 2010 under a cooperative program between Camp Pendleton and the United States Bureau of Reclamation.

In September 2006, the USGS under contract with Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD constructed a multi-level monitoring well for the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin in accordance with Section 7(d) of CWRMA. The Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well is located near the confluence of Pechanga and Temecula creeks and was completed to a total depth of 1,499 feet. Six piezometers were installed for continuous water level recording in the saturated zone for the lower five screened intervals and for the upper-most screened interval to detect moisture in the unsaturated zone. The USGS monitoring program for the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well is included in the ongoing Watermaster budget beginning in Water Year 2007-08.

In 2009, the groundwater monitoring program was expanded to include the Wolf Valley Monitoring Well that was previously constructed under a cooperative agreement between the USGS and the Pechanga Band. Two piezometers are installed at the Wolf Valley Well. Approved Watermaster funding of the water quality monitoring for Pala Park

Well is used for water quality sampling at the Pala Park and Wolf Valley monitoring wells. The groundwater level monitoring for the Wolf Valley Monitoring Well was previously funded by the Pechanga Band, but is now included in the ongoing Watermaster budget beginning in Water Year 2009-10.

Information concerning the construction of the Pala Park and Wolf Valley monitoring wells, groundwater levels, and water quality data can be found at the following website: <u>http://ca.water.usgs.gov/temecula/</u>. Information obtained from the website as well as supplemental information for the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well, and the Wolf Valley Groundwater Monitoring Well is provided in the Annual CWRMA Report.

In 2010, 2011, and 2012, the water quality monitoring program also included collecting data for the two sources of supply for recharge at the head of Pauba Valley: (1) imported supplies for recharge at Rancho California WD VDC Recharge Facilities, and; (2) native supplies from Temecula Creek as sampled at Vail Lake. Funding from the Watermaster budget was used to collect and analyze the data which are provided in the Annual CWRMA Report.

In 2012, the water quality monitoring program also included collecting data from selected groundwater production wells operated by Rancho California WD within Pauba Valley. These wells were selected to compliment the water quality data for the monitoring wells and the two sources of supply for recharge at the head of Pauba Valley. Previously, groundwater production wells operated by Rancho California WD were included in the 2004 and 2007 sampling programs for the Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment (GAMA) program implemented by the California State Water Resources Control Board. Data reported for 2012 were collected with funding from the Watermaster budget.

In 2007, Camp Pendleton and Rancho California WD initiated an effort to update the CWRMA Groundwater Model in accordance with Section 7(d). Work on updating the groundwater model continued during 2012. The update will incorporate data collected from the Pala Park Groundwater Monitoring Well, and other wells in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin as well as take advantage of recent software and computing advancements.

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Page Intentionally Blank

SECTION 12 - FIVE YEAR PROJECTION OF WATERMASTER OFFICE TASKS, EXPENDITURES AND REQUIREMENTS

12.1 General

Projected tasks over the next five years are listed below in two categories: normal tasks, which are part of the usual Watermaster office operation; and additional tasks, which are foreseen but are not part of the normal office operations.

12.2 Normal Tasks

Tasks that are normally part of the Watermaster Office operation are as follows:

- 1. Update List of Substantial Users
- 2. Collect Water Production, Use, Import and Availability Data
- 3. Collect Well Location, Construction and Water Level Data
- 4. Administer Water Rights
- 5. Collect Water Quality Data
- 6. Monitor Water Quality and Water Right Activities
- 7. Administer Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake MOU's
- 8. Administer Steering Committee Matters
- 9. Prepare Court Reports/Budgets
- 10. Monitor Streamflow and Water Quality Measuring
- 11. Data Management
- 12. Administer Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement

12.3 Additional Tasks

Tasks that have been identified but which are not part of normal operations are as follows:

- 1. Prepare List of All Water Users under Court Jurisdiction
- 2. Prepare Inventory of Ponds and Reservoirs
- 3. Determine Salt Balance

12.4 Projected Expenditures

Projected expenditures for the current year and over the next five years are listed as follows:

Year		Watermaster Office	USGS Groundwater Monitoring	USGS Gaging Stations	Total
Current Year	2012-13	\$417,375	\$31,300	\$200,925	\$649,600
Projected Years	2013-14	\$419,715	\$65,300	\$173,825	\$658,840
-	2014-15	\$432,300	\$84,500	\$179,000	\$695,800
	2015-16	\$445,300	\$104,200	\$184,400	\$733,900
	2016-17	\$458,700	\$124,500	\$189,900	\$773,100
	2017-18	\$472,500	\$145,400	\$195,600	\$813,500

SECTION 13 - WATERMASTER OFFICE BUDGET 2013-2014

A total Watermaster Budget of \$658,840 for the Water Year ending September 30, 2014 is shown below.

This budget includes \$419,715 for the Watermaster Office and \$239,125 for USGS gaging station operations and groundwater monitoring. The budgeted cost for services provided by the U. S. Geological Survey is based on the annual renewal of a cooperative agreement with the Watermaster.

	APPROVED BUDGET CURRENT YEAR 2012-13	PROPOSED BUDGET 2013-14
Watermaster Office		
Rent	\$ 18,000	\$ 18,000
Accounting Services	7,000	8,800
Supplies	1,600	1,800
General Liability & Professional Insurance	500	600
Printing	9,800	10,800
Audit	6,300	6,300
Publications	4,200	4,200
Clerical/Data Management	104,400	99,700
Telephone/Internet	4,700	4,200
Miscellaneous Operating/Maintenance	5,575	5,915
Mileage/Travel	800	900
Office Equipment and Software	2,000	2,000
IT System/Website	10,000	10,000
Watermaster		
Consulting Services	215,000	219,000
Travel Reimbursement	27,500	27,500
SUBTOTAL WATERMASTER OFFICE	\$ 417,375	\$ 419,715
USGS		
Gaging Station Operation and Maintenance	\$ 177,325	\$ 150,225
Water Quality Operation and Maintenance	23,600	23,600
Groundwater Monitoring Wells Water Levels	20,300	43,300
Groundwater Monitoring Wells Water Quality	11,000	22,000
SUBTOTAL USGS	\$ 232,225	\$ 239,125
TOTAL	\$ 649,600	\$ 658,840

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT

WATER YEAR 2011-12

APPENDIX A WATER PRODUCTION AND USE WATER YEAR 2011-12

July 2013

TABLE A-1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

2011-12 Quantities in Acre Feet

		PI	RODUCT	ION						USE				RECI		ASTEWA	TER
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT 1/	EXPORT FROM SMRW 2/	NET IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	СОММ	DOM	TOTAL	LOSS 3/	TOTAL USE		REUSE IN SMRW 4/	REUSE OUTSIDE SMRW	OTHER REUSE 5/	TOTAL
2011													П				
OCT	0	1,514	225	1,289	1,289	П	10	268	947	1,225	64	1,289	П	221	690	295	1,206
NOV	0	1,099	0	1,099	1,099	П	1	198	845	1,044	55	1,099	П	176	389	658	1,223
DEC	0	788	0	788	788	11	11	116	622	749	39	788		131	405	732	1,268
													П				
2012													Н				
JAN	0	873	0	873	873		14	138	677	829	44	873	11	146	469	636	1,251
FEB	0	853	0	853	853	Ш	1	146	663	810	43	853	11	85	443	657	1,185
MAR	0	813	0	813	813	Ш	12	136	624	772	41	813		104	579	581	1,264
APR	0	911	43	868	868	П	6	156	663	825	43	868	11	84	678	444	1,206
MAY	0	1,864	670	1,194	1,194	11	7	249	878	1,134	60	1,194	Ш	161	662	479	1,302
JUNE	0	2,222	418	1,804	1,804		2	410	1,302	1,714	90	1,804	11	287	876	50	1,213
JULY	0	1,694	0	1,694	1,694	11	10	404	1,195	1,609	85	1,694	11	298	937	28	1,263
AUG	0	1,834	0	1,834	1,834	11	16	440	1,286	1,742	92	1,834	11	319	990	(52)	1,257
SEPT	0	1,954	0	1,954	1,954		6	476	1,374	1,856	98	1,954	11	352	907	17	1,276
						П							11				
TOTAL	0	16,419	1,356	15,063	15,063	ТÌ	96	3,137	11,076	14,309	754	15,063	11	2,364	8,025	4,525	14,914

1/ Does not include deliveries to Rancho California WD, Elsinore Valley MWD or Western MWD.

2/ Portion of imported supplies exported for delivery to Eastern MWD's retail customers located outside the Watershed.

3/ Loss = 5%

4/ No sewage diverted to RCWD for 2012 Water Year for treatment at Santa Rosa Water Reclamation Facility.

Reuse within Watershed includes 549 AF sold to RCWD and 329 sold to Pechanga Band.

5/ Other Reuse includes changes of storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, evaporation and percolation losses, and discharges to Temescal Creek in the Santa Ana Watershed of 285 AF.

1

TABLE A-2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

ELSINORE VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

2011-12 Quantities in Acre Feet

	PF	RODUCTIC	N					USE				
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	COMM	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS *	TOTAL USE		WASTEWATER EXPORTED
2011											11	
OCT	0	419	419		6	90	311	407	12	419	ii	94
NOV	0	764	764	ii.	3	168	570	741	23	764	ii	100
DEC	0	351	351	ii.	6	56	279	341	10	351	ΪÌ	101
				İİ							11	
2012				11							11	
JAN	0	347	347		1	53	283	337	10	347		98
FEB	0	501	501		1	84	401	486	15	501		100
MAR	0	391	391		1	72	306			391		100
APR	0	257	257		1	42	206	249	8	257		98
MAY	0	854		11	1	196	. 631	828		854		105
JUNE	0	755			1	195	536			755		99
JULY	0	774	774		2	180	569		23	774		109
AUG	0	1,156			2		766		35	1,156		106
SEPT	0	829	829		2	234	568	804	25	829		95
TOTAL	0	7,398	7,398		27	1,723	5,426	7,176	222	7,398		1,205

* Loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed is determined using the calculation to determine District-wide unaccounted for water by comparing District-wide annual supply and customer deliveries, and is assumed to be constant for all months.

	EXPORT FROM SMRW	F	4	77	83		61	74	86	78	62	68	80	88	80	928	
~		c	5	0	0		F	.	⊷	~			-	0	2	თ	
WATE	FROM U.S. NWS 5/																
WASTEWATER	REUSE IN SMRW	c	N	-	-		1	-		~~	0	2	e	ŝ	ŝ	21	
	FROM SMRW	ŕ	9/	78	84		63	76	88	80	82	7	8	91	85	958	
		==	=	4	=: 0	==	8	 22	=	=	2 2	= 6	6	= 6	= 6	==	
	TOTAL USE IN SMRW	1	/3	544	32		50	43	35	32	415	76	6	87	1,06	7,254	
	4/ 4/	Ğ	60	45	26		42	36	29	26	34	63	75	72	88	596	
SMRW USE	TOTAL DELIVERED IN SMRW		674	499	294		466	399	322	295	381	206	834	807	981	6,658	
SMR	MOQ	9	198	167	124		142	136	124	129	135	208	215	229	253	2,060	
	COMM	÷	30	27	19		44	35	17	19	20	24	34	31	37	337	
	AG		446	305	151		280	228	181	147	226	474	585	547	691	4,261	
1	7	=: 	_	_	=	==	:=	=	_	=	=	=	=		=	==	
JCTION	TOTAL SMRW PRODUCTION		734	544	320		508	435	351	321	415	769	606	879	1,069]]	7,254	
RW PRODUCTION	SMRW TOTAL SMRW IMPORT PRODUCTION				320 320	= =					415 415				-	7,254 7,254	
SMRW PRODUCTION															-		
	SMRW SMRW LAKE IMPORT SKINNER IMPORT		0 734		0 320	= =		0 435		0 321	1 0 415	0 769	606 0		1 0 1,069 1		
SMRW	SMRW IMPORT		0 734	0 544	0 320	==	1 0 508	0 435	0 351	1 0 321	1 0 415	0 769	606 0	0 879	1 0 1,069 1	0 7,254	
SMRW	SMRW SMRW LAKE IMPORT SKINNER IMPORT		1,098 0 734	0 544	750 0 320	= =	821 0 508	713 0 435	623 0 351	658 0 321	1 0 415	1,381 0 769	1,504 0 909	1,715 0 879	1,645 0 1,069 1	0 7,254	
SMRW	TOTAL DISTRICT SUPPLY SUPPLY SKINNER IMPORT 3/		1,098 0 734	554 0 544	750 0 320	= =	821 0 508	713 0 435	623 0 351	658 0 321	1,117 0 415	1,381 0 769	1,504 0 909	1,715 0 879	1,645 0 1,069 1	 12,579 0 7,254	
	TOTAL TOTAL SMRW SMRW DISTRICT DISTRICT LAKE IMPORT SUPPLY SKINNER IMPORT 2/ 3/		1,098 0 734	554 0 544	750 0 320		821 0 508	713 0 435	623 0 351	658 0 321	1,117 0 415	1,381 0 769	1,504 0 909	1,715 0 879	1,645 0 1,069 1	 12,579 0 7,254	

Diverted under Permit No. 11356.
 Includes 143 acre feet from Capra Well located in San Luis Rey Watershed and remaining supply from San Diego County Water Authority.
 A portion of the District is outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed.
 Loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed.
 Loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed.
 Loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed.
 Loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed is determined using the calculation to determine District-wide unaccounted for water by comparing District-wide annual supply and customer deliveries, and is assumed to be constant for all months.
 United States Naval Weapons Station.

TABLE A-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

TABLE A-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT DELIVERIES IN DOMENIGONI VALLEY 2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUCTIO	N	_			U	SE		
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT TO SMRW	TOTAL IN SMRW		AG	COMM/ DOM*	GW RECHARGE	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS **	TOTAL USE
2011				-						
OCT	0	44	44	ii	44	0	0	44	0	44
NOV	Ō	18	18	ii	18	0	0	18	0	18
DEC	0	24	24		24	0	0	24	0	24
				ii						
2012				- İİ						
JAN	0	21	21	- İİ	21	0	0	21	0	21
FEB	0	14	14	11	14	0	0	14	0	14
MAR	0	20	20		20	0	0	20	0	20
APR	0	54	54	ÌÌ	54	0	0	54	0	54
MAY	0	64	64	11	64	0	0	64	0	64
JUNE	0	58	58	ÌÌ	58	0	0	58	0	58
JULY	0	44	44	ΞÌΪ.	44	0	0	44	0	44
AUG	0	41	41	- İİ	41	· 0	0	41	0	41
SEPT	0	64	64	ΪÌ	64	0	0	64	0	64
				- ÎÎ						
TOTAL	0	466	466		466	0	0	466	0	466

* Construction water

** Points of delivery located at metered pumps on San Diego Canal and thus the losses in the MWD system are zero.

MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION

Quantities in Acre Feet 2011-12

RECLAIMED

DELIVERED

WELLS ON

PRODUCTION

USE

MONTH YEAR	WELLS ON RESERVATION 1/	WELLS ON GROUNDWATER RESERVATION FROM RCWD 1/ 2/	WASTEWATER FROM EMWD 3/	TOTAL	AG	COMM		МОД	TOTAL DELIVERED	4/ 4/	TOTAL USE
11											
: 5	47	21	37	105		54	31	16	101	4	105
2	38	13	9	57		14	31	ი	54	ო	57
S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	28	12	2	42		9	25	0	40	2	42
2012											
Z	36	19	e	58		10	28	10	48	10	58
B	37	12	12	61		19	30	12	61	0	61
AR	30	19	11	60		18	26	12	56	4	60
Ŕ	32	21	21	74		31	24	12	67	7	74
ž	67	20	49	136		69	39	23	131	5	136
ШN	67	21	51	139		76	35	24	135	4	139
١٢	67	10	53	160	:	81	45	28	154	9	160
5	63	7	41	141		70	47	27	144	(3)	141
ЪТ	97	2	43	142		65	44	33	142	0	142
TOTAL	699	177	329	1,175		513 4	405	215	1,133	42	1,175

1/ Total production attributed to Eduardo, Eagle III, Kelsey, Ballpark and Zone V Rock 1 wells.

2/ Water provided from Rancho California WD Well Nos. 119, 122, and 211.
3/ Reclaimed wastewater provided by Eastern MWD via Wheeling Agreement with Rancho California WD

shown as a component of production for Table A-5 only to illustrate water budget for Reservation. Actual production for Watershed accounted for on Table A-1 and Table 7.1 for Eastern MWD.

4/ Loss determined as Total Production less Total Delivered.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

2011-12 Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUCTIO	ON				USE		
MONTH YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO WATERSHED	TOTAL IN WATERSHED		AG	COMMERCIAL/ DOMESTIC	TOTAL DELIVERIES	LOSS*	TOTAL USE
2011									
OCT	0	243	243	Ϊİ.	207	14	221	22	243
NOV	0	178	178		153	9	162	16	178
DEC	0	107	107	П	91	6	97	10	107
2012									
JAN	0	100	100		84	7	91	9	100
FEB	0	96	96		79	8	87	9	96
MAR	0	90	90	11	76	6	82	8	90
APR	0	120	120		103	6	109	11	120
MAY	0	113	113		97	6	103	10	113
JUNE	0	206	206		. 174	13	187	19	206
JULY	0	178	178		150	12	162	16	178
AUG	0	210	210		177	14	191	19	210
SEPT	0	251	251	11	211	17	228	23	251
TOTAL	0	1,892	1,892		1,602	118	1,720	172	1,892

* Loss = 10% of use

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT 2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

RECLAIMED WASTEWATER

VAIL

USE

	L
z	L
0	Ł
<u> </u>	Ł
E.	L
o	Ł
- Ť	L
ā	Ł
~	Ł
0	Ł
ĸ	L
n.	L
_	Ł

MONTH YEAR	1/ 1/	EXPORT 2/	NET WELLS	IMPORT 3/	EXPORT 4/	NET IMPORT	TOTAL	AG	AG/ DOM	COMM	MOD	SMR 1 RELEASE ^R 5/	IMPORT RECHARGE TO STORAGE 6/	TOTAL USE	LOSS TI	TOTAL	RELEASE AND RECHARGE 8/	REUSED IN SMRW 9/
2011 OCT	1,929	33	1,906	3,669	44	3,625	5,531	2,238	580	419	2,625	252	153	6,267	(736)	5,531	(1)	298
NON	1,438	23	1,415	1,859	27	1,832	3,247	1,628	406	330	2,057	145	191	4,757	(1,510)	3,247		294
DEC	2,088	8	2,070	1,750	14	1,736	3,806	- 3 61	791	304	1,694	797	011	3,5/2	734	3,806		308
2012																		
JAN	1,972	24	1,948	2,231	15	2,216	4,164	1,283	333	235	1,369	614	300	4,134	30	4,164	- 0 =	245
FEB	1,832	17	1,815	2,031	13	2,018	3,833	968	263	260	1,387	479	132	3,489	344	3,833	- 0 	283
MAR	1,695	21	1,674	1,849	19	1,830	3,504	1,285	326	297	1,700	448	(51)	4,005	(501)	3,504	- 0 =	247
APR	1,976	16	1,960	2,084	13	2,071	4,031	718	185	237	1,353	315	56	2,864	1,167	4,031	- 0 =	1 261
MAY	2,250	17	2,233	4,211	31	4,180	6,413	1,335	335	296	1,665	285	53	3,969	2,444	6,413	= (E)] 264
JUNE	2,322	29	2,293	5,365	02	5,295	7,588	2,822	731	392	2,894	326	(63)	7,106	482	7,588	(E)	l 235
JULY	2,264	25	2,239	5,852	72	5,780	8,019	2,804	692	452	3,014	201	(28)	7,105	914	8,019	= (2)	276
AUG	2,828	31	2,797	6,168	77	6,091	8,888	2,991	722	445	3,099	207	(195)	7,269	1,619	8,888	- 0	283
SEPT	2,348	40	2,308	5,326	100	5,226	7,534	3,838	961	550	3,747	184	70	9,350	(1,816)	7,534	E	243
TOTAL	24,942	284	24,658	42,395	495	41,900	66,558	22,871	5,785	4,217	26,604	3,708	702	63,887	2,671	66,558	(2)	3,237
1/ Wells rec	overed 24.942	2 AF from olde.	r alluvium. Ar	1/ Wells recovered 24.942 AF from older alluvium. An additional 177 AF was delivered to Pechanga	7 AF was delive	ered to Pechar	naa Indian Re	ndian Reservation and is shown on Table A-5.	nd is show	vn on Table	¢ A-5.							
2/ Groundwa	ater used in S	Groundwater used in San Mateo Watershed	ershed.				,											

Includes 24.282 AF direct use (13,109 AF to Rancho Division and 11,173 AF to Santa Rosa Division); 14,643 AF direct recharge; and 3,470 AF from MWD WR-34.
 Import used in San Mateo Watershed.
 32 AF into Murrieta Creek from Wells 101, 102, and 118, 54 AF into Santa Gertrudis Creek from Wells 106 and 108;

32 AF into Murrieds more wearshown wells 101, 102, and 118; 54 AF into Santa Gertrudis Creek from Wells 106 and 108;
 32 AF from System River Meter; 152 AF from potable connection to WR-34 outlet pipe and 3,470 AF from MWD WR-34.
 0 AF from System River Meter; 152 AF from potable connection to WR-34 outlet pipe and 3,470 AF from MWD WR-34.
 0 AF from System River Meter; 152 AF from potable connection to WR-34 outlet pipe and 3,470 AF from MWD WR-34.
 0 AF from System River Meter; 152 AF from potable connection to WR-34 outlet pipe and 3,470 AF from MWD WR-34.
 1 4.643 AF of direct recharge less 13,941 AF of import recovery.
 7 Loss = Total production less total use and includes 137 acre feet pumped from wells 102, 121, 135, 146 and 155 directly into reclaimed water system.
 8 / Vaii releases and the related Vaii recharge are computed as Total Release less inflow to be bypassed. See Tables 3.3 and 7.4 for explanation of minus 5 AF in 2011-12.
 9 Does not include 549 AF reclaimed wastewater purchased from EMWD.

MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

U.S.M.C. - CAMP PENDLETON

Quantities in Acre Feet 2011-12

1/ There was no Agricultural Irrigation in Water Year 2012.

2/ Camp Supply water use is divided with 40% used inside the SMRW and 60% used outside the SMRW.

Assumes no losses 3 4

Reclaimed use for irrigation of golf course, landscaping and park areas.

5/ All wastewater treated at Southern Regional Tertiary Treatment Plant (SRTTP) beginning December 2008.
6/ Agriculture and Camp Supply use outside the SMRW, reclaimed use outside the SMRW, plus Outfall to Oceanside.
7/ Percent Camp Supply reclaimed estimated as 2,788 AF divided by 4,676 AF equals 59.60%. Wastewater returns estimated at 59.60% of Camp Supply use outside of SMRW.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U. S. NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, FALLBROOK ANNEX

2011-12 Quantities in Acre Feet

	P	RODUCTION				US	E			WASTEWATE	R
MONTH YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO WATERSHED 1/	TOTAL		AG	COMM/ DOM	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE		EXPORTED	
2011				11					11		
OCT	0.0	3.2	3.2	İİ	0.0	2.9	0.3	3.2		0.0	
NOV	0.0	4.8	4.8		0.0	4.4	0.4	4.8		0.0	
DEC	0.0	10.7	10.7		0.0	9.7	1.0	10.7		0.0	
2012						. -		~ -			
JAN	0.0	2.7	2.7		0.0	2.5	0.2	2.7		1.0	
FEB	0.0	2.0	2.0		0.0	1.8	0.2	2.0		1.0	
MAR	0.0	6.7	6.7		0.0	6.1	0.6	6.7		1.0	
APR	0.0	2.7	2.7		0.0	2.5	0.2	2.7		1.0	
MAY	0.0	2.0	2.0	11	0.0	1.8	0.2	2.0		1.0	
JUNE	0.0	4.3	4.3	-	0.0	3.9	0.4	4.3		1.0	
JULY	0.0	3.1	3.1	İİ	0.0	2.8	0.3	3.1	ÌÌ	1.0	
AUG	0.0	3.1	3.1		0.0	2.8	0.3	3.1	İİ	0.0	
SEPT	0.0	3.1	3.1		0.0	2.8	0.3	3.1	ii	2.0	
									Î		
TOTAL	0.0	48.4	48.4		0.0	44.0	4.4	48.4		9.0	

1/ Import via Fallbrook Public Utility District

2/ Loss = 10% of Use

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

	Р	RODUCTIO	N				USE		
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT	TOTAL	AG	СОММ	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS *	TOTAL USE
2011			I						
OCT	81	144	225		18	122	169	56	225
NOV	50	67	117	17	15	105	137	(20)	117
DEC	8	52	60	10	14	67	91	(31)	60
2012									
JAN	59	41	100	12	12	74	98	2	100
FEB	56	78	134	13	15	81	109	25	134
MAR	48	65	113	14	15	69	98	15	113
APR	45	76	121	15	34	75	124	(3)	121
MAY	48	148	196	8	27	139	174	22	196
JUNE	80	172	252	39	43	148	230	22	252
JULY	81	181	262	19	49	161	229	33	262
AUG	83	194	277	27	50	211	288	(11)	277
SEPT	111	153	264 	47	48	166	261	3	264
TOTAL	750	1,371	2,121	250	340	1,418	2,008	113	2,121

* Loss = Total production less total delivered

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MISCELLANEOUS WATER PRODUCTION AND IMPORTS

2011-12

Quantities in Acre Feet

	IMPORT			PRO	DUCTION		
MONTH YEAR	WESTERN MWD IMPORTS TO IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT A	ANZA MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	RANCHO CALIFORNIA OUTDOOR RESORTS	QUIET OAKS MOBILE HOME PARK	LAKE RIVERSIDE ESTATES	HAWTHORN WATER SYSTEM	JOJOBA HILLS SKP RESORT
2011							
OCT	3.70	2.19	7.70	1.50	18.87	2.97	6.39
NOV	3.10	0.95	6.50	1.30	1.75	2.37	4.13
DEC	3.40	1.74	5.10	1.00	18.03	1.63	4.17
2012							
JAN	4.20	1.28	4.90	0.90	2.80	1.63	5.19
FEB	3.30	1.61	4.50	0.90	10.71	1.47	4.88
MAR	3.50	1.30	5.20	1.00	2.84	1.63	4.28
APR	3.10	1.74	5.70	1.10	31.56	2.42	6.85
MAY	5.70	2.30	6.60	1.30	32.12	2.93	6.47
JUNE	4.30	3.17	7.20	1.40	56.05	2.84	6.64
JULY	4.40	3.77	7.90	1.50	59.54	2.29	7.39
AUG	5.40	3.32	8.10	1.60	42.79	2.13	6.65
SEPT	4.40	2.85	7.60	1.50	33.25	2.06	6.08
SUBTOT	AL		11.00	* 15.00 *			
			429.40	** 8.30 **			
TOTAL	48.50	26.22	506.40	23.30	310.31	26.37	69.12

* Annual production estimated due to meter malfunction, monthly quantities calculated assuming typical monthly distribution.

** Estimated non-metered use.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT

WATER YEAR 2011-12

APPENDIX B

WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

WATER YEAR 1965-66 TO WATER YEAR 2011-12

July 2013

TABLE B-1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PR	ODUCT	ION						USE 2/			_			RECL	AIMED V	VASTEW	ATER	
WATER YEAR	WELLS I	MPORT 1/		NET IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	сомм	DOM	TOTAL	LOSS	TOTAL USE		REUS IN SMRV 3/		REUSE OUTSIDE SMRW	OTHER REUSE 4/	RELEASE TO RIVER	RECHARGE	TOTAL
1966	0	1,604	0	1,604	1,604	11.1	,520	0	4	1,524	80	1,604	11		0	0		0	100	100
1967	Ō	1,630	Ó	1,630	1.630		.544	0	4	1,548	82	1,630	ii		0	0		0	100	100
1968	ō	1.464	Ō	1.464	1.464		,386	Ó	5	1.391	73	1,464	ii		0	0		0	100	100
1969	ō	1,741	0	1,741	1,741		648	0	6	1,654	87	1,741	- ii		0	0		0	100	100
1970	Ó	1,417	0	1,417	1,417	ii 1	,340	0	7	1,346	71	1,417	ii		0	0		0	101	101
1971	0	1,383	0	1,383	1,383	ii 1	,306	0	8	1,314	69	1,383	ii		0	0		0	119	119
1972	0	1,470	0	1,470	1,470	ii 1	,388	0	8	1,396	74	1,470	- İİ		0	0		0	242	242
1973	0	1.533	0	1,533	1,533	ii 1	447	0	10	1,456	77	1,533	ΞÌÌ		0	0		0	217	217
1974	0	1,601	0	1,601	1,601	111	1,511	0	10	1,521	80	1,601	11		0	0		0	193	193
1975	0	1,969	0	1,969	1,969	- j j 1	,859	0	11	1,871	98	1,969	1		0	0		0	253	253
1976	145	2,493	0	2,493	2,638	12	2,356	0	150	2,506	132	2,638	11	13		0		0	155	289
1977	431	2,947	0	2,947	3,378	112	2,723	64	423	3,209	169	3,378	- 11	24	4	0		0	70	314
1978	375	2,551	0	2,551	2,926	112	2,409	0	371	2,780	146	2,926	- 11			0		0	75	375
1979	289	1,894	0	1,894	2,183	111	1,784	0	290	2,074	109	2,183	- 11	35	0	0		0	147	497
1980	281	1,192	0	1,192	1,473	1	1,116	0	283	1,399	74	1,473	- 11			0		0	220	595
1981	282	716	0	716	998	11	663	0	285	948	50	998	- 11			0		0	304	679
1982	321	1,112	0	1,112	1,433	1	1,038	0	323	1,361	72	1,433	11	37		0		0	386	761
1983	106	1,211	0	1,211	1,317	1	1,131	0	120	1,251	66	1,317	- 11			0		0	466	841
1984	236	699	0	699	935	11	644	0	244	888	47	935	11			0		0	525	925
1985	314	679	0	679	993	11	624	0	319	943	50	993	1	45		0		0	565	1,015
1986	229	760	0	760	989	11	700	0	239	940	49	989				0		0	509	1,109
1987	89	1,155	0	1,155	1,244	11	638	0	543	1,182	62	1,244				0		0	554	1,204
1988	4	2,047	0	2,047	2,051	11	524	0	1,424	1, 9 48	103	2,051				0		0	650	1,300
1989	685	3,746	0	3,746	4,431	1	1,146	0	3,064	4,209	222	4,431				0		0	1,636	2,694
1990	492	8,578	2,977	5,601	6,093		978	0	4,810	5,788	305	6,093				0		0	2,160	3,727
1991		16,621	7,142	9,479	9,935		851	0	8,587	9,438	497	9,935		1,28		0		0	2,272	3,554
1992		13,486	4,893	8,593	9,120		29	0	8,635	8,664	456	9,120				0		245	2,385	3,953
1993	524	7,287	1,894	5,393	5,917		36	0	5,585	5,621	296	5,917	ļ			990	(285		2,020	4,626
1994		10,082	2,932	7,150	7,382	11	0	0	7,013	7,013	369	7,382	- [2,465	694	0	0	5,846
1995		11,539	6,914	4,625	4,807		16	0	4,551	4,567	240	4,807	1			1,357	2,551	0	. 0	6,062
1996		11,730	6,770	4,960	5,259	11	0	0	4,996	4,996	263	5,259	1			2,473	520	0	0	5,972
1997	408	5,093	1,809	3,284	3,692	II.	0	0	5,226	5,226	(1,534)			3,12		2,319	882		0	6,327
1998	240	6,609	1,492	5,117	5,357		0	0	5,090	5,090	267	5,357			9 5/	2,139	2,374	0	0	7,462
1999	669	7,118	2,719	4,327	4,996	11	0	0	4,746	4,746	250	4,996		3,74		3,070	1,063	0	-	7,874
2000	630	9,179	1,923	7,256	7,886		0	0	7,493	7,493	393	7,886			9 7/	3,664	(15		0	8,318
2001	355	9,219	3,271	5,948	6,303	11	0	0	5,989	5,989	314	6,303				3,249	1,208 462		0	9,028
2002		12,777	4,954	8,117	8,130	11	0	0	7,724	7,724	406	8,130			3 9/	4,863	462	0	0	10,168 11,178
2003		14,175	5,113	9,062	9,062	11	0	0	8,610	8,610	452	9,062			2 10/		4,081	0	0	12,336
2004		17,381	8,243	9,138	9,138	11	0	0	8,960	8,960	178	9,138	ļ			3,688	5,427 8,986	0	0	12,330
2005		16,336	5,478	10,858	10,858	11	0		10,749	10,749		10,858	ļ		4 11/			-	0	
2006		21,034	6,873	14,161	14,161		0		13,453	13,453		14,161			8 12/		7,396 4,593	0	0	14,014 14,103
2007		21,161	5,763	15,398	15,398	11	0		14,628	14,628		15,398	1				4,593	0	0	14,103
2008		18,714	3,762	14,952	14,952	11	0		14,204	14,204		14,952				5,925 6,786	6,864 5,241	0	0	14,239
2009		16,919	2,447	14,472	14,472	11	0		13,748	13,748		14,472 13,552				7.026	5,241 4.803	0	0	14,042
2010		15,024	1,472	13,552	13,552		-	0	12,874	12,874	720					• • •	•	-	0	14,942
2011		14,675	283	14,392	14,392		131 96		10,662 11,076	13,672 14,309		14,392 15,063	-			7,241 8,025	5,140 4,525		0	14,942
2012	0	16,419	1,356	15,003	15,063	Ш	90	3,13/	1,010	14,309	704	10,000	I	∠,30	-	0,020	4,020	v	v	17,014

1/ Does not include deliveries to RCWD, Elsinore Valley MWD and Western MWD.

2/ Beginning in 2011, Use reported based on metered customer demands.

Prior years reporting based on supply meter data and is not complete for all categories. 3/ Reuse within Watershed includes noted amount of sewage distributed to RCWD for Includes 905 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.
 Includes 1,159 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.
 Includes 1,162 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

8/ Includes 1,201 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

10/ Includes 1,056 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.11/ Includes 574 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

12/ Includes 910 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

13/ Includes 797 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

VD for 9/ Includes 1,219 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD.

treatment by RCWD, reclaimed wastewater sold to RCWD for delivery to RCWD customers, and beginning in 2009, reclaimed wastewater sold to the Pechanga Band.

4/ Other Reuse includes changes in storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, evaporation and percolation losses, and discharges to the Santa Ana Watershed.

TABLE B-2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

ELSINORE VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

	PF	RODUCTIC	N					USE			
WATER YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	сомм	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS *	TOTAL USE	WASTEWATER EXPORTED
1966				-							
1967											
1968				ii.							
1969				ii.							
1970				ii.							
1971				ii							ii
1972				ii.							
1973				ii							
1974				İİ							
1975				İİ.							
1976				Й							
1977				İİ.							
1978				H							11
1979				11							
1980				11							
1981				11							
1982				11							
1983				11							
1984											
1985				П							11
1986				11							
1987				II.							
1988				11				4.044	~		74
1989	0	1,341	1,341					1,341	0	1,341	
1990	0	2,255	2,255					2,255	0	2,255	
1991	0	2,421	2,421					2,421	0	2,421	
1992	0	2,190	2,190	•••	539	84	2,341	2,190 2,964	0	2,190 2,964	
1993 1994	0 0	2,964	2,964		687	93	2,341		0	3,232	
1994	0	3,232 3,127	3,232 3,127		520	100	2,452		0	3,232	••
1995	0	4,197	3,127 4,197	•••	871	100	3,217		0	4,197	••
1990	0	4,197	4,197		848	118	3,330		0	4,296	
1998	0	5,100	5,100		667	1,396	3,037		Ő	5,100	••
1999	0	6,133	6,133		921	1,626	3,586		õ	6,133	••
2000	ŏ	7,174	7,174		1,089	1.971	4,114		Ō	7,174	• •
2001	0	6,215	6,215		925	1,815	3,475		Ō	6,215	• •
2002	0	7,596	7,596	•••	1,173	1,902	4,521	•	0	7,596	• •
2003	0	7,091	7,091	•••	63	2,665	4,363	•	Ó	7,091	• •
2004	0	8,438	8,438		96	3,238	5,104		0	8,438	
2005	Ō	8,215	8,215		104	3,044	5,067		0	8,215	
2006	0	9,819	9,819		127	4,118	5,574		0	9,819	
2007	0	10,811	10,811		150	4,509	6,152		0	10,811	
2008	0	9,951	9,951		115	4,149	5,687	9,951	0	9,951	
2009	0	9,075	9,075	İÌ	147	2,015	6,913	9,075	0	9,075	1,069
2010	0	7,926	7,926	ÌÌ	133	1,718	6,075	7,926	0	7,926	1,120
2011	0	7,425	7,425		94	1,517	5,539	7,150	275	7,425	1,130
2012	0	7,398	7,398		27	1,723	5,426	5 7,176	222	7,398	1,205

* For period prior to 2011, assumes no loss. Beginning in 2011, loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed is determined using the calculation to determine District-wide unaccounted for water by comparing District-wide annual supply and customer deliveries, and is assumed to be constant for all months.

TABLE B-3.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

WATER YEAR	TOTAL LAKE	LAKE													
	SKINNER DIVERSIONS	SKINNER DIVERSIONS DELIVERED	WELLS	TOTAL DISTRICT IMPORT	DELUZ AREA IMPORT	FALLE AREA IMPORT	BROOK SMRW IMPORT 1/	TOTAL SMRW IMPORT	TOTAL SMRW PRODUCTION		AG	COMM/ DOM	TOTAL IN SMRW	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE IN SMRW
1966			176	11,169	0	11,169	3,351	3,351	3,404		2,735	328	3,063	341	3,404
1967			16	9,508	ŏ	9,508	2,852	2,852	2,857	li		319	2,572	285	2,857
1968			13	11,411	ō	11,411	3,423	3,423	3,427	ii		531	3,085	342	3,427
1969			178	9,458	Ō	9,458	2,837	2,837	2,891		1,787	814	2,601	290	2,891
1970			305	11,794	Ō	11.794	3,538	3,538	3,630	i		617	3,266	364	3,630
1971			7	11,350	0	11,350	3,405	3,405	3,407	i		681	3,067	340	3,407
1972			0	13,054	0	13,054	3,916	3,916	3,916	i		775	3,524	392	3,916
1973			0	10,610	38	10,572	3,172	3,210	3,210	1		732	2,888	322	3,210
1974			0	12,911	134	12,777	3,833	3,967	3,967	i		868	3,571	396	3,967
1975			0	11,492	213	11,279	3,384	3,597	3,597	i		816	3,236	361	3,597
1976			0	13,147	431	12,716	4,196	4,627	4,627	i		965	4,165	462	4,627
1977			20	13,435	587	12,848	4,625	5,212	5,232	i		1,174	4,710	522	5,232
1978			97	12,626	651	11,975	4,551	5,202	5,299	i		1,265	4,769	530	5,299
1979			187	12,865	961	11,904	4,762	5,723	5,910	i		1,498	5,318	592	5,910
1980			192	13,602	1,191	12,411	5,213	6,404	6,596		4,258	1,678	5,936	660	6,596
1981			87	16,878	1,994	14,884	6,549	8,543	8,630	• •	5,688	2,144	7,832	798	8,630
1982			0	13,270	1,805	11,465	5,274	7,079	7,079		4,614	1,862	6,476	603	7,079
1983			0	12,298	1,969	10,329	4,751	6,720	6,720		4,320	1,871	6,191	529	6,720
1984			0	15,429	2,609	12,820	5,897	8,506	8,506		5,814	2,077	7,891	615	8,506
1985			0	14,256		11,898	5,473	7,831	7,831		5,187		7,322	509	7,831
1986			0	15,383	-	12,589	5,791	8,585	8,585	i		-	8,017	568	8,585
1987			0	15,313		12,327	5,670	8,656	8,656		5,793	2,281	8,074	582	8,656
1988			28	14,460		11,901	5,474	8,033	8,061		5,181		7,529	532	8,061
1989			94	16,179	3,007	13,172	6,059	9,066	9,160		5,620		8,326	834	9,160
1990			15	17,568	3,745	13,823	6,358	10,103	10,118	i		2,878	9,153	965	10,118
1991			46	13,939	•	11,068	5,091	7,962	8,008		5,146	2,314	7,460	548	8,008
1992			45	13,698	•	10,748	4,943	7,893	7,938	Ì		2,201	7,486	452	7,938
1993			86	12,695		10,685	4,915	6,925	7,011		4,329	2,349	6,678	333	7,011
1994			83	13,124		10,878	5,004	7,250	7,333		4,282		6,948	385	7,333
1995			3	11,620		9,412	4,330	6,538	6,541	i			6,316	225	6,541
1996			0	14,168		11,435	5,260	7,993	7,993	i		3,247	7,658	335	7,993
1997			0	14,005	•	11,317	5,206	7,894	7,894		4,351	3,249	7,600	294	7,894
1998			0	11,757		9,954	4,579	6,382	6,382	1		2,798	6,043	339	6,382
1999			0	14,307	1,572	12,735	5,858	7,430	7,430	i		3,271	7,019	411	7,430
2000			0	15,983	2,705	14,478	6,660	9,365	9,365	i		3,903	9,041	324	9,365
2001			0	15,249	•	12,687	5,836	8,398	8,398		4,413	3,537	7,950	448	8,398
2002			0	17,422		14,522	6,680	9,580	9,580		5,185		9,221	359	9,580
2003			0	15,864		12,471	5,737	9,130			6,041		9,778	(648)	9,130
2004			0	19,640		14,613	6,722	11,749	11,749				11,240	509	11,749
2005 R	1,261	1,261	Ō	13,986		10,885	5,007	8,108	9,369		4,654		8,235	1,134	9,369
2006 R	106	106	Ő	18,297	-	14,303	6,579	10,573	10,679		5,958		9,977	702	10,679
2007	0	0	Õ	20,750	•	15,664	7,205	12,292	12,292		7,271		11,771	521	12,292
2008 R	31	31	õ	15,508		12,202	5,613	8,920			4,492		8,454	497	8,951
2009	0	0	õ	15,355		12,588	5,790	8,557			4,151		8,047	510	8,557
2010 R	20	20	Ő	12,752		10,314	4,754	7,183			3,576		6,771	432	7,203

1/ Total SMRW production equals SMRW Import plus 30% local (1966-1971).

2/ Loss = Total production less total use.

R - Revised

TABLE B-3.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

	DISTR	RICT WIDE P	RODUCTI	ON	~ .	SMF		UCTION				SM	RW USE		
WATER YEAR	TOTAL LAKE SKINNER DIVERSIONS 1/	LAKE SKINNER DIVERSIONS DELIVERED	TOTAL DISTRICT IMPORT 2/	TOTAL DISTRICT SUPPLY 3/		SMRW LAKE SKINNER	SMRW IMPORT	TOTAL SMRW PRODUCTION		AG	сомм	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED IN SMRW	LOSS 4/	TOTAL USE IN SMRW
2011 2012	284 0	284 0	11,264 12,579	11,548 12,579	 	284 0	6,234 7,254	6,518 7,254		3,742 4,261	327 337	1,990 2,060	6,059 6,658	459 596	6,518 7,254

1/ Diverted under Permit No. 11356.

2/ Includes production from Capra Well located in San Luis Rey Watershed and supply from San Diego County Water Authority.

3/ A portion of the District is outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

4/ Loss percentage within the Santa Margarita River Watershed is determined using the calculation to determine District-wide unaccounted

for water by comparing District-wide annual supply and customer deliveries, and is assumed to be constant for all months.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WASTEWATER PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

WATER YEAR		PRECENT WASTEWATER FROM SMRW	WASTEWATER FROM SMRW	WASTEWATER REUSED IN SMRW	WASTEWATER FROM U.S. NWS 1/	WASTEWATER EXPORTED FROM SMRW	PERCENT WASTEWATER FROM SLR WATERSHED 2/	WASTEWATER IMPORTED FROM SLR WATERSHED
1966	395	81	320		0	0	19	75
1967	460	80	368		0	0	20	92
1968	524	80	419		0	0	20	105
1969	588	79	465		0	0	21	123
1970	652	78	509		0	0	22	143
1971	717	78	559		0	0	22	158
1972	782	77	602		0	0	23	180
1973	847	76	644		0	0	24	203
1974	912	75	684		0	0	25	228
1975	976	75	732		0	0	25	244
1976	1,040	74	770		0	0	26	270
1977	1,105	73	807		0	0	27	298
1978	1,170	72	842		0	0	28	328
1979	1,234	72	888		0	0	28	346
1980	1,298	71	922		0	0	29	376
1981	1,363	70	954		0	0	30	409
1982	1,428	69	985		0	0	31	443
1983	1,492	69	1,029		26 I	E 1,003	0	0
1984	1,556	68	1,058		26 1	E 1,032	0	0
1985	1,621	67	1,086		26 I	Ξ 1,060	0	0
1986	1,685	66	1,112		18 I	⊃ 1,094	0	0
1987	1,750	66	1,155		27	1,128	0	0
1988	1,815	65	1,180		25	1,155	0	0
1989	1,881	64	1,204		22	1,182	0	0
1990	1,952	66	1,298		27	1,271	0	0
1991	1,622	60	973		11	962	0	0
1992	1,730	63	1,090		7	1,083	0	0
1993	2,051	62	1,271		16	1,255	0	0
1994	1,834	58	1,073		5	1,068	0	0
1995	1,941	60	1,165		12	1,153	0	0
1996	1,799	58	1,040		5	1,035	0	0
1997	1,780	58	1,027		6	1,021	0	0
1998	2,297	65	1,490		8	1,482	0	0
1999	2,175	64	1,382		5	1,377	0	0
2000	2,164	66	1,426		7	1,419	0	0
2001	2,191	65	1,424	24	8	1,392	0	0
2002	2,061	61	1,262	28	9	1,225	0	0
2003	2,276	61	1,390	21	10	1,359	0	0
2004	2,199	62	1,363	26	8	1,329	0	0
2005	2,505	58	1,457	24	16	1,417	0	0
2006	2,479	58	1,429	26	8	1,395	0	0
2007	1,951	48	932	29	12	891	0 0	Ő
2008	1,940	43	838	28	11	799	Õ	0 0
2009	1,900	46	872	31	12	829	ů	Ő
2010	1,972	49	960	27	7	926	ů 0	ŏ
2011	2,006	46	930	21	8	901	0 0	ŏ
2012	1,955	40	958	21	9	928	0	ŏ

NOTE: Measured quantities available for Total Wastewater in Water Year 1969 and July 1989. All other quantities are estimated (1966-1989). Prior to 1983, Wastewater was discharged into Fallbrook Creek. After 1983, Wastewater was discharged into an ocean outfall.

1/ United States Naval Weapons Station

2/ San Luis Rey Watershed

E - Estimated

P - Partial Year Data

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT **DELIVERIES IN DOMENIGONI VALLEY**

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUCTION	1					USE			
WATER YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT TO SMRW	TOTAL IN SMRW		AG	COMM/ DOM*	GW RECHARGE	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS **		TOTAL USE
1966	0	O	0	י נ	0	0	0	0	0		0
1967	0	0	0	ii	Ō	0	0	0	0		0
1968	0	0	0,	ii	0	0	0	0	0		0
1969	0	0	0	- Î Î	0	0	0	0	0		0
1970	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0		0
1971	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0		0
1972	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
1973	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
1974	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
1975	0	0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0 0		0
1976 1977	0 0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
1977	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
1978	0	0	0		ő	0 0	0 0	ő	Ő		õ
1980	0	ő	0 0		õ	ŏ	Ő	Ő	Ő		Õ
1981	Ő	õ	õ		ŏ	õ	0 0	õ	õ		0
1982	ő	0 0	0 0	- ii	õ	Ő	0	0	Ō		0
1983	0	0	0	İİ	0	0	0	0	0		0
1984	0	0	0	ii	0	0	0	0	0		0
1985	0	0	0	- İİ	0	0	0	0	0		0
1986	0	0	0	- H	0	0	0	0	0		0
1987	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
1988	0	0	0		0	Q	0	0	0		0
1989	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
1990	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0
1991	0	0	0		0	0	0	0 0	0 0		0 0
1992	0	0	0		0	0 0	0	0	0		0
1993 1994	0	0 0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0		0
1994 1995	0	547	547		354	193	0	547	0	R	547
1995	0	1,005	1,005		763	242	ŏ	1,005	ő	R	1,005
1997	0	3,521	3,521		591	2.891	39	3,521	Ő	R	3,521
1998	Ő	5,023	5,023	ii	193	4,403	427	5,023	0	R	5,023
1999	0	3,781	3,781	ii	404	2,978	399	3,781	0	R	3,781
2000	0	712	712	- ii	92	356	264	712	0	R	712
2001	0	689	689	- İİ	505	0	184	689	0	R	689
2002	0	595	595	11	569	26	0	595	0	R	595
2003	0	496	495		495	0	0	495	0	R	495
2004	0	766	766		766	0	0	766	0	R	766
2005	0	556	556		556	0	0	556	0	R	556
2006	0	506	506		506	0	0	506	0	R	506
2007	0	660	660		660	0	0	660	0	R	660
2008	0	493	493		493	0	0	493	0	R	493 465
2009	0	465	465		465	0 0	0 0	465 372	0 0	R R	465 372
2010	0	372	372 336		372 336	0	0	372	0	R	372
2011 2012	0	336 466	336 466		336 466	0	0	466	0		466
2012	0	400	400		400	0	U		0		400

Construction Water
 Points of delivery located at metered pumps on San Diego Canal and thus the losses in the MWD system are zero.

R - Revised

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION

Quantities in Acre Feet

			PRODUCTION 1/					ι	ISE 2/		
WATER YEAR	SURFACE DIVERSION	WELLS ON RESERVATION	DELIVERED GROUNDWATER FROM RCWD	RECLAIMED WASTEWATER FROM EMWD	TOTAL	AG	СОММ	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS 3/	TOTAL USE
1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986											
1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011	0 0 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 0 0 0 0 0	58 66 91 70 63 145 167 175 241 370 291 460 600 721 608 754 919 865 702 561 632	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	58 66 91 70 63 145 171 179 245 374 295 464 604 725 608 754 1,073 1,277 1,220 1,185 1,159	0 0 0 0 0 0 33 55 73 78 81 140 159 275 599 548 531 468	0 0 4 45 25 62 84 182 85 194 354 354 354 354 354 401 401 517 370 441 364 418	58 66 91 700 146 117 128 141 154 174 148 71 61 194 229 282 195 235 225	N/R N/R N/R N/R N/R N/R N/R N/R 441 580 689 602 N/R 1,021 1,251 1,184 1,130	N/R R/R R/R R/R R/R 24 6 6/R 22 6 6 5 1 6	58 66 91 70 63 145 171 179 245 374 295 464 725 608 754 1,073 1,277 1,220 1,185 1,159

Records prior to 1991 not available.
 For period 1991 through 2006, use shown as reported to Watermaster and published in prior Watermaster reports.
 For 2007, loss assumed to be 5% for all use types; for prior years any losses shown as reported to Watermaster.

For 2008 to present, loss determined as Total Production less Total Delivered.

N/R---Not reported.

TABLE B-7

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUC	TION				USE		
WATER YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO DISTRICT	TOTAL IN WATERSHED 1/		AG 2/	COMMERCIAL/ DOMESTIC 3/	TOTAL DELIVERIES	LOSS 4/	TOTAL USE
1966	0	14,538	1,308	11	1,049	140	1,189	119	1,308
1967	0	12,167	1,095	İİ.	878	117	995	100	1,095
1968	0	15,301		ii.	1,104	147	1,252	125	1,377
1969	0	13,917		ii.	1,005	134	1,139	114	1,252
1970	0	18,764		ii.	1,354	181	1,535	154	1,689
1971	0	18,338	•	ii.	1,324	177	1,500	150	1,650
1972	0	22,633		ii.	1,634	218	1,852	185	2,037
1973	Ō	17,955		ii	1,296	173	1,469	147	1,616
1974	õ	22,768			1,643	219	1,863	186	2,049
1975	0	13,856		11	1,000	133	1,134	113	1,247
1976	õ	24,878	•		1,796	240	2,035	204	2,239
1977	ŏ	26,038		ii.	1,879	251	2,130	213	2,343
1978	õ	24,312			1,755	234	1,989	199	2,188
1979	0	26,084	2,348	ï	1,883	251	2,134	213	2,347
1980	0	27,660			1,997	266	2,154	226	2,489
1980	0	35,036	3,153		2,529	337	2,200	287	3,153
	0	•	2,460		-	263	2,000	224	2,460
1982		27,334		ļļ.	1,973		-		
1983	0	24,957		II.	1,735	256	1,991	199	2,190
1984	0	32,526		ļļ	2,483	306	2,789	279	3,068
1985	0	28,612	3,410	ļļ	2,798	302	3,100	310	3,410
1986	0	29,023		11	2,353	324	2,677	268	2,945
1987	0	29,449	3,390	11	2,765	317	3,082	308	3,390
1988	0	29,070	2,985	11	2,372	342	2,714	271	2,985
1989	0	32,034	3,003	II	2,385	345	2,730	273	3,003
1990	0	34,612	3,818	П	3,003	468	3,471	347	3,818
1 9 91	0	27,754	2,904		2,276	364	2,640	264	2,904
1 9 92	0	26,056	2,277	11	1,877	193	2,070	207	2,277
1993	0	23,766	1,965	11	1,655	132	1,787	178	1,965
1994	0	22,173	1,651		1,368	133	1,501	150	1,651
1 9 95	0	20,935	1,661		1,398	112	1,510	151	1,661
1996	0	24,835	1,815	11	1,487	163	1,650	165	1,815
1997	0	24,638	1,429		1,139	160	1,299	130	1,429
1998	0	19,693	1,601	11	1,315	141	1,456	145	1,601
1999	0	24,961	1,727		1,411	159	1,570	157	1,727
2000	0	30,446	2,217		1,861	154	2,015	202	2,217
2001	0	27,214	1,804	11	1,439	202	1,641	163	1,804
2002	0	32,854	1,676		1,368	156	1,524	152	1,676
2003	0	29,156	1,510	İT.	1,237	136	1,373	137	1,510
2004	0	33,686	1,888	ii.	1,567	149	1,716	172	1,888
2005	0	25,135	1,610	ii.	1,331	133	1,464	146	1,610
2006	0	29,797	1,851	ii.	1,529	154	1,683	168	1,851
2007	0	32,939	2,262	ii.	1,871	185	2,056	206	2,262
2008	0	24,390	1,790	ii.	1,461	167	1,628	162	1,790
2009	0	27,075	1,852	ii	1,463	220	1,683	169	1,852
2010	õ	20,769	1,453	ii	1,147	174	1,321	132	1,453
2011	õ	18,599	1,492	ÏÌ	1,251	105	1,356	136	1,492
2012	õ	21,152	1,892		1,602	118	1,720	172	1,892
	v	L ., IV2	.,	11	.,002		.,	•••	.,

1/ 1966 through 1982 estimated to be 9% of total district imports

2/ 1966 through 1982 estimated to be 80.2% of total deliveries to watershed

3/ 1966 through 1982 estimated to be 10.7% of total deliveries to watershed

4/ Loss = 10% of use

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

STEWATER	MURRIETA CREEK DISCHARGE 5/	0	0	0 0	-	5 0	5 0	5 1	0 0	5 0				, 0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	5 0	- c			0	0	0 0	5 0	- c	179	1,654	,854	,015	081	5				. 0	. 0	0	0	0
RECLAIMED WASTEWATER		U	00	0 0	5 0	50	5 6	5 0		5 0	0 0	5 0		, o	0	0	0	0	0	0	• :	84	82	133	352	374	378	1,936	1,753	603 0/	, 6	6/		/6	<i>5</i> , 6				4.730 9/		4.191 9/			
R	REUSE IN SMRW	=	-=	=:	=:	=:	=:	=:	=:	=:		==	==	==	:=	:=	:=	-	_	=	=:		===	= =	==	:=	=:	_:	==	==	==	:=	=	=:	==	==	==	==	==		==		=	:=
LAKE	IRRIGATION 4/	185	1,136	398	169	540	1,541	203	524	990'L	369	20			0	0	0	715	1,144	1,201	1,053	273		o c		0	0	0	0 0			0	0	0	00						0	0	0	0
VAIL LAKE	RELEASE AND RECHARGE	c	0	0 0	0	0			0 (0 (00			10.944	6,802	6,058	12,113	6,612	5,027	8,722	8,089	4,844		6.253	2,244	31,704	8,469	11,158	9,421 1 725	4.514	1,010	(49)	(361)	(314)	(000)	(101)	1 399	202	4.845	1.236	801	2,470	(2)
	TOTAL																						200.04	43,UZ0 55,271	47.741	46,899	42,440	49,111	48,219	59,585 60 073	46.435	65,088	83,347	68,244	79,043	012'01	24 001	87.853	91.099	76.561	77.723	66,261	66,834	66,558
	3/ J/																						2002	7 870	488	3,487	(103)		(631)						3,924			4 032	6.251	2,499	2.802	3,387	1,638	2,671
	TOTAL USE																						000017	42,035	47 253	43,412	42,543	47,693	48,850	57,143	47,844	63,771	79,031	64,715	75,119	13,009	000°1 0	83 821	84 848	74,062	74.921	62,874	65,196	63,887
ш	IMPORT RECHARGE TO STORAGE	c	• •	0 (0 0	0 0	-	0	• •	5	0	0 0	- c			0	0	0	0	•	0	0 0	4 0 0		0 8/		0	0	0 0	0	50	2,286	8,008	2,374	1,454	7,004	5,034 5,1£0	0,102 6.163	2 247	1.417	2.357	2.075	5,239	702
USE	SMR Release R TC																						050	200	785	683	519	467	1,464	2,149	459	1,044	1,067	514	715			4 023	3,859	4.092	5,302	3,913	4,399	3,708
	MOD																						40.400					•		2 16,330					5 26,573									
	COMM																							2,010	100	2.40	2,14	2,32	2,52	2,75	08.0				5 5,285				9 5,063					
	AG/ DOM																						ş	35	2 2	51	65	ह	81	22	ō 6					11 4,040				88 5.621		56 4,886		
	AG		= =	=:	=:	=:	=:	_	=	_	=	=:	==	==		==		:=	:==	=	=	=:	=:	11 27,642	= =		=	=	= :	= =	11 28 307	:=	=	=	= :	= =	11 35,40/	= =	= =	= =	= =		=	=
	TOTAL	c	4,288	5,100	3,617	6,721	7,960	8,369	7,726	10,163	10,357	11,928	100171	18,380	22 747	30,894	26,009	22.427	32,376	31,531	38,171	41,299	39,221	49,020	47 741	46,899	42,440	49,111	48,219	59,686	46.435	65,088	83,347	68,244	79,043	016'0/	244,78	87,853	000,10	76.561	77.723	66,261	66,834	66,558
	NET IMPORT	c		0	0	•	0	•	•	•	•	119		4//°C	10 126	15.282	13.378	5.752	6,716	7,158	11,174	7,564	17,854	060'77	21 238	16,931	11,411	16,386	15,108	23,600	19 584	34,490	55,409	41,823	54,148	50,744	62,408 47 644	4/,014 6/.611	63 818	50.683	50.270	40.894		41,900
	EXPORT 2/		0	0	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0 0	2 0		• c		0	0	0	0	•	0	0 0	00		0	0	0	0	00		• •	0	0	0	221	79/	0/0 201	P20	1012	718	513	431	495
PRODUCTION	IMPORT E	c	• •	0	0	•		•	0	0	•	119	690'	4///C	10 126	15,282	13,378	5.752	6,716	7,158	11,174	7,564	17,854	G69'77	21 238	16,931	11,411	16,386	15,108	23,600	10,584	34,490	55,409	41,823	54,148	176'06	03,1/0	40, 132 61 326	64 792	51 453	50.988	41.407	39,842	42,395
ā	NET WELLS																																			20,1/4	70,041	102,12	27 281	25.878	27.453	25,367	27,423	24,658
	EXPORT 1/																																		2	4 7	312	213	364	361	367	318	302	284
	MELLS		4,288	5,100	3,617	6,721	7,960	8,369	7,726	10,163	10,357	11,809	770'01	6,930	12 621	15,612	12,631	16.675 6/	25,660	24,373	26,997	33,735	21,367	26,131	26.503	29,968	31,029	32,725	33,111	36,086	26,851	30,598	27,938	26,421	24,895	23,238	20,303	27,550	27,645	26,239	27,820	25,685	27,725	24,942
	YEAR	1966	1967	1968	1969	01970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	111	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1001	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997 1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2002	2005	2008	2002	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012

TABLE B-9

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U.S.M.C. - CAMP PENDLETON EXCLUDING NAVAL WEAPONS STATION SHOWN ON B-10

Quantities in Acre Feet

	PF	ODUCTIO	ON	_			U	SE									
WATER YEAR	AG LOCAL	CAMP SUPPLY	TOTAL		AGRICUL IN SMRW 1/	TURE OUT SMRW	CAMP S IN SMRW 2	OUT	TOTAL EXPORT	TOTAL IN SMRW 3/		RECLAIN IN SMRW 4/	IED USE OUT SMRW 5/	EXPORTED TO OCEANSIDE OUTFALL	TOTAL 6/		NET EXPORT 7/
1966	1,101	4,605	5,706		429	672	2,026	2,579	3,251	2,455	 	1,893			1,893	11	
1967	796	4,811	5,607	•••	310	486	2,117	2,694	3,180	2,427	İİ	2,156			2,156		
1968	986	4,939	5,925	İİ.	385	601	2,172	2,767	3,368	2,557	11	2,080			2,080	II.	
1969	940	4,821	5,761	11	367	573	2,058	2,763	3,276	2,485	Н	2,189			2,189	П	
1970	1,106	5,481	6,587	П	431	675	2,347	3,134	3,809	2,778	•••	2,145			2,145	•••	
1971	819	5,291	6,110	•••	319	500	2,264	3,028	3,527	2,583		2,011			2,011	•••	
1972	817	5,323	6,140	П	319	498	2,278	3,045		2,597	•••	2,068			2,068	•••	
1973	1,003	5,121	6,124	•••	391	612	2,189	2,932		2,580	•••	2,137			2,137	•••	
1974	909	5,202	6,111	•••	355	554	2,224	2,978		2,579	•••	2,055			2,055	•••	
1975	757	4,593	5,350	•••	295	462	1,957	2,636	-	2,252	•••	2,519			2,519	•••	
1976	885	5,384	6,269	•••	345	540	2,305	3,079	3,619	2,650	•••	2,447			2,447	•••	
1977	994	4,506	5,500	•••	388	606	1,918	2,588	3,194	2,306	•••	2,358			2,358	•••	
1978	176	5,177	5,353	•••	69	107	2,213	2,964	-	2,282	•••	2,446			2,446	•••	
1979	1,070	7,213	8,283	•••	417	653	3,109	4,104	4,756	3,527	•••				2,493		
1980	835	5,495	6,330	•••	326	509	2,353	3,142		2,679	•••	2,506			2,506	•••	
1981	1,464	5,240	6,704	•••	571	893	2,241	2,999		2,812	•••	2,368			2,368	•••	
1982	1,447	5,024	6,471	•••	564	883	2,146	2,878		2,710		•			2,254	•••	
1983	942	4,215	5,157	•••	367	575	1,790	2,425		2,157	•••				2,494	•••	
1984	1,078	4,501	5,579	•••	420	658	1,916	2,585		2,336	•••	2,443			2,443	•••	
1985	1,069	4,764	5,833	•••	417	652	2,039	2,725		2,456	•••				2,619	•••	
1986	953	4,807	5,760	• •	372	581	2,062	2,745	-	2,434	•••				2,240		
1987	1,098	4,838	5,936		428	670	2,064	2,774	3,444	2,492	•••				3,166		
1988	1,223	4,721	5,944	•••	477	746	2,010	2,711	3,457	2,487	•••				3,396		
1989	856	5,044	5,900	•••	334	522	2,148	2,896	-	2,482	•••				2,747		
1990	855	4,228	5,083	•••	333	522 338	1,779 1,329	2,449 1,830	•	2,112 1,545	•••		362		2,728 2,651	•••	
1991 1992	554 898	3,159 3,254	3,713 4,152	•••	216 350	536 548	1,329	1,878		1,545	•••		279		2,051	•••	
1992	1,067	3,254 2,879	4,152 3,946	•••	416	651	1,370	1,678		1,617	•••		205		3,180	•••	
1993	1,007	3,150	4,621	•••	574	897	1,345	1,805		1,919	•••		200		2,814	•••	
1994	985	3,768	4,753	•••	384	601	1,545	2,180		1,972	•••		280		2,733	•••	
1996	1,000	5,199	6,199	•••	390	610	2,232	2,967		2,622			330		2,774		
1997	1,066	5,238	6,304		416	650	2,244	2,007		2,660	•••		509		3,429		
1998	1,026	5,468	6,494	•••	400	626	2,352	3,116		2,752	•••		222		3,230		
1999	1,064	5,054	6,118	•••	415	649	2,145	2,909		2,560			205		3,228		
2000	1,296	5,765	7,061		506	790	2,483	3,282		2,989	• •		411		3,563	•••	
2001	1,025	5,341	6,366	•••	399	626	2,314	3,027		2,713	•••		454		3,594		
2002	1,184	5,269	6,453	•••	462	722	2,290	2,979		2,752	• •		469		3,369	•••	
2003	1,270	5,210	6,480	•••	495	775	2,218	2,992		2,713	• •		415		3,102		
2004	1,227	5,538	6,765	•••	479	748	2,396	3,142		2,875	•••		444		2,988	•••	
2005	1,317	4,902	6,219	•••	514	803	2,134	2,768		2,648	• •		489		3,015		
2006	1,530	5,311	6,841		597	933	2,301	3,010		2,898	•••		449	•	2,747	•••	
2007	1,385	5,850	7,235	•••	540	845	2,535	3,315		3,075	• •		416	•	2,725		
2008	1,606	5,315	6,921	•••	579	1,027	2,603	2,712		3,182	• •		357	•	2,787		
2009	882	5,516	6,398	•••	273	609	2,593	2,923		2,866	•••		488	,	2,503		4,243
2010	645	5,137	5,782		202	443	2,672	2,465	•	2,874			396	•	2,241	•••	•
2011	76	5,165	5,241		24	52	2,583	2,582		2,607		0	320	•	2,882	•••	4,075
2012	0	4,676	4,676		0	0	1,869	2,807	2,807	1,869	•••	0	393	2,395	2,788	İİ	3,923

1/ For years 1966 - 2007, agricultural water use is divided with 39% used inside SMRW and 61% used outside SMRW, thereafter proportions are provided by Camp Pendleton. 2/ Prior to 1969, 44% used inside the SMRW and 56% used outside the SMRW. For years 1969 - 2007, Camp Supply water use inside SMRW equals 44% of sum of Camp

Supply production plus Naval Weapons Station Import, less the NWS Import. Annual proportions provided by Camp Pendleton beginning 2008.

3/ Assumes no losses.

4/ For years 1966 - 2003, reclaimed use inside SMRW reported as recharged wastewater from ponds and recharge areas.

See prior reports from 2008 and earlier for additional information.

5/ Reclaimed use for irrigation of golf course, landscaping and park areas.

6/ All wastewater treated at Southern Regional Tertiary Treatment Plant (SRTTP) beginning December 2008.

7/ Net Export equals the sum of Agriculture Out, Camp Supply Out, Reclaimed Out and Export to Oceanside Outfall, minus Wastewater Return, as shown on Table A-8.

TABLE B-10

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U. S. NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, FALLBROOK ANNEX

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUCTION		-		U	SE			WASTEWATER
WATE R YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO WATERSHED 1/	TOTAL		AG	COMM/ DOM	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE		EXPORTED
1966	87	0	87	П	0	79	9	87	11	0
1967	92	0	92	- ii	0	83	9	92	- ii	0
1968	108	0	108	11	0	97	11	108	- II	0
1969	138	0	138	- 11	0	113	25	138		0
1970	152	0	152		0	125	27	152	11	0
1971	39	76	115	- 11	0	100	15	115		0
1972	0	115	115		0	105	10	115		0
1973	0	115	115		0	105	10	115		0
1974	0	115	115	11	0	105	10	115	11	0
1975	0	115	115		0	105	10	115		0
1976	0	115	115		0	105	10	115	11	0
1977	0	115	115		0	105	10	115	11	0
1978	0	115	115		0	105	10	115	11	0
1979	0	115	115	11	0	105	10	115	11	0
1980	0	115	115		0	105	10	115	11	0
1981	0	115	115		0	105	10	115 115		0
1982 1983	0	115 115	115 115		0 0	105 105	10 10	115 115		0 26
	-	115	115		-	105	10	115	11	26 26
1984 1985	0 0	102	102		0 0	93	9	102		26 26
1985	0	94	94		0	93 85	9	94		18
1980	0 0	116	116		0	105		116		27
1988	0	120	120	li	0	103	11	120		25
1989	Ő	128	128	ii	0	105	12	128		23
1990	ŏ	145	145	ii	ŏ	132	13	145	ii.	27
1991	ŏ	109	109	ii	ŏ	99	10	109	Ш	11
1992	Ő	99	99	ii	Ō	90	9	99	ii	7
1993	ō	117	117	ii	Ō	106	11	117	ii	16
1994	0	73	73	ii	0	66	7	73	ii	5
1995	0	125	125	ii	0	114	11	125	-ii	12
1996	0	100	100	ii	0	91	9	100	ΞĤ.	5
1997	0	109	109	- İİ	0	99	10	109	- Ĥ	6
1998	0	97	97	ÌÌ	0	88	9	97	ΞÌÌ.	8
1999	0	111	111		0	101	10	111	ΞÌÌ.	5
2000	0	104	104	11	0	95	9	104		7
2001	0	73	73		0	66	7	73		8
2002	0	97	97		0	88	9	97	- 11	9
2003	0	88	88	- 11	0	80	8	88	Н	10
2004	0	73	73	11	0	66	7	73	Ш	8
2005	0	40	40	11	0	36	4	40	Н	16
2006	0	64	64		0	58	6	64	П	8
2007	0	70	70	11	0	64	6	70	П	12
2008	0	82	82	11	0	75	7	82	П	11
2009	0	74	74	11	0	67	7	74	П	12
2010	0	69	69		0	63	6	69	Ш	7
2011	0	45	45	11	0	41	4	45	11	8
2012	0	48	48		0	44	4	48		9

1/ Estimate 1969-1984 - Records not available

2/ Loss = 10% of Use

E - Estimate P - Partial year data

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

Quantities in Acre Feet

PRODUCTION					USE						
WATER YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT	TOTAL		AG	СОММ	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS *	TOTAL USE	
1000		•			0	•	07				
1966	41	0	41		0	0	37	37	4	41	
1967	45	0	45		0	0	41	41	4	45	
1968	54	0	54		0	0	49	49	5	54	
1969	54	0	54		0	0	49	49	5	54	
1970	73	0	73		0	0	66	66	7	.73	
1971	83	0	83		3	0	72	75	8	83	
1972	111	0	111		10	0	91	101	10	111	
1973	92	-0	92		11	0	72	84	8	92	
1974	132	0	132		14	0	107	120	12	132	
1975	153	0	153		18	0	121	139	14	153	
1976	117	0	117		22	0	84	106	11	117	
1977	170	0	170		21	0	134	155	15	170	
1978 1979	169 197	0 0	169		19	0	135	154	15	169	
1979	218	0	197		19	0	160	179	18	197	
1980	218 265		218		20	0	178	198	20	218	
		0	265		30	0	211	241	24	265	
1982 1983	230	0	230		21	0	188	209	21	230	
1983	216	0	216		14	0	182	196	20	216	
1985	304 308	0 0	304		26	0	250	276	28	304	
1985	305	0	308		19	0	261	280	28	308	
1988	305		305		22	0	255	277	28	305	
1987	303	0 0	326		23	0	273	296	30	326	
1988	286	0	303		13	35	262	275	28	303	
1989			286		11	72	262	344	(4)	286	
1990	465	0	465	• •	13	76	266	355	110	465	
	459	0	459		15	88	250	353	106	459	
1992	492	0	492		6	122	302	430	62	492	
1993	508	0	508		4	105	323	432	76	508	
1994	512	0	512		10	103	324	437	75	512	
1995	521	0	521		12	. 99	321	432	89	521	
1996	629	0	629		88	113	384	585	44	629	
1997	638	0	638		76	99	392	567	71	638	
1998	603	0	603		79	90	362	531	72	603	
1999	827	0	827		79	125	548	752	75	827	
2000	1,123	0	1,123		199	365	519	1,083	40	1,123	
2001	1,389	0	1,389		163	414	740	1,317	72	1,389	
2002	1,679	0	1,679	•••	230	348	1,115	1,693	(14)	1,679	
2003	1,748	102	1,850		272	275	1,340	1,887	(37)	1,850	
2004	1,979	330	2,309		282	407	1,479	2,168	141	2,309	
2005	2,098	75	2,173		262	274	1,539	2,075	98	2,173	
2006	2,233	316	2,549		338	396	1,696	2,430	119	2,549	
2007	1,978	723	2,701		467	276	1,980	2,723	(22)	2,701	
2008	210	2,180	2,390		408	251	1,827	2,486	(96)	2,390	
2009	861	1,654	2,515		396	219	1,723	2,338	177	2,515	
2010	753	1,462	2,215		264	140	1,642	2,046	169	2,215	
2011	559	1,642	2,201		324	239	1,497	2,060	141	2,201	
2012	750	1,371	2,121	11	250	340	1,418	2,008	113	2,121	

* Loss = Total production less total delivered

TABLE B-12

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MISCELLANEOUS WATER PRODUCTION AND IMPORTS

Quantities in Acre Feet

WATER WESTERN MWD IMPORTS TO DUTAL ANZA MUTUAL COMPANY OUTDOOR RESORTS CALFORNIA, NC. QUIET OAKS MOBILE HOME PARK LAKE RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE RIVERSIDE STATES HAWTHORN WATER SYSTEM JOJOBA HILS SKP RESORT 1966 23,50		IMPORT			PRODUC			
1967 20.40 1968 27.00 1969 24.60 1970 30.60 1971 34.40 1972 34.10 1973 30.20 1974 36.40 1975 34.20 1976 35.00 1977 24.20 1978 26.00 1980 24.70 1981 34.30 1982 34.20 1983 26.00 1984 26.00 1985 27.00 1986 34.40 1987 35.50 1988 35.70 1989 22.80 33.00 42.00 247.42 1991 20.70 35.06 50.59 12.21 339.77 1992 24.60 31.21 42.86 12.24 270.04 1993 31.40 32.16 42.44 12.20 192.09 1994 36.60 37.32 38.64 23.82 262.69 1995 29.10 45.69		IMPORTS TO IMPROVEMENT	MUTUAL WATER	RESORTS RANCHO	OAKS MOBILE	RIVERSIDE	WATER	HILLS
1967 20.40 1968 27.00 1969 24.60 1970 30.60 1971 34.40 1972 34.10 1973 30.20 1974 36.40 1975 34.20 1976 35.00 1977 24.20 1978 26.00 1980 24.70 1981 34.30 1982 34.20 1983 26.00 1984 26.00 1985 27.00 1986 34.40 1987 35.50 1988 35.70 1989 22.80 33.00 42.00 247.42 1991 20.70 35.06 50.59 12.21 339.77 1992 24.60 31.21 42.86 12.24 270.04 1993 31.40 32.16 42.44 12.20 192.09 1994 36.60 37.32 38.64 23.82 262.69 1995 29.10 45.69	1966	23.50	-	•				
1968 27.00 1969 24.60 1970 30.60 1971 34.40 1972 34.10 1973 30.20 1974 36.60 1975 34.20 1976 35.00 1977 24.20 1978 26.00 1979 24.00 1981 34.30 1982 34.20 1984 26.00 1985 27.00 1986 34.40 1987 35.50 1988 35.70 1989 22.80 33.00 42.00 247.42 1981 34.30 121 42.86 12.41 339.77 1986 34.40 12.11 339.77 1992 24.60 31.21 42.86 12.24 279.04 1989 22.80 33.30 42.20 139.20 139.77 1992 24.60 31.21 42.86 12.24 279.04 139.66 1994 36.60 37.32 38.0								
1968 24.60 1970 30.60 1971 34.40 1972 34.10 1973 30.20 1974 36.40 1975 34.20 1976 35.00 1977 24.20 1978 26.00 1979 24.00 1980 24.70 1984 35.00 1985 27.00 1986 34.40 1985 27.00 1986 34.40 1987 35.60 1988 35.70 1988 35.70 1989 22.80 33.00 42.00 1981 20.70 35.06 247.42 1991 20.70 35.06 247.42 1991 20.70 35.06 59.59 1992 24.60 31.21 42.86 12.24 279.04 1993 31.40 32.16 42.44 12.20 192.09 192.09 1994 36.60 37.32 38.04 23.82								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1970	30.60						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1971	34.40						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		34.10						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $								
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1974	36.40						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1975	34.20						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		35.00						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		24.20						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1978	26.00						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1979	24.00						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1980	24.70						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1981	34.30						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1982	34.20						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1983	26.00						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1984	26.00						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1985	27.00						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1986	34.40						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1987	35.50						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1988	35.70						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1989	22.80	33.00	42.00	23.50	249.52		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1990	21.90	37.00	50.69	23.50	247.42		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1991	20.70	35.06	50.59	12.21	339.77		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1992	24.60	31.21	42.86	12.24	279.04		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1993	31.40	32.16	42.44	12.20	192.09		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1994	36.60	37.32	38.04	23.82	262.69		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1995	29.10	45.69	69.54	22.60	130.06		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1996	35.10	45.53	58.59	21.96	219.73		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1997	30.40	43.87	83.42	30.25	233.56		
200041.9044.6790.1024.58316.5753.28200158.7045.00208.6423.21274.2574.87200264.4041.10216.1324.43323.6582.8791.83200342.4044.04201.6334.56255.9381.6174.70200450.3040.44216.7732.20350.8094.1974.89200562.2038.26187.0618.09208.0855.8766.95200665.8051.36198.9227.30268.6040.2564.68200745.3039.33480.7019.80421.5637.2266.98200853.9034.13483.6923.30334.3121.5665.50200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30270.4419.2756.97201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97	1998	31.00	39.54	87.42	24.41	134.96		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1999	40.70	33.30	70.74	25.70	209.55		
200264.4041.10216.1324.43323.6582.8791.83200342.4044.04201.6334.56255.9381.6174.70200450.3040.44216.7732.20350.8094.1974.89200562.2038.26187.0618.09208.0855.8766.95200665.8051.36198.9227.30268.6040.2564.68200745.3039.33480.7019.80421.5637.2266.98200853.9034.13483.6923.30334.3121.5665.50200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30270.4419.2756.97201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97	2000	41.90	44.67	90.10	24.58	316.57		53.28
200342.4044.04201.6334.56255.9381.6174.70200450.3040.44216.7732.20350.8094.1974.89200562.2038.26187.0618.09208.0855.8766.95200665.8051.36198.9227.30268.6040.2564.68200745.3039.33480.7019.80421.5637.2266.98200853.9034.13483.6923.30334.3121.5665.50200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30255.1924.0155.39201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97	2001	58.70	45.00	208.64	23.21	274.25		74.87
200450.3040.44216.7732.20350.8094.1974.89200562.2038.26187.0618.09208.0855.8766.95200665.8051.36198.9227.30268.6040.2564.68200745.3039.33480.7019.80421.5637.2266.98200853.9034.13483.6923.30334.3121.5665.50200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30255.1924.0155.39201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97		64.40	41.10					
200450.3040.44216.7732.20350.8094.1974.89200562.2038.26187.0618.09208.0855.8766.95200665.8051.36198.9227.30268.6040.2564.68200745.3039.33480.7019.80421.5637.2266.98200853.9034.13483.6923.30334.3121.5665.50200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30255.1924.0155.39201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97	2003	42.40	44.04	201.63	34.56		81.61	74.70
200665.8051.36198.9227.30268.6040.2564.68200745.3039.33480.7019.80421.5637.2266.98200853.9034.13483.6923.30334.3121.5665.50200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30255.1924.0155.39201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97		50.30					94.19	
200745.3039.33480.7019.80421.5637.2266.98200853.9034.13483.6923.30334.3121.5665.50200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30255.1924.0155.39201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97				187.06			55.87	
200853.9034.13483.6923.30334.3121.5665.50200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30255.1924.0155.39201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97								
200950.9034.13492.2623.30347.5125.3667.86201062.3036.97510.4223.30255.1924.0155.39201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97		45.30	39.33	480.70				66.98
201062.3036.97510.4223.30255.1924.0155.39201152.1027.17494.4023.30270.4419.2756.97		53.90		483.69		334.31	21.56	65.50
2011 52.10 27.17 494.40 23.30 270.44 19.27 56.97	2009	50.90	34.13	492.26	23.30	347.51	25.36	67.86
	2010	62.30	36.97	510.42	23.30	255.19	24.01	
2012 48.50 26.22 506.40 23.30 310.31 26.37 69.12	2011	52.10	27.17	494.40	23.30	270.44	19.27	56.97
	2012	48.50	26.22	506.40	23.30	310.31	26.37	69.12

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT

WATER YEAR 2011-12

APPENDIX C SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE

ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

July 2013

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION P TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL RODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
AGUANGA GROUN	IDWATER AREA							
Vail Custodial Services and Vail Lake Rancho California	43425 Sage Road 44175 Sage Road Aguanga, CA 92536	917-050-007 917-050-009 581-070-013 581-070-015 581-070-016 581-150-013 581-150-016	82.19 309.74 43.10 2.73 157.21 120.56 25.37	Total 30.00	Alfalfa	8S/1E-7N(1) 8S/1E-7N(2) 8S/1E-7Q(1) 8S/1E-7Q(2)	Total of 90.00	
Val Verde Partners	43023 Hwy 79 Aguanga, CA 92536 m/t P.O. Box 1974 Rancho Santa Fe CA 92067	583-040-022 583-040-021 583-130-055 583-120-092 583-060-003-9	97.78 13.45 40.00 160.00 41.60	** Total of 13.45	Oats and Pasture	8S/1E-19Q(1) 8S/1E-19Q(2) 8S/1E-29L - Diversio	** 0.00 ** 0.00	** 56.8
Zen-Kamata, LLC Kamata, Nobuo and Osamu	42551 Hwy 79 Aguanga, CA 92536 m/t 2635 N. First St., Ste. 213 San Jose, CA 95134	583-040-028 583-040-029 583-040-024 583-040-025 583-040-026 583-040-027	25.52 19.89 23.48 23.12 23.16 22.64	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00		8S/1E-19K 8S/1E-19G4	0.00 0.00	
						8S/1E-29L - Diversio	on	0.00
Aguanga Properties, LLC (Twin Creek Ranch)	44201 Hwy 79 44735 Hwy 79 Aguanga, CA 92536 m/t Chester Mason	583-120-091 583-120-083	39.57 68.09	10.00 60.00	Row Crops Row Crops	8S/1E-33D 8S/1E-28N1 8S/1E-28N(2)	Total 	
TWILL OF COLUMN	P. O. Box 892378 Temecula, CA 92589	583-120-090 583-150-001	132.82 80.00	40.00 20.00	Row Crops Row Crops	8S/1E-29H	 of 	
		583-140-014 583-140-015 583-140-016 583-140-018 583-140-019 583-140-020	48.03 40.00 40.00 10.09 10.12 10.15	15.00 35.00 35.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Row Crops Row Crops Row Crops	8S/1E-33F 8S/1E-33G1 8S/1E-33B	 900.00	

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

AGUANGA GROUNI		NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
	DWATER AREA (Cont)		·					
	41750 Highway 79 Aguanga, CA 92536	917-050-006	233.57	60.00	Row Crops	8S/1W-13Q1 8S/1W-13Q2	Total of 696.00	
		917-170-003	80.81	38.00	Row Crops			
		917-290-001	126.26	38.00	Row Crops			
		917-290-002	82.25	38.00	Row Crops			
Harris, Dolores G.	44444 Sage Road Aguanga, CA 92536	581-160-025	18.10	17.00	Citrus & Grass	8S/1E-18J(1) 8S/1E-18J(2)	0.00 0.00	
	0 0	581-160-015	7.42	10.00	Fruit			
		581-150-009	7.00	6.00	Fruit	8S/1E-18H(1) 8S/1E-18H(2)	6.26 0.00	
		581-180-022	30.00	0.00				
		581-180-004	20.00	0.00				
		581-180-020	20.00	0.00		8S/1E-17M	19.75	
		581-180-021	2.15	0.00		8S/1E-17E	39.08	
Valley-Wide Recreation and Parks District	901 W. Esplanade Ave San Jacinto, CA 92582	581-170-009	7.82	7.82	Grass	Used 8S/1E-17E	owned by Harris	
Wilson Creek Farms	44200 Sage Road	581-170-012	190.40	20.00	Row Crops	8S/1E-17B	300.00	
	Aguanga, CA 92536	581-170-013	99.63	60.00	Row Crops			
	m/t P. O. Box 2921	581-180-005	2.76					
	Hemet, CA 92546	581-180-009	120.00	60.00	Pasture			
		581-190-013	280.00	110.00	Row Crops			
		581-190-014	40.00					
Wilson Creek	44200 Sage Road	581-070-002	160.00					
Development, LLC	Aguanga, CA 92536	581-070-005	640.00			8S/1E-9Q - Divers	sion	410.00
	m/t P. O. Box 2921	581-100-013	80.00					
	Hemet, CA 92546	581-100-019	30.00					
		581-100-020	10.00					
		581-100-022	20.00					
		581-100-038	9.53					
		581-100-039 581-100-040	9.23 8.91					

TOTAL AGUANGA GROUNDWATER AREA

723.27

2,051.09 466.80

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION P TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL RODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
TEMECULA CREEK	ABOVE AGUANGA GR		AREA					
\gri-Empire, Inc.	m/t P. O. Box 490 San Jacinto, CA 92383	113-090-01*** 113-130-01*** 113-140-03	377.07 150.09 196.54	95.00 0.00 0.00	Potatoes	9S/2E-17D - Spring 9S/2E-16N2 9S/2E-16M 9S/2E-16F1 9S/2E-16F1 9S/2E-16F2 9S/2E-16K - Diversi	20.00 165.00 112.00 70.00 0.00	0.00
		114-020-09	37.16	0.00		30/2E-101(- Diversit		0.00
		114-030-07	93.38	0.00				
** Land leased from the		114-030-34	137.50	0.00				
State of California		114-030-36	29.55	0.00				
* Land leased from Arlie W. and Coral R. Bergman	37126 Hwy 79 Warner Springs, CA 92086	113-140-01 *	358.62	80.00	Potatoes	9S/2E-16B(1) 9S/2E-16B(2) 9S/2E-16G	241.00 0.00 0.00	
		113-140-02 *	38.75	0.00				
Hill Springs Farm, LLC	38642 Highway 79 Warner Springs, CA 92086	113-060-012	63.21	0.00		9S/2E-7D 9S/2E-7E - Diversio		0.0
	m/t P.O. Box 1946	112-030-72	129.90	0.00	•	9S/1E-1M - Diversio		0.0
	Duarte, CA 91009	112-030-74	70.50	65.00	Grapes	9S/1E-1Q(1) 9S/1E-1Q(2)	0.00 71.50	
		112-030-38 112-030-67	40.00 67.41	0.00 0.00		9S/1E-12A D	omestic	
ovingier Family Trust	35490 Highway 79 Warner Springs, CA 92086	114-120-042	78.41	Total I		9S/2E-35D1 9S/2E-35D1	Total I	
		114-070-007	76.42			9S/2E-27R1 9S/2E-27R2 9S/2E-27J	of 645.81	
		114-070-27	19.15	of		www.factors.factors.factor	0.0.01	
		114-070-28	19.15	Ĩ				
		114-070-34	167.94	i				
		114-080-014	42.51					
		114-080-013	21.30	169.95	Pasture			

ABOVE AGUANGA GROUNDWATER AREA

409.95

1,325.31 0.00

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK AN ANZA VALLEY	BOVE AGUANGA GROUN	IDWATER ARE	EA			. <u></u>		
Greenwald, Alvin G.	55255 Mitchell Road Anza. CA 92539 m/t 6010 Wilshire Blvd., #500 Los Angeles, CA 90036	573-180-001	156.38	0.00		7\$/3E-17E	0.00	0.00
Miller, Frank C. Grabowski-Miller, Jane	55520 Hwy 371 Anza, CA 92539	573-200-009	36.40	5.00	Grapes	7S/3E-17(N) 7S/3E-17(M) 7S/3E-17(P)	5.00 0.00 44.10	
Anza Development Corp Lanik, Gordon	m/t P.O. Box 391273 Anza, CA 92539	573-200-004 573-200-005 573-200-006 573-200-007 573-200-008 573-200-010	18.24 18.50 18.89 18.88 18.31 18.68	18.00	Potatoes	Note: This proper and irrigated from located on Frank l	Well # 7S/3E-17	(P)
Agri-Empire, Inc.	P.O. Box 490 San Jacinto, CA 92383							
	Section 10	575-050-044	14.36	0.00				
	Section 11	575-060-002	133.93	0.00		7S/3E-11N4 7S/3E-11P3	269.00 179.00	
	Section 13	575-100-009 575-100-032 575-100-033 575-100-034 575-100-035 575-100-036 575-100-037 575-100-039 575-100-040 575-100-041 575-100-042	19.94 89.02 89.08 37.63 157.20 27.91 57.80 7.91 0.88 19.93 60.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0				
	Section 14	575-110-021 575-110-027 575-110-030	143.75 54.45 74.86	110.00 0.00 0.00	Row Crops	7S/3E-14D1	73.00	
		575-310-002 575-310-001 575-310-011 575-310-012 575-310-013 575-310-014 575-310-027 575-310-028	39.09 80.00 80.00 17.46 0.75 17.46 0.92	0.00 0.00 17.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Potatoes	7S/3E-14C2	211.00	
	Section 15	575-080-010 575-080-014 575-080-015 575-080-017 575-080-018 575-080-029 575-080-022 575-080-022 575-080-024 575-080-027 575-090-010	4.77 9.92 4.35 9.75 10.13 31.29 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 38.80	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0				

Г

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK ABOV ANZA VALLEY (Cont)	E AGUANGA GRO	UNDWATER ARE	Ā					
Agri-Empire, Inc. (Cont)	Section 17	573-180-011	39.74	0.00				
	Section 20	576-060-009 576-060-031 576-060-033 576-060-038	8.26 16.09 79.45 5.41	Total of				
		576-070-003 576-070-005	80.00 116.57	ا 94.00	Potatoes			
	Section 21	576-100-061 576-110-001	37.71 160.00	40.00 39.00 40.00	Row Crops Potatoes Row Crops	7S/3E-21P(1)	390.00 0.00	
		576-110-002 576-110-003	28.00 2.00	0.00 0.00		7S/3E-21P(2)	0.00	
		576-110-004 576-110-006 576-110-007	50.00 19.29 17.82	30.00 Total	Row Crops			
		576-110-008	17.00	of 		7S/3E-21R3 7S/3E-21R(4)	251.00 505.00	
	0	576-110-009	18.41	72.52	Row Crops			
	Section 22	575-130-003 575-130-006 575-130-008 575-130-009 575-130-010	19.55 40.89 18.56 20.06 20.07	0.00 0.00 Total				
		575-130-011 575-130-012 575-130-013	19.19 18.18 19.02	of				
		575-130-014 575-130-015 575-120-012 575-120-018	19.00 17.58 88.03 20.45	1 77.00 0.00 0.00	Potatoes			
		575-120-019 575-120-032 575-120-033 575-120-034 575-120-035	20.45 4.69 4.68 4.68 4.28	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00				
	Section 23	575-140-006 575-140-020	9.90 90.48	0.00 57.00	Potatoes			

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK A ANZA VALLEY (Cor	BOVE AGUANGA GROU	NDWATER ARE	A					
Burnett, Gregory V.	36990 Bonita Vista Anza, CA 92539 m/t P. O. Box 391111 Anza, CA 92539	573-040-001 573-040-002 573-050-001	235.20 30.00 246.33	0.00 0.00 0.00		7S/3E-5	4.00	
Cahuilla Indian	Domestic and	Commercial Wells I	Reported by Bure	au of Indian Aff	airs		Total	
Reservation	Wells in	Wells out of					1	
	Basement Complex	Watershed	<u>Wells v</u>	vith QYAL and/o	or QTOAL		i	
	7S/2E-14L1 7S/2E-25D1 7S/2E-26B2 7S/2E-26B3 7S/2E-36B1 7S/2E-36A1 7S/2E-36A1 7S/2E-36A1 7S/3E-36A1 7S/3E-26A1 7S/3E-30H1 7S/3E-31A1 7S/3E-31A1 7S/3E-31A1 7S/3E-31A1 7S/3E-31A1 7S/3E-32D1 7S/3E-32D1 7S/3E-32D1 8S/3E-6B1 8S/3E-6B1 8S/3E-6G1 8S/3E-6R1	8S/3E-2A1 8S/3E-2B1 8S/3E-2D1 8S/3E-2G1 8S/3E-2H1 8S/3E-2H1 8S/3E-2K1	7S/2E-14J1 7S/2E-14M1 7S/2E-14M2 7S/2E-23A1 7S/2E-23D1 7S/2E-23G1 7S/2E-23G1 7S/2E-23H1 7S/2E-23H1 7S/2E-23M1 7S/2E-23Q1 7S/2E-23Q1 7S/2E-23G1 7S/2E-25F1 7S/2E-25F1 7S/2E-26E1 7S/2E-26E1 7S/2E-27H1 7S/2E-28N1	7S/2E-28Q1 7S/2E-33C1 7S/2E-33E1 7S/3E-27C1 7S/3E-27C2 7S/3E-27H1 7S/3E-27H1 7S/3E-28A2 7S/3E-28D1 7S/3E-28D1 7S/3E-29C1 7S/3E-29C1 7S/3E-30P1 7S/3E-30P1 7S/3E-30P1 7S/3E-30R1 7S/3E-30R1 7S/3E-30R1 7S/3E-31F1	7S/3E-31L2 7S/3E-34E1 7S/3E-34N1 7S/3E-34Q1 8S/2E-4D1 8S/2E-4N1 8S/2E-4N2 8S/2E-4N2 8S/2E-4P1	Domestic Stock Watering		5.60
SUBTOTAL ANZA V	ALLEY			599.52			1,977.10	5.6
WILSON CREEK A	BOVE AGUANGA GROU	NDWATER ARE	Ā					
Green Sheil Company Shellaberger, James L.	39850 Sage Road Hemet, CA 92343	571-080-012	80.00	** 40.00	Olive Trees	7S/1E-20Q	** 44.00	
SUBTOTAL LEWIS	VALLEY			40.00			44.00	0.0
TOTAL WILSON C ABOVE AGUAN	REEK GA GROUNDWATER AF	REA		639.52			2,021.10	5.60

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
MURRIETA-TEME	CULA GROUNDWATER A	REA						
Louidar	c/o McMillan Farm Mgt.	943-040-011	19.22	** 18.00	Citrus	7S/2W-28L	** 249.00	
	29379 Rancho Cal. Rd	943-060-010	90.76	** 89.00	Citrus			
	#201 Temecula, CA 92390	943-060-011	26.47	** 24.00	Citrus			
Anza Grove	c/o McMillan Farm Mgt.	942-180-002	40.00	Total				
Cavaletto, Selina J.	29379 Rancho Cal. Rd, #201	942-240-003	40.00	of				
Lassalette Enterprise	Temecula, CA 92390	942-240-004	40.00	1				
		942-240-005	35.00	155.00	Citrus	7S/2W-26B1 7S/2W-26B2	294.00	
Vail Lake USA, LLC	38695 Highway 79	917-240-019	54.13	** 0.00				
Mendoza, Bertha	Warner Springs, CA 92086	917-240-015	20.00	** 0.00				
	m/t 29400 Rancho Cal. Road	917-150-006	120.00	** 110.00	Citrus	8S/1W-21K(1)	** 262.00	
	Temecula, CA 92593	917-150-002	117.76	** 0.00		8S/1W-21K(2)	** 0.00	
	·					8S/1W-21P(1)	** 0.00	
						8S/1W-21P(2)	** 0.00	
Wild Horse Peak	Highway 79 S	943-230-001	109.34	75.00	Grapes	7S/2W-26L	0.00	
Vineyard Mountain	Temecula, CA	917-250-004	80.00	Total		8S/1W-25Q(1)	0.00	
•	m/t 3719 South Plaza Drive	917-250-005	80.00	of		8S/1W-25P(1)	28.00	
	Santa Ana, CA 92704			1		8S/1W-25N(1)Spri	ing 3	0.00
		917-250-007	240.00	220.00	Grapes	8S/1W-36K Spring	j 4	0.00
						8S/1W-36H Spring	j 6	0.00
						8S/1W-36K(1)	59.00	
						8S/1W-36K(2)	56.00	
						8S/1W-36K(3)	94.00	
						8S/1W-36L - Strea	m Diversion	52.00
Regency Properties	44054 Deinheur Oun Dd	922-220-002	86.11	Tatal		00/014/ 40/0	075 00	
Temecula Creek Golf	44051 Rainbow Cyn Rd. Temecula, CA 92592	922-220-002	5.75	Total		8S/2W-19(D)	275.98	
Temecula Creek Goli	Temecula, CA 92592	922-220-003	52.18	-				
		922-220-004	14.36					
		922-220-007	3.99	l of				
		922-230-002	59.29					
		922-230-002	1.00	1				
		922-230-003	40.00	1				
		922-230-007	25.00	1				
		922-230-008	16.11	150.00	Grass			
Carson, Carol J.	25471 Hayes Ave	909-260-036	8.87	7.00	Pasture	7S/3W-29G	39.90	
	Murrieta, CA 92562	909-260-042	4.31	3.50	Pasture	10/044-290	39.90	
TOTAL MURRIETA	-TEMECULA GROUNDWA	TER AREA		851.50			1.357.88	52.00

TOTAL MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUNDWATER AREA

1,357.88 52.00

٦

Well number in parentheses designated by Watermaster ** Water Use Report Form not submitted to Watermaster for Water Year 2011-12, indicated value assumed to be the same as prior year.

Г

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION F TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
SANTA MARGARIT	A RIVER BELOW GORG	E						
DE LUZ CREEK								
Stehly Family Holdings, LLC	40922 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t 13268 McNally Road Valley Center, CA 92082	101-271-28	45.01	** 10.00	Avocados and Citrus	8S/4W-29D(1) 8s/4W-29D(2)	** 1.00 ** 8.00	
Prestininzi, Pete and Dorothy N.	2525 E. Mission Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 Richmond Truck Trail and DeLuz Murrieta Road	101-220-12 101-210-53	31.63 50.44	6.00 12.00	Pasture & Flowers Avocados and Citrus	s 8S/4W-20A(1) 8S/4W-20H(1) 8S/4W-20H(2) 8S/4W-20A - Divers	16.00 16.00 14.00 ion	0.00
Alfred Varela Sr. Family Living Trust Varela, Alfred	41125 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-210-11	15.23	8.50 0.50	Avocados Citrus	8S/4W-20Q(1) 8S/4W-20Q(2)	Total of 21.60	
Lake Forest, LLC	41257 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t 26051 Glen Canyon Dr. Laguna Hills, CA 92653	101-210-12	30.28	9.00 15.00 1.00	Avocados Citrus Row crops	8S/4W-20Q(1) 8S/4W-20Q(2) 8S/4W-20Q(3)	Total of 50.00	
Wagner Family Trust	41128 DeLuz Road Falibrook, CA 92028	101-210-23 101-210-22	17.19 4.55	15.00 3.00	Avocados Persimmons	8S/4W-20P(1) 8S/4W-20P(2) 8S/4W-20P(3)	0.00 0.00 39.30	
Chambers Family, LLC	40888 Deluz-Murrieta Road 38664 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t Thomas Montilor 910 N. Pacific St., Apt. 38 Oceanside, CA 92054	101-571-03 102-130-42	41.72 54.37	40.00 5.00	Flowers Fruit	8S/4W-28A 8S/4W-28A - Divers	52.00 ion	8.00
Welburn Family Trust Wellburn, Douglas and Sue	40787 DeLuz-Murrieta Rd. Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-571-19 101-571-20 101-571-21	4.01 4.00 14.28	6.00 4.00 2.00	Gourds Melons Fruit Trees and Avocados	8S/4W-28G1	40.00	
Poladian, Jacqueline Bluebird Ranch	2193 Calle Rociada Fallbrook, CA m/t P. O. Box 1089 Fallbrook, CA 92088	101-312-01 101-312-02	82.29 58.17	42.00 45.00 5.00	Flowers Flowers Avocados	8S/4W-31L 8S/4W-31L - Diversi 8S/4W-31K(1) 8S/4W-31K(2) 8S/4W-31K(3)	Total ion of I 162.18	31.48
Norman and Deborah Vanginkel Trust	39452 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t 21136 Trailside Drive Yorba Linda, CA 92887	101-312-03	80.00	10.00	Nursery Stock	8S/4W-31J(2) 8S/4W-31J(3) 8S/4W-31J(4) 8S/4W-31J(5)	10.00 0.00 40.00 0.00	
		102-052-04 102-731-02	22.04 4.26	15.00	Avocados	. ,		
Ross Lake, LLC Rose, William and Joanne	39985 Daily Road Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-430-30 101-500-01	16.39 16.62	Total of I	Avocados Limes Flowers			
		101-480-14	13.20	21.00		8S/4W-34- Lake Div	version	7.00
SUBTOTAL DELUZ	CREEK			275.00			470.08	46.48

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2011-12	IRRIGATED CROP 2011-12	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION I TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
SANTA MARGARII	A RIVER BELOW GORG	E (Cont)						
SANDIA CREEK								
Cal June, Inc.	40376 Sandia Creek Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t P. O. Box 9551 No. Hollywood, CA 91609	101-360-40	126.32	55.00	Avocados	8S/4W-25P(1) 8S/4W-25P(2) 8S/4W-25P(3) 8S/4W-25P(4) 8S/4W-25P(5) 8S/4W-25P - Divers	Total I of 9.00 sion	
SUBTOTAL SANDIA	CREEK			55.00			9.00	0.00
SANTA MARGARIT	A RIVER							
San Diego State University Foundation	47981 Willow Glen Rd. Temecula, CA 92592 m/t Matt Rahn, Director SDSU Foundation 5500 Campanile Dr. San Diego, CA 92182-4614	918-040-011 918-060-017	120.00 40.00	5.00 15.00	Citrus Avocados	8S/3W-33Q1 8S/3W-33Q(2) 8S/3W-33Q - Diver:	4.31 0.00 sion	41.30
SUBTOTAL SANTA	MARGARITA RIVER			20.00			4.31	41.30
TOTAL SANTA MA LOWER MURRIET	RGARITA RIVER BELOW A	/ GORGE		350.00			483.39	87.78
Ronnenberg Family Trust (Sage Ranch Nursery)	42522 E. Benton Rd. Aguanga, CA 92536 m/t c/o Cliff Ronnenberg 11292 Western Avenue Stanton, CA 90680	571-020-046 571-020-047 571-020-048 571-020-049 571-020-004 571-520-007 571-520-008 571-520-008 571-520-009 571-520-012 915-140-069 915-140-070 470-210-007	81.09 40.80 36.75 148.86 1.50 109.50 99.43 80.23 77.54 91.56 21.39 53.62	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Total 1 of 		7S/1E-7D	5.50	
		470-220-004	109.23	300.00	Olive trees	7S/1E-7E - Diversio	n	100.00
EG High Desert Properties LLC	39800 E. Benton Rd. Temecula, CA 92390 m/t 12881 Bradley Avenue Sylmar, CA 91342	915-120-045	37.45	10.00	Pasture	7S/1W-10R(1) 7S/1W-10R(2) 7S/1W-10R(3) 7S/1W-10R(4) 7S/1W-10R(5) 7S/1W-10R(6) 7S/1W-10R(7)	Total of 38.00 Domestic 0.00 0.00	
TOTAL LOWER MU	JRRIETA			310.00		P200405-00-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0	43.50	100.00
GRAND TOTAL				3,284.24			7,282.27	712.18
GRAND TOTAL	Not including Cahuilla Indian	Reservation		3,284.24			7,236.27	706.58

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT

WATER YEAR 2011-12

APPENDIX D

WATER QUALITY DATA

July 2013

TABLE D-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

04.1	D-4-	Specific	Totai Dissolved			Chemi	cal Const	tuents - m	g/l		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
Holiday Well	06/16/89	1300	775	122	39	100	2	178	66	372	40
7S/3W-20C09	10/18/91								<u></u>		25
	11/15/91										26
	12/13/91										28
	01/10/92										27
	02/07/92										27
	05/01/92										32
	05/29/92										28
	08/21/92										27
	01/22/93	960	605	83	29	83	2	130	84	278	33
	10/15/93										32
	03/30/94									~~~	44
	06/22/94									****	35
	09/14/94							At 14 10			31
	12/07/94	830 M									30
	03/01/95										32
	06/21/95										11
	09/13/95				****	***					27
	12/06/95										26
	03/27/96										15
	06/06/96										24
	09/11/96										24
											55
	11/08/96										25
	11/14/96										23 24
	12/05/96										24
	03/27/97						*** *** ***		·		20
	06/18/97										
	12/03/97										18
	03/25/98										21
	04/22/98	1090	680	89	29	85	1	150	76	290	22
	06/17/98										23
	10/01/98										25
	12/02/98						400 AD 000				28
	02/24/99										33
	03/24/99										26
	09/09/99										36
	12/03/99										32
	07/12/00										21
	08/04/00	1290	790	110	36	99		180	110	320	21
	10/24/01				-	*****					17
	03/06/02										15
	07/11/02		780							310	
	10/03/03		800	113						332	
	04/21/04						***				11
	01/27/05		980	160	47					440	

TABLE D-3

`

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

014	Data	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chemi	ical Consti	tuents - m	ıg/l		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
Holiday Well	03/30/05										35
7S/3W-20C09	01/26/06	1700	1000	160	48	130	1.6	240	130		46
(Cont)	01/30/06					***					49
House Well	06/16/89	660	345	34	3	95	2	87	60	153	<1
7S/3W-20G06	02/27/91	770						110	65	168	<1
	03/01/91	730						110			<1
	03/08/91	680	420	42	5	90	2	110	68	122	<1
	05/10/91	750									<1
	10/11/91										<1
	11/08/91										<1
	05/22/92										<1
	08/14/92										<1
	01/22/93	720	415	40	5	106	2	100	68	168	<1
	09/07/94										<1
	12/27/95										<1
	03/22/95		tale also ber								<1
	06/14/95		400 Apr 700								<1
	09/06/95				***						<1
	12/27/95									10 H m	<1
	03/20/96	****							****		<2
	06/12/96								****		<2
	09/04/96										<2
	12/26/96										<2
	03/19/97										<2
	06/12/97										<2
	12/30/97										<2
	03/18/98										<2
	04/15/98	660	360	30	3	94	1	91	62	130	<2
	06/10/98										<2
	10/01/98										<2
	12/23/98								****		<2
	02/17/99							***			<2
	03/17/99										<2
	06/09/99										<2
	09/01/99					400 00 km					<2
	12/22/99						600 km 600				ND
	03/15/00	640	370	29	3	92	2	82	61	130	<2
	06/07/00								***=	***	<2
	09/27/00										<2
	10/24/01				****	****					<2
	03/06/02						***				<2
	07/11/02		440							170	
	10/03/03	630	380	34	3	103		87		140	ND
	04/21/04										<2

TABLE D-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

	Data	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chemi	cal Consti	ituents - m	ıg/I		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
South Well	09/07/90	690	405	62	17	68	2	83	56	229	4
7S/3W-20D	10/04/91										2
10/044-200	11/01/91										3
	11/26/91										2
	05/15/92				-						<1
	10/01/93										2
	09/28/94								10-00 M		1
	12/21/94										3
	03/15/95										2
	06/07/95										2
											2
	09/27/95										2
	12/20/95		****								2
	03/13/96										2
	06/15/96										
	09/25/96									40-00 IN	3
	12/18/96										3
	04/09/97										2
	06/04/97										2
	03/11/98										<2
	04/08/98	820	500	73	18	67	2	92	73	250	3
	06/03/98									***	3
	10/01/98									***	3
	12/16/98										2
	03/10/98								****		2
	06/09/99										2
	09/22/99								10		<2
	12/15/99		sated in					****			ND
	02/09/00	810	460	55	14	84	1	99	63	210	<2
	05/03/00		****								<2
	08/04/00	780	440	47	9	100		99	48	210	<2
	08/23/00							****		·	<2
	10/24/01							100 VII			<2
	03/20/02										- 4
	07/11/02		460		·					180	
	10/03/03		460	59						207	
	04/21/04										<2
	01/27/05		610	110	28	***				300	
	03/30/05						***				5
	01/26/06	800	440	42	9.1	110	1.2	120	65		1.2
	04/12/06			42	J. 1		1.2	120			6.1
											1.6
	05/10/06										
	06/14/06					****					1.4

TABLE D-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

.	D.4	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chemi	ical Consti	ituents - m	g/I		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
South Well	07/12/06									tendes tel	<1
7S/3W-20D	08/09/06										1.4
(Cont)	09/13/06										1.5
(00111)	10/11/06										1.4
	11/08/06										1.3
	12/13/06	4445 78									1.
	01/10/07										1.4
	02/13/07										5.3
	03/14/07										1.
	04/11/07										<
	05/09/07										<
											1.
	06/13/07										4.
	07/11/07		480	40	8.5	100	<1	110	61	200	
	08/15/07	800		40							5.0
	09/12/07										1.4
	11/14/07			****							1.
	12/04/07										4.
	01/24/08										
	03/26/08									***	3.
	04/23/08										4.
	06/09/08										4.
	07/14/08						***				5.
	09/08/08										4.
	01/19/09										6.
	11/13/09	1300	820	120	34	110	1.8	200	140	320	
	11/17/09										5.
	11/09/11							***			1.
	01/26/12										1.
North Well	06/16/89	730	390	40	7	98	2	98	45	201	<
7S/3W-18J02	10/25/91										<
0.000 10002	11/22/91			e				400 AP 100			<
	05/08/92										<
	08/28/92	10-11 In									<
	01/22/93	680	405	39	8	99	2	100	51	183	<
	10/22/93										<
	07/08/94	810	520			87		130	53		<
	07/08/94										<
	12/14/94										<
											<
	03/08/95		w#=		***						<
	06/28/95										<
	09/20/95										
	12/13/95			An des des			****				<
	03/06/96										<
	06/26/96										<

TABLE D-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

	Data	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chemi	cal Const	ituents - m	ıg/i		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
North Well	09/18/96									·	<2
7S/3W-18J02	12/11/96										<2
(Cont)	06/25/97										<2
(com)	07/08/98	760	460	49	9	100	2	110	51	220	<2
	10/01/98										<2
	12/09/98										<2
	02/03/99										<2
	03/03/99										<2
	06/23/99										<2
	09/22/99										<2
	12/08/99										<2
	01/05/00	780	440	47	9	100		99		210	<2
									48		
	05/03/00	painte des				****					<2
	07/19/00										<2
	10/24/01							*			<2
	03/06/02										<2
	07/11/02		420							180	
	10/03/03		440	53							
	04/21/04										<2
	01/27/05		440	59	10					230	
	03/30/05										<2
	01/26/06	820	450	60	11	96	2	120	52		1
	05/10/06							***			<1
	07/19/06										<1
	08/16/06							••••			<1
	09/20/06										<1
	10/18/06										<1
	11/15/06								81-0-1 he		<1
	01/17/07										<1
	02/21/07										<2
	03/21/07										<2
	04/18/07							*****			<2
	05/16/07					<u> </u>		***			<2
	07/23/07		500								
	07/26/07		540								
	08/15/07	830	520	59	11	89	1.2	110	54	230	<2
	09/19/07			*****							<2
	12/04/07										1.5
	01/24/08										1.8
	03/26/08										2.5
	04/23/08										2.0
	05/19/08										2.2
	06/16/08										2.1
	00/10/00										<u> </u>

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

04-1	Defe	Specific	Total Dissolved Solids			Chemi	cal Const	ituents - m	ıg/l		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
North Well	09/15/08										2.0
7S/3W-18J02	01/19/09							artis to			1
(Cont)	02/23/09							4000 Pr	***		<2
(oonic)	03/16/09										<2
	04/20/09										<2
	05/18/09										<2
	06/02/09	830	470	54	11	92	1.6	100	54	230	<2
	06/08/09	830	410	57	10	89	1.6	110	54	230	<2
	06/15/09										<1
	07/07/09	870	490	51	10	87	1.5	110	56	220	
	07/20/09	830	460	54	10	90	1.7	110	52	220	<2
	08/03/09	820	480	49	9	82	1.4	120	49	220	<2
	08/25/09										1.2
	09/08/09	800	460	55	11	97	1.7	120	52	220	<2
	09/21/09										1.1
	10/05/09	780	470	55	11	97	1.8	110	53	220	<2
	10/19/09										<2
	11/02/09	790	470	55	11	91	1.7	110	53	220	<2
	11/16/09										<2
	12/07/09	810	480	56	11	94	1.8	110	52	220	<1
	12/21/09										<2
	01/04/10	810	470	57	11	91	1.7	110	52	220	<2
	01/18/10										<2
	02/01/10	860	460	59	13	87	1.7	110	54	240	1.2
	02/17/10				****						1.1
	03/01/10	810	460	56	11	88	1.7	110	55	220	<2
	03/15/10										<2
	04/07/10	820	450	56	11	92	1.5	110	52	220	<2
	04/19/10										<2
	05/03/10	810	450	57	11	92	1.5	110	52	220	<2
	05/17/10										1.1
	06/01/10	820	520	52	11	90	1.9	100	50	220	<2
	06/21/10										<2
	07/19/10										<2
	08/02/10	830	470	52	10	88	1.7	100	47	220	<2
	08/16/10										<2
	11/17/10	830	510	51	20	78	3.6	94	160	120	<2
	02/01/11	860	480	59	12	95	1.7	110	54	220	<2
	04/04/11	800	460	53	11	93	1.6	110	52	210	<2
	04/18/11										<2
	06/21/11					***					<2
	07/18/11										<1.0
	08/16/11			-							<1.0
	00/10/11										~1.0

TABLE D-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chemi	cal Consti	ituents - m	g/I		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
North Well	09/19/11										<1.0
7S/3W-18J02	10/03/11	770	470	55	11	97	1. 9	110	54	210	<1.0
(Cont)	10/17/11										<1.0
(++)	11/02/11	820	440	55	11	92	1.8	110	54	200	<1.0
	11/15/11										1.1
	12/06/11	820	510	52	10	95	1.6	120	55	200	1.0
	12/19/11										1.1
	12/28/11	820	440	53	11	93	1.8	110	54	200	<1.0
	01/04/12	810	480	53	10	94	1.7	110	57	200	<1.0
	01/16/12								-		<1.0
	02/01/12	830	510	57	11	93	2.1	120	58	220	<1.0
	02/06/12	60-00 M									<1.0
	02/15/12	810	450	52	10	88	1.7	120	55	210	<1.0
	03/01/12	760	460	62	13	87	1.8	120	57	230	1.0
	03/19/12										<1.0
	04/16/12										1.1
	04/17/12										1.2
	05/02/12	800	460	52	11	96	1.8	120	61	210	<1.0
	05/14/12										<1.0
	06/04/12	820	460	50	10	92	1.8	88	110	200	1.2
	06/19/12						****				<1.0
	07/02/12	830	510	54	11	93	1.7	120	55	210	1.0
	07/17/12					****					<1.0
	07/25/12										<1.0
	08/01/12	830	470	56	1 1	98	1.7	110	54	210	<1.0
	08/13/12										<1.0
	09/10/12	830	440	52	10	96	1.9	110	54	210	<1.0
	09/17/12										<1.0
New Clay Well	03/09/04	480	340	23	1	87	1	79	64	98	<2
7S/3W-20	01/26/06	590	310	20	1.2	93	1.2	85	57		<1
	01/31/06										7.2
	01/31/06										6.9
	04/04/06										<1
	04/12/06										<1
	05/10/06										<1
	06/07/06										<1
	07/05/06										<1
	08/02/06										<1
	09/06/06									***	<1
	10/04/06										<1
	11/01/06										<1
	12/06/06										<1
	01/04/07										<1
	02/07/07										<1
	03/07/07			60-14 PK							<2
	04/04/07										<2
	05/02/07							***			<2

TABLE D-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

Site Location 	Date Tested 07/05/07 08/01/07 08/15/07 09/05/07 12/04/07	Conductance umhos 510	Solids (mg/l)	 Ca	Mg			•			
7S/3W-20	08/01/07 08/15/07 09/05/07					Na 	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
7S/3W-20	08/01/07 08/15/07 09/05/07										<2
	08/15/07 09/05/07										<2
(Cont)	09/05/07		270	13	<1	91	1	65	50	83	<2
											<2
	12/04/07							400 PF 400			<2
	03/26/08										<1
	03/20/08										<1
	05/05/08										<1
	06/02/08										<1
	07/07/08										<1
	09/02/08										<2
											<2
	01/19/09		350	25	4.7	97	1.5	84	76	110	
	11/13/09	630				51					<2
	11/17/09			30	2.7	110	 1.8	97	62	150	<1.0
	08/25/11	700	380								<0.20
	05/21/12 06/01/12	590	340	 19	 <1.0	 93	1.4	83	56	110	<1.0
	00/0 1/12	000	040	10	1.0	00					
Lynch Well 7S/3W-17R02	06/16/89	760	410	70	17	55	1	86	30	262	8
Morris Well 7S/3W-19R	09/07/90	530	280	38	7	68	3	50	49	168	3
Alson Well	06/06/90	1520	915	138	46	110	1	250	81	433	31
7S/3W-7M	07/21/98	1260	880	100	37	120	<1	180	92	330	23
	09/09/98	1200	850	110	39	120	<1	180	100	320	23
	05/03/00						****				20
	05/19/00	1290	800	97	36	110	<1	180	96	330	19
	11/28/01	1290	750	93	33	110	<1	180	96	310	17
	03/06/02										20
	07/01/02		650							270	
	10/03/03	880	550	80	. 26	95		ND	ND	259	ND
	01/27/05	1100	640	100	32	110		150	81	320	
	01/26/06	1500	870	120	41	120	1.2	230	120		18
	04/12/06							40 10 IV			19
	05/10/06										18
	06/28/06										20
	07/26/06	·	***								20
	08/23/06										18
	09/27/06										21
	10/25/06										22
											22
	11/22/06 12/27/06										22

TABLE D-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MURRIETA DIVISION

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Const	ituents - n	ng/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
					********						288 23 5 5 2 2 4 5 2 ³ 5 5 5 5
Alson Well	01/24/07								****		22
7S/3W-7M	02/28/07										22
(Cont)	03/29/07										23
	04/25/07										19

.

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

Page Intentionally Blank

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

	Data	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/i		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 101	06/01/88	810	495	76	15	79	8	116	16	314	
7S/3W-34G1	08/05/88										<1
	05/23/90	630	365	30	6	91	2	101	35	107	3
	08/04/93	860	465	76	14	78	2	120	22	275	<1
	08/09/96	820	480	69	14	83	2	110	15	310	<2
	10/16/97					****					<2
	08/11/99	840	510	70	14	85	2	110	17	300	<2
	06/25/02										<2
	08/14/02	870	500	66	14	85	2.5	120	15	250	<2
	06/11/03										<2
	06/15/04										<2
	06/14/05		10-01 M					·			<1
	08/09/05	880	440	75	15	87	2.5	140	22	300	<1
											<1
	06/07/06						** ****	****			<2
	06/01/07										
	06/03/08		620								<2
	08/11/08	1000	550	91	18	110	2.9	150	36	300	<2
	09/09/08		620								
	01/08/09		840								
	06/25/09		810								<2
	03/24/10		620	****							
	06/02/10		670								<2
	09/01/11		620								
	12/09/11		610								
	03/07/12		650								
	06/12/12		650								<1
	09/13/12		650								
No. 102	01/04/89	695	370	9	2	134	1	101	25	195	<1
8S/3W-2Q1	01/15/92	930	615	38	4	160	3	160	55	250	<1
00.011 LQ.	05/17/95	850	475	21	1	144	1	120	130	98	<1
	06/20/95	1190	700	26	2	207	2	150	220	131	<1
	06/09/97										<2
No. 105	07/06/89	500	280	30	6	66	2	71	22	134	14
7S/3W-25M1	03/17/93	480	310	17	2	80	2	67	22		14
No. 106	06/29/88	920	485	38	5	143	3	182	66	70	16
7S/3W-26R1	05/13/92	880	515	35	4	142	2	180	72		17
10/011-20111	05/16/95	870	495	32	3	138	2	160	57	116	14
	07/07/97						·				8
	07/20/98										9
	07/20/99										9
	07/06/00	****						***			8

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location Date Tested Conductance umhos Solids (mg/l)	23 25 	100 	NO3 8
No. OT/10/01 </th <th> 25 </th> <th></th> <th></th>	 25 		
7S/3W-26R1 07/10/01	 25 		
(Cont) 07/03/02 <th< td=""><td> 25 </td><td></td><td>12</td></th<>	 25 		12
07/07/03 <	25 		8
05/11/04 530 310 9 <1 93 1 80 07/13/04 07/07/05		~~	6.8
07/13/04 07/07/05		88	8
07/07/05			8
			6.5
			6.1
05/02/07 550 290 8.8 <1 91 <1 84	26	85	3.7
07/03/07			6
07/07/08 370			12
01/13/09 440			
04/16/09 310			
07/01/09 340			6.8
03/18/10 440			
05/06/10 720 410 23 1.6 120 1.5 130	57	100	12
07/13/10			2
09/01/10 340			
12/09/10 410			
04/15/11 400			
07/06/11 300			6
10/04/11 320			
01/31/12 430			
04/09/12 430			
No. 107 04/11/88 490 365 19 4 73 2 69	22	116	15
7S/3W-26J1 05/29/91 950 535 63 15 104 3 130	120	171	11
No. 108 05/25/88 780 455 51 11 96 2 120	68	153	14
7S/3W-25E1 05/29/91 930 500 59 14 104 3 130	110	153	10
05/13/94 640 395 23 5 100 2 120	51	104	7
05/16/95			5
05/13/97 540 300 7 <1 110 <1 110	15	85	4
05/05/99			8
05/16/00 630 350 7 <1 110 <1 130	12	65	3
05/02/01			2
11/19/02			2
04/14/05			2
04/18/06			1
05/12/06 750 360 8.2 <1 140 <1 190	7.9	50	1.1
02/13/08			1.4
08/06/08 400			
02/05/09 340			2.2
05/08/09 730 380 7.2 <1 130 <1 170	9.4	60	<2.0
08/05/09 370			
02/03/10			3

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/i		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 108	05/06/10		380								
7S/3W-25E1	08/13/10		350								
(Cont)	11/03/10		380								
(COIII)	02/02/11		350								2
	05/05/11		380								
	08/02/11		400								
	11/01/11		350								
	02/08/12		350								<2.0
	05/02/12		380	7.2	<1	130	1.2	180	10		2.3
	05/02/12	700	000	1.2		100					
No. 109	06/01/88	1400	920	136	35	120	4	100	300	296	
8S/2W-17J1	08/05/88						****				10
	06/12/91	1330	800	110	26	120	5	120	270		9
	06/22/94	1370	1010	138	32	124	5	140	320		7
	06/06/95			••••							8
	06/13/97	1440	1010	130	31	140	4	140	330	280	10
	07/16/97										2.2 as N
	04/14/99										12
	04/11/00										13
	06/21/00	1330	870	120	28	130	4	120	280	270	3.2
	04/10/01										13
	06/11/03	1400	970	140	32	130	4	130	340		12
	06/19/03	1400	970	150	32	120	4.2	130	340	290	12
	01/07/04										13
	01/11/05							00-00 m			13
	01/04/06										12
	07/12/06	1300	930	130	30	130	4.8	130	280	280	12
	01/10/07										13
	01/04/08										13
	07/07/08		810							<u></u>	
	01/13/09		860							·	16
	04/02/09		810								
	07/06/09		770				~			·	
	01/05/10				,						14
	04/07/10		930								
	07/01/10		1000								
	10/06/10		830								
	01/12/11		920								14
	01/25/12		880						. .		12
	04/03/12		910								
No. 440	02/24/00	1100	630	70	23	132	6	115	163	268	3
No. 110	03/31/88			60	23	124	5	110			3
8S/1W-06K1	03/11/93		610								1
	04/27/95										<2
	07/20/99										2
	07/06/00										2
	07/10/01							****			2

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
She Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
No. 110	03/11/02	850	500	58	20	81	5	74	190	160	<2
8S/1W-06K1	07/03/02										<2
(Cont)	09/16/03										2
()	09/01/04										2
	03/02/05	810	510	56	21	79	4.9	76	170	150	<2
	09/07/05										1.8
	09/06/07				***						2
	03/04/08	980	560	59	21	95	4.6	110	160	190	2.5
	01/20/09		610								
	04/02/09		550								
	07/09/09		560					****			
	01/06/10		560								
	04/07/10		630								****
	07/01/10		730								
	09/01/10										<2
	10/07/10		600								
	01/12/11		520								
	04/05/11		560			****					
	07/06/11		530								
	09/02/11										3.8
	10/13/11		470								
	02/16/12		440								·
	04/04/12		400								
	09/05/12						0, p				1.5
No. 113	03/28/88	700	400	41	12	87	2	11	20		18
7S/2W-25H01	03/21/91	570	290	21	5	79	2	88	17	119	11
	03/03/94	700	410	46	13	86	2	120	25	189	19
	04/27/95										24
	03/20/97	880	500	53	15	96	2	140	33	200	22
	07/20/98										23
	09/16/98						***				22
	02/25/99										19
	04/14/99										17
	06/03/99										21
	09/14/99										22
	10/21/99								****		25
	11/02/99										22
	12/14/99										23
	01/11/00										18
	03/07/00	810	470	75	16	59	2	70	94		11
	04/11/00										23
	05/03/00										24
	06/21/00										23
	09/13/00	****									23
	10/06/00										21

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
**************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
No. 113	02/14/01										16
7S/2W-25H01	02/14/01										23
	06/12/01										22
(Cont)	08/01/01										22
	11/13/01										22
	05/01/02										19
	08/06/02										20
	11/05/02										21
	02/07/03						-				22
	03/05/03	1000	610	65	19	110	2.5	160	41		26
	08/05/03	1000									21
	11/13/03										24
	02/10/04										24
	05/04/04										23
	08/10/04										24
	11/17/04		·								25
	02/09/05										25
	05/12/05										23
	11/02/05										25
	02/14/06										24
	03/08/06	880	540	54	15	100	2.3	140	31		24
			540				2.5				24
	05/11/06										21
	08/03/06										23
	11/08/06										24
	02/07/07										23
	05/01/07										23
	08/07/07										23
	02/12/08		540								21
	05/06/08		530								21
	08/11/08										24
	11/06/08		570 530								24
	02/05/09		520	56	15	97	2.1	150	41		21
	03/03/09	930				97	2.1				19
	05/11/09										20
	08/04/09		520								20
	02/02/10		510								
	05/07/10		600		400 and 200						22
	08/10/10		540								22
	11/03/10		520								21 20
	02/15/11		550								20
	05/04/11		550								
	08/03/11		540								20
	11/02/11		540								21
	02/02/12		580								21
	05/03/12		570								20
	08/09/12										20

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	D -4-	Specific	Total Dissolved								
	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 118	08/08/90	715	480	14	1	162	1	120	79	101	1
8S/3W-11B	09/26/90										1
00/011-110	09/10/93	860	525	19	1	178	1	130	94	198	<1
	06/20/95										<1
	09/16/96	970	560	33	2	180	2	120	120	230	<2
	07/23/97										0.2 as N
	09/16/98										2
	11/02/99	1040	580	46	4	170	2	130	100	240	<2
	09/20/00										<2
	08/18/02										<2
	11/08/02	1100	590	46	4.5	160	1.3	140	94	240	<2
	09/23/03									****	<2
	12/30/04										<2
	01/25/05										<2
	09/07/05	*****									<1
	11/03/05	980	590	55	5	150	1.7	140	110	240	<1
	09/05/07									'	1.1
	09/08/08		670								<2
	11/06/08	1100	640	71	150	150	1.9	150	140	250	ND
	12/05/08		660								
	03/03/09		620								
	06/04/09		610					****			
	03/03/10		640								
	06/02/10		630								
	09/02/10		640								2.2
	12/08/10		640	***							
	03/02/11		650								
	06/08/11		640								
	09/02/11		620				****				2
	12/06/11		610					****			
	06/12/12		640								
No. 119	07/16/96	450	280	44	9	35	<1	39	18	180	15
8S/2W-19J	08/14/97										12
	12/24/97		320								3.1 as N
	03/04/98		380								3.3 as N
	06/04/98										3.8 as N
	06/12/98		400								
	09/16/98				****						3.7 as N
	01/08/99		430								
	04/13/99										28
	06/02/99		560								4.8 as N
	07/27/99		640	103	21	58	1	70	150		30
	09/14/99										22

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/i		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 119	09/14/99										4.8 as N
8S/2W-19J	10/26/99										24
(Cont)	11/02/99										22
(oon)	12/14/99		560								22
	04/04/00										20
	12/14/00						*****				4.6 as N
	03/29/01										20
	06/20/01										4.2 as N
	09/14/01										4.2 as N
	09/28/01										18
	11/16/01										16
	05/23/02		480								18
	07/24/02	770	490	81	15	49	1.1	51	90	240	19
	11/08/02										15
	02/19/03										17
	02/10/04						-				15
	02/28/05										10
	07/06/05	820	600	95	20	63	1.4	64	140		13
	02/07/06										15
	02/07/07										15
	02/12/08										15
	05/14/08		520								13
	07/08/08	810	520	88	17	57	1.4	66	120		13
	08/11/08		480						120		13
	11/17/08		520								15
	02/05/09		460								13
	02/05/09		560								13
			540								14
	08/04/09		580								14
	01/12/10 04/09/10		560								13
			620								13
	07/01/10		610								14
	10/07/10										13
	01/12/11		480				de kom				13
	04/12/11		560	 85		 60	 1.9	 84	100	 250	
	07/07/11	840	560		18				120		16 15
	10/13/11		610								
	01/10/12		520				** ***				14
	04/03/12		550								arrain da
No. 120	06/20/90	570	330	6	1	116	1	82	31	113	11
8S/2W-17G	06/10/93	590	340	6	<1	122	1	85	35	104	12
	07/19/96	630	360	6	<1	120	1	88	42	120	14
	06/16/97										10
	08/14/97										9
	00/14/8/										9

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	, k		Chem	ical Con	stituents			
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 120	06/06/00		-								11
8S/2W-17G	06/13/01										12
(Cont)	06/01/02	670	370	8.1	<1	130	1	86	46	130	11
(Cont)	06/11/03										12
	06/22/04										15
	06/15/05	720	410	11	<1	140	1.3	90	62	140	12
	06/07/06										11
	06/01/07										10
	06/05/08	690	400	11	<1	140	104	89	66	140	10
	06/05/08		400								10
	09/15/08		350								
	08/21/09		500								11
	02/02/10		440								
			440								
	05/05/10		440								11
	08/09/10		430								
	11/03/10										
	02/02/11		440								
	05/04/11		450								
	08/02/11		420								10
	11/03/11		380								
	02/07/12		430								
	05/03/12		410								
	08/09/12		400		****	***					10
No. 121	10/27/89	900	475	63	14	99	2	109	28	290	<1
7S/3W-34J	05/19/92	1000	560	72	17	120	3	170	56	270	<1
	07/18/97										ND
	07/24/97		640								ND
	08/20/97										ND
	09/03/97										ND
	06/19/02										ND
No. 122	06/23/97										6
8\$/2W-20P1	07/25/97	660	460	64	13	44	1	61	65	190	. 8
00/211 201 1	10/10/97										9
	12/23/97		400								1.8 as N
	03/25/98		450								2.2 as N
	06/03/98										2.4 as N
	06/05/98		460								2.1 0011
	09/17/98		400				·				2.2 as N
	01/08/99		450								2.2 03 1
			450 470								2.1 as N
	06/03/99										2.1 as N 9
	04/13/99										
	09/21/99										2.1 as N
	03/07/00										16
	04/04/00										9

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	iical Con	stituents	- mg/l		•
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
No. 122	06/28/00	780	470	79	16	62	1	73	100	210	11
8S/2W-20P1	12/13/00									210	2.5 as N
											2.5 as N
(Cont)	03/27/01										2.5 as N 10
	04/18/01										2.4 as N
	06/20/01										2.4 as N 2.7 as N
	09/13/01		 550					40 DA 24			
	12/13/01		550								
	05/14/02		570								9
	03/05/03										10
	03/16/04										12
	03/17/05										9
	03/21/06			****							9.4
	03/06/07						****				9.7
	03/03/08								****		8.5
	03/07/08		620								
	10/08/08		620								
	01/20/09		680						****		****
	03/10/09										8.9
	04/16/09		660								
	07/14/09		670								
	03/15/10		640								10
	03/10/11						***				9.6
	05/25/11		670					·			
	08/04/11		680			****					
	01/10/12		680								
											9.1
	03/06/12										9.1
	04/03/12		730								
	08/07/12	1100	710	110	20	87	1.9	84	190	260	8.0
No. 123	06/06/90	1100	690	69	27	132	6	130	170	281	4
8S/1W-7B	06/10/93	1120	690	74	25	136	6	120	190	250	5
	02/05/97	930	550	55	18	110	5	83	130	250	1.3
	04/27/99										3
	06/02/99										3
	07/20/99										2
	08/11/99										2
	09/14/99										2
	10/21/99										2
	11/02/99										2
			610	59	20	100	5	83	150		3
	02/09/00						-		150	240	3
	02/09/01		 EE0				 A E				
	03/10/03		550	59	20	87	4.5	80			<2
	02/03/04										2
	02/14/05										2
	02/14/06										3.6
	03/14/06		530	65	22	88	5	91	180	180	2.3
	04/24/07										1.4

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 123	05/01/07										2.7
8S/1W-7B	06/05/07										2.2
(Cont)	07/05/07										2.5
(22)	08/07/07										2.2
	09/05/07										2.1
	09/06/07	*****									2
	10/03/07		·								2
	12/13/07										1.9
	01/10/08										1.4
	02/13/08										1.1
	03/03/08				***						1.3
	03/07/08		540							****	
	04/08/08										2.2
	05/12/08										2.4
	06/23/08										2.7
	07/08/08										2.9
	08/12/08										2.6
	09/15/08										2.7
	11/06/08										2.6
	12/05/08										2
	01/07/09	·	640							·	ND
	02/04/09										1.6
	03/09/09	980	610	62	21	97	5	98	180	110	<2.0
	04/02/09		600	*****							<2.0
	05/07/09										<2.0
	06/01/09										<2.0
	07/09/09		590								<2.0
	08/05/09										<2.0
	01/06/10		590								1.4
	02/02/10										1.1
	03/03/10										1.2
	04/08/10		600								1.2
	05/06/10										1.5
	06/02/10							****			<2
	07/01/10		750							· ·	<2
	08/10/10										2.4
	09/01/10									·	2.1
	10/07/10		630								<2
	11/01/10										<2
	12/02/10	******								·	<2
	01/12/11		570								2
	02/15/11										2
	03/09/11									·	2
	04/05/11		580								2

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
	05/05/44										0
No. 123	05/05/11										2
8S/1W-7B	06/07/11										2
(Cont)	07/06/11		600			***					2
	08/03/11										2
	09/02/11										2.3
	10/13/11		550								2.2
	11/10/11		'						***		<2
	12/07/11						****				<2
	01/06/12		540	****				****			<2.0
	09/05/12										1.4
No. 124	06/20/90	660	380	38	4	92	3	97	48		13
8S/2W-11R1	07/22/93	690	430	42	5	89	3	90	57	159	17
	07/18/95										11
	10/26/99	700	420	45	4	94	3	97	61	160	16
	07/06/00										17
	07/10/01										16
	07/03/02		 = ·								10
	10/02/02	600	330	24	2.4	92	1.9	75	38	150	10
	01/08/03										2.3 as N
	07/01/03										8.3
	07/07/04										9.4
	07/06/05										8.4
	10/05/05	580	360	19	2.4	96	1.6	74	35	140	7.8
	09/26/06										17
	09/05/07										8.2
	10/28/08	780	490	52	6.5	84	3.1	91	84	150	1.8
	01/13/09		390								
	04/07/09		330								
	07/09/09		320								
	01/06/10		390								
	04/08/10		360								
	07/01/10		390								
	10/06/10		320								10
	01/04/11		390								
			390								
	04/05/11										
	07/06/11		350						44		9.8
	10/12/11	610	390	23	2.5	95	2.2	80	• •		
	10/12/11		320								10
	01/10/12		330								
	04/04/12		410								
No. 125	06/20/90		425	17	5	132	3	99	54		4
8S/2W-12H	06/10/93	770	450	18	5	140	3	150	60		3
	06/20/95										2
	06/09/97									· ····	2
	09/17/98										3

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location Date		Specific	Total Dissolved Solids	/ed		Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	Conductance umhos	(mg/i)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
No. 125	06/03/99	720	440	10	3	135	2	89	76	170	<2
8S/2W-12H	11/02/99										3
(Cont)	11/15/00							***			2
(Cont)	07/24/01										4
	06/19/02	700	400	8.8	2.3	130	1.8	87	54	170	<2
	07/03/02										2
	01/13/03										.38 as N
	07/01/03										<2
	06/09/04										<2
	06/14/05	650	350	8.3	2.1	130	1.6	82	52	180	1.8
	06/13/06										2.8
	06/05/07										1.6
	06/10/08	770	460	17	4.6	150	2.4	93	64		2.7
			370		4.0		Z. 4				
	09/15/08 12/05/08		450					6040 M			
			440								
	03/04/09		560								<2.0
	06/01/09		480								3.7
	07/27/10										
	10/06/10		430								
	01/14/11		420								
	04/05/11		390								
No. 126	05/04/88	480	290	4	<1	106	<1	53	14		<1
3S/2W-15H	07/06/89	500	270	2	1	108	<1	55	11		<1
	07/18/95	540	315	1	<1	122	<1	72	11	122	<1
	07/07/97										<2
	07/16/97										0.2 as N
	07/23/97										0.2 as N
	08/20/97										0.4 as N
	09/03/97										0.2 as N
	09/17/97										0.2 as N
	07/20/98		330	2	<1	120	<1	56	11	130	<2
	09/16/98		300								0.4 as N
	04/14/99		**** **				**				2
	04/11/00										<2
	04/11/01										2
	07/12/01		300	2	<1	100	<1	53	12	140	<2
	06/20/02										<
	08/06/02										<
	01/08/03										0.25 as N
	11/04/03										<2
	07/22/04		310	1.5	ND	110	ND	59	10	120	0.27 as N
	11/03/04			1.0							<2
											<
	11/02/05										<
	11/08/06		330	1.4	<1	110	<1	62		170 	<2
	07/03/07			1.4				02			1.9
	11/14/07										1.8
	08/07/08		280								

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
No. 126	02/04/09		280				****				
8S/2W-15H	05/06/09		280								
	08/04/09		270								
(Cont)	02/03/10		290								
	05/06/10		390								
	07/13/10	530	300	1.6	<1	110	<1	58	11	130	<2
	08/24/10		330								
	11/03/10		300								1.5
			280								1.0
	02/04/11		300	****							
	05/03/11										
	08/02/11		280					***			<2
	11/01/11		270								
	02/06/12		350							•	
	05/02/12		330	-							
	08/06/12		290								
No. 128	07/06/89	400	230	27	3	54	2	59	7		25
7/3W-36M	07/08/92	390	230	21	2	59	2	55	1		24
	07/20/95	380	275	16	2	66	1	65	10	101	19
	07/07/97										15
	07/20/98	370	260	12	<1	71	1	48	11	110	14
	06/02/99										13
	06/08/01	60-50-50		-					••••		14
	07/10/01	400	230	10	<1	68	<1	44	12	100	12
	06/20/02			-				-			12
	01/08/03										12
	01/14/04										10
	07/14/04	390	240	8.3	1	67	1	48	11	92	13
	01/11/05										6
	01/10/06										7.9
No. 129	11/29/89	430	260	16	3	66	2	71	16		9
7S/2W-20L	08/08/90	440	280	20	5	64	2	72	14	119	10
	04/01/92				****						12
	09/10/93	470	275	24	6	60	2	74	16	6 110	13
	08/09/96	460	270	19	3	67	2	70	15	5 100	11
	02/04/97		50M M								53
	12/20/00		330	44	13	47	2	81	14	130	20
	03/22/01									•	20
	04/17/01										20
	05/02/01										18
	06/08/01										20
	10/16/01						***				19
	11/13/01										18
	02/26/02										16
	05/23/02										14
											15
	09/18/02										10

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
No. 130 8S/2W-11R	02/17/88 02/14/91	650 640	365 365	16 4	1 <1	132 132	1	69 68	64 56	0 122	4
	04/24/91				***						3
	02/09/94 05/16/95	650 	410 	3	<1 	148	1 	81 	72 	146	4 4
	02/05/97 05/14/97	780	450	4	<1 	170 	<1 	78	82	150 	5 4
	04/14/99										5
	02/10/00 04/12/00	750 	440	4	<1 	170 	<1 	76 	77 	170 	5 5
	05/25/00 05/24/01										6 6
	05/24/02 02/19/03	 820	460	 4.1	 <1	 170	 <1	 87	 96	 180	5 5
	05/04/04 05/12/05										5.1 5
	02/14/06	800	450	4.1	<1	170	<1	83	91	200	5.1
	05/12/06 05/01/07										4.5 4.5
	05/07/08 08/12/08		440 470								4.1
	11/09/08 02/11/09	 840	560 440	4.6	 <1	 170	 <1	 91	110	 150	4.8
	05/11/09 08/31/09		480 470								3.5
	02/04/10 05/06/10		480 410								 4.5
	08/11/10		460								
	11/01/10 12/02/10		480 400								
	07/15/11 08/04/11		480								4.7
	10/13/11 01/10/12		490 460								
	02/09/12 08/08/12	810 	480	4.4 	<1.0 	160 	1.2 	80 	100 	180 	4.0 4.2
No. 131	03/10/88	530	270	4	<1	108	1	57	52		1
8S/1W-12J	03/21/91 03/03/94	630 660	335 345	7 9	<1 <1	120 124	1	74 86	65 73		3 2
	03/30/95 03/20/97	660	370	6	 <1	 125	 1	 81	 73	100	2 2
	07/07/97 07/27/98										<2 2
	06/03/99										<2
	03/07/00 06/21/00	720	380	9 	<1 	140 	2 	81 	80		3 2

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Site Location Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
No. 131	06/27/01										2
8S/1W-12J	06/05/02										<2
	03/13/03	700	390	8	<1	130	1.4	88	88		3
(Cont)		700									<2
	06/11/03										<2
	06/09/04										2
	06/15/05	710	420	9	<1	140	1.5	93	93		3
	03/07/06			9							1.7
	06/07/06										2.4
	06/26/07			****							2.4 1.5
	06/04/08		390								
	09/15/08		330			*** *	****				
	12/03/08		430	_		400					
	03/04/09	640	370	6	<1	130	1.2	71	77		<2.0
	03/04/09		380								
	06/02/09		360								<2.0
	03/03/10		- 380			****					
	06/02/10		360								2
	09/01/10		360								
	03/02/11		430								
	06/07/11		360								2
	09/02/11		330								
	12/07/11		420			114-00 M					
	03/02/12		410								
	06/05/12		350								1.5
	09/05/12		370								
No. 132	04/18/88		620	94	13	103	6	109	153		2
8S/1W-07D	05/08/91	920	590	64	19	110	5	100	160		<1
	05/13/94	730	460	50	15	78	5	73	110	195	1
	05/16/95										<1
	07/18/95	860	520	59	17	100	4	90	130		1
	07/20/98	900	590	69	20	110	5	89	150	230	2
	01/06/99										2
	02/03/99	100 M 100									2
	04/14/99										3
	06/03/99						***				3
	07/27/99										5
	08/11/99					4000 Va					4
	09/15/99										4
	10/21/99										4
	11/02/99										3
	12/15/99										3
	05/03/00										2
	05/16/01	800	500	57	17	74	5	63	180	150	3
	05/01/02										2
	05/03/05										<2
	05/12/06										3.2
	03/12/00			_							0.2

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Cite Leastlen	e Location Date	Specific Conductance			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l			
Site Location	Tested	umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
#### ########################## ########											
No. 132	05/01/07							~~~			4.7
8S/1W-07D	05/03/07	820	500	53	16	64	4.4	72	150	160	3.2
(Cont)	05/06/08		670								3.6
	08/12/08		690								2010 IN
	11/06/08		650								
	02/05/09		570								
	05/11/09		590								<2.0
	08/05/09		600								
	02/03/10		580								
	05/06/10	960	600	67	22	88	5.6	96	220	170	1.2
	08/10/10		570				****				*****
	11/01/10		610								
	02/15/11		580								
	05/04/11		590	*****							2
	08/03/11		580								
	11/02/11		510								
	02/08/12		450								
	05/02/12		420								3.3
	08/08/12	*****	360								
	00,00,12										
No. 133	03/28/90	970	605	50	20	112	5	120	131	235	3
8S/1W-7C	03/11/93	970	580	48	19	120	4	110	140		3
	06/06/95										2
	07/18/95	850	680	26	10	142	2	120	100	174	2
	06/23/97			20							. 3
		790	500	24	9	140	2	96	93		2
	07/20/98	790	500	24							3
	08/02/00				10	130	2	98	100		<2
	03/28/01	800	460	22							<2
	08/02/01										2
	09/18/02						***				
	09/16/03										2
	03/12/04	810	500	25	10	130	2.4	95	99		2
	03/07/07	820	500	26	9.7	140	2.4	94	98		2.3
	03/03/08										2.1
	03/07/08		480								
	07/08/08		470				****				
	01/07/09		540	***							
	03/04/09						** ****				2.6
	04/02/09		460								
	07/09/09	*****	450								
	01/06/10		490								
	03/03/10	860	460	37	16	110	3.1	110	110	200	3
	04/08/10		490								
	07/08/10		470	****							
	10/06/10		460			17-07 ID					
	01/12/11	·	490								

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/i		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 133	04/05/11		460								
8S/1W-7C	07/06/11		440								
(Cont)	10/13/11		470								
No. 135	05/24/89	2450	1390	122	65	300	2	410	225		33
7S/3W-27M	06/06/90	1540	945	73	36	215	1	250	150		13
	12/11/90	4400	2670	270	109	480	4	1030	380		<1
	08/06/92	1800	810	63	33	170	1	200	160	281	
	01/16/97										3.7 as N
	02/04/97										3.5 as N
	02/12/97										4.0 as N
	02/20/97		••••								3.4 as N
	02/25/97										3.4 as N
	03/04/97										3.7 as N
	03/18/97										3.3 as N
	03/25/97										3.5 as N
	04/08/97										3.4 as N
	04/15/97										3.4 as N
	04/22/97						4- 19-m				3.5 as N
	05/06/97	1930	1050	97	48	220	2	340	190	360	3.3 as N
	05/14/97										3.4 as N
	05/21/97										3.3 as N
	06/04/97										3.3 as N
	06/11/97	-									3.3 as N
	06/18/97										3.3 as N
	06/25/97										3.3 as N
	07/02/97										3.3 as N
	09/17/97	1960	1260					430	220		13
No. 138	10/30/90	460	240	19	2	74	2	71	13	113	18
8S/2W-6F	10/06/93	420	240	11	<1	70	1	56	10	92	14
	10/11/96	430	270	9	<1	78	1	55	8.9	100	15
	04/14/99										5
	06/03/99										3
	10/26/99	430	240	10	<1	76	1	60	11	100	19
	03/13/00										5
	03/22/01										17
	03/13/02										21
	06/20/02										16
	10/02/02		220	10	<1	75	1.2	58	7.8	96	17
	06/12/03										16
	12/30/04										5
	01/27/05						-				12
	10/18/05	430	280	11	<1	72	1.3	65	8.3	110	18
	01/06/06										17
	01/10/07	6777-14									16
	01/08/08									·	16

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
No. 138	10/08/08	430	220	12	59	82	1.1	59	11	32	18
8S/2W-6F	01/08/09										18
(Cont)	01/12/09		280								
(Cont)	04/08/09		250								
	07/06/09		240								
	01/06/10		250								16
	04/08/10		270								
	07/14/10		260								
	10/05/10		230								
	01/12/11		190								17
	04/06/11		290				-				
	07/07/11		250								
	10/04/11	440	230	10	1.0	78	1.9	62	10	110	17
	10/04/11		200								
			260								16
	01/17/12 04/03/12		280								
	04/03/12		200								
No. 139	12/29/87	460	295	24	7	65	1	60	11	104	7
7S/2W-32G	11/23/92	450	275	32	. 9	46	2	60			20
13/200-320	12/19/95	500	298	36	12	50	2	72			2.8
	03/25/97										10
	03/13/00										9
	03/28/01										8
	03/28/01		280	29	10	57	2	73			9
	03/09/04	550	200	2.5							8
	03/09/04	520	310	21	7.7	72	1.3	78	13		6
				Z 1			1.5				9.9
	03/09/06										6.9
	03/07/07		340	40	14	43	1.9	80			14
	04/15/08	550	330	40							
	07/17/08		320								
	10/08/08		390								
	01/13/09		290								
	07/06/09										5.8
	04/08/09		310 320								
	05/17/10										
	08/09/10		340								8.9
	10/21/10					64 ju - 64					0.5
	11/03/10		290								
	02/09/11		340				2.3	 97			12
	04/21/11	570	340	39	15	45					
	05/04/11		340			*****					
	07/07/11		350		A1 10 10						
	08/04/11		320								 6 1
	10/05/11										6.1
	11/02/11		310								
	02/09/12		330								
	05/02/12		320								
	08/09/12		310								

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location		Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cherr	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 140	02/18/88	560	325	33	10	65	2	77	14	153	13
7S/2W-33F	01/15/92	450	235	11	2	88	1	68	18		2
13/200-331	02/28/95	560	325	36	11	58	2	94	14		12
	03/25/97										8
	02/27/98	650	360	31	11	76	2	95	16		5
	09/17/98										8
	05/16/01										11
	02/01/01	650	370	31	12	72	2	110	21	150	4
	05/24/02										7
	04/05/05	680	390	37	16	69	2.3	140	18	150	4
	04/06/06										4.4
	04/24/07										3
	04/08/08	630	340	26	9.5	79	1.9	110	21	140	2.7
	04/08/08		350								2.7
	07/07/08		360		***						
	01/07/09		400			****				-	
	04/15/09		380								4.6
	07/06/09		360								
	01/06/10		350								
	04/08/10		350								2.1
	07/14/10		360								
	10/05/10		350	****							
	01/12/11		280								
	04/05/11	640	360	26	9.4	82	1.9	100	19	130	2.4
	04/05/11		340								2.7
	10/05/11		360								
	01/17/12		380								
	04/03/12		390								
No. 141	01/06/88	780	440	64	11	82	3	65	91		13
8S/2W-11P	01/30/92		500	63	13	95	3	79	110		19
	03/30/95	840	490	58	11	100	3	70	97	241	14
	03/25/97										15
	03/26/98	760	480	62	12	90	3	69	86	230	16
	01/04/99							60-44 VP			14
	02/12/99										19
	10/21/99									·	17
	11/03/99										14
	12/14/99										14
	06/20/00						·				15
	01/04/01	700	450	52	6	84	3	75			15
	09/28/01										18
	11/08/02										15
	09/16/03										19
	01/13/04	760	490	65	11	84	3.1	70			21
	01/06/05										18

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
01/06/06										16
		410					***			11
										10
	760		62	8.1	84	3.5	77	68	200	16
									****	13
		460								
		420								
										12
		360								
										12
00,00,12										
01/15/88	670	345	8	2	134	1	91	57	95	11
			-				89			12
							93	68	131	11
										11
			15					44	89	9
										2.0 as N
										2.0 as N
										2.3 as N
										2.2 as N
										2.0 as N
										2.3 as N
										13
			21	3	120	2	84	68	140	12
										8
										8
										10
										2.1 as N
							92	52	130	10
										12
										10
										8.7
										7.2
										7.3
										7.1
										, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
02/04/09 05/11/09		290								
	Tested 01/06/06 06/04/08 12/05/08 03/04/09 06/02/09 01/05/10 03/03/10 06/02/10 09/01/10 01/12/11 04/05/11 06/07/11 07/06/11 10/11/11 01/10/12 04/03/12 06/05/12 01/15/88 10/17/90 03/03/95 03/25/97 07/18/97 07/23/97 08/20/97 09/03/97 09/17/98 10/21/99 03/07/00 10/13/00 10/13/00 10/13/03 03/10/03 01/07/04 01/18/05 01/06/06 06/08/06 01/10/70 01/04/08 01/08/09 02/04/09	Date Tested Conductance umhos 01/06/06 06/04/08 12/05/08 03/04/09 06/02/09 01/05/10 760 03/03/10 06/02/09 01/05/10 760 03/03/10 06/02/10 06/02/10 09/01/10 01/12/11 01/12/11 04/05/11 06/07/11 01/10/12 01/10/12 01/10/12 01/15/88 670 10/17/90 660 03/03/94 690 03/03/94 690 03/03/95 03/03/97 07/23/97 09/03/97 09/03/97 03/07/00	Date Tested Conductance umhos Solids (mg/l) 01/06/06 06/04/08 410 12/05/08 480 03/04/09 480 03/04/09 480 03/03/10 480 06/02/10 480 06/02/10 400 06/02/10 400 06/02/11 400 06/02/12 400 06/02/11 400 06/02/12 400 04/05/11 420 06/07/11 360 10/11/11 420 01/10/12 400 04/03/12 510 06/05/12 01/15/88 670 345 10/17/90 660 345 03/03/94 690 370	Date Tested Conductance umhos Solids (mg/l)	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Date Tested Conductance umhos Solids (mg/l) Ca Mg Na 01/06/06 05/04/08 410 12/05/08 480 05/02/09 390 06/02/10 480 06/02/10 400 06/02/10 400 0 0 0	Date Tested Conductance umbos Solids (mg/l) Ca Mg Na K 01/06/06	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Tested umhos (mg/l) Ca Mg Na K Cl SO4 HCO3 NO3 No. 143 08/05/09 300 <t< th=""><th>Site Location</th><th>te LocationDate</th><th>Specific Conductance</th><th>Total Dissolved Solids</th><th></th><th></th><th>Chem</th><th>nical Con</th><th>stituents</th><th>- mg/l</th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Site Location	te LocationDate	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
85/2W-17.J 01/05/10 <					Ca	Mg	Na		CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
85/2W-17.J 01/05/10 <	No. 143	08/05/09		300								
$ \begin{array}{c} (Cont) & 02/04/10 & - & 320 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & $												6.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				320								
08/13/10 280	(••••••)											
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
01/13/11												
02/09/11 320												9.1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				320								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				320								
01/06/12 <				370								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								~				7.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	•			300								
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			540		7.3	1.1	100	1.0	73	21	100	5.9
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. 144	09/14/88	610	335	8	<1	114	1	95	33	92	<1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7S/3W-27D3	12/19/95	730	420	34	1	124	1	120	33	186	<1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12/20/00	690	400	28	1	120	<1	120	35	170	<2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05/22/01										<2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		08/20/02										<2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		08/27/03							****			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12/16/03	630	420	33	1.8	110	1	110	28	170	<2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		08/12/04										<2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10/11/05	***									2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			670	370	21	1	98	1.2	110	27	150	<1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		08/07/07	····-									
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		08/11/08		320								<2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		02/09/09		340								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05/08/09		360								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		08/05/09		370			,,					<2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		02/04/10		380								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05/06/10		410								
02/02/11 340		08/10/10		370								<2
05/04/11 350 <		11/10/10		400								
08/09/11 340 <-2		02/02/11		340	****							
11/02/11 320		05/04/11		350								
02/08/12 320 05/03/12 340		08/09/11		340					****			<2
05/03/12 340		11/02/11		320				·				
		02/08/12		320								
08/09/12 330 <1.0		05/03/12		340								
		08/09/12		330							·	<1.0

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cherr	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 145	10/04/90	800	490	43	8	110	2	110	78	171	<1
7S/3W-28C	10/06/93	650	375	23	3	106	1	85	58	146	<1
	11/27/96	650	340	26	2	110	1	87	48	150	<2
	02/04/97	670	370	24	2	110	1	87	55	160	<2
	01/28/98										<2
	01/04/99										<2
	10/26/99	690	400	29	3	110	1	96	61	170	<2
	01/06/00										<2
	01/25/01										<2
	01/18/02										<2
	10/09/02	690	390	26	2.3	110	1.2	94	52	160	<2
	01/15/03										<2
	01/07/04										<2
	01/13/05										<2
	10/11/05	680	430	33	2.7	120	1.4	100	54	180	<1
	10/18/05	700	440	34	2.8	120	1.5	100	59	180	<1
	04/13/06										<1
	01/19/07										<1
	01/04/08										<2
	08/11/08		360								
	10/08/08	720	400	37	3.2	100	1.3	95	56	150	ND
	01/06/09	120									ND
	02/03/09		390								
	05/08/09		410								
	08/05/09		400								
	01/07/10										<2
	02/04/10		400								
	05/07/10		400								
	08/10/10		390								
	11/10/10		410								
	01/12/11										<2
	02/09/11		390								
	05/05/11		380								
	08/04/11		360								
	10/05/11	670	380	28	2.6	110	1.6	100	49	160	<2
	11/10/11		400	20	2.0						-14
	01/12/12		400								<1.0
	01/12/12		510								
			440								
	05/17/12		440 410				·				
	08/09/12		410								
	40/40/00	000	500	57	22	00	-1	100	64	280	15
No. 146	12/10/96		500	57	23	98	<1 	100	04		4
7S/3W-28	03/02/00					***					-

ND- None Detected

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Tested umhos (mg/l) Ca Mg Na K Ci SO4 HCO3 No. 149 88/1W-2C 00/15/93	Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
85/1W-2C 10/10/01					Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 149A 040 610 611 23 120 4 100 170 250 12/11/02	No. 149	06/15/93										5
12/11/02	8S/1W-2C	10/10/01										4
01/23/03 <		03/11/02	1040	610	61	23	120	4	100	170	250	4
No. 149A 08/26/88 950 22 120 3.7 100 170 230 No. 149A		12/11/02							***			3.2
No. 149A		01/23/03									14 19 AL	4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		03/12/03	1000	600	59	22	120	3.7	100	170	230	3
No. 149A O8/26/88 950 540 71 211 96 1 115 47 302 No. 149A 08/26/88 950 540		01/13/04										4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		01/11/06										2.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		03/09/06	940	580	56	21	110	3.8	87	160	220	2.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		01/24/07						-				2.4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		03/11/08		550								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		07/08/08		590								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		01/08/09		590								2.6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		03/04/09	900	590	52	20	100	3.6	93	170	210	2.5
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		04/02/09		570								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		07/13/09		560								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		01/07/10		570								2.6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				570								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		05/12/11		570								2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		08/03/11		600							****	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11/09/11		620								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		02/09/12		580								
08/08/12 610 No. 149A 7S/3W-28A $08/26/88$ 950 540 71 211 96 1 115 47 302 $7S/3W-28A$ $10/31/91$ 800 480 36 13 122 3 93 110 195 No. 150 $7S/3W-27P$ $09/29/88$ 1950 1235 134 29 225 2 290 220 390 No. 151 $8S/2W-2G$ $07/25/91$ 860 485 53 16 103 4 90 130 183 8S/2W-2G $07/28/91$ 730 400 39 12 100 3 91 58 177 $07/29/91$ 600 340 9 2 122 5 63 34 204 $10/17/91$ 510 295 3 <1 118 1 45 10 137 $08/10/94$ 550 340 3 <1 110 1 59 22 119 $06/16/97$ $08/14/97$ 540 300 2 <1 110 <1 33 4.6 180 $01/06/05$ $05/12/09$ 530 380 1 1 110 <1 36 7.7 140 $05/05/10$ <td< td=""><td></td><td>03/02/12</td><td>970</td><td>600</td><td>59</td><td>20</td><td>99</td><td>4.4</td><td>95</td><td>180</td><td>190</td><td>2.3</td></td<>		03/02/12	970	600	59	20	99	4.4	95	180	190	2.3
No. 149A 7S/3W-28A $08/26/88$ $10/31/91$ 950 800 540 		05/03/12		600								2.0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		08/08/12		610								
No. 150 $7S/3W-27P$ 09/29/88 $12/21/91$ 1950 1000 1235 590 134 74 29 17 225 108 2 4 290 130 220 130 390 110 No. 151 $8S/2W-2G$ 07/25/91 $07/28/91$ 860 730 485 400 53 39 16 103 103 4 4 90 130 183 8S/2W-2G07/28/91 $07/29/91$ 730 600 400 340 39 9 2 122 100 5 63 341 2122 5 63 344 204 204 10/17/91 $08/10/94$ 550 550 340 300 3 2 110 110 137 100 08/14/97 $09/16/98$												18
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7S/3W-28A	10/31/91	800	480	36	13	122	3	93	110	195	
No. 151 $07/25/91$ 860 485 53 16 103 4 90 130 183 8S/2W-2G $07/28/91$ 730 400 39 12 100 3 91 58 177 $07/29/91$ 600 340 9 2 122 5 63 34 204 $10/17/91$ 510 295 3 <1 118 1 45 10 137 $08/10/94$ 550 340 3 <1 110 1 59 22 119 $06/16/97$ -1												15
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7S/3W-27P	12/21/91	1000	590	74	17	108	4	130	110	207	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	No. 151	07/25/91	860	485	53	16	103	4	90	130	183	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						2		5	63	34	204	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					3	<1	118	1	45	10	137	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						<1		1	59	22		<1
08/14/97 540 300 2 <1												<2
09/16/98							110				160	<2
01/06/00 510 300 1 <1												<2
01/06/05 05/12/09 530 380 1 1 110 <1 36 7.7 140 05/05/10												<2
05/12/09 530 380 1 1 110 <1 36 7.7 140 05/05/10												<2
05/05/10												<2.0
								-				<2.0
40/00/40 200												
10/28/10 290												
12/01/10 290		12/01/10	an en es	290				**-				

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 151	03/09/11		310								
8S/2W-2G	05/03/11										<2
(Cont)	06/02/11		280								
()	09/06/11		310								
	12/06/11		300								
	03/05/12		290								
	05/02/12	490	300	1.3	<1	110	<1	38	4.2	180	<1
	06/05/12		240	****			-				
	09/04/12		300							*****	
No. 151	09/20/88	5780	3410	280	114	840	5	1660	670	369	<1
7S/3W-34B	Abandoned										
No. 152	01/11/02	860	550	64	20	77	6	75	190	160	<2
8S/1W-5K2	01/08/03										<2
	01/07/04										<2
	01/24/05	850	510	71	25	77	4.6	85	190	160	<2
	01/04/06										1.1
	01/10/07										<1
	04/08/08		510								
	01/02/09		580								ND
	04/06/09	6767 M	620		****						
	07/13/09		610					****			
	01/06/10		740	****					8445 V-		1.7
	04/19/10		670								
	07/08/10		620								
	10/07/10		580								
	01/11/11		710								3.8
	04/13/11		490								*****
	07/12/11		460								
	10/06/11		420						***		
	01/11/12		270								<1.1
	04/12/12		330								
No. 153	12/29/93		485	53	18	92	5	86	120		<1
8S/1W-5K3	04/13/99		540	63	23	79	5	68	220		<2
	04/11/00										2
	06/14/01										<2
	04/02/02		500	63	22	75	4.2	80	190		<2
	04/14/05		410	44	17	65	3	76	110		3
	04/04/06								***		2.3
	04/04/07										<2
	04/08/08		560	62	23	79	4.3	100			1.9
	01/02/09		570								

ND - None Detected

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
No. 153	04/06/09		610								<2.0
8S/1W-5K3	07/13/09		590			***				****	
(Cont)	01/06/10		560								
(••••••)	04/08/10		610								1
	07/08/10		590								
	10/07/10		540								
	01/11/11		640								
	04/13/11	850	520	45	17	93	3.8	92	130	170	2
	04/13/11		490								2
	07/12/11		450								
	10/06/11		380							****	
	01/11/12		280								
	04/12/12		300								<1.0
	04/12/12		000								
No. 154 8S/1W-5L2	01/28/94	930	530	46	20	106	6	89	130	214	3
No. 155	09/16/93	680	355	22	2	108	1	90	64	104	<1
7S/3W-28C	02/23/95	760	445	30	3	126	1	120	82	140	4
	06/06/95			****							5
	08/14/97										4
	02/25/98	880	540	43	5	130	1	100	100	190	5
	07/27/98				·						3
	02/09/00								****		2
	09/13/00	690	410	23	2	120	<1	100	72	130	2
	02/14/01										5
	02/21/02										2
	02/28/03										<2
	01/07/04	600	360	10	<1	120	<1	100	60	100	<2
	02/23/04										6
	10/11/05										2
	02/16/05										5
	02/07/06										4.9
	02/07/07										2.5
No. 156	08/11/08	670	350	48	13	78	2.2	70	62	190	1.9
7S/3W-18	08/11/08		370								1.7
	05/08/09		400								
	08/05/09		410								1.5
	02/03/10		370								
	05/07/10		470								
	08/10/10		390								<2
	11/10/10		410								
	02/09/11		410								
	05/04/11		400								
	00/04/11										

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

O ¹ / ₁ I I I I I I I I I I	Data	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 156	08/04/11		380								1.4
7S/3W-18	11/10/11		390				***				
(Cont)	02/08/12		340		·						
(oon)	05/03/12		360								
	08/09/12		360								1.3
No. 157	04/13/99	930	600	59	21	110	7	95	150	240	<2
8S/1W-5L	04/11/00										2
00/111-02	06/14/01										<2
	04/02/02	830	520	60	22	78	4.1	78	190	150	<2
	04/14/05	720	420	47	18	69	3.2	74	120	150	2
	04/04/07	, 20									<2
	04/08/08	1100	640	68	24	110	4.3	130	170	230	2.6
	07/08/08		580								
	01/02/09		560								
	01/02/09		640								<2.0
	07/13/09		590								
	01/07/10		660								
	04/08/10		620								<2
	04/08/10		610								-2-
	10/07/10		540								
			590								
	01/11/11		520	49	17	84	3.4	89	120		<2
	04/13/11	830	490	49			J.4		120		<2
	04/13/11										~2
	07/12/11		460 370								
	10/06/11										
	01/11/12		260 330								<1.0
	04/12/12		330		***						-1.0
No. 158	06/21/94	1090	620	67	23	124	7	120	170	259	
8S/1W-5K	04/14/99		660	63	24	120	7	110	160	270	<2
	04/11/00										2
	06/14/01										2
	04/02/02	900	550	61	22	92	5.7	93	190	180	<2
	04/14/05		450	51	19	79	4.6	83	150	160	2
	04/04/06										3.9
	04/04/07										4.6
	04/08/08		760	77	25	140	6.4	150	180	280	3.5
	07/08/08		750								
	01/02/09		640				·				
	04/06/09		650								<2.0
	07/13/09		670								
	01/06/10		810								
	04/08/10		800								1.5
	07/08/10		680								
	10/07/10		750								
			710								
	01/11/11		710								

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 158	04/13/11	870	510	43	16	100	4.8	97	130	180	2
8S/1W-5K	04/13/11		530								2
			610								
(Cont)	07/12/11		570								
	10/06/11										
	02/09/12		520								<1.0
	04/12/12										
	05/02/12		460							****	
	08/08/12		550		'						
No. 201	03/28/91	530	315	19	6	83	2	83	16		2
7S/2W-27J	03/11/93	460	300	8	2	87	1	51	20	146	<1
No. 202 7S/2W-36J1	12/11/88	740	440	47	18	84	3	97	48	223	17
No. 203	05/18/88	960	580	50	39	110	4	96	115	275	
8S/1W-6P1	06/29/88	970	530	44	36	112	4	120	123	250	5
	06/12/91	800	415	21	17	108	3	91	90	174	2
	06/22/94	980	645	59	38	99	4	130	130	256	4
	06/07/95										5
	06/23/97	880	530	31	26	120	3	100	110	230	4
	08/14/97										3
	11/02/99										5
	06/22/00	820	580	94	18	58	<1	63	110		22
	07/12/00	880	570	43	33	120	3	100	130		7
	08/08/00										6
											5
	11/22/00										5
	11/20/01										4
	11/08/02			****							.90 as N
	01/08/03	950	460	31	23	100	2.2	92			.50 23 1
	06/10/03	850	400		25		Z.Z	52			5
	11/04/03										5
	11/18/04	940	 540	 39	32	110	3	100	130		5.5
	06/08/06			39							5.1
	06/01/07										4.3
	06/04/08		520								
	09/16/08		450			****	****				
	12/02/08		500								
	03/04/09		470								
	06/01/09		440								2.7
	03/03/10		460								
	06/02/10		490								3.3
	09/01/10		440								
	12/08/10		450								
	03/31/11		490								
	06/02/11		430								3.2
	09/02/11		420								

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

No. 203 8S/1W-6P1 (Cont) No. 204 7S/2W-26G No. 205 7S/3W-35A	Date Tested 12/07/11 06/05/12 09/05/12 05/22/91 05/13/94	Conductance umhos 740 	Solids (mg/l) 450 430	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	001		
8S/1W-6P1 (Cont) No. 204 7S/2W-26G No. 205 7S/3W-35A	06/05/12 09/05/12 05/22/91	740							SO4	HCO3	NO3
8S/1W-6P1 (Cont) No. 204 7S/2W-26G No. 205 7S/3W-35A	06/05/12 09/05/12 05/22/91										
(Cont) No. 204 7S/2W-26G No. 205 7S/3W-35A	09/05/12 05/22/91			19	15	110	2.3	72	94	180	3.2
7S/2W-26G No. 205 7S/3W-35A			440								
7S/2W-26G No. 205 7S/3W-35A		740	425	50	12	85	3	120	18	198	19
7S/3W-35A		690	375	37	7	85	3	130	19	125	19
7S/3W-35A	03/28/88	500	290	23	3	81	2	83	27	107	21
	03/13/91	490	275	22	3	75	2	62	23	113	21
	03/03/94	510	275	20	2	72	2	72	24	104	20
	04/26/95										22
		480	270	20	2	75	2	66	18	110	21
	03/25/97			20	3	67	1	60	17	120	23
	05/09/01	410	270		-						
	11/13/01										21
	02/19/02					****					20
	05/14/02										18
	08/27/02										20
	11/20/02					60000 AD			***		18
	01/08/03										4.5 as N
	03/31/03							****			18
	06/11/03						****				18
	09/16/03										21
	12/04/03										20
	03/09/04										18
											18
	06/09/04										19
	09/01/04					*****					
	12/07/04										20
	03/08/05				****						21
	06/07/05			*****							17
	09/13/05										16
	12/05/05										15
	03/09/06		stres to								17
	06/07/06										17
	04/15/09	500	290	19	2	71	1.4	68	18	120	20
	07/14/09		270								20
	01/06/10		280								17
	04/08/10										14
	04/20/10		290								16
	07/20/10		260								
	10/05/10		240				. 				15
	01/04/11		210								19
	04/12/11		280								15
	07/08/11		260							• ••••	14
	10/04/11		260							·	16
	01/12/12		250								16
	04/03/12		300								18
	04/24/12	470	260	16	1.4	73	1.6	70	18	98	16

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
No. 207	09/01/88	510	245	1	<1	108	<1	54	26	82	<1
8S/2W-14B	09/14/88	480	305	3	<1	106	<1	58	23	24	1
	08/14/91	480	245	1	<1	100	<1	52	28	55	<1
	08/10/94	440	285	2	<1	91	1	56	29	76	2
	08/15/97	510	280	2	<1	97	<1	52	25	98	<2
	07/27/98										2
	12/27/00	480	280	2	<1	100	<1	53	30	120	2
No. 208	09/01/88	680	415	44	15	77	3	119	14		18
7S/2W-35M	09/14/88	690	440	44	14	77	3	129	14	183	16
	08/14/91	600	340	23	7	89	2	85	18	162	4
	08/10/94	560	370	22	6	89	2	93	20	156	5
	06/06/95			*****							4
	08/12/96								to		2
	07/27/99										15
	08/18/99										20
No. 209	05/22/91	790	435	40	14	105	2	150			8
7S/2W-28J	05/13/94	760	525	64	22	48	3	150	15	153	25
	06/20/95										5
	05/15/97	690	390	10	3	130	<1	110	56	130	1.3
No. 210	04/15/59			101	23	150	10	149			3
8S/2W-12K	01/18/63		926	99	30	17.5	4.5	145			4
	11/30/67	1415	890	136	5	152	10	146		305	3
	07/26/68		825	96	22	144	8	130			5
	09/06/68		840	82	26	132	5	142			12
	07/19/73		579	84	21.4	149	6.8	122		301	19.7
	08/08/75		695	84	14	150	6	101			15
	06/22/76		675	76	26	142	7	101			36
	10/13/76		640	92	22	100	6	110			5
	06/16/77		610	84	18	114	6	110			11
	05/20/80		340	30	8	75	4	51			9
	04/03/86		540	65	17	86	4.5	75			3.5
	07/15/86		560	72	19	86	4	87			4
	03/28/88		575	76	22	93	5	99			4
	09/25/91	1040	600	74	20	120	5	120			5
	09/19/94		460	52	14	79	4	70			2
	09/16/96										3
	09/16/98						·				3
	12/15/98					****					2
	01/04/99										2
	02/03/99										2
	04/08/99										3
	06/02/99						****				3
	09/07/99										4

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location Date Tested Conductance umbos Solids (mg/l)	
8S/2W-12K 12/15/99	NO3
88/2W-12K 12/15/99	5
(Cont) 05/03/00	5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$.52 as N
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2
08/02/05	3.2
08/15/06	5.4
08/14/07	6.7
08/12/08 590	12.0
03/05/09 520 06/02/09 570 08/05/09 03/03/10 600	7.6
06/02/09 570 08/05/09 03/03/10 600	
08/05/09	
03/03/10 600	4.9
00/02/10	
08/11/10	3.6
09/08/10 600	
12/08/10 590	
03/09/11 620	
06/08/11 600	
11/10/11 600	3.8
02/09/12 560	
05/02/12 540	
08/09/12 490	
09/05/12 840 530 60 19 84 5.6 86 150 180	12
No. 211 04/08/97 720 400 67 14 54 1 59 65 220	13
8S/2W-20R1 12/23/97 410	3.1 as N
03/25/98 620	3.6 as N
06/03/98	3.4 as N
06/05/98 480	
09/17/98	3.3 as N
12/17/98 430 56 66	16
06/03/99 430	3.4 as N
12/14/99 310	10
04/04/00 700 430 71 14 52 1 57 66 220	17
06/22/00 400	15
12/13/00	4.5 as N
03/27/01	4.5 as N
06/20/01	2.7 as N
09/13/01	4.7 as N
11/13/01 450	

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Co	onstituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI		НСОЗ	NO3
No. 211	05/14/02		370								12
8S/2W-20R1	07/15/03	630	370	61	11	46	1.2	46	51	220	11
(Cont)	12/09/08		480								22
(,	03/09/09	·	560								17
	06/02/09		480						****		14
	01/12/10		360								6.3
	04/15/10		500								16
	07/21/10		510								15
	10/07/10		540								14
	01/18/11		550								15
	04/06/11		560								16
	07/07/11		520								13
	09/01/11	840	460	86	16	56	1.2	66	100	260	13
	10/12/11		420								14
	01/10/12		520							*****	14
	04/18/12		510								14
No. 212	03/28/88	640	330	42	2	74	3	81	33	146	14
8S/2W-11N	09/25/91	600	320	41	2	82	4	86	35	146	14
No. 215	08/15/90	650	380	40	13	71	3	100	14	162	11
7S/2W-34M	09/26/90										13
	06/22/94	630	400	41	13	67	2	110	16	159	11
	06/16/97	630	370	29	9	81	2	110	16	160	6
	08/15/97										7
	08/11/04	630	380	35	12	76	2.6	100	14	150	<2
	09/09/04										9
	06/26/06										6.6
	06/05/07										2.4
	08/14/07	590	320	22	7.3	85	2.2	88	16	150	2.2
	12/02/08		370								
	03/09/09		380								
	06/04/09		300								
	03/04/10		340								
	06/18/10		340								
	08/18/10	580	330	20	6.5	79	1.9	82	16	150	2.5
	09/03/10		330								2.2
	12/17/10		350								
	03/15/11		250								
	06/07/11		320								
	12/06/11		320								

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/i)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 216	06/01/88	480	280	25	4	65	2	71	11	134	
8S/2W-7W	06/29/88	480	275	29	5	59	3	81	7	110	26
	06/12/91	500	285	30	5	59	2	76	9	113	23
	05/27/92	470	285	33	6	53	2	72	10	119	20
	04/25/01	490	300	28	4	55	2	74	13	120	12
	09/21/04	540	320	31	5.6	53	2.1	74	10	130	14
	10/26/04										15
	11/02/04										15
	11/10/04										16
	10/18/05										19
	10/12/06						40 andr				19
	09/07/07	510	300	28	4.7	57	3.5	82	12	110	18
	10/03/07										17
	04/23/09										14
	03/18/10		370								
	04/08/10			-							12
	06/10/10		. 380								
	09/01/10		340								
	09/01/10		320	41	6.9	58	2.3	86	16	130	16
	12/08/10		360								
	12/14/10		390								
	06/08/11		390								
	08/10/11										15
	12/08/11		400						1-10 M		
	06/08/12		420								
No. 217	03/28/88	580	285	8	1	108	1	81	20	113	15
8S/2W-17M1	08/10/88		280	8	1	105	1	82	20	55	13
	08/14/91	570	305	17	2	99	2	74	28	134	16
	08/10/94		365	20	3	97	2	82	38	134	16
	08/15/97		370	20	3	107	1	80	41	130	13
	05/09/00					10-00 M					15
	10/12/00		380	19	2	110	1	81	49	150	16
	05/14/01										17
	05/14/02										12
	10/15/03		400	25	3.3	110	1.6	84	58	150	16
	05/06/04										17
	05/11/06										15
	05/15/07										16
	05/06/08		400								14
	08/12/08		430								
	05/11/09		400								13
	08/05/09		400								
			390								
	02/02/10		480								17
	05/06/10										
	08/09/10		470								
	11/16/10		420								

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
No. 217	02/02/11		410								
8S/2W-17M1	05/04/11	****	440								15
(Cont)	08/02/11		440						****		
	11/03/11		400								
	02/07/12		420								
	05/02/12		440								16
	08/07/12		450								
No. 231	08/15/90	1280	805	126	18	120	5	100	310	244	9
8S/2W-20B6	09/26/90						***				6
	03/04/92	1700	1270	180	51	160	6	140	510		5
	06/20/95	1640	1300	171	44	124	6	75	520	287	5.3
	02/27/98		****								3
	05/16/00										5
	05/24/01	1490	1080	140	35	120	5	120	340	330	3
	05/13/02										2
	07/12/05							****			2.2
	07/20/06										3.7
	05/02/07	1400	830	120	27	110	4	130	250	300	2.1
	03/07/08		900						****		2.4
No. 232	08/15/90	960	590	71	19	110	5	98	130	235	30
8S/2W-11J3	09/26/90					****					35
	09/25/91	980	565	74	19	106	5	98	120		37
	09/19/94	805	495	54	14	92	4	80	110	207	15
	09/13/96										22
	11/04/97	1000	660	76	20	110	4	97	130	230	29
	07/27/98							40.00 PC			38
	12/10/98						****		100 M		22
	01/06/98										30
	01/29/99					****					10
	02/03/99										26
	02/24/99										37
	04/08/99										33
	04/21/99								****		34
	06/23/99										33
	07/08/99								**		36
	08/25/99								10-10 A		33
	09/21/99										31
	10/06/99										30
	11/17/99					•					32
	12/14/99										32
	01/18/00						****				31
	02/29/00										10
	03/21/00			*****							25
	04/11/00										29

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT .

Site Location	Date	Conductance	Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI		HCO3	NO3
	05/25/00										26
No. 232	06/21/00										26
8S/2W-11J3	07/11/00										25
(Cont)	09/13/00	920	590	65	17	105	4	91	150	210	21
· · ·	10/06/00										18
	11/08/00			****							17
	12/13/00				***						20
	01/04/01										19
	02/28/01										10
	04/10/01										20
	10/10/01										26
	05/14/02										22
	08/06/02										4*
	01/08/03										6.0 as N
	03/31/03										11
	06/10/03						***				31
	07/08/03										30
	08/20/03										28
	09/16/03	1100	680	67	18	110	4.3	100	150	240	33
	10/14/03										31
	01/14/04										23
	02/10/04			-							21
	04/14/04										25
	05/06/04										26
	06/22/04										25
	07/14/04										25
	08/10/04										31
	09/08/04										26
	10/26/04										15
	11/18/04										26
	12/07/04										16
	01/10/05										20
	02/14/05		un 40 FR								14
	03/11/05										11
	04/13/05										25
	06/08/05										24
	07/12/05										22
	08/02/05						-				18

* Sample may have been switched with Well 233

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Dete	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Date Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 232	09/20/05										19
8S/2W-11J3	10/18/05										18
(Cont)	11/08/05							·			18
()	12/06/05										19
	01/04/06										15
	02/14/06										18
	03/13/06										8.3
	04/18/06				***						12
	05/12/06										15
	06/22/06										11
	07/19/06										13
	08/15/06										14
	11/02/06										15
	01/10/07										13
	02/07/07										15
	03/14/07										15
	04/17/07										14
	05/01/07										13
	06/01/07							ه سبر			11
	07/05/07						****				12
	08/14/07										14
	10/03/07										13
	12/05/07										12
	01/08/08										11
	02/13/08										6.9
	03/04/08										9.7
	03/07/08		610								
	04/08/08										13
	05/07/08										12
	07/10/08		580							·	
	07/28/08									·	12
	08/12/08										13
	12/03/08								64 m H		14
	01/13/09		660								14
	02/05/09										13
	03/04/09									• ••••	12
	04/02/09		580								13
	05/11/09									• •••	11
	06/02/09										11
	07/13/09		580				·				12
	08/05/09										12
	01/06/10		590							·	12
	02/03/10										10

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	nical Con	stituents	s - mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
No. 232	04/08/10		570								12
8S/2W-11J3	04/08/10										13
	06/03/10										13
(Cont)	07/08/10		570								13
	08/10/10										14
	09/02/10										3.6
	10/06/10		590								15
	11/16/10					****					13
	12/01/10										14
	01/04/11		490								7.9
	03/09/11		430								8.4
	04/05/11		560								13
	05/03/11										11
	06/08/11										11
	07/06/11		590								10
	08/03/11									te inte	10
	09/02/11										10
	10/14/11		610								10
											11
	11/02/11										11
	12/07/11		590								9.9
	01/11/12										9.4
	02/02/12										9.7
	03/07/12		500								8.4
	04/04/12		580								0.4 9.4
	05/02/12										
	06/05/12							20.40 M			9.6
	08/08/12					400					10
	09/05/12	950	610	69	19	100	4.5	99	200	190	11
No. 233 (Old 112)	06/15/88	900	535	71	21	100	5	96	136	247	4
8S/2W-12K2	03/27/91	1020	580	66	19	114	5	95	140	247	12
	03/03/94	740	425	50	14	75	4	71	100	186	2
	04/27/95										6
	03/27/97		510	57	15	100	4	81	120	220	4
	01/04/99							***	. -		5
	02/03/99										4
	04/08/99										4
	06/03/99								. <u></u> -		4
	07/20/99										5
	08/11/99										4
	09/07/99										4
	10/21/99										5
	11/03/99										4
	04/11/00		570	64	18	110	4	85	150	230	4
	10/06/00		570		10						3
	10/00/00										4
	08/06/02										26*
											1 as N
	01/13/03										2.7
	07/07/03										2.7
	07/13/04										2.8
	07/12/05										
	04/04/06	960	600	75	20	87	4.5	93	180) 180	7.3

* Sample might have been switched with Well 232

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 000 (OH 440)											11
No. 233 (Old 112)	08/04/06										8.1
8S/2W-12K2	08/14/07		 500								6.1
(Cont)	08/13/08		530								0.1
	02/05/09		570	70	20	88	4.7	100	160		6.8
	04/02/09	960	580 610	70	20		4.7	100	100	200	0.0
	05/11/09		570								5
	08/04/09		560								
	02/02/10		660								
	05/06/10										5.1
	08/10/10		580								5.1
	07/02/11	****	630		***						4.2
	08/03/11										4.2
	10/14/11		620								
	01/10/12		580						400		 4 7
	04/12/12	930	560	67	20	93	5.5	91	190		4.7
	04/12/12		570								 5 3
	08/08/12										5.3
No. 234 (Old 114)	03/31/88	840	480	54	15	100	4	61	109		18
8S/2W-11P	03/27/91	1020	605	69	19	114	5	77	138		37
	06/20/95						****				11
	09/26/96										9
	02/04/97					~~ **					12
	04/25/97	840	500	56	15	95	4	77	120	230	8
	01/19/99										12
	02/12/99										16
	04/21/99										15
	06/03/99										16
	07/27/99						** ****				18
	08/19/99										17
	09/21/99										16
	10/26/99							****			13
	04/13/00	900	550	64	18	10	4	70	150	220	13
	07/06/00										12
	07/12/01										7
	08/02/01										<2
	11/20/02										3
	12/11/02	850	520	62	17	80	3.7	74	170	170	4
	11/04/03				***						10
	11/05/04						·				10
	11/03/05										12
	12/06/05	890	620	70	19	89	4.1	85	180	200	12
	11/08/06										14
	11/16/07	*=+			***						16
	08/12/08		610								
	11/06/08		570								20
	12/03/08	960	660	83	21	89	4.9	87	160) 230	20
	02/05/09		590								
	05/07/09		620								

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

0.4.1	Dete	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 234 (Old 114)	08/04/09		590								
8S/2W-11P	02/03/10		610								
(Cont)	05/06/10		680								
(0011)	08/10/10		610								
	08/11/10		610								
	11/01/10	60/10 IS	610								21
	02/09/11		620								
	05/03/11		620								
	08/03/11		570								
	11/02/11		560								20
	12/06/11	990	660	71	20	99	4.2	91	160	240	21
	05/03/12		620								
	08/08/12		620								
No. 235 (Old 137)	06/24/88	460	310	40	10	41	2	58	10	140	15
8S/3W-1Q1	06/20/90	420	230	22	4	56	2	50	6	128	18
	06/10/93	370	235	15	2	65	2	51	9	113	17
	07/16/96	410	230	16	2	60	1	48	8.9	110	20
	06/09/97										17
	06/03/99	390	240	13	1	63	1	46	6.7	98	17
	11/03/99										16
	11/09/00										15
	11/20/01										13
	06/11/02	380	210	10	<1	62	1.2	48	7.2	100	16
	11/05/02										17
	11/18/03										11
	11/18/05										18
	06/22/05	380	230	9	<1	68	1.1	49	7.3	96	16
	11/08/05										17
	11/14/06										16
	06/11/08	400	210	11	1	72	1.4	48			15
	07/07/08		200								
	01/13/09		260					-			
	04/07/09		210								
	07/13/09		200								
	01/06/10		230			***				47 B-100	
	04/08/10		220								
	07/14/10		220								
	10/05/10		180								
	11/16/10						·				15
	01/12/11		170								
	01/12/11	380	210	13	1.2	65	1.7	48			 16
	08/17/11	360	230						0.4		
			200								15
	11/02/11 02/09/12		200								
			200								
	05/03/12 08/09/12		220								

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Cite Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 301	07/29/92	500	290	20	6	80	1	45	56	143	<1
7S/3W-18Q1	02/27/97	580	350	45	16	48	2	49	54	200	4
low logi	08/15/97			-						10 10 m	6
	12/27/00	570	360	49	15	53	2	55	57	180	7
	02/22/02					-					<2
	05/14/02		340					57	50		3
	12/11/02		350								2.5
No. 302	04/11/88	690	360	36	6	100	1	77	65	192	<1
7S/3W-18H	05/15/91	760	425	58	9	87	2	83	72	220	<1
	05/14/92		270	12	2	90	<1	48	48		
	05/05/94		530	69	16	84	2	110	88	238	<1
	05/16/95										<1
	07/16/96		320					60	54		2
	05/13/97		500	73	14	94	2	110	86	240	<2
	07/27/99										<2
	05/17/00		320	11	1	99	<1	51	50	130	<2
	06/13/00		310								<2
	07/11/00										<2
	12/20/01		500			****		110	140		<2
	12/11/02		510					***			ND
	06/19/03		370	22	3.8	95	<1	77	63	140	<2
	03/17/04		510					110	85		<2
	06/22/04										<2
	09/21/04		550					110	82		<2
No. 309	08/15/90	690	370	19	3	119	2	140	25	73	5
7S/3W-27H	04/11/91										<.001
	09/25/91	730	365	19	2	122	2	150			5
	08/11/94	730	430	20	2	120	2	160	30	73	5
	02/16/95			****							18
	07/16/97										1.1 as N
	07/23/97	· · · · ·		*****							1.2 as N
	08/20/97										1.1 as N
	09/03/97										1.1 as N
	09/18/97						****				1.1 as N
	10/03/97	790	520	21	2	130	2	170	33	85	6
	08/06/98										6
	09/16/98		460								1.4 as N
	07/20/99										6
	05/10/00		450	20	2	130	<1			· 85	
	07/06/00								. <u>.</u>		6

ND - None Detected

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

ite Location Date		Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chem	ical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 309	08/02/00	740	450	21	2	140	1	180	38	87	7
7S/3W-27H	07/19/01										7
(Cont)	11/19/02										5
()	01/13/03										1.1 as N
	08/20/03	880	490	21	2.1	140	1.5	190	33	83	5
	01/07/04									****	6
	11/11/05										6
	01/04/06										5.4
	12/07/06	870	470	21	1.9	140	2	190	36	84	5.4
	01/10/07										5.3
	01/08/08										5.4
	08/12/08		470								
	01/06/09										6.7
	02/03/09		450								
	04/01/09			25	2.9						
	05/11/09		460								****
	08/04/09		450								
	01/07/10										5.7
	02/02/10		480								
	05/06/10		500								
	08/09/10		490								
	11/10/10		460					·			
	01/04/11										5.8
	02/02/11		480								
	05/04/11		470								
	08/04/11		480								
	11/02/11		460								
	01/17/12										5.5
	02/08/12		480								
	05/03/12		490							****	
	08/09/12		440								

TABLE D-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

	Dete	Specific	Total Dissolved Solids					onstitue	ents - m	ng/l	
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	κ	CI	SO4	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NO3
Pechanga Indian F	Reservation			********							a ####################################
8S/2W-28M03	08/26/99	562	319	38	13	52	0.77	68			2.59 as N
	08/12/03	534	344	40.7	14.7	53.5	0.86	58.9			4.21 as N
	08/19/04	708	440	61.4	22.5	51	0.93	87.6			6.16 as N
	08/02/05	746	459	69.7	26.9	44.3	1.01	87.8			5.09 as N
	08/02/06	678	413	55.9	21	42.6	0.85	74.9	43.1		8.25 as N
	09/04/07	663	392	53.7	19.5	51.1	0.92	70.1	32.1	158	8.32 as N
8S/2W-28M05	09/01/09	457	253		0.483	77.7	0.53	65.6	17.4	91	0.08 as N
	07/26/10		261	11		83.3	0.53	78.3			E 0.048
	08/31/11	482	272	10.7	0.999	86.0	0.49	77.8	16.9	88	0.052
8S/2W-28Q02	10/05/89	629	378	48	19	49	0.7	76			4.2 as N
	07/26/90	613	383	48	18	47	0.6	75			3.9 as N
	07/18/91	618	379	49	18	49	0.7	83	14	172	3.0 as N
	07/28/93	620	400	51	20	47	0.7	63	15	174	9.6 as N
	08/17/94	641	396	51	21	50	0.8	60	17	179	11.0 as N
	08/31/95	653	396	53	21	48	0.7	60	19	184	12.0 as N
	08/28/96	***		•••••							11.0 as N
	08/12/97	614	411	47	19	47	0.7	63	15	176	8.9 as N
	08/19/98	625	402	47	20	47	0.7	60	14		9.85 as N
	08/21/02	598	394	47	19	46	0.7	64	15		8.5 as N
	08/12/03	604	405	48.8	19.8	47.8	0.7	69.1			7.1 as N
	08/18/04	615	386	51.6	20.2	45.6	0.9	78.8	16.5		4.03 as N
	08/02/05	822	514	76.8	30.2	54	0.8	93.7	30.9		14.7 as N
8S/2W-28R01	08/03/89	495	286	41	4.0	60	0.9	37	13	177	1.1 as N
	07/26/90	525	296	48	4.8	54	1.0	45			1.5 as N
	07/17/91	462	261	31	3.2	66	0.8	44			.8 as N
	07/27/93	445	269	44	4.4	43	0.5	28			1.9 as N
	08/15/94	421	232	32	3.3	55	0.9	28	11	156	1.5 as N
	08/30/95	375	200	21	2.2	55	0.6	31	11	129	.7 as N
	08/27/96					ter an 1 -1					1.5 as N
	08/13/97	398	241	20	2.1	59	0.62	37			.572 as N
	08/20/98	481	282	36		60	0.85	38			1.1 as N
	08/25/99	446	252	28	3.1	59	0.66	41			.758 as N
	08/22/00		265	29	. 3.3	61	0.73	39			.759 as N
	08/21/01	522	320	51	5.9	48	1.0	42	16		1.73 as N
	08/21/02	457	284	33	3.7	61	0.87	41	13		1.09 as N
	08/12/03	518	330	55	6.5	50.4	1.1	39.7	14.3		1.94 as N
	08/18/04	516	317	56.8	6.2	47.9	1.4	42.6	14.2		1.64 as N

* - Alkalinity as CaC03

E - estimated

TABLE D-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

	Data	Specific	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical C	onstitue	ents - n	ng/l	
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NO3	
Pechanga Indian R	Reservation (Continued)									
8S/2W-28R01	08/03/05	541	333	60.5	6.5	45.3	1.2	40.2	14.1		2.23 as N
(Cont)	09/10/08	480	278	37.2	4.67	62.4	1.14	41.2	11.4	160	
()	08/04/09	543	329	50	5.49	55.5	1.12	38.7	18.4	194	1.78 as N
	07/26/10	564	335	58.3	6.57	49.9	1.12	41.9	18.7	203	9.89
	08/22/11	548	357	55.0	6.75	52.9	1.07	41.3	18.8	187	10.5
	08/21/12	507	287	44.7	5.19	60.5	0.95	39.2	17.4	178	8.33
8S/2W-29A01	08/02/89	346	207	31	11	24	0.4	18	7.0	131	2.0 as N
	07/24/90	354	193	32	11	25	0.4	24	6.7	133	2.0 as N
	07/18/91	361	194	32	10	26	0.4	25	6.0	134	1.8 as N
	08/15/94	363	216	33	12	25	0.5	24	7.7	132	2.6 as N
	08/31/95	363	208	32	11	23	0.4	21	8.1	137	2.6 as N
	08/28/96										2.9 as N
	08/12/97	368	238	32	12	24	0.44	22	7.4	138	3.05 as N
	08/19/98	411	246	36	11	31	0.45	25	8.2	153	2.94 as N
	08/25/99	375	222	33	12	23	0.39	20			3.81 as N
	08/22/00	374	237	33	12	24	0.42	18			3.48 as N
	08/21/01	374	236	34	12	24	0.46	20			3.56 as N
	08/02/05	382	243	38.7	11.6	27.1	0.53	27.6			2.79 as N
8S/2W-29A02	08/02/06	392	242	36.2	10.9	26.6	0.43	29.4			2.64 as N
	08/04/09	394	245	29.8	11.3	32.2	0.64	34.5			0.81 as N
	07/26/10		268	37.5	11.9	32.5	0.55	38.5			E 10.8
	08/22/11	434	299	35.9	12.0	35.7	0.59	41.9			9.30
	08/21/12	465	298	42.0	13.2	38.1	0.55	42.4	15.8	148	11.8
8S/2W-29B02	03/01/90	456	257	5.5	0.14	89	0.8	66	22		****
	03/06/90	456	256	5.9	0.13	90	0.7	66	20	99	<0.1 as N
8S/2W-29B03	03/06/90	478	275	14	1.9	84	0.8	65	16	123	<0.1 as N
8S/2W-29B05	03/02/90	397	229	29	9.5	43	1.2	35	4.9	141	1.8 as N
8S/2W-29B06	03/02/90	406	259	34	11	38	0.8	38	10	143	
	03/06/90	427	240	32	. 11	40	1.0	40	8.1	148	1.2 as N
8S/2W-29B07	03/07/90	396	230	8.6	2.5	71	0.9	51	11	102	<0.1 as N
	08/16/90	371	199	8.4	1.8	69	0.8	50	14	106	<0.1 as N

* - Alkalinity as CaC03 E - estimated

TABLE D-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical C	onstitue	ents - n	ng/l	
Sile Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Pechanga Indian F	Reservation (Continued)		2222 <u>2</u> 2777 #							
8S/2W-29B08	03/07/90 08/16/90	464 458	272 261	31 34	9.4 9.1	52 48	1.2 1.1	58 59	12 17		0.45 as N 0.4 as N
8S/2W-29B09	03/07/90 08/17/90	343 317	210 197	21 26	9.2 10	39 26	1.0 1.1	24 22	6.7 3.4		1.3 as N 1.6 as N
8S/2W-29B10	08/19/98 08/26/99	367 393	223 219	12 12	0.64 0.72	75 68	0.62 0.56	50 46	10 11		<.05 as N <.05 as N
	08/22/00 08/21/01 08/12/03	393 398 387	228 231 239	12 11 11.3	0.76 0.62 0.65	69 72 75.1	0.58 0.57 0.57	43 49 47.2	11 15 18.4		<.05 as N .04 as N 2.41as N
	08/18/04 08/02/05 08/03/06	390 404 381	232 242 222	11.2 12.5 12.3	0.64 0.67 0.77	72.6 69.9 62.8	0.64 0.65 0.54	48 47.2 40.3	20.8 23.2 17.3		<.06 as N <.06 as N <.06 as N
	09/04/07 09/15/08 08/04/09	430 420 381	237 242 217	12.1 11.2 12.1	0.70 0.664 0.76	78.3 77.3 66	0.65 0.59 0.64	47.2 45.3 39.9	27.5 29.6 23.7	106	<.06 as N E .03 as N E .03 as N
	07/26/10 08/22/11	394 421 432	220 265 245	11.4 11.5	0.67 0.697 0.734	71.6 75.5 82.4	0.64 0.58 0.62	42.2 45.5 47.1	26 31.0 34.9	107 99	E 0.079 0.115 <.177
8S/2W-29B11	08/21/12	483	285	30.1	7.84	51.5	0.93	57.1	11.8	138	1.44 as N
	08/04/09 07/26/10 08/22/11	497 482	281 287 308	33 34.7 32.7	8.51 9.09 9.52	51 53.4 53.0	0.98 1.05 1.00	52.6 56.8 54.2	16.6 15.3 16.0	131	2.33 as N E 10.3 10.9
8S/2W-29F3	08/21/12 08/03/06	492 378	300 251	35.9 21.9	10.0 7.67	55.9 38.9	1.03	54.3 47.2	17.9 10.4		11.9 0.46 as N
8S/2W-29J02	08/26/99	565	329	39	15	47	1.6	66	14		2.67 as N
	08/22/00 08/21/01 08/21/02	574	337 351 345	39 40 41	15 15 16	47 50 50	1.5 1.6 1.8	65 70 68	14 15 14		2.70 as N 2.63 as N 2.93 as N
	08/12/03 08/19/04	592	372 362	45.4 48.8	16.6 16.9	54.2	1.65 1.88	78.2 80	15.4 17		2.41 as N 3.06 as N
8S/2W-29J03	08/02/06	532	337	40.3	13.2	43.1	1.34	44.8	17.5	152	8.48 as N

* - Alkalinity as CaC03

E - estimated

TABLE D-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

Site Location		Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical C	onstitue	ents - n	ng/I	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Pechanga Indian F	Reservation (Continued)									
8S/2W-34B04	10/05/89	617	371	51	8.2	67	1	58	30	192	.47 as N
	07/26/90	605	341	50	8	65	1	61	31	194	.50 as N
	07/18/91	564	339	46	7.4	67	1	53	27	185	.87 as N
	07/27/93	267	170	18	2.8	34	0.5	14	9.7	96	1.10 as N
8S/2W-35D01	08/03/89	660	358	43	5.5	87	1.2	78	35	169	.35 as N
	07/26/90	669	384	41	4.9	92	1.5	82	36	176	.40 as N
	07/17/91	641	371	40	4.4	98	1.7	81	36	175	.39 as N
	07/27/93	638	374	49	5.9	79	1.8	71	27	199	.34 as N
	08/16/94	601	334	30	3.2	95	1.5	71	29	163	.16 as N
	08/30/95	587	322	33	4	81	1.5	68	25	178	.11 as N
	08/27/96	596	352	28	3.3	92	1.4	72	29	167	.10 as N

* - Alkalinity as CaC03

TABLE D-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical C	onstitue	ents - m	ng/l	
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Cahuilla Indian Res	servation										
7S/2E14M01	12/14/83	1220	708	130	40	45	11	53	390	98	0.04 as N
7S/2E-23H01	05/18/06	428	288	39.6	5.7	33.7	3.1	31	14		8.26 as N
7S/2E-23Q01	05/18/06	245	160	15.6	2.55	26.6	2.5	29.5	5.4		1.07 as N
7S/2E-26B03	07/11/07	296	197	23.7	3.04	31	2.94	33.9	7.64	76	1.79 as N
7S/2E-33N1	08/02/89	355	206	16	2.1	53	3.5	48	15	78	.73 as N
7S/2E-36J01	02/03/84		252	43	4.4	36	4.8	32	5.4		3.40 as N
7S-3E-14P03	08/10/05	1080	741	113	42.4	70	9.7	66.8	296		.15 as N
7S-3E-20J05	08/23/07	753	466	49.4	7.0 9	89.2	3.19	87.9	83.6	110	6.88 as N
7S/3E-21L01	05/27/53 08/02/89	750 1050	 675	66 90	20 19	70 100	 3.5	67 84	76 190		 3.1 as N
	08/02/89	1030	610	87	18	100	3.4	85	180		3.0 as N
	07/17/91	995	636	93	18	100	3.7	95	180		2.5 as N
	08/23/07	1040	677	96.1	20.2	90.9	3.67	96.2	169		3.42 as N
7S/3E-31L02	02/03/84		184	23	4.8	24	2.9	24	0		2.0 as N
7S/3E-31N01	07/27/84	684	412	69	12	37		75	12		
7S/3E-34E01	07/07/76			25	4.6	21	4.2	26	7.3		4.0 as N
	09/22/77			25	4.9	23	4.4	25	6.9		
	07/19/78			26	5.1	22	4.5	24	6.5		3.7 as N
	06/28/79		190	26	5	22	4.3	24	6		
	07/02/80			26	4.9	23	4.7	28	6.9		3.7 as N
	07/08/81	309		27	5	23	4.7	26	7.7		4.1 as N
	06/29/82	311		27	5.3	27	4.9	27	10		4.0 as N
	08/10/83	306		27	5	23	4.8	29	7.7		3.8 as N
	08/21/84	319		30	5.3	24	4.3	29	7.2		3.7 as N
	08/01/85	321		28	5.2	24	4.6	29	7.0	86	3.5 as N

* - Alkalinity as CaC03

TABLE D-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

	Dete	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical C	onstitue	ents - n	ng/l	
Site Location	Date Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Cahuilla Indian Re	servation (Co	ontinued)									
7S/3E-34E01	08/14/87	332	207	29	5.6	25	4.8	28	8.0		3.5 as N
	07/20/89	338	204	30	5.6	26	5.0	29	7.0	98	3.3 as N
	07/31/91	337	109	31	5.5	25	4.5	31	6.3	99	3.5 as N
	07/16/91	335	209	31	5.9	26	4.7	32	6.3	99	3.5 as N
8S/2E-4P01	01/21/86	1870		190	54	64	7.9	480	13	136	4.0 as N
	05/18/06	794	441	59.8	19.3	44.1	4.44	101	10.4		5.45 as N
8S/3E-2A01	02/05/86	591		54	11	43	3.2	93	21	103	3.4 as N
8S/3E-2D01	07/08/81	293		17	2.2	39	1.7	30	8.8	68	2.5 as N
	07/24/85	279		11	1.2	42	1.5	28	8	71	2.1 as N
8S/3E-2E01	12/07/50			30	10	53		50	14		
	11/15/51			38	8	43		50	6		
	05/27/76			39	9.4	32	2.2	49	12		4.9 as N
	09/22/77		280	39	9.6	33	2.6	42	8.4		
	07/19/78			42	10	36	2.4	57	13		5.7 as N
	06/28/79		284	40	9	32	2.8	42	9		
	07/02/80			34	6.5	22	2.4	27	7.4		0
	07/08/81	296		33	4.8	19	1.9	36	1	61	2.0 as N
	06/29/82	494		43	9.7	41	3	54	14	127	5.7 as N
	07/26/83	427		40	9.6	32	3	42	9.7	131	4.8 as N
	08/21/84	428		42	9.3	32	2.9	39	9.6	129	4.7 as N
	08/13/87	428	276	39	9.4	32	3.2	37	9.6	129	4.6 as N
	08/10/05	424	283	42.4	10.2	33.6	3.4	39.9	9.14		4.88 as N
8S/3E-2K01	09/22/77			43	10	48	3.2	65	18		
	07/19/78			42	9.8	48	3.4	68	17		3.7 as N
	06/28/79		342	46	10	46	3.1	69	19		
	07/02/80			64	12	92	2.7	140	48		4.1 as N
	06/29/82	454		41	10	38	3.7	46	13	129	3.6 as N
	08/10/83	435		39	9.5	32	3.6	43	13		3.6 as N
	08/21/84	561		50	11	48	3.1	68	27	139	4.0 as N
	08/01/85	472		41	9.7	34	3.4	48	15		3.7 as N
	08/13/87	451	282	40	9.9	31	3.4	41	16	133	3.6 as N
	07/20/89	531	323	46	11	41	3.4	60	22	136	3.6 as N
	08/01/90	508	310	46	11	38	3.3	60	19		3.8 as N
	07/16/91	522	306	50	10	39	3,3	61	21	139	3.7 as N

* - Alkalinity as CaC03

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	Chemical Constituents - mg/l							
Sile Location	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-26C1	10/60	1060	639	66.5	24.0	116.0	4.5	160	110.0	264.0	trace
(Bldg 220001)	06/62	1190	718	60.0	33.2	123.0	3.8	190	124.0	232.0	1.4
	07/64		734	79.2	27.8	144.0	1.6	180	150.0		
	05/65	1485	896	75.2	30.3	158.0	2.4	180	120.0		0
	01/66	;	808	76.8	33.2	157.0	3.4	170	180.0		0.62
	06/66		684	75.2	26.8	112.0	2.4	128	148.0		3.9
	01/67		856	81.6	26.3	138.0	3.5	162	140.0		3
	08/67		880	99.2	38.1	156.0	3.6	160	230.0		5.3
	02/68		768	65.6	25.4	156.0	3.4	160	164.0		0
	04/69		852	66.0	32.0	162.0	3.2	166	210.0		0
	11/69		844	87.0 99.0	31.0 32.0	140.0 139.0	3.6 3.0	164 158	180.0 205.0		0 2.7
	07/70		672	99.0 83.0	28.0	139.0	3.0 3.0	156	170.0		2.7
	12/70		712 640	83.0	28.0	128.0	2.8	136	175.0		0.4
	09/71		681	56.0	27.0	120.0	2.8	136	165.0		0.4
	05/72 10/72		703	64.0	24.0	140.0	2.0 3.6	130	180.0		1.8
	10/73		688	72.0	27.0	131.0	3.8	144	190.0		0.3 as N
	02/76		688	70.4	28.3	143.0	3.1	132	182.0		1.8 as N
	09/76		663	67.0	25.0	152.0	2.5	152	131.0		2.8 as N
	03/77		651	67.0	28.0	173.0	3.1	128	160.0		4.4 as N
	10/78		694	70.0	25.0	120.0	3.5	139	145.0		<1 as N
	06/79		663	72.0	27.3	125.0	3.0	134	142.0	258.6	<1 as N
	10/80		693	78.8	23.7	136.0	3.3	172	136.0	273.3	0.2 as N
	04/81		737	82.4	22.4	126.0	3.6	140	134.0	268.4	<0.5 as N
	11/81		863	97.6	31.5	169.0	2.2	204	209.0	248.9	0.8 as N
	11/81	950	573	74.0	18.3	120.0	2.1	144	130.0		0.3 as N
	05/82	2 1100	663	80.8	26.6	140.0	1.5	181	138.0		<0.5 as N
	03/83	3 1000	603	84.0	20.5	144.0	3.2	152			<0.5 as N
	05/84	1150	694	80.0	27.6	126.0	3.1	133			0.2 as N
	06/85		680	89.0	26.0	140.0	3.0	150			<0.4
	09/85		724	78.0	28.0	122.0	6.0	154	149.1		<0.4
	05/86		750	85.2	29.1	130.7	4.3	166			<1
	06/89		734	78.1	23.0	85.9		136		212.0	< 0.4
	01/91			81.0	36.1	152.0		166			< 0.04
	06/91		752	99.0	32.4	133.0		167	136.0		<0.4
	03/92		792	91.0	29.8	146.0		159			<0.4
	06/93		764	68.3	27.5	149.0		168			<0.4 2.2
	03/94		783	100.0	37.1 35.5	100.0 96.1		145 141	187.0		4.23
	08/94		741	87.5 97.7	35.5 37.4	142.0		207			<0.04
	06/95		806 764	97.7	37.4	142.0		177			~0.04
	01/96 06/96		764 751	93.0	30.0	130.0		164			
	06/90		758	88.0	29.0	130.0	<2.0	151	148.0		<2 as N
	12/97		690	81.0	29.0	140.0	3.0	155			ND
	04/98		790	83.0	31.0	101.0	3.0	165			ND
	06/98		730	85.0	30.0	136.0	3.0	163			ND
	02/99		731	84.0	29.0	127.0	3.0	160			ND
	02/99		769	88.0	30.0	127.0	3.0	168			ND
	05/01		794	98.0	36.0	130.0	3.0	173			ND
ND New Detected	00/0			20.0							

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Consti	tuents	s - mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-18M5	06/89	1156	688	74.6	24.4	67.9		130	138.0	197.0	8.9
(Bldg 23073)	01/90		630	86.4	32.3	101.0		156	166.0		<0.05
(Previously	04/90		720	98.8	34.8	107.0		152	146.0		1.4
reported as	01/91	1202		84.1	40.5	117.0		162	153.0		< 0.04
10S/4W-18M4)	06/91	1180	736	102.0	37.1	106.0		163	138.0		< 0.4
	03/94		658	69.6	27.8	104.0		135 144	140.0 157.0		0.89 <0.44
	08/94		684 679	81.4 95.3	32.2 35.2	178.0 113.0		144	116.0		13.8
	06/95 06/96		682	95.3 86.0	35.2	95.0		145	261.0		<0.0
	00/90		640	79.0	32.0	110.0		142	162.0		<2 as N
	06/97		709	85.0	33.0	110.0	<5.0	150	164.0		<2 as N
	12/97		700	82.0	33.0	110.0	3.0	141	157.0		ND
	03/98		710	83.0	33.0	100.0	3.0	182	158.0	150.0	ND
	06/98		720	85.0	34.0	119.0	4.0	159	154.0	281.0	ND
	02/99	1020	613	70.0	30.0	85.0	4.0	130			8
	05/00		709	81.0	33.0	94.0	4.0	146			ND
	08/00		728	83.0	33.0	89.0	4.0	161	178.0		ND
	02/01		736	85.0	35.0	116.0	4.0	164	180.0		0.7
	04/01		606	85.0	34.0	112.0	4.0	154			ND ND
	09/01		761 737	90.0 91.0	37.0 37.0	115.0 118.0	4.0 3.0	166 181	188.0 207.0		UN 0
	11/01 02/02		781	89.0	36.0	123.0	3.0 4.6	170			1.3
	02/02		755	90.0	37.0	125.0	4.0	175			1.0
	04/02		750	92.0	38.0	110.0	4.0	157	194.0		0.6
	07/02		753	90.0	37.0	114.0	4.0	171	196.0		0
	01/03		816	96.0	40.0	131.0	4.6	160			0
	04/03		738	95.0	27.0	118.0	3.9	175	210.0) 192.0	0
	10/03	1290	752	91.0	37.0	134.0	5.0	167			0
	01/04		717	93.0	38.0	111.0	6.0	159			0
	04/04		722	82.0	36.0	112.0	6.0	168			2.2
	07/04		739	88.0	37.0	92.0	7.0	156			0
	11/04		563	91.0	38.0	124.0	4.8	172			0
	01/05		687	96.0	39.0	124.0	4.0	172			0
	04/07		770	98.0	40.0	100.0	3.8	160 180			0 <2
	04/08		908	100 97	42 39	110 120	3.7 3.7	180			< <u>-</u> 8.7
	04/09 8/11/10		800 780	97 97	39	120	3.7 3.6	140			0.7 <2
	4/22/11		810	-90	39	110	3.6	170			<2
	4/22/11		810	94	38	120	3.8	160			2.0

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Data	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Consti	tuents	s - mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-23J1	05/56	1090	685	61.5	24.3	142.0		142	110.0	293.0	0.06
(Bldg 23001)	12/56		666	67.0	27.0	96.0		124	85.0	274.0	
	12/57		780	66.3	23.9	159.0		138	155.0	308.0	10.6
	05/59		691 704	75.2 72.7	25.3	112.0 116.5		136 112	152.0 144.0	297.7 291.0	
	01/60		704 657	63.2	27.3 21.4	99.0	3.6	140	112.0	291.0	0
	10/60 05/61		770	76.0	36.5	136.0	3.0	124	195.0	299.6	ŏ
	05/62		712	68.8	30.3	136.0	2.0	128	175.0	275.7	
	01/63		698	72.0	35.1	127.0	2.8	128	199.0	268.4	
	06/63		696	78.4	25.4	118.0	2.9	148	130.0	258.6	0 as N
	07/64		732	74.4	27.8	128.0	1.2	139	160.0	268.4	
	05/65		710	80.0	26.4	145.0	2.1	148	120.0	268.4	0.14
	01/66	·	736	88.0	18.1	142.0	2.8	124	155.0	263.5	1.8
	06/66		736	75.2	29.3	138.0	2.7	145	175.0	295.2	4.8
	01/67		744	76.8	25.9	118.0	3.0	136	125.0	287.9	2.2
	08/67		680	70.4	28.3	128.0	2.3	140	100.0	292.8	8.4
	02/68		660	48.0	19.5	130.0	2.8	124	119.0	234.0	6.1
	04/69		708	70.0	28.0	126.0	2.5	128 138	170.0 165.0	278.0 273.0	0 0
	11/69		684	73.0 74.0	28.0 25.0	126.0 122.0	2.8 0.1	130	170.0		4.4
	05/70		716 385	74.0	25.0 25.0	122.0	2.6	134	170.0		3.1
	12/70 09/71		644	75.0	38.0	120.0	2.0	142	190.0	230.0	0.9
	05/72		660	75.0	21.0	124.0	2.3	124	155.0		2.2
	10/73		716	74.0	22.0	128.0	2.8	136	160.0	220.0	0.5 as N
	06/74		680	74.0	13.0	131.0	2.9	158	138.0		0.01 as N
	02/76		660	73.6	25.4	136.0	2.9	119	170.0	248.9	2.0 as N
	09/76		691	58.0	32.0	146.0	2.6	140	148.0	321.8	2.6 as N
	03/77	1080	679	69.0	29.0	110.0	3.0	128	155.0	259.0	4.3 as N
	01/78	s 1100	691	70.0	23.0	147.0	3.0	140	135.0		4.4 as N
	10/78		723	74.0	22.0	120.0	2.9	134	149.0		<1 as N
	04/79		628	70.4	22.4	118.0	2.6	122	138.0		<1 as N
	10/80		745	74.0	22.5	128.0	3.0	152	138.0		0.2 as N
	05/81		580	67.2	17.3	116.0	3.1	132	111.0	205.0	<0.5 as N
	03/83		599	65.6	19.5 22.4	129.0 127.0	2.8 2.6	136 140	129.0 150.0		<0.5 as N <0.1 as N
	12/83		628 691	72.4 78.8	22.4 25.9	127.0	2.0	140	150.0		0.2 as N
	05/84		691	70.0 59.0	26.0	120.0	2.0 3.0	130	70.0		0.2 as N 3.5
	06/85 09/85		705	66.0	26.0	110.0	6.0	140	144.0		<0.4
	06/89		662	71.5	21.7	80.8		117	128.0		<0.4
	01/90		632	90.6	32.4	102.0		160	170.0		<0.5
	01/91			73.7	32.0	128.0		136	136.0		<0.04
	06/91		662	87.4	29.7	117.0		140	121.0		<0.4
	03/92		644	74.2	25.8	133.0		127	118.0		1.3
	03/93		674	72.8	24.5	117.0		127	124.0	261.0	<0.4
	06/93		670	63.9	25.7	119.0		117	128.0	237.0	<0.4
	03/94		683	73.9	27.0	121.0		141	130.0		<0.4

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Data	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids		Che	emical Constituents - mg/l					
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-23J1	08/94	1160	707	78.9	28.2	129.0		139	153.0		<0.44
(Bldg 23001)	06/95		742	88.2	28.8	131.0		165	147.0		<0.04
(Cont)	01/96		690	79.0	29.0	140.0		147	131.0		
	06/96		674	82.0	29.0	120.0		134	129.0		<2 as N
	02/97		650	74.0 77.0	27.0 28.0	150.0 130.0		126 142	172.0 134.0		<2 as N <2 as N
	03/97 02/99		630 647	75.0	26.0	125.0	3.0	142	134.0		ND
	02/99		722	81.0	30.0	123.0	3.0	150	150.0		ND
	04/99		735	79.0	29.0	120.0	3.0	190	183.0		ND
	12/99		699	83.0	30.0	118.0	3.0	100	158.0		ND
	02/00		723	81.0	30.0	116.0	3.0	90	163.0		ND
	05/00		714	81.0	29.0	115.0	3.0	170	152.0	273.0	ND
	08/00	1200	735	80.0	29.0	117.0	3.0	150	118.0		ND
	02/01		730	84.0	31.0	132.0		158	158.0		ND
	04/01	1190	636	81.0	30.0	123.0	3.0	146	148.0		ND
	09/01		751	88.0	32.0	132.0	3.0	155	160.0		ND
	10/01		757	88.0	33.0	133.0	3.0	152			ND
	02/02		724	86.0	31.0	124.0	2.6	146	156.0		ND
	04/02		726	89.0	32.0	124.0	2.8	151 155	162.0 165.0		ND
	07/02		735 701	85.0 87.0	31.0 31.0	129.0 141.0	3.1 2.9	155			ND
	10/02		760	88.0	31.0	139.0	2.9 3.5	146			ND
	01/03 02/03		700	68.0	32.0	139.0	3.5				
	02/03		708	87.0	32.0	127.0	2.8	158) 245.0	ND
	10/03		696	82.0	30.0	144.0	3.0	167			0 as N
	01/04		678	87.0	31.0	121.0	4.0	151	175.0) 227.0	0 as N
	04/04		697	82.0	31.0	120.0	4.0	155	171.0		0 as N
	07/04	1030	702	87.0	31.0	98.0	5.0	138	151.0) 245.0	0 as N
	10/04	1230	879	89.0	31.0	102.0	5.0	158			0 as N
	02/05		704	88.0	31.0	134.0	3.1	157			0 as N
	04/05		755	88.0	30.0	121.0	2.7	132			0 as N
	07/05		725	83.0	29.0	117.0	2.8	153			0 as N 0
	04/07		708	89.0		120.0	2.6 2.5	150			<2
	04/08		718 720	90 90	32 32	100 110	2.5 2.6	150 130			<2
	04/09 04/14/10		720	90		120	2.6	150			<2
	04/14/10		770	90		110	2.6	160			<2
	04/20/12		790	96		120	2.9	160			<2
10S/4W-18E3	06/89	9 1166	758	80.5	28.1	67.4		132			9.5
(Bldg 230093)	01/90		748	97.4		106.0		178			<0.05
	04/90		733	99.6		112.0		159			2.5
	06/91		680	97.6		100.0		139			2.7
	02/94		731	83.3		104.0		142			11.1
	08/94		725	84.3		102.0		147			1
ND - None Detected	06/95	5 932	636	75.4	29 .1	86.6		102	140) (14

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Consti	tuents	- mg/l	
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	к	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-18E3	06/96	1117	710	92.0	36.0	93.0		180	297	206.0	
(Bldg 230093)	02/97		686	89.0	38.0	110.0		157	166	220.0	<2 as N
(Cont)	03/97		673	87.0	36.0	110.0		147	113	213.0	<2 as N
	06/97		779	90.0	37.0	99.0	<5.0	151	177	199.0	<2 as N
	09/98		727	83.0	36.0	90.0	3.0	160	181	232.0	ND
	10/99		325	88.0	39.0	117.0	4.0	130	180	268.0	ND
	02/00		739	84.0 80.0	37.0 35.0	100.0 96.0	4.0 4.0	130 168	180 183	281.0 229.0	ND 2
	05/00 02/01		717 798	97.0	35.0 44.0	90.0 111.0	4.0	184	212		ND
	02/01		728	94.0	44.0	114.0	4.0	168	208		ND
	09/01		720	96.0	42.0	115.0	4.0	173	200	224.0	1
	03/02		778	102.0	44.0	123.0	4.4	196	229	242.0	1
	04/02		808	101.0	44.0	117.0	4.0	183	220	200.0	1.1
	07/02		778	96.0	42.0	114.0	3.7	180	214	209.0	ND
	10/02		763	97.0	41.0	126.0	4.0	180	207	214.0	ND
	01/03		749	96.0	40.0	116.0	3.7	172	200	200.0	ND
	04/03	1210	783	99.0	42.0	12 9 .0	3.9	176	229	191.0	1.3
	10/03		775	97.0	41.0	126.0	5.0	168	231	174.0	0
	01/04		763	101.0	42.0	106.0	6.0	162	220	180.0	0
	04/04		781	96.0	43.0	105.0	6.0	179	250	195.0	0
	07/04		784	100.0	43.0	89.0	6.0	169	219	203.0	0
	10/04		857	99.0	42.0	88.0	6.0	188	245	210.0	0
	01/05		760	99.0	42.0	115.0	4.3	170	234	185.0	2.7
	07/05 11/05		724 815	89.0 101.0	36.0 40.0	91.0 113.0	3.5 4.1	133 153	213	203.0 174.0	0 as N 0 as N
	04/06		832	110.0	40.0	120.0	3.8	180	213	220.0	0 as N
	04/00		806	100.0	44.0	110.0	3.7	180	230	230.0	0 23 1
	04/08		816	92	40	100	3.4	150	220	202	4.7
	04/09		840	100	43	120	3.8	150	220	230	<2
	04/28/10		700	83	36	99	3.4	140	200	190	2.8
	07/27/11		810	88	39	98	3.4	160	230	190	4.3
	04/25/12		830	95	42	100	4.0	170	240	190	<2
10S/4W-7R2	06/89		765	76.5	25.1	82.4		149	153		10.3
(Bldg 260003)	04/89		788	104.0	36.5	126.0		173	161	215.0	2.6
	06/91		836	111.0	41.1	130.0		195	155		0.04
	02/94		738	83.3	32.0	131.0		169	155		< 0.04
	08/94		738	84.3	33.7	129.0		166	149		< 0.44
	06/95		897 720	93.6	35.2	129.0		202	164		0.69
	02/97		720	84	36 35	130		150	152 137	240 240	<1 as N <2 as N
	03/97		708 831	83 94	35 34	130 120	<5.0	152 185	137		<2 as N <2 as N
	06/97		700	94 84	34	120	<5.0 3.0	165	147	247 240	<2 as N ND
	12/97 12/97		700	84 84	36	120	3.0	150	173		ND
	03/98		780	85	36	120	3.0	187	162		ND
	06/98		734	83	35	110	3.0	160	162		ND
	02/99		663	76	32	102	3.0	150	150		ND
ND Nene Detected	02/93	1100	000	10	02	102	0.0	100	100	£ 1-7	

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Consti	tuents	s - mg/l	
	Tested				Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-7R2	08/99	1120	727	76	33	99	3.0	156	230	281	ND
(Bldg 260003)	10/99		660	78	33	120	3.0	110	160	262	ND
(Cont)	02/00	1030	592	79	35	96	3.0	120	160	244	ND
	05/00	1010	699	76	33	96	3.0	129	127		ND
	08/00		720	77	33	87	3.0		157		ND
	12/02		617	73	32	102	3.6	132			0.4
	01/03		689	76	34	113	3.6	135	165		ND
	04/03		717	82	37	122	4.0	164	182		ND
	05/03							156	182		
	10/03		737	81	37	130	5.0	163	201		0
	01/04		694	86	39	107	6.0	153	182		0 0
	04/04		750	84	40	108	6.0	170 172	210		. 0
	07/04		761	92 93	41 41	88 88	7.0 6.0	172			0
	10/04		893 839	99	41	121	5.2	180	215		0
	02/05 04/05		880	98	44	109	3.8	158			0 as N
	04/05		870	101	43	103	4.0	430			0 as N
	11/05		865	104	43	115	3.8	164	221		0 as N
	04/06		810	100	43	110	3.8	170			0 as N
	04/07		856	99	44	110	3.6	170			0
	04/08		888	91	39	100	3.4	160			2.6
10S/4W-7R3	04/09		830	100	45	110	4.5	170			<2
(Bldg 260002)	04/13/10		800	100	43	100	3.6	160			<2
	04/13/11		870	96	42	98	3.7	160			<2
	04/25/12	2 1300	860	100	44	110	3.6	170	260	200	<2
10S/4W-7H2	08/56		882	78.0	30.0	112.0		150 76			
(Bldg 260071)	01/60		500	55.2	14.7	85.0		182			
	10/60		793	74.5 100.0	20.5 29.2	126.0 170.0	4.3 3.3	102			
	05/61		840 744	70.4	29.2 39.0	142.0	3.3 2.4	184			
	05/62 01/63		744 740	65.6	26.4	162.0	2.4	166			0.7
	07/63		671	64.0	25.4	118.0	2.7	148			0.0 as N
	01/64		622	70.4	33.2	117.0	2.7	172			3.3
	07/64		854	83.2	27.3	134.0	1.4	164			
	04/65		909	97.6	23.4	152.0	4.7	196			0.9
	01/66		832	102.0	28.0	166.0	3.1	194			6.6
	06/66		768	86.4	26.3	150.0	3.1	184			6.9
	01/67		768	72.0	29.3	128.0	3.1	174			6.9
	08/67		608	57.6	24.4	116.0	2.4	132			10.2
	02/68		572	67.2	17.6	105.0	2.4	118			0
	09/68		636	74.0	19.0	112.0	3.0	144			0.4
	04/69		820	72.0	33.0	138.0	2.8	180	140	285.0	0.9
	11/69		604	66.0	24.0	116.0	2.8	140			1.8
	05/70		640	65.0	26.0	115.0	2.4	142	120) 183.0	3.1
	09/71		656	77.0	24.0	120.0	2.8	144	125	5 273.0	1.3

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Consti	tuents	s - mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
	05/72	1000	610	46.0	24.0	117.0	2.4	140	130	141.0	0
(Bldg 260071)	10/72		677	88.0	26.0	105.0	3.6	144	126	283.0	3.5
(Cont)	10/73		683	75.0	23.0	118.0	2.7	132	130	200.0	0.6 as N
()	06/74		712	72.0	19.0	150.0	3.1	208	112	195.0	0.01 as N
	01/75	850	519	61.0	21.0	93.0	2.4	102	95	212.0	2.3 as N
	02/76	1200	732	91.2	20.5	126.0	3.2	176	130	244.0	2.6 as N
	09/76	1200	732	48.0	29.0	180.0	2.4	192	123	336.7	4.2 as N
	03/77		854	94.0	33.0	158.0	2.8	216	140	342.0	2.8 as N
	01/78		610	66.0	23.0	100.0	2.7	128	123	205.0	4.4 as N
	10/78		793	82.0	31.0	134.0	2.7	160	157	258.6	<1 as N
	04/79		732	84.8	28.3	144.0	3.1	164	116	312.3	<1 as N
	01/80		885	93.0	30.0	163.0	3.0	196	200	273.0	<1 as N
	10/80		591	70.4	21.7 21.7	104.0	3.7 3.5	140	125 123	219.6 209.8	2.0 as N <0.5 as N
	05/81	1000 1330	645 811	72.4 100.8	35.9	105.0 176.0	3.5 1.6	128 269	123	209.8	<0.5 as N <0.5 as N
	05/82 03/83		669	77.2	23.7	95.0	3.4	132	136	209.8	0.65 as N
	12/83		610	70.4	23.7	123.0	2.6	136	150	203.0	0.05 as N
	05/84		671	77.2	24.6	116.0	2.7	133	155	244.0	0.2 as N
	09/84		650	6.6	29.0	120.0	2.6	200	170	250.0	12
	11/84		671	81.6	23.4	124.0	2.7	149	175	249.0	1.2 as N
	05/86		994	104.7	39.7	167.3	4.4	232	167	301.8	<1 as N
	06/89		826	79.1	28.5	85.5		157	158	246.0	12.6
	01/90	1290	772	96.3	38.6	116.0		184	179	252.0	0.9/1.2
	04/90	1320	817	109.0	42.1	128.0		177	167	249.0	5.4
	01/91	401		87.3	44.4	103.1		205	179		1.1
	03/93		824	92.6	33.1	136.0		194	154	277.0	1.8
	03/94		827	103.0	36.4	135.0		163			0.9
	08/94		762	91.1	35.5	129.0		162			5.64
	06/95		771	100.0	35.8	127.0		197	178		2.8
	06/96		751	96.0	36.0	120.0		162		247.0	1.1
	02/97		830	100.0	41.0	150.0 140.0		186 158	161 149	186.0	<2 as N 2 as N
	06/97		831 670	94.0 91.0	36.0 36.0	120.0	<5.0 3.0	150		271.0 220.0	ND
	12/97 12/97		710	87.0	35.0	120.0	2.0	150		220.0	1.5
	03/98		810	89.0	36.0	120.0	3.0	201	162	240.0	ND
	06/98		830	91.0	36.0	140.0	2.0	185		366.0	ND
	02/99		663	75.0	31.0	106.0	3.0	150			5
	05/99		711	75.0	32.0	85.0	4.0		180	268.0	ND
	08/99		692	74.0	30.0	94.0	2.0	100			ND
	10/99		757	86.0	35.0	120.0	3.0	154	100		3
	08/00		766	83.0	33.0	89.0	2.0	184			ND
	02/01	1140	707	85.0	35.0	107.0	2.0	152	179	232.0	4.9
	04/01	1190	718	88.0	37.0	112.0	3.0	153	193	218.0	5
	09/01	1200	729	89.0	38.0	106.0	3.0	158			4.6
	11/01	1210	693	90.0	38.0	106.0	3.0	169	209	214.0	5.4

TABLE D-6

•

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Consti	tuents	s - mg/l	
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-7H2	02/02		726	94.0	39.0	106.0	2.7	147	184		5.9
(Bldg 260071)	04/02		724	91.0	38.0	107.0	2.9	153	204		6.6
(Cont)	07/02 10/02		755 722	88.0 91.0	37.0 38.0	107.0 99.0	3.1 2.6	162 150	201 197		6 6.2
	01/02		722 781	95.0	39.0	119.0	3.2	144	204		4.5
	01/03		776	93.0	38.0	125.0	3.0	178	217		4.1
	04/03		890	112.0	47.0	143.0	4.0	208	162		ND
	07/04		785	98.0	38.0	109.0	4.0	186	191		3.4
	05/06		870	100.0	41.0	110.0	2.3	180	240		3.0
	04/07		812	99.0	41.0	110.0	2.5	160	230	220	5.2
	04/09		830	100	43	110	2.9	170	260	190	4.7
	04/22/10	1300	790	100	42	110	2.7	170	230		4.2
	04/20/11	1400	860	97	42	110	3.2	180	250		2.4
	04/20/12	1200	840	93	40	110	3.3	160	220	200	5.1
10S/4W-7A2	05/56	920	651	59.0	22.0	100.0		104	94	213.0	
(Bldg 260073)	05/59)	745	52.8	16.5	60.3		84	41	207.4	
,	01/60		840	51.2	17.6	95.0		98	92	210.0	
	10/60		566	62.0	23.0	80.0	4.2	110	104	234.0	0
	05/61		710	72.0	34.0	114.0	3.3	104	150	227.0	
	05/62		518	63.2	23.4	75.0	2.0	100	96	214.7	
	01/63		730	64.0 57.6	24.9 19.5	157.0 85.0	3.1 2.7	162 102	183 100	220.0 244.0	0 0.3 as N
	07/63 01/64		610 494	57.6	19.5	82.0	3.3	102	85	244.0	0.5 as N 0.5 as N
	07/64		637	64.0	21.5	94.0	3.3 1.4	100	95	233.7	0.5 45 1
	04/65		800	73.3	21.5	106.0	4.5	120	110	248.9	1.3
	01/66		448			86.0	2.5	82	75	190.3	9.7
	06/66		540	60.8	21.0	81.0	2.5	102	95	222.0	9.1
	01/67		544	60.8	19.5	88.0	2.9	106	69	229.4	6.9
	08/67	·	504	54.4	20.0	79.0	2.1	96	58	214.7	8
	02/68	·	456	60.8	17.6	86.0	2.7	94	78	222.0	0
	09/68		600	67.0	18.0	90.0	3.0	110	96	232.0	0
	04/69		428	46.0	18.0	73.0		76	90	183.0	3.1
	11/69		476	59.0	18.0	88.0	2.7	98	110	198.0	0.9
	05/70		416	54.0	18.0	79.0	2.6	92	90	151.0	2.9
	12/70		507 644	64.0 77.0	16.0 24.0	89.0 86.0	2.7 2.8	100 116	90 135	222.0 207.0	10.1 0
	05/72		627	77.0	24.0	94.0	2.0	104	135	239.0	5.3
	10/72 10/73		624	72.0	19.0	105.0	2.9	104	143	195.0	0.9 as N
	06/74		548	68.0	19.0	105.0	2.0 3.1	138	140	207.0	0.35 as N
	01/75		546	58.0	22.0	87.0	2.7	98	95	217.0	2.2 as N
	02/76		533	68.8	20.5	76.0	3.0	106	88	214.7	2.2 as N
	09/76		585	48.0	45.0	98.0	2.3	116	112	258.6	3.0 as N
	03/77		585	70.0	23.0	76.0	2.8	123	113	195.0	2.6 as N
	01/78		618	64.0	24.0	100.0	2.7	124	108	200.0	4.3 as N
	10/78		683	74.0	20.0	80.0	3.0	113	128	205.0	<1 as N
	04/79		618	65.6	19.5	98.0	3.1	109	118	190.3	<1 as N

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Consti	tuents	s - mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-7A2	01/80	1000	650	67.0	23.0	99.0	3.1	128	111	187.0	<1 as N
(Bldg 260073)	10/80	900	546	67.2	20.5	86.0	3.4	108	86		2.3 as N
(Cont)	05/81	810	585	57.2	14.4	83.0	3.4	92	84	180.6	0.7 as N
(11/81	800	451	57.2	16.3	85.0	2.0	92	110	185.4	0.5 as N
	05/82	930	605	68.8	21.5	97.0	1.6	115	96		<0.5 as N
	03/83	900	663	78.8	23.7	95.0	3.4	132	135		0.7 as N
	09/84	1000	530	51.0	23.0	80.0	2.9	110	110		4.2
	11/84	850	553	67.2	28.3	73.0	2.9	111	137		1.7 as N
	09/85	1007	593	66.0	26.0	64.0	5.8	124	139		6
	05/86	1051	623	72.6	26.5	79.5	3.5	131	124		8.8
	06/89	1073	688	72.1	23.9	59.6		120	140		15.9
	01/89	1080	572	91.2	34.2	80.2		151	178		1.4
	04/90	1130	718	111.0	42.1	91.0		148	167		9.1
	06/91	1190	718	113.0	40.3	93.8		173	180		7.5
	03/93	1370	708	86.9	32.8	93.3		147	93.3		4.9
	03/94	1210	783	100.0	37.1	100.0		145	167		2.2
	08/94	1160	741	87.5	35.5	96.1		141	184		4.23
	06/95	1200	788 739	99.4 91.0	37.5 37.0	101.0 90.0		173 188	200 312		2.9
	06/96	1129		82.0	37.0	140.0		127	131		<2 as N
	02/97	1100	690 695	91.0	39.0	93.0		137	191		2.2 as N 2.2 as N
	03/97	1109 1096	749	89.0	39.0	90.0	<5.0	137	178		2.2 as N 2 as N
	06/97 12/97	11098	690	84.0	36.0	83.0	4.0	140	181		<.2 as N
	05/99	1050	648	78.0	30.0	111.0	3.0	171			ND
	08/99	1050	696	78.0	33.0	84.0	4.0	120	390		ND
	10/99	1070	663	78.0	34.0	90.0	4.0	132	120		6 as N
	02/00	1010	559	83.0	36.0	82.0	4.0	140	190		4 as N
	05/00	972	688	80.0	34.0	79.0	4.0	144	167		4 as N
	02/01	1200	753	92.0	40.0	100.0	3.0	164	212		ND
	04/01	1210	736	91.0	40.0	103.0	5.0	159	217		4.2
	09/01	1200	741	93.0	41.0	98.0	4.0	153	202		7.6
	11/01	1220	750	92.0	41.0	106.0	4.0	170	228	189	8.0
	02/02		769	99.0	43.0	101.0	4.2	173	218	195	7.9
	04/02		793	101.0	45.0	102.0	4.5	170	229	160	8.5
	07/02	1350	784	98.0	43.0	103.0	4.3	183	239	159	4.8
	10/02	1370	788	102.0	45.0	104.0	4.3	175	241	167	3.4
	01/03	1330	825	108.0	45.0	121.0	5.4	180	231		2.4
	04/03	1260	721	90.0	40.0	102.0	4.3	170	228		9.9
	10/03	1340	791	94.0	41.0	121.0	6.0	180	268		3
	01/04	1390	800	99.0	46.0	105.0	7.0	173	264		4.1
	04/04	1270	739	86.0	42.0	98.0	6.0	160	252		5.1
	07/04	1390	764	97.0	45.0	87.0	7.0	176	262		3.7
	10/04	1290	943	95.0	44.0	84.0	7.0	178	267		3.6
	01/05		610	76.0	35.0	93.0	3.8	136	194		6.9
	04/05		630	77.0	34.0	82.0	3.2	125	174		2.71
	07/05	1120	750	81.0	35.0	84.0	3.4	129		· 129	0 as N

WATERMASTER Santa Margarita River Watershed

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Data	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che					
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
 10S/4W-7A2	11/05		790	94.7	41.2	97.9	3.7	138	199	156	7.53
(Bldg 260073)	04/06	1140	704	91.0	39.0	98.0	4.5	150	220		7.3
(Cont)	04/07	1200	716	97	44	97	3.7	160	240		4.2
	04/08	1270	900	98	45	97 100	3.8	180	260 230		14 22
	04/09	1200 1300	780 770	94 93	42 42	100 100	3.7 3.8	130 160	230		8.7
	04/13/10 04/13/11	1200	780	83	38	93	3.5	150	220		3.9
	04/19/12		790	92	42	94	3.8	160	240		6.2
10S/5W-23G3	06/91	1160	684	83.4	28.3	125.0		145	124	223	<0.04
(Bldg 33926)	03/92		674	75.9	24.1	127.0		139	111		<0.4
	03/93	1182	584	67.8	21.1	110.0		135			<0.4
	06/93	1020	623	60.5	22.4	116.0		125			<0.4
	03/94	1120 1150	665 699	80.0 78.7	25.0 26.4	122.0 125.0		129 141	117 118		1.8 <0.44
	08/94 06/95	1060	673	75.9	23.1	125.0		158			<0.04
	00/95		619	71.0	24.0	120.0		139			
	07/96										
10S/5W-23K2	06/89 04/89		698 728	75.6 100.0	22.8 32.9	84.0 129.0		138 158			<0.4 1.3
(Bldg 33924)	04/89	1193	720	80.6	35.2	131.0		21	146		<0.04
	06/91	1160	676	88.1	29.6	118.0		141	129		<0.04
	03/92		705	76.7	26.0	126.0		149	125		<0.4
	06/92		717	66.8	26.7	124.0		146			<0.4
	03/93		331	72.1	23.8	115.0	-	131	122		<0.4
	02/97		780	89.0	32.0	130.0		166			<2 as N
	03/97		700 778	94.0 91.0	34.0 31.0	140.0 130.0	<2.0	187 171	162 165		<2 as N <2 as N
	06/97 12/97		710	82.0	30.0	130.0	2.0	156			ND
	03/98		710	82.0	30.0	110.0	2.0	191	146		ND
	06/98		658	79.0	28.0	123.0	2.0	157	151	293	ND
	02/99		698	75.0	27.0	123.0	3.0	160	130) 259	ND
	04/99	1210	667	76.0	27.0	118.0	3.0	148			ND
	08/99		714	79.0	27.0	116.0	3.0	180			ND
	10/99		721	80.0	28.0	131.0	3.0	110			ND
	02/00		619 716	82.0 80.0	28.0 29.0	108.0 112.0	3.0 3.0	100 173			ND ND
	05/00 08/00		716	80.0	29.0 29.0	105.0	3.0	162			ND
	08/00	1210	705	85.0	30.0	130.0	3.0	163			ND
	09/01		672	81.0	30.0	125.0	3.0	152			ND
	10/01	1200	680	81.0	29.0	143.0	3.0	162		281	ND
	02/02		675	80.0	29.0	129.0	3.5	143			ND
	04/02		682	84.0	31.0	124.0	2.9	151			ND
	07/02		706	80.0	29.0	127.0	2.9	156			ND
	10/02		669	83.0	30.0	122.0	2.9	151			8 ND
	01/03	1320	801	97.0	34.0	140.0	2.8	154	180) 245	UN

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids		·	Che	mical	Consti	tuents	; - mg/l	
Sile Location	Tested		(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-23K2	04/03	1330	743	89.0	32.0	133.0	2.8	165	183	234	ND
(Bldg 33924)	10/03	1210	712	87.0	31.0	135.0	4.0	155	177		ND
(Cont)	04/04		713	85.0	32.0	121.0	5.0	165	167		ND
	07/04		703	89.0	32.0	101.0	5.0	147	173		ND
	10/04		806	91.0	33.0	102.0	5.0	166	183		ND
	02/05		837	104.0	37.0	136.0	4.2	175	191		0 as N
	07/05		750	83.0	29.0	114.0	2.7	139	474	210	ND
	11/05		750	91.9	29.6	119.0	3.1	144	171		ND ND
	04/06		774	92.0 86.0	32.0	120.0 120.0	2.8 2.7	160 150	180 170		0
	04/07		706 792	86.0 91	29.0 30	120.0	2.7	160	190		<2
	04/08		800	100	30	120	2.0	160	200		<2
	04/09 04/15/10		740	95	34	120	2.8	150	180		<2
	04/15/10		740	87	29	110	2.7	160	170		<2
	04/27/11		800	92	32	110	2.6	170	190		<2
10S/5W-13R2	01/90) 1030	540	96.0	26.6	9 4.8		141	130		0.7
(Bldg 230063)	06/91	1150	702	98.7	32.0	109.0		149	125		1.3
	06/93		705	72.0	28.4	107.0		140	139		0.9
	03/94		658	69.6	27.8	104.0		135	140		0.89
	06/95		636	92.5	30.7	115.0		149	151		14.2
	06/96		680	91.0	31.0	100.0		148	251		
	06/97		708	85.0	29.0	110.0	<5.0	135	145		<2 as N
	12/97		640	81.0	28.0	100.0	2.0	119	128		ND
	03/98		620	85.0	31.0	110.0	2.0	161	144		ND
	06/98		680	83.0	30.0	109.0	3.0	137	140		0.68
	09/98		662	81.0	28.0	90.0	3.0	144	90		ND
	04/01		612	83.0	29.0	106.0	3.0	131	146		3.5
	09/01		679	89.0	31.0	103.0	2.0	142	156		3.2 3.4
	11/01		658	87.0	30.0	104.0	2.0	148 140	169 160		3.4
	02/02		674	85.0 89.0	30.0	112.0 106.0	3.2 2.7	140	160		2.8
	04/02		682 676	83.0	32.0 30.0	111.0	2.7	142	64		2.0
	07/02		676 711	87.0	30.0	110.0	2.7	145	175		ND
	10/02 01/03		713	91.0	33.0	106.0	2.7	138	165		2
	01/03		728	93.0	33.0	112.0	2.9	155	183		2.2
	10/03		741	93.0	33.0	123.0	3.0	188	212		0 as N
	04/04		701	87.0	32.0	103.0	4.0	163	186		ND
	07/04		701	220.0	32.0	103.0	4.0	163	186		0 as N
	4/25/12		790	100	37	120	2.8	160	220		<2
10S/4W-7D1	03/99		765	91.0	34.0	127.0	2.0	190			ND
(Previously reported	06/99		706	76.0	31.0	88.0	2.2	163			ND
as 10S/4W-7A3	08/99		690	76.0	32.0	93.0	3.0	160			ND
(Bldg 260072)	10/99		660	76.0	32.0	100.0	3.0	131			4
	05/00		702	79.0	34.0	94.0	3.0	177			ND
	08/00) 1170	732	84.0	36.0	89.0	3.0	155	i 188	3 201	5
ND None Detected											

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Total Specific Dissolved Chemical Constituents - r ate Conductance Solids						nts - mg/l 			
	Tested		(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-7D1	02/01	1230	753	89.0	39.0	113.0	2.0	170	198		2.7
(Previously reported	04/01		726	89.0	39.0	115.0	4.0	160	191	243	2.9
as 10S/4W-7A3	09/01		735	89.0	39.0	107.0	4.0	153	185		5.3
(Bldg 260072)	11/01		725	89.0	39.0	117.0	3.0	168	205		5.6
(Cont)	02/02		765	97.0	43.0	109.0	3.4	155	198		4.7
	04/02		790 809	98.0 96.0	44.0 43.0	109.0 117.0	3.4 3.7	158 182	208 217		3.9 ND
	07/02 10/02		787	99.0	43.0	113.0	3.7	170	217		2.8
	01/03		810	101.0	44.0	134.0	4.0	155	194		ND
	04/03		789	93.0	40.0	125.0	3.6	100	205		2.1
	10/03		820	91.0	40.0	130.0	4.0	175	235		4.3
	01/04		747	97.0	42.0	114.0	6.0	168	226		2.1
	04/04	1400	766	92.0	42.0	112.0	6.0	162	228	198	2
	07/04		784	98.0	43.0	92.0	6.0	171	231		3.8
	11/04		831	100.0	43.0	134.0	4.2	176	224		ND
	01/05		804	102.0	44.0	125.0	3.7	184	241		2.7
	04/05		690	78.0	34.0	84.0	3.2	128	177		2.6
	07/05		716	84.0	35.0	96.0	3.0	136		166	0 as N
	11/05		785	92.5 98.0	40.4	97.1	3.8	138	202		5.93 as N
	04/06 04/07		786 784	98.0	43.0 43.0	110.0 110.0	3.3 3.4	160 165	220 230		7.1 5
	04/07		840	88	43.0	98	3.4 3.4	160	250		7.1
	11/09								200		<2
	04/13/10		820	96	42	120	3.5	170	240		4.5
	07/27/11		800	89	39	110	3.2	150	200		5.0
	04/19/12		860	97	42	120	3.8	180	210	160	<2
10S/5W-23G4	06/99		668	69	23	106	1.7	163	144		ND
(Bldg 330925)	08/99		657	72	25	115	2.0	180	153		ND
	10/99		716	79	27	140	2.0	120	140		ND
	02/00		522	67	23 27	117 116	2.0 2.0	90	120		ND
	05/00 08/00		686 722	77 80	27	105	2.0	181 155	141 143		ND ND
	02/01		706	73	25	105	2.0	135	143		ND
	02/01		700	81	29	128	2.0	154	149		ND
	09/01		671	80	28	126	2.0	149	142		ND
	10/01		678	81	28	132	2.0	161	156		ND
	02/02		685	-80	28	134	2.8	143	144	279	ND
	04/02		711	87	31	127	2.3	150	204	235	ND
	07/02	1180	730	83	29	130	2.5	158	151	230	ND
	10/02	1180	649	78	27	115	2.1	135	138		ND
	01/03		740	87	30	129	2.2	145	154		ND
	04/03		681	79	27	128	2.5	150	152		ND
	10/03		647	80	27	136	3.0	152	155		ND
	04/04		604	66	24	117	3.0	147	133		ND
	08/04		657	68	24	99	4.0	140	114		ND
	10/04		712	85	29	97	5.0	160	172		ND
ND News D-44-	02/05		661 655	84 72	29 23	125	3.3	154	148		ND
ND - None Detected	07/05	5 1050	655	12	23	118	2.0	127		202	ND

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Consti	tuents	s - mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-23G4	11/05	1080	665	76	23	121	2.0	135	125	227	ND
(Bldg 330925)	05/06	1110	650	71	24	120	1.9	140	130	217	ND
(Cont)	04/07	950	632	72	25	120	1.9	140	130		0
	04/08		672	73	25	120	1.8	150	130		<2
	04/09		670	76	26	120	2.1	150	140		<2
	04/22/10		660	71	24	120	1.8	140	120		<2
	04/20/11	1200	720	83	29	110	2.1	150	170		<2
	04/30/12	1100	720	83	29	120	2.0	150	160	230	<2
10S/5W-23K3	06/99		700	75.0	27.0	106.0	2.2	163	155		ND
(Bldg 330923)	08/99		722	79.0	28.0	114.0	3.0	330	161		ND
	10/99		723	78.0	28.0	140.0	3.0	120	140		ND
	02/00		712	83.0	30.0	117.0	3.0	120	157	293	ND
	02/01	1240	758	85.0	31.0	136.0	3.0	167	152		ND
	04/01	1220	735	85.0	31.0	135.0	3.0	162	154		ND
	09/01 10/01	1240 1330	682 746	81.0 87.0	29.0 32.0	132.0 134.0	3.0 3.0	162 166	144 156		ND ND
			746 720	83.0	32.0 29.0	134.0	3.0	150	150		ND
	02/02 04/02		691	82.0	29.0	140.0	2.7	145	142		ND
	04/02		738	81.0	29.0	134.0	3.1	143	151		ND
	10/02		716	85.0	30.0	137.0	2.9	150	162		ND
	01/03		826	100.0	35.0	141.0	2.6	156	185		0.4
	04/03		733	85.0	30.0	129.0	2.6	162	171	235	ND
	10/03		800	84.0	30.0	141.0	3.0	160	173		ND
	02/04		698	83.0	29.0	120.0	4.0	154	172		ND
	04/04		706	78.0	28.0	121.0	4.0	163	170		ND
	07/04	1040	729	84.0	30.0	99.0	5.0	158	169	240	ND
	10/04	1180	857	86.0	30.0	97.0	5.0	159	172	235	ND
	02/05	1160	685	87.0	31.0	125.0	3.7	159	168	210	ND
	04/05	1230	760	91.0	30.0	122.0	2.6	149	148	213	ND
	07/05		755	83.0	29.0	115.0	2.6	135		210	ND
	11/05		735	92.8	29.5	123.0	3.0	141	165		ND
	04/06		720	89.0	31.0	120.0	2.7	160	170		ND
	04/07		718	87.0	30.0	120.0	2.6	160	170		0
	04/08		754	91	32	110	2.5	160	180		ND
	04/09		760	92	33	120	2.7	160	180		<2
	04/15/10		760	98	34	120	2.6	160	180		<2
	04/13/11 04/16/12	1300 1200	760 760	-88 98	30 34	110 120	2.6 2.9	160 170	180 190		<2 <2
				404-							
10S/5W-26C3	09/01	1410	819	101.0	38.0	138.0	3.0	173	175		ND
(Bldg 220002)	10/01	1370	814	104.0	38.0	131.0	3.0	199	198		ND
	02/02		834	99.0	36.0	128.0	3.0	172	183		ND
	04/02		808	104.0	39.0	124.0	3.2	180	184		ND
	07/02		829	101.0	37.0	137.0	3.3	187	193		ND
	10/02		793	98.0	35.0	143.0	3.4	179	195		ND
	01/03		806	94.0	33.0	144.0	2.0	163	180		ND
ND None Detected	04/03		759	94.0 94.0	33.0 32.0	137.0	3.1	182	198		ND
ND - None Detected	04/03	1290	759	94.0	32.0	137.0	3.1	182	198	230	ND

.

TABLE D-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids		·	Che	mical	Consti	tuents	s - mg/l	
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-26C3 (Bldg 220002) (Cont)	10/03 01/04 04/04 07/04 10/04 02/05	1320 1350 1100 1290	761 743 731 773 826 735	90.0 94.0 90.0 91.0 93.0 101.0	31.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0 32.0	146.0 124.0 127.0 98.0 106.0 127.0	4.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 3.7	162 182 184 167 187 175	188 212 197 197 185 188	203 235 215	ND ND ND ND ND
	04/05 07/05 11/05 06/06 04/07 04/08	1300 1450 1240 1300 1080	760 1260 795 796 764 694	98.0 97.0 99.0 95.0 91.0 80	33.0 33.0 32.0 34.0 31.0 29	122.0 119.0 122.0 140.0 130.0 140	2.8 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9	160 154 159 180 190 180	184 169 170 190 150	200 200 202 250 250	ND ND ND ND 0 <2
10S/5W-18B1 (Bldg 260018)	04/01/10 04/20/11 04/25/12	1400	840 880 910	100 100 100	42 41 44	110 100 120	3.6 3.4 3.8	170 180 180	230 250 	220	<2 <2 <2

TABLE D-12

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

SURFACE STREAMS SAMPLED BY USGS ON CAHUILLA CREEK

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical Co	onstitue	ents -	mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
Cahuilla Creek	02/28/05	644	446	41.90	11.20	76.90	10.10			801 UK 64	.23 @N
Cahuilla Creek Below Highway 371	02/28/05	476	337	34.20	10.10	51.90	3.69	36.9	**		.64 @N
Unnamed Tributary to Cahuilla Creek	02/14/05	783	529	64.00	17.50	80.70	8.94	35.2			3.05 @N

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT

WATER YEAR 2011-12

APPENDIX E

COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS CALENDAR YEAR 2012

July 2013

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

JANUARY 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

										ß	CAI COUNDWA	CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	ETON UNT BALA	4CE
24	USGS Official Discharge	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance Baguirement 1/	Running Average Less Beauited Flow	WR-34 Make-Up Discrimente	ke-Up	Climatic Credits	edits 2/	bout 3		Orthaut	, The C	Cumulative GW Account Balance
140	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs AF	AF	c ts	AF	cfs	AF	AF
۴	11 0	110				10.9	21.7	7.1	14.0	0.6	12	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
- 0	0.1	0.11				0.01	217	7 4	0.41	0.6	i 0			5 000 0
4 64		0.11				10.8	215		13.8	0.6	<u>i</u> Ç	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
, 1 4	11.0	11.0				10.7	21.2	9.9 8.9	13.5	0.6	i 4	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
- 40	11.0	11.0				10.5	20.9	6.7	13.2	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	11.0	11.0				10.4	20.7	6.6	13.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	11.0	11.0				10.3	20.4	6.4	12.7	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
8	11.0	11.0				10.3	20.5	6.5	12.8	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6	11.0	11.0				10.4	20.6	6.5	12.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	11.0	11.0				10.4	20.7	6.6	13.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	11.0	11.0	11.0		0.1	10.4	20.6	6.5	12.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	11.0	11.0	11.0			10.3	20.5	6.5	12.8	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	11.0	11.0	11.0	•	0.1	10.4	20.6	6.5	12.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	11.0	11.0	11.0			10.4	20.6	6.5	12.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	11.0	11.0	11.0		0.1	10.4	20.6	6.5	12.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	11.0	11.0	11.0	10.9		10.1	20.0	6.2	12.3	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	11.0	11.0	11.0			10.1	20.1	6.2	12.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	11.0	11.0	11.0		0.1	9.9	19.7	6.0	12.0	9.0	1:2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	11.0	11.0	11.0			10.1	20.0	6.2	12.3	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	11.0	11.0	11.0			10.1	20.1	6.2	12.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	11.0	11.0	11.0	10.9	0.1	9.5	18.8	5.6	11.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	11.0	11.0	11.0			8.5	16.9	4.6	9.2	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	12.0	12.0	11.1	10.9	0.2	9.4	18.6	5.5	10.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	16.0	16.0	11.6			2.6	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	11.0	11.0	11.6			8.9	17.7	5.0	10.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	11.0	11.0	11.6	•		10.0	19.8	6.1	12.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	11.0	11.0	11.6	-		10.0	19.9	6.1	12.2	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	10.0	10.0	11.5	10.9		9.6	19.1	5.7	11,4	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	11.0	11.0	11.5	-		6.6	19.7	6.0	12.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	11.0	11.0	11.5	-	0.6	10.1	20.0	6.2	12.3	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	11.0	11.0	11.5	10.9		10,2	20.2	6.3	12.5	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL SFD	346.0	346.0	235.5	228.9	6.6	306.8		187.7		19.1		0.0		
TOTAL AT	000	6 9 9 9	1 7 3 4	464.0	1 01		2002		0 0 4 6		27.0			
IUIAL AF	000.3	000.3	407.1				0.000		0.710		e. lo		0.0	

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot. 1 - Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals 11.5 cfs less 0.6 cfs CAP Credit carried over from 2011. 2 - Climatic Credits equal the WR-34 Discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less applicable credits, but not less than 3.0 cfs. 3 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less applicable credits, but not less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

FEBRUARY 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

										פֿא	COUNDWA	GKUUNDWAIEK ACCUUNI BALANCE	UNI BALA	NCE
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Fłow Maintenance	Running Averade Less	WR-34 Make-Up	e-Up	Climatic Credits	redits				-	Cumulative GW Account
DAY	Discharge	Discharge	Discharge	Requirement 1/	Required Flow	Discharge	je Je	Eamed 2/	2/	Input 3/	Input	Output	Output	Balance
	cts 	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	AF
۲	11.0	11.0		10.9	0.6	10.1	20.1	6.2	12.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
~	11.0	11.0	11.4	10.9		10.1	20.1	6.2	12.4	9.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ო	11.0	11.0		10.9		10.1	20.1	6.2	12.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	11.0	11.0	10.9	10.9	0.0	10.1	20.1	6.2	12.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ŝ	11.0	11.0		10.9		10.1	20.1	6.2	12.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	11.0	11.0	-	10.9		10.1	20.1	6.2	12.4	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
•	10.0	10.0	-	10.9		9.4	18.7	5.5	11.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
. ~	11.0	11.0	•	10.9	0.0	9.8	19.4	5.9	11.7	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
. 0	11.0	11.0		10.9		9.8	19.4	5.9	11.7	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	11.0	11.0		10.9		9.8	19.4	5.9	11.7	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	11.0	11.0		10.9		9.8	19.4	5.9	11.7	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	110	110		10.9		9.6	19.4	5.9	11.7	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	11.0	11.0		10.9		9.8	19.4	5.9	11.7	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	11.0	11.0		10.9		9.7	19.3	5.8	11.6	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	35.0	35.0		10.9		7.8	15.5	3.9	7.8	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	0.69	0.69		10.9	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	15.0	15.0	19.6	10.9		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	9.8	9.6		10.9		6.8	13.5	2.9	5.8	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	11.0	11.0		10.9		10.0	19.9	6.1	12.2	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	11.0	11.0	19.5	10.9		10.0	19.8	6.1	12.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	11.0	11.0		10.9		6.6	19.7	6.0	12.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	11.0	11.0	-	10.9	8.6	10.0	19.8	6.1	12.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	11.0	11.0	•	10.9		10.0	19.8	6.1	12.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	11.0	11.0		10.9		10.0	19.9	6.1	12.2	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	11.0	11.0	17.1	10.9		10.0	19.9	6.1	12.2	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	11.0	11.0	11.3	10.9		10.0	19.9	6.1	12.2	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	19.0	19.0	11.7	10.9	0.8	7.6	15.0	3.7	7.3	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	54.0	54.0	16.1	10.9		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	11.0	11.0	16.1	10.9		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30		1		1	I	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I	ł
31	•	I	1	I	1	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	1
TOTAL SFD	453.8	453.8	414.3	316.1	98.2	240.8		143.1		17.9		0.0		
TOTAL AF	900.1	900.1	821.8	627.0	194.8		477.7		285.1		35.4		0.0	
- - - - - - - - -	- 44 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4													

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot.
 1 - Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals 11.5 cfs less 0.6 cfs CAP Credit carried over from 2011.
 2 - Climatic Credits equal the WR-34 Discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less applicable credits, but not less than 3.0 cfs.
 3 - Att. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less applicable credits, but not less than 3.0 cfs.
 1 - Att. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less applicable credits, but not less than 3.0 cfs.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

MARCH 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

								£		GF	CAN ROUNDWA	CAMP PENDLETON WATER ACCOUNT I	CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	ICE
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of	Minimum Flow Maintenance	Running Average Less	WR-34 Make-Up	dn.	Climatic Credits	edits					Cumulative GW Account
DAY	Discharge	Discharge	Website	Requirement 1/	Requ	Discharge	e	amed	2/	Input 3/	Input	Output	Output	Balance
	cts 	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	AF
۴	0.01	10.0	16.0	10.9		9	13.6	3.0	5.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
• ~	11.0	11.0	16.0		5.1	8.6	19.4	5.9	11.7	0.6	12	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
		110	16.0			20	10.2	α v	116	0.6	10	00	00	5,000.0
•			16.0			9.6	1.01	5.7	114	0.6	10	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
	0.1		16.0			80	10.5	05	11 8	0.6	;	00	0.0	5,000.0
. .	10	0.11	16.0			00	19.6	0.0	11.9	0.6	12	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
• •	110	11.0	16.0			6.6	19.7	6.0	12.0	0.6	12	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
. «	11 0	110	15.2			0.0	19.7	6.0	12.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
. 0,	11.0	11.0	10.9	10.9		6.6	19.7	6.0	12.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	11.0	11.0	10.9			6.6	19.6	6.0	11.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	10.0	10.01	10.9			9.2	18.2	5.3	10.5	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	11.0		10.9			10.0	19.8	6.1	12.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	11.0		10.9			6.6	19.7	6.0	12.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	11.0	11.0	10.9	10.9	0.0	9.9	19.6	6.0	11.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	11.0		10.9			9.9	19.6	6.0	11.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	11.0		10.9			9.9	19.7 *	6.0	12.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	117.0	-	21.5		、	6.1	12.0 **	2.2	4.3	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	152.0		35.6			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	44.0		38.9			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	12.0		39.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	11.0		39.1			6.6	13.0 **	2.7	5.3	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	14.0		39.4			8.6	17.0 **	4.7	9.3	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	12.0		39.5			10.0	19.8 ***	6.1	12.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	11.0		39.5			9.0	17.8	5.1	10.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	34.0	34.0	41.8			6.8	13.5	2.9	5.8	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	185.0	185.0	59.2		9 48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	34.0	34.0	50.9		9 40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1:2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	10.0		36.7			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	11.0		33.4			6.9	13.7	3.0	6.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	11.0	11.0	33.3			0.0	17.8	5.1	10.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	11.0	11.0	33.3	10.9	9 22.4	0.6	17.8	5.1	10.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL SFD	843.0	843.0	795.5	337.9	9 457.6	225.9		128.8		19.1		0.0		
TOTAL AF	1,672.1	1,672.1	1,577.9	670.2	907.6		448.2		255.5		37.9		0.0	
	_													
Monthly total	Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot	the nearest ten	th of an acre foc	۴										

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot. 1 - Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals 11.5 cfs less 0.6 cfs CAP Credit carried over from 2011. 2 - Climatic Credits equal the WR-34 Discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less applicable credits, but not less than 3.0 cfs. 3 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. 1 In the organization of the WR-34 Discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. 3 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. 8 - S.2 AF supplied from WR-34 and 12.3 AF supplied from potable connection to WR-34 because of MWD operational shutdown. * Discharge supplied from potable connection to WR-34 because of MWD operational shutdown. * 7.5 AF supplied from potable connection to WR-34 because of MWD operational shutdown.

ш	
×	
ē	
Ű.	
<u>д</u>	
◄	

APRIL 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

DAY DI			10-Day Dunning	Minimum Flow										
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	Average of Website	Maintenance Requirement	Running Average Less	WR-34 Make-Up	e-Up	Climatic Credits	redits					Cumulative GW Account
	Discharge	Discharge	Discharge	11, 21	Required Flow	Discharge	je j	Eamed 3/	3/	Input 4/	Input	Output	Output	Balance
	cfs	cfs	cts	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	AF
						6		t.		4	7	6	6	0000
1	11.0	11.0				9.0	17.8	ъ.1 Г.С	10.1	0.0	7.L	0.0	0.0	0.000.0
2	11.0	11.0			22.0	9.1	18.1	5.2	10.4	0.6	12	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ر	11.0	11.0	32.9			9.5	18.8	5.6	11.1	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	11.0	11.0				9.5	18.9	5.6	11.2	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
- 40	11.0	11.0		10.9		9.6	19.0	5.7	11.3	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	110	110				80	19.4	5.9	117	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
	0.01	0.01				0.0	18.4	5.4	10.7	0.6	12	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
. 0	11.0					10.0	10.8		101	06	101	00	0.0	5.000.0
						10.0	801	- -	101	0.6	: -	00	00	5,000,0
» ,		0.1							į	0.0 9	i ;			5 000 0
10	11.0	11.0				0.01	19.8	- 0 0	1.21	0.0	- -	0.0		0,000,0
11	16.0	16.0		-		6.7	13.3	0.7	13.9	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	o,000.0
12	7.8	7.8				3.2	6.3	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	48.0	48.0	11.1			4.3	8.6	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	80.0	80.0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	13.0	13.0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	5.3	5.3				2.3	4.5	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	5.0	5.0			6.4	3.9	7.7	7.0	13.9	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	5.1	5.1				4.2	8.3	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	5.0	5.0				4.3	8.5	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	5.1	5.1	11.9			4.3	8.6	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	5.0	5.0				4.3	8.6	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	5.0	5.0		5.0		4.3	8.6	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	5.0	5.0	80.0		2	4.2	8.4	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	3.8	3.8			9.2	3.3	6.6	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	3.8	3.8	5.3			3.4	6.7	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	22.0	22.0	5.0		1.2	0.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	11.0	11.0				0.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	5.2	5.2			1.2	2.9	5.8	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	4.2	4.5	5.1			3.1	6.1	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	3.2	4.1		3.8	1.2	2.2	4.4	7.0	13.9	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	I	1		1		I	I	I	1	I	I	I	I	1
TOTAL SFD	367.5	368.7	489.6	206.5	283.1	158.1		168.8		18.5		0.0		
TOTAL AF	728.9	731.3	971.2	409.6	561.6		313.5		334.9		36.6		0.0	
_														

Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals 11.5 cts less 0.6 cts CAP Credit carried over from 2011.
 In April 2012, Camp Pendleton requested that the District reduce remaining winter-time releases and postpone that portion of the winter-time releases until May and June. For April 12-23, 2012, the Flow Requirement was reduced from 10.9 cts to 5.0 cts; for April 2012, Camp Pendleton requested that the District reduce remaining winter-time releases and postpone that portion of the winter-time releases until May and June. For April 12-23, 2012, the Flow Requirement was reduced from 10.9 cts to 5.0 cts; for April 24-30, the requirement was further reduced to 3.8 cts. For April, the District has been credited with Climatic Credit as if they had released according to the original schedule. Flow Requirement were increased as follows: 7.8 cts for June 17.3 cts for June 11.8.
 Climatic Credits equal the WR-34 Discharge less the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the Row Maintenance Requirement which is the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which is the Row Maintenance Requirement which is the Row Maintenance Requirement which is the Row Maintenance Requirement which is the Row Maintenance Requirement which is the Row indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA less applicable credits, but not less than 3.0 cts. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

ш	
×	
2	
Ц Ш	
₹	

MAY 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

										Ð	CA	CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	ETON JUNT BALA	NCE
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement	Running Average Less Booningd Elow	WR-34 Make-Up	lake-Up	Climatic Credits	Credits	hout 4/	loout Loout	tintin C	Outbut	Cumulative GW Account Balance
	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	AF
۲	3.3	3.9	~			2.6		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	4.1	3.5	~			3.2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
i η	4.0	4.0				3.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
4	3.6					3.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
			. ~			3.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
. 4	0.0					3.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
• •			. ~					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
. 0	0.0 8 C		. ~			, e		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
• •			. ~			3.2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
, (8.0		. ~			0.00		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
2 7								0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
								0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
12	0.0	0.0	9 00 00 0 00 0 00	3.8	0.0	3.4	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4								0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
15	3.8							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
16	6.0							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
17	3.8							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
18	3.9							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
19	3.8							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
20	3.8							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
21	5.8				÷			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
22	5.8							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
23	2.9				3.2)			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
24	8.0							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
25	2.9							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
26	7.8							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
27	7.7							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
28	7.8							0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
29	7.7	7.7						0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
30	7.7	7.7					•	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	5,000.0
31	7.8	7.8	8 7.1				3 15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL SFD	D 158.3	158.7	7 - 102.4	4 123.8	3 (21.4)	143.8	~	0.0		0.0		0.0		
							785 7		00		00		00	
TOTAL AF	314.0	314.8	8 203.1	1.045.0	(42.4)	_	7.007		0.0		0.0		2	
Monthly tots	Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot.	the nearest ten	th of an acre foot.											

Informut Flow Maintenance Requirement equals 115, cristees 0.6 cfs CAP Credit carried over from 2011.
Informut Flow Maintenance Requirement equals 115, cristees 0.6 cfs CAP Credit carried over from 2011.
2 - In April 2012, Camp Pendleton requested that the District reduce remaining winter-time releases and postpone that portion of the winter-time releases until May and June. For April 12-23, 2012, the Flow Requirement was reduced from 10.9 cds to 5.0 cfs; for April 24-30, the requirement was reduced from 10.9 cds to 5.0 cfs; for April 24-30, the requirement was further reduced to 3.8 cfs. For April, the District has been credited with Climatic Credit as if they had released according to the original schedule. Flow Requirements in May and June were increased as follows: 7.8 cfs for May 21-31 and 7.3 cfs for June 1-18.
3 - Att. 7(b) not applicable for months of May through December.
4 - Art. 7(- Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the fractared in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

ш
×
٥.
₹

JUNE 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

										5				
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement	Running Average Less	WR-34 Make-Up	e-U	Climatic Credits	edits					Cumulative GW Account
DAY	Discharge	Discharge	Discharge	11, 21	Required Flow	Discharge	Je	Eamed 3/	3/	Input 4/	Input	Output	Output	Balance
	cfs —	cts	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	AF
													4	
٢	7.3	7.3				7.1	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	7.4	7.4	**			7.1	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ŝ	7.3					7.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
. 4	73					7.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
- 47	7.3	7.3				7.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
, (7.7) ~			69	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
• •	- -		19			71	14.0	00	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
. 0							13.0					00	00	5 000 0
• •	- 1					2.4	10.01	0.0						5 000 0
א ל	5.7 		γ γ			2.1	10.9	0.0						0000
10	7.3					7.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000.c
11	7.3		-			7.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	7.4		4 7.3		0.0	7.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	7.2				(0.0)	7.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	7.3		3 7.3		-	7.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	7.3				-	7.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	7.3		3 7.3			6.9	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	5.4				-	5.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	5.3				(0.4)	4.9	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	0.0					3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	3.3	3.3	3 6.1			3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	3.4				2.4	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	3.3					3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	3.3					3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	3.3		3 4.5		1.2	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	3.3					3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	3.3					3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	3.3					3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	3.3		3 3.3		0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	3.3			3.3		3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	3.3	3.3	3 3.3	3 3.3	0.0	2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31		I	1	1	1	I		I	I	I	I	I	I	1
TOTAL SFD	D 167.2	167.2	2 112.2	2 98.0	14.2	158.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		
TOTAL AF	331.6	331.6	6 222.5	5 194.4	28.1		314.4		0.0		0.0		0.0	
4-4-44	tool one of the three to see the set of the transmission of the three to	and factors of the	4. of an and and 4.											
MUTHIN UV	als are iourided to	תוב וובשובאי יבוי	ווון טו מוו מעוב ועעו.											

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot.
1 - Minimum Flow Maintenance Requirement equals 11.5 cfs less 0.6 cfs CAP Credit carried over from 2011.
2 - In April 2012, Camp Pendleton requested that the District reduce remaining winter-time releases and postpone that portion of the winter-time releases until May and June. For April 12-23, 2012, the Flow Requirement was further reduced from 10.9 cfs to 5.0 cfs; for April 24-30, the requirement was further reduced to 3.8 cfs. For April, the District has been credited with Climatic Credit as if they had released according to the original schedule. Flow Requirements and June way and June was further reduced to 3.8 cfs. For April, the District has been credited with Climatic Credit as if they had released according to the original schedule. Flow Requirements and June were increased as follows: 7.8 cfs for May 21-31 and 7.3 cfs for June 1-18.
3 - Art. 7(b) not applicable for months of May through December.
4 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

JULY 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

					JULT 2012	JULT 2012 - CKIIICALLT UKT TEAK	UKT TEAK			GR	CAI SOUNDWA	CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	ETON UNT BALA	NCE
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance	Running Average Less	WR-34 Make-Up	e-Up	Climatic Credits	sdits					Cumulative GW Account
DAY	Discharge cfs	Discharge cfs	Discharge cfs	Requirement cfs	Required Flow cfs	Discharge	ge AF	cfs A	1/ AF	Input 2/ cfs	Input AF	Output	Output AF	Balance AF
+	3.0					2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.0					2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ę	3.0					2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	3.0	3.0				2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
5	3.0					2.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	3.0					2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.0					2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
80	3.0					2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
0	3.0					2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	3.0					2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	3.0						5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	3.0						5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	3.1						5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	3.0						5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	30						5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	3.0						5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	3.5						6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	5.6						10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	2.0		3.2	2 3.0	0.2		3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	3.0						5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	3.0						5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	3.1						5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	3.1						5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	3.1						5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	3.2						5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	3.1						5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	3.0						5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	3.0				(0.0)	2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	3.1					2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	3.0		3.1		0.1	2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	3.0					2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL SFD	D 95.9	95.9	65.7	63.0	2.7	89.7		0.0		0.0		0.0		
TOTAL AF	- 190.2	190.2	130.3	3 125.0	5.4		178.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
:		:												
A Londbly tot.	alo ano source da da	the second test	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot. 1 - Art. 7(b) not applicable for months of May through December. 2 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

AUGUST 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

Month Total fragment </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>AUGUSI 201</th> <th>AUGUST 2012 - CRITICALLT URT TEAK</th> <th></th> <th>r</th> <th></th> <th>ß</th> <th></th> <th>CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE</th> <th>ETON UNT BALAI</th> <th>VCE</th>						AUGUSI 201	AUGUST 2012 - CRITICALLT URT TEAK		r		ß		CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	ETON UNT BALAI	VCE
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance	Running Average Less	WR-34 Mal	ke-Up	Climatic Cre	dits		1			Cumulative 3W Account
10 10 <td< th=""><th>DAY</th><th>Uischarge cfs</th><th>Uischarge cfs</th><th><u>Uischarge</u> cfs</th><th>cfs</th><th>Kequired Flow cfs</th><th><u>Uiscnarg</u></th><th></th><th>cfs</th><th>AF</th><th>cfs</th><th>AF</th><th>Curput</th><th>AF</th><th>AF</th></td<>	DAY	Uischarge cfs	Uischarge cfs	<u>Uischarge</u> cfs	cfs	Kequired Flow cfs	<u>Uiscnarg</u>		cfs	AF	cfs	AF	Curput	AF	AF
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$															
30 30 30 31 30 30 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 23 55 00 00 00 00 32 32 32 32 55 00	٢	3.0	3.0				2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	3.0	3.0	-			2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	ę	3.0	3.0	_			2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	3.0	3.0	_			2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	3.0	3.0	_			2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	3.1	3.1				2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	• ►	31					2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	. «	0.6	30				2.8	5,5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
		0.0	0.0				80	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
	, (0.0				80	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
	5 5		0.0				0 C	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
	: {	0.0	00				80	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
	14	9.0	0.0				2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	0.6	3.0				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	0.0	0.0				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	30.0	3.0				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	3.1					2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18						2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	19						3.0	5,9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	3.0	3.0				3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	3.0	3.0				3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	52		3.1				3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	53	3.1	3.1				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	3.0	3.0				2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	3.0	3.0				2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	3.0	3.0				2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27	3.0	3.0				3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 0.0 3.1 0.0 0	28	3.1	3.1			o	3.4	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2.9 2.9 3.0 3.0 0.0 2.8 5.6 0.0 <th>29</th> <td>3.0</td> <td>3.0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>O</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>6.6</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>5,000.0</td>	29	3.0	3.0			O	3.3	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 0.0 2.8 5.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.000 93.5 93.5 63.4 63.0 0.4 90.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.000 185.5 185.5 125.8 125.0 0.8 179.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	30	2.9	2.9				2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
93.5 93.5 63.4 63.0 0.4 90.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 185.5 185.5 125.8 125.0 0.8 179.1 0.0 0.0 0.0	31	3.0	3.0		3.	Ö	2.8		0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
185.5 185.5 125.8 125.0 0.8 179.1 0.0 0.0	TOTAL SF						90.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		
	TOTAL AF							179.1		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		-													

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot. 1 - From August 6-19, 2012, and from November 19-December 12, 2012, the District conducted flow tests in which the instantaneous release rate at WR-34 varied from 0.0 13 cfs throughout the day. However, the average daily release rate remained at 3.0 cfs. 2 - Art. 7(b) not applicable for months of May through December. 3 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

SEPTEMBER 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

						SEF I EMBER 2012 - URI ILVALLI URI TEAR		TEAK			GROUNDV	CAMP PENDLETON	CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	CE
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance	Running Average Less	WR-34 Make-Up	đŋ		edits					Cumulative GW Account
DAY	Discharge cfs	Discharge cfs	Discharge cfs	Requirement cfs	Required Flow cfs	Discharge	e AF	cfs cfs	1/ AF	Input 2/ cfs	AF	Output	Output AF	Balance AF
•						c	ľ			Ċ	Ċ	Ċ	0	
-	3.0	3.0				2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.0	3.0				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ę	3.0	3.0				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	3.0	3.0				3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
5	3.0	3.0				3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	3.0	3.0				3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.0	3.0				3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
80	3.0	3.0				3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
0	3.0	3.0				3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	3.0	3.0				3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
11	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
12	3.0	3.0	i ni	3.0	0.0	2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	3.0	3.0		3.0		2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	2.9	2.9		3.0		3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	3.0	3.0		3.0	(0.0)	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	3.0	3.0	÷	3.0		3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	3.0	3.0		3.0	(0:0)	3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	3.1	3.1		3.0		3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	3.0	3.0		3.0		3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.0	3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	1	1	I	1	1	-	I	I	I	I	I	I	•	ł
TOTAL SFD	0.00	90.06	60.0	60.0	(0.0)	91.1		0.0		0.0		0.0		
TOTAL AF	178.5	178.5	118.9	119.0	(0.1)		180.6		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	- - -	:												
Monthly tota	Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot.	he nearest tenth	h of an acre foot.											

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot. 1 - Art. 7(b) not applicable for months of May through December. 2 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

OCTOBER 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

					OC I OBEK 2	012 - CKII ICA	OCIOBEK 2012 - CRINCALLY DRY YEAK	×		5	CAN SOUNDWA	CAMP PENDLETON	CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	CE
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance	Running Average Less	WR-34 Make-Up	e-Up	Climatic Credits	edits				-0	Cumulative GW Account
DAY	Discharge	Discharge	Discharge	Requirement	Required Flow	Discharge	de	Earned	11	Input 2/	Input	Output	Output	Balance
		cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	AF
۴	3.0	3.0				3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	3.0	3.0				3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ę	3.0	3.0				3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	3.0	3.0				3.1	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
\$	3.1	3.1				3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ę	3.1	3.1				3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.1	3.1				3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
89	3.1	3.1				3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6,	3.0	3.0				3.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	3.2	3.2				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.0	0.1	2.4	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.1	2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.1	2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.1	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.1	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.1	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.1	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.1	2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	3.3	3.3		3.0	0.1	3.0	5.9 *	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	3.7	3.7		3.0	0.1	3.0	6.0 **	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.0	0.2	3.0	6.0 **	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.2	2.8	5.6 **	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.2	2.8	5.6 **	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.2	2.8	5.6 **	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
25	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.2	2.8	5.6 **	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.2	2.8	5.6 **	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.2	2.8	5.6 ***	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	2.9	2.9		3.0	0.2	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.1	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	0.1	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	3.0	3.0		3.0	(0.0)	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL SFD	D 95.6	95.6	65.5	63.0	2.5	89.8		0.0		0.0		0.0		
TOTAL AF	180.6	180.6	9 0 0 1	40E 0	10		178.1		0		00		00	
	_	0.601			1. D		1.0.1		0.0		2.0		2.0	
Monthly totale ar	-	to socract tot	nded to the neerest touth of an ears fact											

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot. 1 - Art. 7(b) not applicable for months of May through December. 2 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. 2 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. 3 - Art. 4 F supplied from WR-34 and 2.5 AF supplied from potable connection to WR-34 because of MWD operational shutdown. * 3.1 AF supplied from potable connection to WR-34 because of MWD operational shutdown. ** 3.1 AF supplied from WR-34 and 2.5 AF supplied from potable connection to WR-34 because of MWD operational shutdown.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED FLOWS AND ACCOUNTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

NOVEMBER 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

								É		9	C/ SROUNDW	CAMP PENDLETON WATER ACCOUNT I	CAMP PENDLETON GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	ы
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance	Running Average Less	WR-34 Make-Up	Ą	Climatic Credits	edits					Cumulative GW Account
DAY	Discharge	Discharge	Discharge	Requirement	Required Flow	scharge	1	Eamed 2/	2/	Input 3/	Input	Output	Output	Balance
	s 	S	S	cis	CIS	S	AF	cis	AF	cts	AL	cts	AF	AF
۲	3.0					2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
2	3.0					2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
m	3.0	3.0				2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	3.0					2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ŝ	2.9					2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
9	3.0	3.0				2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.0					2.9	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
80	3.1	3.1				2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
Ċ,	3.0					2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	3.0					2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	3.0			3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	3.0			3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	3.0			3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	3.1			3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	3.0			3.0	0.0	2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	3.0			3.0	0.0	2.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	3.0			3.0	0.0	2.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	3.1			3.0	0.0	2.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
20	3.0			3.0	0.0	2.6	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
21	2.9			3.0	0.0	2.6	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	2.9			3.0	0.0	2.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
23	3.2			3.0	0.0	2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
24	3.2			3.0	0.0	2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
22	2.9			3.0	0.0	2.6	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.6	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	3.0			3.0	0.0	2.6	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	3.1	3.1		3.0	0.0	2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.0	2.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	3.0	3.0		3.0	0.0	2.7	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
31	1	1	1	I	••	ł	I			I	I	1	I	I
TOTAL SFD	90.3	90.3	60.3	60.0	0.3	82.5		0.0		. 0.0		0.0		
TOTAL AF	179.1	179.1	119.5	119.0	0.5		163.6		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Monthly totale	are rounded to	a the nearest ten	Monthly totals are rounded to the economic touth of an and fact											

Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot. 1 - From August 6-19, 2012, and from November 19-December 12, 2012, the District conducted flow tests in which the instantaneous release rate at WR-34 varied from 0 to 13 cfs throughout the day. However, the average daily release rate remained at 3.0 cfs. 2 - Art. 7(b) not applicable for months of May through December. 3 - Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs.

ш
×
Δ
Ш
2
٦
◄

DECEMBER 2012 - CRITICALLY DRY YEAR

										G	ROUNDWA	WATER ACCOUNT I	CAMP PENULEION GROUNDWATER ACCOUNT BALANCE	ж
	USGS Official	USGS Daily Website	10-Day Running Average of Website	Minimum Flow Maintenance	Running Average Less Required	WR-34 Make-Up	ke-Up	Climatic Credits	adits				- 0	Cumulative GW Account
DAY	Discharge	Discharge	Discharge	Requirement	Flow	Discharge	11 0	Earned 2/	21	Input 3/	Input	Output	Output	Balance
	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	cfs	AF	AF
r. (3.6	3.6				3.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
7	3.1	3.1				2.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
m	3.4	3.4				3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
4	3.4	3.4				3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
5	3.2	3.2				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
ę	3.3	3.3				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
~	3.4	3.4				3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
8	3.2	3.2				2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
6	3.3	3.3				3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
10	3.3	3.3				3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
11	3.4	3.4	3.3	Ϋ́.	.3 0.0	3.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
12	3.3	3.3	3.3			3.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
13	195.0	195.0					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
14	90.06	0.06	31.1				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
15	14.0	14.0	32.2				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
16	3.1	3.1	32.2				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	6.4	6.4	32.5				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
18	7.6	7.6	32.9				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
19	4.9	4.9	33.1				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
8 2	0.0	3.0	33.1				5,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
17	9.5 V	0.0	33.1 23.1	5.5 C C	29.82	8) F 7	0.0 7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.000,6
3 6	9.4 7 4	9.4 A A	1.00				0.0 7			0.0				5,000,0
54		 	5.3				. 8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.000.0
25	3.3	3.3	4.2				5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
26	8.2	8.2	4.7				4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
27	8.7	8.7	4.9				1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
28	1.1	1.1	4.3				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
29	1.3	1.3	3.9				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
30	24.0	24.0	6.0				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
34	9.4	9.4	6.6				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5,000.0
TOTAL SFD	433.6	433.6	376.4	69.3	3 307.1	54.1		0.0		0.0		0.0		
TOTAL AF	860.0	860.0	746.5	137.5	5 609.1		107.3		0.0		0.0		0.0	
Monthly totals	totals are rounded to	to the nearest ter	Monthly totals are rounded to the nearest tenth of an acre foot.	- 10 0000 H		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		-						

From August 6-19, 2012, and from November 19-December 12, 2012, the District conducted flow tests in which the instantaneous release rate at WR-34 varied from 0 to 13 cfs throughout the day. However, the average daily release rate remained at 3.0 cfs.
 Art. 7(b) not applicable for months of May through December.
 Art. 17 - Camp Pendleton rights to groundwater equal the Flow indicated in Section 5 of the CWRMA minus the Actual Flow Maintenance Requirement which cannot be less than 3.0 cfs. Input to groundwater account shown but cumulative balance did not increase due to account balance maximum of 5,000 AF.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT

WATER YEAR 2011-12

APPENDIX F

ANNUAL REPORT ISSUES SUBORDINATED DURING EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THE COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

July 2013

APPENDIX F

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL REPORT ISSUES SUBORDINATED DURING EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THE COOPERATIVE WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

Introduction

Prior to implementation of the Cooperative Water Resource Management Agreement (CWRMA) entered into by Rancho California Water District (RCWD) and the United States on behalf of Camp Pendleton, there were contentions raised by Camp Pendleton each year, with respect to various aspects of the Annual Watermaster Report. These contentions are settled so long as CWRMA is in effect. Accordingly, there is no need to raise those particular issues or publish them in the main text of the annual report or in related correspondence.

However, the respective positions on these issues need to be preserved and protected from any finding of waiver, and there is a need to continue to collect related data in the event of need in the future.

Therefore, the applicable textual material in the previous annual reports and related comments and responses have been gathered here for preservation and maintenance of rights, with the understanding that the previous annual exchange of applicable contentions in the process of preparing the annual report is no longer necessary.

Issues Reserved

Section 3, Surface Water Availability and Use: In the absence of CWRMA implementation, Camp Pendleton disputes the method of calculation used in the annual report in Subsection 3.2 (Surface Water Diversions) and Table 3.3 (Surface Water Diversions to Storage) for presentation of the information regarding Vail Lake and further asserts its belief that the Vail Dam impoundment fails to comply with the 1940 Stipulated Judgment.

1

<u>Section 4, Subsurface Water Availability and Use</u>: In the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with respect to Figure 4.1 (Water Level Elevations – Windmill Well) and to Subsections 4.3 (Water Levels) and 4.4 (Groundwater Storage), Camp Pendleton is concerned about the apparent excessive pumping in the Upper Basin, and further asserts its belief that the lengthy and significant drawdown and concomitant loss in storage adversely affect the water supply for adjacent and downstream users holding senior water rights.

<u>Section 7, Water Production and Use:</u> First, in the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with regard to the local production figures shown in Table 7.1 (Water Production and Use), Camp Pendleton is concerned about the high level of groundwater production from the Upper Basin, a level that Camp Pendleton believes to be substantially greater than the safe yield.

Second, in the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with regard to Footnote 4 of Table 7.1 (distinction between RCWD pumping of older alluvium water and of Vail recovery water), Camp Pendleton has serious reservations as to the accounting system that is being used as well as the legal and technical bases upon which such system has been formulated.

Third, in the absence of CWRMA implementation, and as to the RCWD part of Subsection 7.2 (Water Purveyors), Camp Pendleton has serious reservations as to the accounting system that is being used as well as the legal and technical bases upon which such system has been formulated. These reservations include the following:

- 1. As to the "Vail Appropriation" part: Representatives of the United States contend that under the 1940 Stipulated Judgment storage of water in Vail Lake is limited to Rancho California Water District's share of the flood waters of the Santa Margarita River system. However, to date, the parties have not agreed on a definition of "flood waters."
- 2. As to the "Division of Local Water" part: In 1995 well logs and geophysical logs of all Rancho California WD wells were reviewed by representatives of the United States and Rancho California WD to determine the depths of the younger alluvium. There was general agreement between the parties about the depth of the younger alluvium in production wells, except for ten wells shown on Table 7.7 of the 1994-95 report. The remaining disagreements relate to differences about the magnitude of the clay layer needed to define the base of the younger alluvium, the importance of neighboring well logs, and general concepts about overall geologic setting.

<u>Section 8, Unauthorized Water Use</u>: In the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with respect to water use by RCWD, Camp Pendleton asserts the following:

- 1. Such use is in violation of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment by reason of, among other things, Vail Lake operations in excess of entitlement and pumping from both younger and older alluvium in excess of entitlement, which contentions RCWD disputes;
- 2. Rediversion and use of water impounded by Vail Dam are not in accord with terms of Permit 7032;
- 3. Unauthorized pumping is being done, including pumping from the younger alluvium outside of Pauba Valley without a permit and pumping from the older alluvium in violation of Court adjudications.

<u>Section 9, Threats to Water Supply</u>: In the absence of CWRMA implementation, and with respect to Subsection 9.3 (Potential Overdraft Conditions) and as noted in the foregoing comments to Sections 4 and 7, Camp Pendleton is seriously concerned regarding the apparent excessive pumping in the Upper Basin.

