AMENDMENT

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2000-2001

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, ET AL CIVIL NO. 1247 - SD-T

JAMES S. JENKS
WATERMASTER
P. O. BOX 631
FALLBROOK, CA. 92088
(760) 728-1028
FAX (760) 728-1990

OCTOBER 16, 2002

		• ′ , .

WATERMASTER

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

P.O. Box 631
Fallbrook, California 92088
(760) 728-1028
FAX (760) 728-1990

October 16, 2002

Honorable Gordon Thompson, Jr. United States District Court Southern District of California U. S. District Courthouse 940 Front Street San Diego, CA 92189

Re:

United States of America v. Fallbrook Public Utility District

Civil No. 1247 - SD-T

Dear Judge Thompson:

Responsive to Section II of the Order for the Appointment of a Watermaster; Powers and Duties, dated March 13, 1989, a copy of the Annual Watermaster Report for the Water Year 2000-2001 was transmitted to you on July 30, 2002.

On August 29, 2002, a "Request for Clarification of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Annual Watermaster Report, Water Year 2000-01, dated July 2002" was filed with the Court on behalf of The Francis Domenigoni Family Trust and Domenigoni Barton Properties (Domenigoni).

Responsive to the Request for Clarification, the Annual Report is hereby amended by adding the following language to page 36:

Additionally, agreements provide for MWD to mitigate the Diamond Valley Reservoir Project's potential groundwater impacts. During 2000-2001, injections into the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin under the Agreements for Mitigation of Groundwater totaled 184 acre feet. As previously noted the groundwater in the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin is outside this Court's jurisdiction when groundwater levels are below 1400 feet.

The Annual Report is further amended by adding the following language on page 53 at the end of the last sentence in the section entitled "Metropolitan Water District of Southern California:"

MWD also imported 184 acre feet for groundwater recharge in the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin under the Agreements for Mitigation of Groundwater Impacts. As previously noted the groundwater in the Domenigoni Valley groundwater basin is outside this Court's jurisdiction when groundwater levels are below 1400 feet.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Honorable Gordon Thompson, Jr. October 16, 2002 Page Two

Copies of this letter amendment were mailed to the parties listed on the Court-approved service list. In addition notice that the report has been amended and that copies of this letter amendment are available upon request, was posted on October 16, 2002, at the following locations: Fallbrook Public Utility District, 990 E. Mission Road, Fallbrook, California; Rancho California Water District, 42135 Winchester Road, Temecula, California; and the Post office at Anza, California. A copy of this letter amendment will be mailed to any party requesting a copy.

Any party objecting to any portion of this amendment may file written notice with the Court within 30 days of service. Objections must refer to the portion of the amendment that is objectionable and state specifically the grounds for the objections.

Very truly yours.

James S. Jenks Watermaster

JSJ:rbb

cc: Service List

Jackson/Demarco/Peckenpaugh

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT

WATER YEAR 2000-2001

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
V.
FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, ET AL

CIVIL NO. 1247 - SD-T

JAMES S. JENKS WATERMASTER P. O. BOX 631 FALLBROOK, CA. 92088 (760) 728-1028 FAX (760) 728-1990

JULY 2002

ļ
ļ
j
Į.
•
!
Ì
ţ
ţ
•
f
unas Provinces Provinces
ŗ
a de la composição de l
(
The special section of the section o
ſ

(
-
· Communication of the Communi
Transcot,
{
ř

-
l.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>	<u>No.</u>
1.	Summary	1
2.	Introduction 2.1 Background	5 5 5
3.	Surface Water Availability and Use 3.1 Surface Flow	7 11 14
4.	Subsurface Water Availability 4.1 General	17 17 19 24
5.	Imports/Exports 5.1 General 5.2 Water Year 2000-2001 5.3 Water Years 1966-2001 5.4 Lake Skinner 5.5 Diamond Valley Lake	. 32 32
6.	Water Rights 6.1 General 6.2 Appropriative Surface Water Rights 6.3 Fallbrook PUD Proposal to Change Point of Diversion	39
7.	Water Production and Use 7.1 General	

Page No. Unauthorized Water Use 8.1 General..... 69 8.2 Unauthorized Small Storage Ponds..... 69 8.3 Rancho California Water District Water Use..... 8.4 Complaint to State Water Resources Control Board..... 71 Threats to Water Supply 9.1 General..... 73 9.2 High Nitrate Concentrations..... 73 9.3 Potential Overdraft Conditions..... 9.4 Salt Balance..... 75 10. Water Quality 10.1 Surface Water Quality..... 77 10.2 Groundwater Quality..... 80 11. Five Year Projection of Watermaster Office Tasks, Expenditures and Requirements 11.1 General...... 11.2 Normal Tasks..... 85 11.3 Additional Tasks..... 85 11.4 Projected Expenditures..... 86 12. Watermaster Office Budget 2002-2003..... 87

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Page</u>	<u>No.</u>
3.1 - Stream Gaging Stations	8
3.2 - Measured Surface Water Flow 2000-2001	9
3.3 - Surface Water Diversions to Storage	12
3.4 - Surface Water Diversions to Use	13
3.5 - Water in Storage.	15
4.1 - Water Production by Substantial Users	18
4.2 – Groundwater Storage at Camp Pendleton	25
5.1 - Storage in State Water Project and Colorado River Reservoirs	28
5.2 - Imports/Exports 2000-2001	31
5.3 - Total Dissolved Solids Concentration of Imported Water 2000-2001	33
5.4 - Imports/Exports 1966-2001.	34
6.1 - Appropriative Water Rights Permits & Licenses	40
6.2 - Pre-1914 Appropriative Water Rights	42
7.1 - Water Production and Use	47
7.2 - Definitions of Water Use by Municipal Water Purveyors	48
7.3 - Water Deliveries to Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility	51
7.4 - Rancho California Water District, Permit 7032 Area Use	56
7.5 - Rancho California Water District, Rancho Division Return Flow Credit 2000-2001	58
7.6 - Rancho California Water District, Santa Rosa Division Return Flow Credit 2000-2001	59
7.7 - Depth of Younger Alluvium in Rancho California Water District Wells	62
7.8 - Rancho California Water District Well Production from Younger and Older Alluvium	63

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

10.1 – Ranges in Average Daily Concentration of Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Specific Conductance and Temperature at Santa Margarita River Near Temecula				
10.2 – Ranges in Average Daily Concentration of Dissolved Oxygen, pH, Specific Conductance and Temperature at FPUD Sump Near Fallbrook	79			

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1 - Local Production 1992 - 2001	1
1.2 - Imports 1992 - 2001	2
1.3 - Total Production 1992 - 2001	3
4.1 - Water Level Elevations Well No. 8S/2W-12H1	19
4.2 - Water Level Elevations Well No. 10S/4W-7J1	20
4.3 - Water Level Elevations Well No. 7S/3W-20C9	21
4.4 - Water Level Elevations Well No. 7S/3E-21G1	22
4.5 - Water Level Elevations Well No. 8S/2W-29G1	23
5.1 - Storage in State Water Project 1992 - 2001	29
5.2 - Storage in Colorado River Reservoirs 1992 - 2001	29
10.1 - Total Dissolved Solids Concentration RCWD Well 8S/2W-12K	81
10.2 - Total Dissolved Solids Concentration Camp Pendleton Well 10S/4W-7A2	83
10.3 - Nitrate Concentration Camp Pendleton Well 10S/4W-7A2	84

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Production and Use Water Year 2000-2001

Table A-1	Eastern Municipal Water District
Table A-2	Fallbrook Public Utility District
Table A-3	Murrieta County Water District
Table A-4	Rainbow Municipal Water District
Table A-5	Rancho California Water District
Table A-6	U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton
Table A-7	U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex
Table A-8	Miscellaneous Water Production and Import

Appendix B - Production and Use Water Years 1965-66 To 2000-2001

Table B-1	Eastern Municipal Water District
Table B-2	Fallbrook Public Utility District
Table B-3	Fallbrook Public Utility District (Wastewater)
Table B-4	Murrieta County Water District
Table B-5	Rainbow Municipal Water District
Table B-6	Rancho California Water District
Table B-7	U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton
Table B-8	U. S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex

Appendix C - Substantial Water Users 2000-2001

Appendix D - Water Quality Data

		Last Published
Table D-1	Surface Streams Sampled by Camp Pendleton	1992-1993
Table D-2 Table D-2.1	Surface Streams Sampled by Rancho CWD	1998-1999 2000-2001
Table D-2.1	Nutrient Sampling by Rancho CWD Wells in Murrieta County Water District	1999-2000
Table D-4	Wells in Rancho California Water District	2000-2001
Table D-5	Wells on Indian Reservations	2000-2001
Table D-6	Wells on Camp Pendleton	2000-2001
Table D-7	Eastern Municipal Water District	1992-1993
Table D-8	Eastern Municipal Water District	1992-1993
Table D-9	Eastern Municipal Water District	1992-1993
Table D-10	Eastern Municipal Water District	1993-1994
Table D-11	Wells in Domenigoni Valley	1994-1995

MAP

Major Water Purveyors

Bound at back of report

SECTION 1 - SUMMARY

Section 1 - A summary of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Annual Watermaster Report for the 2000-2001 Water Year.

Section 2 - This Annual Watermaster Report is prepared pursuant to Section II of the U. S. District Court Order dated March 13, 1989. The Court has retained jurisdiction over all surface flows of the Santa Margarita River Watershed and all underground waters determined by the Court to be subsurface flow of streams or creeks or which is determined by the Court to add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system. Thus imported waters, whether in storage in Lake Skinner or being transported through the Watershed, are outside Court jurisdiction, along with local, vagrant groundwaters that do not support the Santa Margarita River stream system.

Section 3 - Surface water flows were well below normal in 2000-2001, with flows for long-term stations ranging from 24% to 68% of the long-term average flow. Surface diversions to use totaled 983 acre feet compared with 1,046 acre feet in 1999-2000. The total quantity of water in storage in the Watershed on September 30, 2001, was 630,090 acre feet, of which 21,118 acre feet was Santa Margarita River water and 608,972 acre feet was imported water.

Section 4 - Groundwater extractions were 41,765 acre feet compared to 43,434 acre feet in 1999-2000. Water purveyors pumped 35,704 acre feet and 6,061 acre feet were pumped by other substantial users. Total annual local production including surface diversions for use for the period 1992-2001 is shown below on Figure 1.1.




FIGURE 1.1

Section 5 - During 2000-2001, 65,386 acre feet of water were imported and distributed in the Santa Margarita River Watershed by eight purveyors. This compares with 82,277 acre feet in 1999-2000 and represents a 20.5 percent decrease. Net exports, including wastewater, were 7,996 acre feet. Annual imports for the period 1992-2001 are shown below on Figure 1.2.




FIGURE 1.2

Section 6 - Water rights during the 1950's and 1960's consisted primarily of riparian and overlying rights. Other rights included appropriative rights and federal reserved rights. More recently, water purveyors in the Watershed have begun exercising groundwater appropriative rights. Perfected appropriative surface water rights on file with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) amount to 906,892 gallons per day which corresponds to 1.4 cfs or 2.78 acre feet per day of direct diversion rights and 44,315.5 acre feet of active storage rights.

Section 7 - Total imported supplies plus local production totaled 108,134 acre feet compared to 126,757 reported in 1999-2000. Of that quantity, 49,212 acre feet were used for agriculture; 5,390 acre feet were used for commercial purposes; and 41,802 acre feet were used for domestic purposes; 514 acre feet were discharged to Murrieta and Temecula Creeks; 3,653 acre feet of fresh water were exported; 184 acre feet were directly recharged by Metropolitan WD; and 2,374 acre feet were recharged by Rancho California WD and not recovered. The overall system loss of 5,005 acre feet. System gain or loss is the result of many factors including errors in measurement, differences between periods of use and periods of production, leakage and unmeasured uses.

Total annual production for the period 2000-2001 is shown below on Figure 1.3




FIGURE 1.3

Section 8 - The United States has raised a number of issues regarding unauthorized water use by Rancho California WD including violation of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment. During 2000-2001, representatives of Rancho California WD and the United States developed a settlement agreement that would resolve their issues. The parties are now in the process of obtaining the necessary approvals of the agreement.

Section 9 - Threats to water supply include high nitrate levels in Rainbow Creek and Anza Valley in past years, potential overdraft conditions in the Murrieta-Temecula and Anza groundwater basins, and salt balance issues in the upper Watershed.

Section 10 - Water quality data in the Watershed for 2000-2001 are presented in Appendix D.

Section 11 - Projected Watermaster tasks for the next five years are listed.

Section 12 - A total Watermaster budget of \$287,850 is proposed for the 2002-2003 Water Year. This budget includes \$170,000 for the Watermaster Office and \$117,850 for operation of gaging stations by the U. S. Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.).

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SECTION 2 - INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background

On January 25, 1951, the United States of America filed Complaint No. 1247 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California to seek a judicial determination of all respective water rights within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The Final Judgment and Decree was entered on May 8, 1963, and appealed to the U. S. Court of Appeals. A Modified Final Judgment and Decree was entered on April 6, 1966. Among other things, the Decree provided that the Court:

... retains continuing jurisdiction of this cause as to the use of all surface waters within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River and all underground or sub-surface waters within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River, which are determined in any of the constituent parts of this Modified Final Judgment to be a part of the sub-surface flow of any specific river or creek, or which are determined in any of the constituent parts of this Modified Final Judgment to add to, contribute to, or support the Santa Margarita River stream system.

In March 1989, the Court appointed James S. Jenks as Watermaster to administer and enforce the provisions of the Modified Final Judgment and Decree and subsequent orders of the Court. The appointing Order described the Watermaster's Powers and Duties as well as procedures for funding and operating the Watermaster's Office. Also in 1989, the Court appointed a Steering Committee that at the conclusion of 2000-2001 was comprised of representatives from the United States, Eastern Municipal Water District, Fallbrook Public Utility District, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, Pechanga Tribe, and Rancho California Water District. The purposes of the Steering Committee are to assist the Court, to facilitate litigation, and to assist the Watermaster.

2.2 Authority

Section II of the appointing Order requires that the Watermaster submit a written report containing his findings and conclusions to the Court promptly after the end of each water year.

2.3 Scope

The subjects addressed in this report are responsive to Section II of the appointing order. Information and data contained in this report are based on information reported to the Watermaster by others. Therefore, the Watermaster does not guarantee the completeness and accuracy of the information presented in this report, although most of the data presented are based on measurements. Estimates by the Watermaster are so noted.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SECTION 3 - SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY AND USE

3.1 Surface Flow

Over the years, flows in the Santa Margarita River Watershed have been measured at the stations listed on Table 3.1. A number of these stations have been discontinued. During Water Year 2000-2001 the U. S. Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) operated 13 stations under an agreement with the Watermaster. The U.S.G.S. also operated a station on Murrieta Creek at Tenaja Road in cooperation with the Watermaster and Riverside County Flood Control District. In addition to stream flows, the U.S.G.S. also measures water elevation at Vail Lake.

The U.S.G.S. also operates several stations in the watershed under contract with Camp Pendleton. These include stream gaging stations on Fallbrook Creek and on the outlet channel and spillway for Lake O'Neill. The U.S.G.S. also operates a tidal water level recorder on the Santa Margarita River at its mouth.

Monthly flows for stations in Water Year 2000-2001 are shown on Table 3.2. Those flows consist of U.S.G.S. discharge determinations available at the time this report is published. Official U.S.G.S. discharges for 2000-2001 are published by the U.S.G.S. in its annual Water Resources Data report.

In considering the historical record of flow at these stations, it should be recognized that the long term averages include variations in watershed conditions such as level of development, groundwater production, return flows, impoundments and vegetative use as well as hydrologic conditions, changes in gaging station locations and other factors. Descriptions of the various historical locations of gaging stations may be found in the publication, *Water Resources Data - California*, which is published annually by the U.S.G.S.

The Santa Margarita River station near Ysidora was discontinued on February 25, 1999, due to the reconstruction of the Basilone Bridge. A temporary station, installed at the U. S. Marine Corps Diversion Dam located about 2.3 miles upstream from the Ysidora site, was in operation throughout the 2000-2001 water year. The temporary station was closed and the permanent Basilone Bridge station was reestablished at the end of the year.

TABLE 3.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

STREAM GAGING STATIONS

2000-2001

STATION NAME	STATION NO.	AREA SQ MI	RECORDED BY	1920	1930	1940	1950	OD OF RECO 1960	RD 1970	1980	1990	2000
							8/57		,			
Femecula Creek Near Aguanga	11042400	131	USGS				99	000000000	**********	000000000		••
Vilson Creek Above Vail Lake	11042490	122	USGS							10/89	10/94	
Femecula Creek At Vail Dam	11042520	, 320	USGS	2/23	00000000	******	00000000	00000000	10/77			
/ail Lake at Temecula (Reservoir Storage)	11042510	320	USGS			10/48			00000000	••••••	***********	••
Pechanga Creek Near Temecula	11042631	13.8	USGS							10/87	00000000	00
Warm Springs Creek Near Murrieta	11042800	55.4	USGS							10/87	********	
Santa Gertrudis Creek Near Temecula	11042900	90.1	USGS	desse communica discontinui Marindon						10/87	000000	
Murrieta Creek At Tenaja Road	11042700	30	USGS								10/97	••
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	11043000	222	USGS	10/25	00000000	•••••	******	00000000	00000000		00000000	••
Santa Margarita River Near Temecula	11044000	588	USGS	2/23	@@@@@@@@	00000000	00000000		000000000	00000000	00000000	00
Rainbow Creek Near Fallbrook	11044250	10.3	USGS						manadamina primira pri		9/89	••
Sandia Creek Near Fallbrook	11044350	21.1	USGS								9/89	••
Santa Margarita River At FPUD Sump	11044300	620	USGS	10/24	000000000	000000000	00000000		******	9/80	9/89	••
Santa Margarita River Tributary Near Fallbrook	11044600	0.52	USGS					10/61 9/65		Andrew Communication of the Co	-	
DeLuz Creek Near DeLuz 1/	11044800	33	USGS/ USMC				2/51	67 69	77		9/89	00
Santa Margarita River Near DeLuz Station	11045000	705	USGS	10/24 - 9/26	-							
Fallbrook Creek 2/ Near Fallbrook	11045300	6.97	USGS/ USMC	2/55			and the state of t	10/64	9/76	12/88	*****	••
Santa Margarita River . At Ysidora 3/	11046000	723	USGS	3/23	******	******	000000000		****		*******	
Santa Margarita River at USMC Diversion Da	11045050 m near Ys	710 sidora	USGS								2/99	•
			WATER YEAR ENDING	1920	1930	1940	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990	20

^{1/} Recorded by USMC, Camp Pendleton October 1966 to 1977

^{2/} Recorded by USMC, Camp Pendleton prior to October 1993

^{3/} Station temporarily discontinued in February 1999

TABLE 3.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

MEASURED SURFACE WATER FLOW

2000-2001 Quantities in Acre Feet

CACING	DRAINAGE						MONT	гн						WATER YEAR	ANNUAL AVERAGE	YEARS OF RECORD
GAGING STATION	AREA SQ MI	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTAL	THRU 2000	THRU 2000
Temecula Creek Near Aguanga	131	67	86	132	183	220	277	219	112	41	38	31	34	1,440	5,990	43
Pechanga Creek Near Temecula	13.8	0	0	0	22	91	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	124	694	13
Warm Springs Cre Near Murrieta	ek 55.4	8	5	9	76	481	58	3	1	0	1	2	0	644	3,290	13
Santa Gertrudis Cr Near Temecula	eek 90.2	4	1	0	118	433	50	12	0	0	0	0	0	618	3,100	13
Murrieta Creek At Tenaja Road	30	0	11	1	46	283	56	14	0	0	0	0	0	411	2,710	3
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	222	219	139	58	1,159	3,060	575	365	202	177	173	177	176	6,480	9,512	76
Santa Margarita Ri Near Temecula	ver 588	298	192	87	1,630	4,090	762	482	228	206	219	229	212	8,635	14,223 20,390	52 (1949-200 26 (1923-48)
Rainbow Creek Near Fallbrook	10.3	16	18	17	110	245	187	76	17	9	4	2	1	702	·	11
Sandia Creek Near Fallbrook	21.1	219	254	272	461	794	828	495	346	222	146	137	119	4,293	7,480	11
Santa Margarita Ri At FPUD Sump	iver 620	425	352	380	1,720	4,270	1,580	880	468	283	192	224	183	10,957	35,160	11
DeLuz Creek Near DeLuz	33	15	5 25	16	131	997	750	294	202	67	0	0	0	2,497	' 12,750 N/A 3,826	8 (1993-200 (1989-90) 27 (1951-77)
Santa Margarita R At Ysidora	iver 723				Sta	ation ter	mporari	ly disco	ntinue	d				C	29,026 31,390	51 (1949-99) 26 (1923-48)
Santa Margarita R At USMC Divers Dam near Ysido	ion	445	5 541	544	2,080	4.700	1,510	33	0	0	44	203	242	10,342	·	N/A
Fallbrook Creek Near Fallbrook	6.97	1			144	259	92					0	0	628		12 (1989-200 12 (1965-76)

^{*} Includes wastewater flows N/A - Not Applicable

Total flows at four long-term stations for Water Years 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 are compared with their averages in the tabulation below. Average flows for the Santa Margarita River stations near Temecula and near Ysidora are shown for two periods: before and after Vail Dam was constructed (1923 to 1948, and 1949 to 2000).

	TOTAL F 1999-2000 Acre Feet A	2000-2001	AVERAGE FLOW Through 2000 Acre Feet
Temecula Creek Near Aguanga	2,190	1,440	5,990 (1957-2000)
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	3,916	6,480	9,512 (1925-2000)
Santa Margarita River Near Temecula	5,628	8,630	14,223 (1949-2000) 20,390 (1923-1948)
Santa Margarita River Near Ysidora (various loc (At Diversion Weir 2000-	•	10,350	28,558 (1949-2000) 31,390 (1923-1948)

The foregoing tabulation indicates that, flows in 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 were much lower than the long-term averages. In 2000-2001 annual flows at long-term stations on Temecula Creek, Murrieta Creek and the Santa Margarita River near Temecula ranged from 24 to 68 percent of the long-term average. In addition, flow at the temporary Santa Margarita River station at the Diversion Weir was 36 percent of the long-term average for the Ysidora station.

Monthly flows shown in Table 3.2 consist primarily of naturally occurring surface runoff, including return flows, except for Rancho California WD discharges into Temecula and Murrieta Creeks. A portion of the Rancho California WD discharges are pursuant to Section Eleventh of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment which requires maintenance of three cubic feet per second (cfs) flow at the Santa Margarita River near Temecula station

between May 1 and October 31 of each year. Total flow at that station for October 2000 and May through September 2001 are shown below:

<u>Month</u>	Monthly Discharge <u>Acre Feet</u>	Average Daily Flow <u>CFS</u>
October 2000	298	4.8
May 2001	228	3.7
June 2001	206	3.5
July 2001	219	3.6
August 2001	229	3.7
September 2001	<u>212</u>	<u>3.6</u>
TOTAL	1,392	3.8

During 2000-2001, Rancho California WD released 514 acre feet into Murrieta and Temecula Creeks of which 505 acre feet were released during October 2000, and between May 1 and September 30, 2001. Of the 505 acre feet released in October 2000 and May through September 2001, 30 acre feet were from wells and 475 acre feet were from the System River Meter. The System River Meter refers to discharges directly from Rancho California WD's distribution system into Murrieta Creek at a location just upstream from the Murrieta Creek gaging station.

Rancho California WD also discharged 2,015 acre feet of treated wastewater into Murrieta Creek at a point about five miles upstream from the Murrieta Creek at Temecula gaging station.

3.2 Surface Water Diversions

Surface diversions to surface water storage and groundwater storage during 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 are shown in Table 3.3. In general, diversions to surface storage at Vail Lake and Lake O'Neill are computed as being equal to inflow less spill, however, diversion to surface storage at Vail Lake excludes inflow during the period from May 1 through October 31 when Permit 7032 does not allow such diversions. Inflow to Vail is calculated as the sum of evaporation, spill, releases and change of storage. Inflow into Vail Lake during the period when diversions are not permitted is released and not credited to groundwater storage. Representatives of the United States do not agree with this method of calculation.

Surface diversions to use for 2000-2001 are shown in Table 3.4. The use is primarily irrigation although the diversions on the Pechanga Indian Reservation are into the domestic water system. Estimated consumptive uses, losses and returns are also shown.

TABLE 3.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO STORAGE 2000-2001

Quantities in Acre Feet

Surface Water Storage

	<u>Vail</u> 1999-2000	<u>Lake</u> 2000-2001	<u>Lake</u> 1999-2000	O'Neill 2000-2001			
Storage end of prior year	22,130	21,170	663	578			
Inflow - Total	2,934	2,559	485 ¹	1,508 ²			
Inflow to be Bypassed	371	429	0	0			
Spill	0	0	0	73			
Diversions to Surface Storage	2,563 ³	2,130 ³	485 ⁴	1,435 ⁴			
Annual Evaporation	3,572	3,470	365	367			
Releases - Total	322	68	0	0			
Release to GW Storage	(49) ⁵	(361) ⁵	0	339			
Apparent Seepage to GW	0	0	205 ⁶	389 ⁶			
Change of Storage	(960)	(979)	(85)	340			
Storage End of Year	21,170	20,200	578	918			
Groundwater Storage							
Recharge Release from Storage Facility	0	0	0	0			
Direct Recharge	0	0	4,648	4,350			

O AF diverted from the Santa Margarita River and 485 AF estimated inflow from Fallbrook Creek

⁷⁴³ AF diverted from the Santa Margarita River and 765 AF estimated inflow from Fallbrook Creek

Inflow less Spill less Inflow (Oct 1 to Oct 31 and May 1 to Sept 30)

⁴ Inflow less Spill

⁵ Total Release less Inflow to be bypassed

Includes seepage losses, leakage through flashboards and unaccounted for water

TABLE 3.4

SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO USE 2000-2001

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Quantities in Acre Feet

	Surface <u>Diversions</u>	Consumptive <u>Use¹</u>	Losses ²	Returns ³
Prestininzi	23	17	2	4
Blue Bird Ranch	31	21	3	7
Chambers	3	2	0.3	0.7
Cal June, Inc.	325	219	33	73
Strange	250	169	25	56
Agri-Empire, Inc. Kohler Canyon	50	38	5	7
Papac	38	26	4	8
Sage Ranch Nursery	100	68	10	22
Daily Family Trust	7	5	1	1
Carter*	107	72	11	24
Pechanga Tribe	4	3	0.4	0.6
San Diego State University Foundation	n <u>45</u>	_31_	<u>4</u> _	10
TOTAL	983	671	99	213

Consumptive use equals 75% of Diversions less Losses

² Losses equal 10% of Diversions

Returns equal 25% of Diversions less Losses

^{*} Includes Spring Flows

3.3 Water Storage

Major water storage facilities in the Santa Margarita River Watershed are listed on Table 3.5, together with the water in storage on September 30, 2000, and September 30, 2001. Total Santa Margarita River stream system water in storage at the end of Water Year 2000-2001 totaled 21,118 acre feet, compared to 21,748 acre feet at the end of the previous year. Imported water in storage in Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake, both operated by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD), is also shown on Table 3.5. Imported water is not under Court jurisdiction.

TABLE 3.5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

WATER IN STORAGE

2000-2001

Quantities in Acre Feet

Santa Margarita River Storage	Total <u>Capacity</u>	Water in St 9/30/2000	orage 9/30/2001
Dunn Ranch Dam	90	0	0
Upper Chihuahua Creek Reservoir	± 47	0	0
Vail Lake	49,370	21,170	20,200
Lake O'Neill	1,200	<u>578</u>	918
Subtotal	50,707	21,748	21,118
Imported Water Storage			
Lake Skinner	44,000	41,532	39,655
Diamond Valley Lake	800,000	255,400	569,317
TOTAL STORAGE	894,707	318,680	630,090

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SECTION 4 - SUBSURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY

4.1 General

Much of the water from the Santa Margarita River stream system is obtained by pumping subsurface water. The Court has identified two basic types of subsurface water in its interlocutory judgments. One type is vagrant, local, percolating waters that do not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River or its tributaries. Such waters have been determined to be outside the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. These waters are typically found in the basement complex and/or residuum deposits in the Watershed. Wells tapping these deposits typically have low yields.

Other subsurface waters were found by the Court to add to, contribute to and support the Santa Margarita River and/or its tributaries. Aquifers containing such waters have been designated by the Court as younger alluvium and older alluvium. Younger alluvial deposits are commonly exposed along streams and in valleys. Older alluvium may be found underneath younger alluvium and is not limited to areas along stream channels. Older alluvium may or may not be exposed at ground surface. The use of subsurface water found in younger and older alluvium is generally under the continuing jurisdiction of the Court and is reported upon in this report.

4.2 Extractions

Production of Santa Margarita River water by substantial water users in the Watershed from all sources is listed on Table 4.1 by hydrologic area along with estimated consumptive use and return flows. Recovery of imported water that has been directly recharged is not included in Table 4.1. Substantial water users include water purveyors as well as private irrigators who irrigate eight acres or more or use an equivalent quantity of water.

In 2000-2001, production by purveyors totaled 35,704 acre feet, compared to 37,139 acre feet in 1999-2000. Monthly quantities are shown in Appendix A and annual production for water years between 1966 and 2001 is shown in Appendix B.

The quantities of subsurface extractions by private irrigators are based on the irrigated acreage and the crop type. These quantities are reported in Appendix C to total 6,061 acre feet in 2000-2001. Of the subsurface extractions, 75 percent is estimated to have been consumptively used and 25 percent to have been return flow. Return flow is that portion of the total deliveries that is not consumed. Although return flows average about 25 percent, such flows are affected with the type of use (domestic, commercial and irrigation), the type of irrigation application (drip, micro-sprinkler, furrow), and exports from watersheds.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATER PRODUCTION BY SUBSTANTIAL USERS
2000-2001

HYDROLOGIC AREA	WATER PURVEYOR PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	OTHER IRRIGATED ACRES	IRRIGATION PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	TOTAL GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS ACRE FEET	TOTAL PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	ESTIMATED CONSUMPTIVE USE ACRE FEET 1/	ESTIMATED RETURN FLOW ACRE FEET
Wilson Creek Above Aguanga GWA Includes Anza Valley	361 (Lake Riverside, (Anza MWC, Cahuill	1,181 ^{2/}	1,950	2,311	0	2,311	1,733	578
Temecula Creek Above Aguanga GWA	10 (Butterfield Oaks MF	341 HP)	568	578	88	666	493	173
Aguanga GWA	512 (Outdoor Resorts) (Jojoba Hills)	422	1,248	1,760	250	2,010	1,489	521
Upper Murrieta Creek (Warm Springs Creek abov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lower Murrieta Creek (Santa Gertrudis/Tucalota C	0 Creek above 7S/2W-	410 18)	43	43	100	143	100	43
Murrieta-Temecula GWA	28,455 (RCWD, MCWD, EMWD, Pechanga)	1,386	1,754	30,209	111	30,320	22,732	7,588
Santa Margarita River Be	low the Gorge							
Deluz Creek	0	218	495	495	64	559	414	145
Sandia Creek	0	65	0	0	325	325	219	106
Rainbow Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Margarita River	6,366 (USMC)	20	3	6,369	45	6,414	1,425	3,410
TOTAL	35,704	4,043	6,061	41,765	983 ³	42,748	28,605	12,564

^{1/} Estimated consumptive use is equal to 75% of groundwater production plus 75% of surface diversions less 10% except for Camp Pendleton where net export of 1,578 acre feet is excluded and return flows include measured wastewater returns

^{2/} Includes lands overlying deep aquifer in Anza Valley

^{3/} Includes surface diversion for irrigation, commercial and domestic use.

In addition to groundwater production by water purveyors and private irrigators, surface diversions are also listed on Table 4.1 as well as total production of Santa Margarita River water.

4.3 Water Levels

Water levels in selected wells in the Watershed are measured periodically by various entities. Historical water levels in five wells at various locations in the Watershed are shown in this report on Figures 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5. Figure 4.1 shows water levels in Well No. 8S/2W-12H1 (Windmill Well) located in the Rancho California WD service area downstream from Vail Lake. Note the extended drawdown from 1945 to 1978, the major recoveries during the wet years in 1980 and 1993, and the effect of relatively dry years after 1980 and after 1993. Water levels declined 7.5 feet in 2000-2001. It should be noted that the Windmill Well is located in Pauba Valley about 1.5 miles downslope from the Valle de los Caballos (VDC) recharge area. Releases from Vail Lake as well as imported water are recharged there. In 2000-2001, 18,080 acre feet of imported water were recharged in the VDC of which about 88 percent was recovered in the same year.





FIGURE 4.1

Collar El. 1216.7 Feet; Depth 515 Feet; Drilled in Alluvium

Ref: RCWD reports (1920-2001)

Figure 4.2 shows water levels at Camp Pendleton in Well No. 10S/4W-7J1, a monitoring well located in the Upper Sub-basin. Fluctuations in recent years illustrate recharge during the winter months and drawdown each summer, with the water levels generally between 82 and 90 feet in elevation. Water levels in Well 7J1 rose 1.9 feet between the fall of 2000 and the fall of 2001.

FIGURE 4.2



Ground El. 93 Feet; Depth 138.8 Feet; Perf. Unknown; Drilled in Alluvium Camp Pendleton Records (1950-72) (1988-2001); Leeds Hill Study (1973-85) Dates Estimated

Figure 4.3 shows water levels from production Well No. 7S/3W-20C9 (Holiday Well) in the Murrieta County Water District service area. Water levels in this well rose 13 feet since the fall of 2000. Water levels in the Lynch Well, 7S/3W-17R2, which serves as a monitoring well and had no production in 2000-2001, dropped 10 feet.

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS 7S/3W-20C9 - MCWD HOLIDAY WELL 1100 W Α Т E 1050 R I Ν E LF 1000 EE V E A T 950 Т O Ν 900 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 **YEAR**

FIGURE 4.3

Ground Ei. 1090 Feet; Depth 307 Feet; Perf. 60 - 307 Feet Murrieta County Water District Records

Figure 4.4 shows water levels for Well No. 7S/3E-21G1, Anza Mutual Water Company's Well No. 1, a production well located in the Anza Valley. Water levels in this well rose 21.5 feet this year. As may be noted from Figure 4.4, recent measurements show annual 50 foot fluctuations in groundwater levels at this well, partly in response to the operation of nearby irrigation wells. Current levels are within the historical range.




FIGURE 4.4

Ground El. 3862.6 Feet; Depth 260 Feet; Perf. 20 - 260 Feet; Drilled in Alluvium Anza Mutual Water Co. Well No. 1 (1987-2001); DWR Bulletin 91-22 (1950-73)

Figure 4.5 shows water levels at Well No. 8S/2W-29G1, located in Wolf Valley on the Kelsey Tract of the Pechanga Indian Reservation. The well is not used for water production and its depth as measured in 1972 was 159 feet. Water levels collected since 1925 reflect unconfined groundwater levels. As shown on Figure 4.5 the groundwater levels have fluctuated within a 44 foot range above and below elevation 1050 feet in response to wet years and dry periods. Water levels in this well fell 3.2 feet in 2000-2001.

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS 8S/2W-29G1 - PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION WELL W 1100 Α Т E 1050 RΙ Ν Ε L F 1000 EE V E A T 950 T О 900 Ν 1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 YEAR

FIGURE 4.5

Ground El. 1091.1 Feet; Depth 159.1 Feet U.S. Geological Survey Records

Changes in water levels in the above noted wells between the end of the previous water year and the end of the 2001 water year are shown below:

	Water Elevation 2000	Water Elevation 2001	Water L	Change in Water Level	
<u>Well</u>	<u>Feet</u>	<u>Feet</u>	Fee	<u> </u>	
RCWD 8S/2W-12H1	1147.2	1139.7	Down	7.5	
USMC 10S/4W-7J1	84.3	86.2	Up	1.9	
MCWD 7S/3W-20C9	1000.0	1013.0	Up	13.0	
Anza MWC 7S/3E-21G	1 3788.1	3809.6	Up	21.5	
Pechanga IR 8S/2W-29	G1 1042.9	1039.7	Down	3.2	

4.4. Groundwater Storage

Bulletins 118 and 118-80 prepared by the State of California Department of Water Resources describe three groundwater basins in the Santa Margarita River Watershed: Santa Margarita Valley, Temecula Valley, and Coahuila Valley. These basins are also known as the Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin, the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin, and the Anza Groundwater Basin. The quantity of storage in each of these basins is described in this section.

Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin – The Santa Margarita Groundwater Basin is located along the Santa Margarita River at Camp Pendleton and includes three sub-basins: Upper, Chappo, and Ysidora. Useable groundwater storage in place at the end of water year 2000-2001 is summarized in Table 4.2. Table 4.2 shows that the combined storage in the three sub-basins between the depths of 5 and 100 feet is 48,100 acre feet. However, much of that storage is below sea level and the useable storage amounts to 28,700 acre feet.

Water levels in wells in each of the sub-basins were reported by Camp Pendleton during 2001. The unused storage below a depth of five feet is shown on the tabulation to be 1,527 acre feet, leaving a total useable quantity in storage of 27,173 acre feet. It may be noted that classification of that storage as useable is made without allowances for maintenance of riparian habitat.

TABLE 4.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED **GROUNDWATER STORAGE AT CAMP PENDLETON** 2000-2001

Quantities in Acre Feet

		Sub-b	asin	
 I. Available Storage A. Total Storage ¹ AF B. Useable Storage AF 	<u>Upper</u> 12,500 12,500	<u>Chappo</u> 27,000 15,000 ²	<u>Ysidora</u> 8,600 1,200 ³	<u>Total</u> 48,100 28,700
II. Unused Storage A. Wells used for Depth	10S/4W-7J1	10S/4W-18L1	11S/5W-2E1 11S/5W-11D4	
B. Depth to Water - FeetC. Depth below 5 FeetD. Average Area - AcresE. Unused Storage below	6.8 1.8 840	8.2 3.2 2,552	6.45 1.45 1,060	
5 Feet	327	1,062	138	1,527
III. Useable Storage in Place – AF ⁴	12,173	13,938	1,062	27,173
IV. Useable Storage in Place 1999-2000	11,822	13,544	914	26,280
V. Change in Storage 2000-2001	+ 351	+ 394	+ 148	+ 893

Note: Groundwater depths measured at the end of August 2001, except well 11S/5W-2E1 measured the end of June 2001

¹ Computed by U.S.G.S. as the storage between depths of 5 and 100 feet. ² Storage between 5 foot depth and sea level.

³ Storage between 5 foot depth and 10 feet above sea level.

⁴ Does not include stored water reserved for riparian habitat.

Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin – The Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Basin is located along Murrieta and Temecula Creeks in the Upper Santa Margarita River Watershed. Groundwater storage at the end of water year 2001 was computed for each of 22 hydrologic subareas that make up the Groundwater Basin. These computations were based on the areal extent of each subarea, the thickness of each of three aquifers, (younger alluvium, Pauba aquifer and Temecula aquifer), a specific yield for each aquifer, and the depth to water in each aquifer at the end of the water year. The total groundwater storage in the uppermost 500 feet as of September 30, 2001, is estimated at 1,340,556 acre feet.

<u>Anza Groundwater Basin</u> – The Anza Groundwater Basin is located along Cahuilla Creek in the upper portion of the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

No recent groundwater levels are available for use in computing useable storage at the end of water year 2000-2001.

The most recent study that determined storage volumes was conducted by Riverside County in 1990. That study concluded that the groundwater storage of about 182,200 acre feet in 1950 had decreased to about 165,000 acre feet in 1986. The study also concluded that ". . . basin hydrogeologic features, production facilities conditions, and locations/depths of storage . . ." limited the useable portion to 40% of the groundwater storage or about 56,200 acre feet in 1986.

SECTION 5 - IMPORTS/EXPORTS

5.1 General

100

Court Orders require the Watermaster to determine the quantities of imported water used in the Watershed. Most of the water imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed is delivered by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) to local districts. MWD obtains its water from the State Water Project (SWP) and the Colorado River. Both the SWP and the Colorado River system have major storage reservoirs to provide long-term carryover storage. The quantities of water in storage at the end of the water year in the major reservoirs in each system are indicated on Table 5.1. Total storage in the SWP each year since 1992 is shown graphically on Figure 5.1. Similarly, total storage for the Colorado River Reservoirs each year since 1992 is shown on Figure 5.2. It may be seen from Table 5.1 that during Water Year 2000-2001 water in storage in the SWP decreased from 2.94 million acre feet on September 30, 2000, to 2.59 million acre feet on September 30, 2001. Storage on September 30, 2001, corresponds to about 49 percent of the total SWP storage capacity.

Water in storage in the Colorado River system declined 4.2 million acre feet from the prior year to 46.2 million acre feet on September 30, 2001. On September 30, 2001, those reservoirs contained 72 percent of their total combined capacity.

Projections of water availability on the SWP for the coming year (2002) are prepared by the State Department of Water Resources on a monthly basis from February through May. The report dated May 1, 2002, indicates that statewide October 1 through May 1 precipitation was 80 percent of average. As of May 1, the SWP has approved delivery of 60 percent of the requests for deliveries in the year 2002.

The following entities imported water directly or indirectly from MWD into the Santa Margarita River Watershed:

Eastern Municipal Water District
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
Fallbrook Public Utility District
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Rancho California Water District
U. S. Naval Weapons Center
Western Municipal Water District

TABLE 5.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED STORAGE IN STATE WATER PROJECT AND COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS

Thousands of Acre Feet

STATE WATER PROJECT RESERVOIRS

	Total			٧	Vater in S	Storage -	Septemb	oer 30			
Reservoir	Capacity	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Oroville	3,540	1,317	2,666	1,683	2,897	2,736	2,140	2,832	2,427	1,920	1,488
San Luis	1,060	381	944	394	1,067	740	462	900	592	388	516
(State Share)		4=0	450	400	400	450	400	161	455	164	162
Pyramid	171	159	156	160	168	158	163	161	155		
Castaic	324	257	263	237	297	284	237	306	288	285	227
Silverwood	73	68	68	68	54	40	73	71	72	70	72
Perris	132	117	120	110	126	126	105	124	125	110	122
Total	5,300	2,299	4,217	2,652	4,609	4,084	3,180	4,394	3,659	2,937	2,587
Percent of Capa	·	43%	80%	50%	87%	77%	60%	83%	69%	55%	49%

MAJOR COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS

	Total			•	Water in	Storage -	Septem	ber 30			
Reservoir	Capacity	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Flaming Gorge	3,789	3,106	3,471	2,887	3,488	3,364	3,599	3,580	3,425	3,010	2,982
Blue Mesa	941	604	720	615	782	686	761	624	740	560	597
Navajo	1,709	1,579	1,625	1,400	1,556	1,203	1,543	1,380	1,558	1,357	1,409
Powell	27,000	14,085	18,825	17,772	22,311	21,155	22,802	22,404	22,997	20,939	19,135
Mead	28,537	19,416	21,379	19,930	20,714	21,614	23,769	25,126	24,592	22,444	19,873
Mohave	1,818	1,623	1,375	1,467	1,635	1,578	1,674	1,729	1,515	1,523	1,610
Havasu	648	548	579	571	588	597	580	565	584	566	567
Total	64,442	40,961	47,974	44,642	51,074	50,197	54,728	55,408	55,411	50,399	46,173
Percent of Capa	city	64%	74%	69%	79%	78%	85%	86%	86%	78%	72%

FIGURE 5.1





FIGURE 5.2



In addition to net deliveries through member agencies, MWD, pursuant to a Court Order, delivered 505 acre feet of water for irrigation of lands in Domenigoni Valley within the Santa Margarita Watershed during 2000-2001. MWD also imported 184 acre feet for groundwater recharge.

Water is also imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed from adjacent watersheds. Such importation occurs from the Santa Ana Watershed where Elsinore Valley MWD pumps water from wells outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed but delivers water to a portion of its service area that is inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

At Camp Pendleton, there is a pipeline connection to wells located in the Las Flores Creek Watershed to the north of the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Water can be either imported or exported through that line, depending on relative water demands and pumping capacities.

Exportations from the Santa Margarita River Watershed include water pumped at Camp Pendleton that is used in the San Luis Rey River Watershed to the south or in the Las Flores Creek Watershed to the north. Some of the water exported at Camp Pendleton is returned to the Watershed as wastewater. Wastewater from the Fallbrook area and the Naval Weapons Station located on Camp Pendleton is exported by the Fallbrook Public Utility District and wastewater in the Elsinore Valley MWD is exported by that district.

Eastern MWD uses a 24-inch pipeline along Winchester Road to transport wastewater from the Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility to areas within the Watershed for reuse as well as for export of up to 10 MGD from the Watershed. A total of 4,457 acre feet of treated wastewater were exported by Eastern MWD in 2000-2001.

The following paragraphs of this report describe imports and exports during Water Year 2000-2001 and during the 1966-2001 period. There is also discussion of MWD's Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake operations.

5.2 Water Year 2000-2001

During 2000-2001, 65,386 acre feet of water were imported and distributed in the Santa Margarita River Watershed by eight purveyors. This compares with 82,277 acre feet in 1999-2000 and represents a 20.5 percent decrease. This large decrease is related to a year-to-year drop of 10,290 acre feet in agricultural use and a decrease in direct recharge of 1,849 acre feet at Rancho California Water District. Water quantities imported into and exported from the Santa Margarita River Watershed for months during Water Year 2000-2001 are listed on Table 5.2

TABLE 5.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

IMPORTS/EXPORTS

2000-2001 Quantities in Acre Feet

IMPORTS

EXPORTS

YEAR		ELSINORE VALLEY	ELSINORE EASTERN VALLEY FALLBROOK	MWD	RAINBOW	œ	U.S. NAVAL	WESTERN	TOTAL	CA	CAMP PENDLETON WASTEW	H	U.S.	FANTEDE	ELSINORE	20000	TOTAL
MONTH		OWW	PUD	#	MWD	W	WS	MWD 2/	IMPORTS	EXPORTS	RETURNS	EXPORT		MWD	MWD	PUD	EXPORTS
2000																	
OCT	244	746		40		3,559	5	ß	5,568	358	200	158	0.5	300	25	145	629
>0N 31	725	326	610	26	134	2,216	4	က	4,044	241	183	58	0.8	390	24	157	630
	499	438		20		2,189	4	4	3,956	230	162	68	0.5	413	23	143	647
2001																	
JAN	449		336	25		2,553	ო	4	3,961	220	151	69	0.8	357	24	125	576
FEB	(643)		276	16		1,755	7	4	1,763	172	148	24	1.4	400	33	120	568
MAR	531		283	12		2,086	ო	က	3,133	196	171	25	6.0	486	28	149	689
APR	237	361	503	23	24	2,347	က	ო	3,534	220	156	64	0.5	423	50 i	131	644
MAY	836		828	71		3,245	12	5	5,635	270	172	98	0.5	412	53	140	629
JONE	786		786	93		4,835	89	7	7,349	346	171	175	0.4	303	23	133	634
JULY	827	•	1,046	71		5,593	တ	ဖ	8,819	456	193	263	0.4	292	99	126	711
AUG	903		1,111	203		5,855	10	7	9,144	492	193	299	0.8	332	59	142	803
SEPT	554		1,165	88		5,590	10	89	8,480	452	175	277	9.0	349	26	132	785
TOTAL	5,948	6,592	8,398	689	1,804	41,823	73	59	65,386	3,653	2,075	1,578	ω	4,457	310	1,643	7,996

1/ Metropolitan Water District direct deliveries in Domenigoni Valley 2/ Improvement District A - Rainbow Canyon Only (WR-13)

Water quality of the imported supplies in 2000-2001 as reflected by the average monthly total dissolved solids at the Skinner Treatment Plant effluent line from Plant No. 1 is shown on Table 5.3, together with the percent of imported water obtained from the SWP.

5.3 Water Years 1966-2001

Water quantities imported by districts into the Santa Margarita River Watershed during Water Years 1966-2001 are shown on Table 5.4. Total imports to these districts are measured, however some districts serve lands outside the Watershed. For these districts, which include Eastern MWD, Elsinore Valley MWD, Fallbrook PUD and Rainbow MWD, the portion delivered in the Santa Margarita River Watershed must be estimated.

Review of the historical trend of total imports shown on Table 5.4 indicates significant year-to-year variations with relatively low imports in wet years and higher imports in dry years, combined with an underlying growth rate to serve increasing municipal water demands in the Murrieta-Temecula area.

Exports over the 1966-2001 period are also shown on Table 5.4. These include estimated water exports on Camp Pendleton less estimated wastewater returns, as well as an estimate of exports by the Fallbrook Public Utility District and the Naval Weapons Station after 1983, and Elsinore Valley MWD after 1986. Exports by Eastern MWD were initiated in 1992-1993. Exports do not include water that naturally flows from the Santa Margarita River into the Pacific Ocean.

5.4 Lake Skinner

Lake Skinner is a 44,000 acre foot reservoir constructed by MWD on Tucalota Creek, within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The purpose of Lake Skinner is to provide regulatory and emergency storage capacity for water imported to southern California. A Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Lake Skinner (MOU), dated November 12, 1974, approved by the Court on January 16, 1975, contains provisions to protect Santa Margarita River Watershed water users from potential effects of Lake Skinner on either subsurface or surface flows.

Protection against a decrease in subsurface flows caused by the dam is afforded by a provision in the MOU that requires that MWD release water from Lake Skinner into Tucalota Creek if groundwater levels in Well AV-28B fall below an elevation of 1356.64 feet. Between June and September MWD released a total of 71.11 acre feet to maintain well levels above the minimum. At the end of September 30, 2001, the well level was 1357.47 feet.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS
CONCENTRATION OF IMPORTED WATER

YEAR MONTH	TOTAL DI SOLIDS	SSOLVED MG/L /1		T STATE T WATER
	1999-2000	<u>2000-2001</u>	<u>1999-2000</u>	<u>2000-2001</u>
ОСТ	444	453	32	28
NOV	479	457	25	29
DEC	493	473	23	31
JAN	494	480	24	31
FEB	488	498	31	24
MAR	460	503	33	25
APR	453	493	33	28
MAY	462	493	29	28
JUNE	477	494	23	27
JULY	476	496	24	25
AUG	469	512	26	21
SEPT	462	497	24	27

^{1/} As measured in the Skinner Treatment Plant Effluent line.

TABLE 5.4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

IMPORTS/EXPORTS

Quantities in Acre Feet

MPORTS

EXPORTS

2,154 2,106 2,696 2,437 2,337 2,337 2,337 1,568 1,788 3,329 2,246 2,246 2,246 3,329 3,457 3,457 3,457 2,805 2,805 2,932 2,932 2,935 2,935 2,935 2,936 TOTAL EXPORTS 7,919 E - Estimate P - Partial year data FALLBROOK PUD 1,003 1,032 1,060 000000 960'1 1,129 1,154 1,181 1,271 1,083 1,255 1,068 1,153 1,035 1,021 1,634 1,643 960 1,482 ,377 VALLEY ELSINORE 6 ပ္သ EASTERN 0 0 00000 705 3,159 3,908 2,993 4,513 4,133 3,649 3,201 MWD 3/ Improvement District A - Rainbow Canyon Only (WR-13) шшшф NAVAL WS 26 0 0 0 0 20 92 9 26 26 23 27 27 7 ള ഹ Ŋ 9CAMP PENDLETON EXPORT 2,122 1,778 1,788 3,329 2,643 2,643 1,758 1,758 2,123 2,177 2,345 1,645 1,520 1,520 2,437 2,375 2,357 2,392 1,568 949 699' 1,170 2,084 201 711 WASTEWATER NET RETURNS 1,170 1,113 060, 1,168 1,187 1,140 1,416 1,405 1,249 1,273 1,242 1,530 1,497 1,283 1,427 1,120 1,799 1,872 1,446 1,451 1,219 1,548 1,926 1,501 1,493 1,932 2,130 2,115 981 2,073 <u>1</u> 3,444 2,426 2,329 2,702 3,809 3,527 3,543 3,532 3,098 3,619 3,194 4,756 3,418 EXPORT 3,651 3,892 3,000 3,243 3,377 3,457 2,971 2,168 3,577 3,643 2,781 N/R - Not Reported IMPORTS 6,675 6,548 6,962 12,486 16,425 21,047 28,642 24,856 20,015 21,855 40,203 6,504 9,628 17,824 16,672 19,946 24,474 32,108 43,974 44,133 38,008 TOTAL 58,041 WESTERN MWD 3/ шшшппппп ш U.S. NAVAL 92 15 5 115 115 115 15 115 115 115 115 115 116 120 128 145 109 99 52 94 117 73 8 97 RANCHO 10,126 15,282 1,845 13,378 5,752 6,716 7,158 7,564 22,030 21,238 11,411 7,009 11,174 17,854 22,895 16,386 15,108 16,931 23,600 26,992 19,584 34,490 2/ Metropolitan Water District direct deliveries in Domenigoni Valley W CAL 2,460 2,190 3,068 3,410 2,945 3,390 RAINBOW ,253 2,489 3,985 3,003 3,818 2,904 2,277 ,815 ,651 6 MWD 547 1,005 3,521 5,023 3,781 MWD 712 689 2 1/ Includes DeLuz Heights MWD prior to 1991 FALLBROOK 3,916 3,423 2,837 3,538 3,967 3,597 4,627 5,212 5,202 5,723 6,404 8,543 7,079 6,720 8,506 7,831 8,585 8,656 8,033 9,066 10,103 7,962 7,893 6,538 6,925 7,250 7,894 PUD 1/ ELSINORE VALLEY N/R 569 712 696 798 678 658 1,032 2,255 2,190 914 5,100 QWW 2,421 3,117 4,283 3,221 4,181 EASTERN 417 1,470 1,533 1,601 1,969 2,493 2,947 2,551 1,894 1,192 716 1,112 669 629 1,155 2,047 3,746 9,479 8,593 5,393 7,150 4,625 5,601 4,960 3,284 5,117 4,327 YEAR 1977 1978 1979 1980 1970 1975 1976 971 1972 1973 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1988 1989 1987

The MOU also provides that all local surface inflow that enters Lake Skinner will be released into Tucalota Creek. In its 1980 modification the MOU provides that local surface inflow is to be determined by using the hydrologic equation for Lake Skinner that is specified in the MOU. That equation is used to determine inflow and the related release for large flood events. However, in many years the local inflow is small compared to the large quantities of imported water inflow and outflow at Lake Skinner. The error of measurement for these large inflows and outflows is larger than the local inflow in many instances. Accordingly, MWD also monitors the flow in Tucalota Creek, Rawson Creek and Middle Creek during storms and uses those observations to supplement the hydrologic equation.

During 2000-2001, there was no local runoff into Lake Skinner.

5.5 Diamond Valley Lake

Diamond Valley Lake is located in Diamond and Domenigoni Valleys within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The Lake was created by three dams, one each at the east and west ends of Domenigoni/Diamond Valley and a saddle dam at the low point on the north rim. The East Dam diverts surface and groundwater flows from a 4.2 square mile drainage area in the Santa Margarita River Watershed, known as Goodhart Canyon, into the Santa Ana River Watershed. The West Dam intercepts existing westward surface and subsurface flows from an additional 13.19 square mile area. These intercepted flows may or may not be offset by seepage losses from the reservoir when filled.

MWD does not have a water right to store local waters in the reservoir, so a Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Domenigoni Valley Reservoir (now known as Diamond Valley Lake) (MOU) was developed and approved by the Court on January 19, 1995. Among other things, the MOU provides:

The quantity and quality of surface runoff that would flow past the West Dam in the absence of the Reservoir will be determined and a like quantity of water of similar quality will be released from the Reservoir or San Diego Canal (SDC) into Warm Springs Creek.

The MOU indicates that the required releases would be determined by measuring the surface inflows into Goodhart Canyon Detention Basin. A quantity equal to 4.1 times the measured flow will be released into Warm Springs Creek.

Total required releases into Warm Springs Creek during 2000-2001 were 8.7 acre feet.

Although all surface waters within the Santa Margarita River Watershed in Domenigoni and Diamond Valley are subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court, groundwater contained within the younger alluvium, north of the south line of Section 9, Township 6 South, Range 2 West, SBM is not considered by the Court to be a part of the Santa Margarita River system as long as groundwater levels are below an elevation of 1400 feet. During 2000-2001 groundwater elevations in Well MO-6, which is located along the south line of Section 9, decreased from 1359.68 feet at the beginning of the water year to 1359.11 feet on October 4, 2001.

SECTION 6 - WATER RIGHTS

6.1 General

Water is used in the Santa Margarita River Watershed under a variety of water rights. In the early 1960's, the U. S. District Court in its Interlocutory Judgments described water rights in the Watershed as primarily riparian rights and overlying rights. Riparian rights belong to owners of land parcels located adjacent to streams in the Watershed or overlying younger alluvium deposits generally along the stream channels. Overlying rights were divided by the Court into two categories based on the location where the water is obtained and used. Water extracted from lands where subsurface waters add to, contribute to and support the Santa Margarita River stream system was found to be subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. Lands in this category were identified by the Court and listed in Interlocutory Judgments. In general, these parcels of land overlie younger or older alluvium deposits.

The other category of overlying use applies to parcels of land where subsurface flows do not add to, contribute to or support the Santa Margarita River stream system. These parcels were also identified by the Court and found to be outside the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. In general, these lands overlie basement complex or residuum deposits.

The Court also described a number of other rights in the Watershed. These included surface water appropriative water rights that have been administered by the State of California since 1914. These rights are discussed in the following subsection of this report.

In Interlocutory Judgment No. 41, the Court found that the United States reserved rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations, including rights to the use of groundwaters sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon. In Interlocutory Judgment No. 44, the Court recognized and reserved water rights for lands within the Cleveland and San Bernardino National Forests and for lands being administered pursuant to the Taylor Grazing Act.

Since the early 1960's there have been substantial changes in water use in the Watershed, especially in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. During the 1950's and early 1960's when this case was under active litigation, most of the water use in the Murrieta-Temecula area consisted of individual property owners pumping water for use on their own properties. In 1965, the Rancho California WD was formed. The District developed Agency Agreements with most of the landowners within the District. In these Agency Agreements, the landowners "...without transferring any water rights and privileges

pertaining to said land...." designated the District as their exclusive agent for the development and management of their water supply.

Thus, many landowners within the Rancho California WD are now not exercising their overlying rights. Instead, Rancho California WD pumps groundwater and uses it throughout the District area as agent on behalf of the landowners.

Other water purveyors, including Murrieta CWD and Eastern MWD, pump under groundwater appropriative rights.

Another change from the early 1960's is the large scale importation of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed by Rancho California WD. A portion of such importation finds its way into the groundwater aquifers. The legal status of return flows from imported supplies as well as direct recharge of imported water was clarified by the final judgment in *City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando, et al.,* 1975 14 Cal. 3rd 199. This decision in the Supreme Court of the State of California made two major findings with respect to imported water.

The first was that agencies have the right to recharge and store imported water in a groundwater basin and to extract the imported water for use, subject to applicable state and federal laws. In addition, agencies that import and deliver water to lands overlying a groundwater basin have a continuing right to extract the return flow from such water. The return flow is that portion of the imported supply that percolates into the groundwater basin. In the San Fernando case this portion was found to range from 20 percent to 35.7 percent of the imported supplies.

The Rancho Division of the Rancho California WD overlies the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Thus a portion of the import supply delivered to the Rancho Division of Rancho California WD percolates into the underlying aquifers. The first water pumped by Rancho California WD in the ensuing year constitutes recapture of such return flows.

Imported water is also supplied to the Santa Rosa Division within Rancho California WD, however only a relatively small part of this division overlies the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Thus there is less imported water return flow from the Santa Rosa Division.

Classification of Rancho California WD supplies into various water right categories is discussed in Section 7 of this Report.

6.2 Appropriative Surface Water Rights

Another broad category of water rights used in the Watershed is surface water appropriative rights. Since 1914, these rights have been administered by the SWRCB.

A list of current permits, licenses and other active rights obtained from the SWRCB is shown on Table 6.1. A permit by the SWRCB authorizes construction of a project, sets terms for the project's completion and development of water use and may impose other conditions. After the permittee demonstrates that construction is complete, water is being put to use and the permit conditions have been met, the SWRCB can issue a license. The license remains in effect as long as the license conditions are met and the water is put to beneficial use.

Perfected direct diversion rights and active storage rights from creeks in the Watershed are summarized below:

	Direct Diversions Gallons Per Day	Storage <u>Acre Feet</u>
Cahuilla Valley Cottonwood Creek Cutea Creek DeLuz Creek Fern Creek Kohler Canyon Long Canyon Spring Rainbow Creek Rattlesnake Canyon Temecula Creek Sandia Canyon Sourdough Spring Santa Margarita River	720 485,000 5,825 4,700 213,000 158,000 89 12,000 25,820 55 133	5 60 100 100 40 0.5 40,000 8 4,000
Nelson Creek	1,550	
TOTAL		44.242.5
IVIAL	906,892	44,313.5

These direct diversion rights of 906,892 gallons per day correspond to 1.4 cfs or 2.78 acre feet per day.

TABLE 6.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED APPROPRIATIVE WATER RIGHTS

PERMITS AND LICENSES

I.D. NO.	OWNER	FILING DATE	SOURCE OF WATER	POINT OF DIVERSION	AMOUNT	USE	STATUS
6620	William II & Condre I Comp	4/0/20	0()			_	
6629 6893	William H. & Sandra J. Cyrus Earl C. & Mamie LaBine	4/9/30 2/13/31	Coahuila Valley Temecula Creek	Sec. 4, 7S, 3E	DD-720 gpd	D	License
7035	Nyla Lawler	8/10/31	Cutca Creek	Sec. 20, 9S, 2E	DD-820 gpd	D/I	License
7731	Earl C. & Mamie LaBine	11/02/33	Temecula Creek	Sec. 29, 9S, 1E	DD-5725 gpd	D/I	License
9137	Goodarz Irani	10/07/37	Temecula Creek	Sec. 20, 9S, 2E Sec. 12, 9S, 1E	DD-7200 gpd DD-400 gpd	D/I	License
9291	Luis Olivos	5/13/38	Nelson Creek		Ų.	D	License
10806	James R., Phyllis & Bruce Grammer	4/22/44	Temecula Creek	Sec. 23, 8S, 5W		D	License
11161	Roy C. Pursche & J. Zink	9/26/45		Sec. 34, 9S, 2E	DD-2880 gpd	D D"	License
11518	Rancho California Water District	8/16/46	Rattlesnake Canyon	Sec. 28, 9S, 2E	DD-12,000 gpd	D/I	License
11587	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	10/11/46	Temecula Creek	Sec. 10, 8S, 1W		D/I/R	Permit
12178			Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W	ST-10,000 AF	D/I/M	Permit
12179	Fallbrook Public Utility District U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	11/28/47	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W		D/I/M	Permit
13505		11/28/47	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W	ST-10,000 AF	D/I/M	Permit
13505	David H. & Kathleen C. Lypps	12/12/49	Cottonwood Creek	Sec. 30, 8S, 4W	DD-0.75 cfs &	R/\$	License
47000	Mard Family Trust	04550	Tanada O. I		ST-42 AF		
17239	Ward Family Trust	8/15/56	Temecula Creek	Sec. 20, 9S, 2E	DD-120 gpd	D/E	License
20507	David H. & Kathleen C. Lypps	11/24/61	Cottonwood Creek	Sec. 19, 8S, 4W	ST-18 AF	I/R	License
	Date and Daneller Description	044000	.	Sec. 30, 8S, 4W			
20608	Pete and Dorothy Prestininzi	2/13/62	DeLuz Creek	Sec. 20, 8S, 4W	ST-100 AF	D/I/R	License
20742	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	4/24/62	Sourdough Spring	Sec. 25, 9S, 1E	DD-55 gpd	E	License
21074	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	12/07/62	Cutca Spring	Sec. 17, 9S, 1E	DD-100 gpd	S/W	License
21471A	U. S. Department of Navy	9/23/63	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 5, 10S, 4W	ST-4,000 AF	D/I/M/Z	License
				Sec. 2, 11S, 5W			
21471B	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation	9/23/63	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 32, 9S, 4W	ST-165,000 AF	D/I/M/Z	Permit
27756	James R. Grammer	5/23/83	Temecula Creek	Sec. 3, 10S, 2E	DD-14,400 gpd	I/S	Permit
28133	Charles F. Ruggles	5/14/84	Cahuilla Creek	Sec. 15, 8S, 2E	ST-5AF	E/H/I/R/S	Permit
		o	THER RIGHTS				
05751S/Federal	U. S. Cleveland National Forest	1/01/70	Long Canyon Spring	Sec. 16, 9S, 1E	DD-89 gpd	E/R/S/W	
000024/State	Judge Dial Perkins	12/26/86	Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 9S, 4W	DD-133.3 gpd	D	
000751/State	Lawrence Butler	5/31/67	Fern Creek	Sec. 31, 8S, 4W	DD-0.33 cfs ST-100 AF	1	
011411/State	Agri Empire, Inc.	5/16/84	Kohler Canyon	Sec. 33, 9S, 2E	DD-0.245 cfs ST-40 AF	I/S	
012235/State	William A. & Lois D. Cunningham	8/27/85	DeLuz Creek	Sec. 4, 9S, 4W		D/I	
001583/Stock	George F. Yackey	12/27/77	Sandia Canyon	Sec. 25, 8S, 4W		S	
002380/Stock	Chris R. & Jeanette L. Duarte	12/16/77	Rainbow Creek	Sec. 12, 9S, 3W		S	
KEY TO USE:	DD - Direct Diversion D - Dome	stic	R - Recreation E - F	ire Protection	H - Fish Cultur	re	
	ST - Diversion to Storage 1 - Irrigation W - Fish & Wildlife Protection and/or I		•	tockwatering	Z - Other		

Storage rights shown in Table 6.1 include 185,000 acre feet of storage rights on the Santa Margarita River held by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation (ID Nos. 11587, 12179, and 21471B) that have not been exercised. The time period during which these rights must be exercised has recently been extended by the SWRCB to December 31, 2008.

Table 6.1 also lists other rights recognized by the SWRCB. These rights generally are based on Statements of Water Diversion and Use that have been filed with the SWRCB. Such statements include one by the United States on behalf of the Cleveland National Forest, which states that the diversion and use of water from Long Canyon Spring is made pursuant to a withdrawal and reservation of the land and resources for National Forest System purposes as of February 14, 1907.

Besides the federal filing, there are also Statements of Water Diversion and Use filed by individuals. Three of these statements represent riparian or pre-1914 appropriative diversions from DeLuz Creek, Fern Creek and Santa Margarita River that have been reported to the SWRCB. The other statement represents a pre-1914 appropriative right to divert water from a spring in Kohler Canyon into a 40 acre foot reservoir.

The last two rights noted on Table 6.1 represent filings made in 1977 pursuant to Subchapter 2.5 to Chapter 3 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations. That subchapter deals with Water Rights for Stockponds.

In addition to appropriative rights under SWRCB jurisdiction, there are a number of nonstatutory appropriative rights that were established prior to 1914. These rights continue to be used to support diversions of water from the Santa Margarita River stream system. Such rights, which are listed in the various Interlocutory Orders developed in this litigation, are shown on Table 6.2.

In 1990-91, in Order No. 91-07, the SWRCB revised its Order No. 89-25 entitled, "Order Adopting Declaration of Fully Appropriated Stream Systems and Specifying Conditions for Acceptance of Applications and Registrations." These Orders list the Santa Margarita River stream system as fully appropriated "from the confluence of the Santa Margarita River and the Pacific Ocean upstream including all tributaries where hydraulic continuity exists."

The consequences of this Order are as follows:

1. The Board is precluded from accepting any application to appropriate water from the Santa Margarita River System except where the proposed appropriation is consistent with conditions contained in the Declaration.

TABLE 6.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED PRE - 1914 APPROPRIATIVE WATER RIGHTS Listed in Interlocutory Decrees

LISTED OWNER	CURRENT OWNER	DATE OF APPROPRIATION	SOURCE OF WATER	POINT OF DIVERSION	AMOUNT	USE
Anderson, Nina B.	Nezami, Mohammed	April 11, 1892	Fern Creek	NW 1/4 Of SE 1/4 Sec 31, T8S, R4W	32 gpm	Irrigation
Butler, Lawrence W. and Mary C.	Vanginkel, Norman Tr and Vanginkel, Deborah San Diego Gas & Electric		Fern Creek	NW 1/4 Of SE 1/4 Sec 31, T8S, R4W	Capacity of 8 inch pipe	Irrigation
Wilson, Samuel M. and Hazel A.	Shirley, Robert G. and Bobbi J.	Aug. 3, 1911	DeLuz Creek	NW 1/4 Of SW 1/4 Sec 32, T8S, R4W	50 miner's inches 65 AF/Yr	Irrigation
United States	United States	1883	Santa Margarita River	Sec 5, T10S, R4W	20 cfs 1200 AF/Yr	Domestic Irrigation Stock Water

- Initiation of a water right pursuant to the Water Rights Permitting Reform Act of 1988 (Water code Section 1228 et seq.) --that is, by registering small use domestic appropriations--is precluded, except where the proposed appropriation is consistent with conditions contained in the Declaration. Small use domestic appropriations refer to uses that do not exceed direct diversions of 4,500 gallons per day or diversion by storage of 10 acre feet per year for incidental aesthetic, recreational, or fish and wildlife purposes.
- Pursuant to Water Code Section 1206(a) the Board is authorized, but not required, to cancel pending applications where inconsistent with conditions contained in the Declaration; previous Orders implement a procedure for disposition of such applications pending on the effective date of the Declaration.

The Order provides for reconsideration of the Order either upon petition of an interested party or upon the Board's own motion.

6.3 Fallbrook PUD Proposal to Change Point of Diversion and Place of Use

For some years, the Bureau of Reclamation has held Permit Nos. 8511, 11356, 11357, and 15000 (Application Nos. 11587, 12178, 12179, and 2147B) (see Table 6.1) for the benefit of Fallbrook PUD and the United States of America, the Department of the Navy, Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California. However in February 1999 Permit No. 11356 (Application No. 12178) was transferred back to Fallbrook PUD in order for Fallbrook to change the point of diversion for Permit 11356 to Lake Skinner.

Lake Skinner is owned by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California and is presently used to store and regulate imported water. The permit would provide for storage and diversion of up to 10,000 AF per year. Storage of local water in Lake Skinner and subsequent diversion will also reduce the volume of local stormwater flow downstream of Lake Skinner during significant storm events. The project will not alter a requirement of the current Memorandum of Understanding for Lake Skinner that provides for continued monitoring and maintenance of specified groundwater levels downstream of the reservoir.

A mitigated negative declaration on the project was circulated for public comment and certified by Fallbrook. On September 29, 2000, the Petition for Change was submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board for processing.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SECTION 7 - WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

7.1 General

Water production and use data were obtained from several types of substantial users including water purveyors, Indian Reservations, mobile home parks and private landowners. Substantial water users are those who irrigate eight or more acres or who produce or use an equivalent quantity of water.

Major water purveyors who reported production and use data in 2000-2001 Water Year are listed as follows:

Anza Mutual Water Company
Eastern Municipal Water District
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
Fallbrook Public Utility District
Lake Riverside Estates
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
Murrieta County Water District
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Rancho California Water District

U. S. Marine Corps, Camp Pendleton including U.S. Naval Weapons Station, Fallbrook Annex

Western Municipal Water District

Lake Riverside Estates is listed with major water purveyors although it does not deliver water to customers. However it does produce make-up water for losses from Lake Riverside.

In addition to the major purveyors, there are a number of smaller water systems in the Watershed. Of these, Butterfield Oaks Mobile Home Park, Jojoba Hills SKP Resort, and Outdoor Resorts Rancho California, Inc. are substantial users.

Three Indian Reservations, the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona, are noted in Interlocutory Judgment No. 41, the Judgment that deals with Water Rights on Indian Reservations in the Watershed. Estimates and/or measurements of water production and use are reported for the Cahuilla and Pechanga Indian Reservations; the Ramona Reservation has no reported water use.

A portion of a fourth Reservation, the Pauma Mission Reserve Tract of the Pauma Yuima Band of Mission Indians, is also located within the Watershed. However, these lands overlie basement complex, which waters have been found by the Court to not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system.

The final category of water users are private landowners who use water primarily for irrigation.

The water use data collected for the 2000-2001 Water Year are summarized on Table 7.1. Total imported supplies plus local production totaled 108,134 acre feet compared to 126,757 reported in 1999-2000. Of that quantity, 49,212 acre feet were used for agriculture; 5,390 acre feet were used for commercial purposes; 41,802 acre feet were used for domestic purposes; 514 acre feet were discharged to Murrieta and Temecula Creeks; 3,653 acre feet of fresh water were exported; 184 acre feet were directly recharged by Metropolitan WD; and 2,374 acre feet were recharged by Rancho California WD and not recovered. The overall system loss was 5,005 acre feet. System gain or loss is the result of many factors including errors in measurement, differences between periods of use and periods of production, leakage and unmeasured uses.

Monthly production and use data for major water purveyors are attached to this report as Appendix A. Uses are listed under agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic categories. The definition of agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic uses varies for the different purveyors in the Watershed. Accordingly definitions of these uses for major water purveyors are shown on Table 7.2. It is noted that much of the non-agricultural water use in the Watershed can also be considered municipal use, which includes both the domestic and commercial uses shown in tables in this report. Similar data for Water Years 1966-2001 are summarized in tables presented in Appendix B. Appendix C presents information on substantial users outside purveyor service areas.

7.2 <u>Water Purveyors</u>

Anza Mutual Water Company

Anza Mutual Water Company's service area is in the eastern part of the Watershed in the Anza Valley. Production is from two wells: Well No. 1 drilled in 1951 and perforated from 20 feet to 260 feet; and Well No. 2 drilled later to a depth of 287 feet and perforated in the bottom 130 feet. Production for 2000-2001 was 19.13 acre feet from Well No. 1 and 26.19 acre feet from Well No. 2 for a total production of 45.32 acre feet as shown in Appendix A, Table A-8. The depth of water in Well No. 1 ranged from 45 feet to 62.5 feet.

Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 divides aquifers in Anza Valley at this location into two categories: the shallow aquifer and the deep aquifer. Based on information available to the Court the shallow aquifer was determined to include the younger and older alluvial deposits in the Anza Groundwater Basin and extend to a maximum but variable depth of approximately 100 feet. The deep aquifer underlies the shallow aquifer in an area about one-half mile in width and two miles in length, within portions of Sections 16, 17, 21, 22, 27 and 28 of Township 7 South, Range 3 East, SBM. Anza Mutual Water Company's wells are within the area of the deep aquifer. From the perforated intervals in the wells, it may be

TABLE 7.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

2000-2001

Quantities in Acre Feet

			Q,	uarititios ii	17010100	51			
	Р	RODUCT	ION		USE				
	WELL	IMPORT	TOTAL	AG	сомм	DOM	LOSS	TOTAL	WATER RIGHT
WATER PURVEYORS				· · · · ·					
Anza Mutual Water Company	45	0	45	0	0	41	4 1/	45	Appropriative
Eastern MWD	355	5,948	6,303	0	0	5,989	314	6,303	Appropriative
Elsinore Valley MWD	0	6,592	6,592	0	0	0 6,526	66 1/	6,592	
Fallbrook PUD	0	8,398	8,398	4,413	594	2,943	448	8,398	Appropriative
Lake Riverside Estates	274	0	274	0	274 2/	0	0	274	Appropriative
Metropolitan Water District	0	689	689	505	0	0	184 3/	689	****
Murrieta CWD	1,389	0	1,389	163	414	713	99	1,389	Appropriative
Rainbow MWD	0	1,804	1,804	1,439	0	202	163	1,804	
Rancho California WD	26,420 4/	41,823	68,243	34,909 5/	4,055	22,864	6,415 6/	68,243	Various
U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton	6,366	0	6,366	399	7/	2,083	3,884 1/	6,366	Appropriative/
U.S. Naval Weapons Station	0	73	73	0	7/	66	a/ 7 1/	73	Rìparian
Western MWD	0	59	59	0	53	0	6 1/	59	
INDIAN RESERVATIONS									
Cahuilla	42	0	42	0		42	0	42	Overlying/Reserved
Pechanga	295	0	295	56	7/	210	29 1/	295	Overlying/Reserved
MOBILE HOME PARKS/CAMPG	ROUNDS								
Butterfield Oaks	10	0	10	0	0	9	1 1/	10	Riparian/Overlying
Outdoor Resorts Rancho California, Inc.	437	0	437	386	0	46	5 1/	437	Overlying
Jojoba Hills SKP Resort	75	0	75	0	0	68	7 1/	75	Overlying
OTHER SUBSTANTIAL USERS	7,040 9/	0	7,040	6,942	0	0	98 10/	7,040	
TOTAL	42,748	65,386	108,134	49,212	5,390	41,802	11,730	108,134	

^{1/} Assumes 10% system loss

^{2/} Recreation Use

^{3/} Groundwater recharge at Diamond Valley Lake

^{4/} Includes 25,393 AF production from Old Alluvium and 1,027 AF of Vail Recovery

^{5/} Includes 30,382 AF Ag, and 4,527 Ag/Domestic

^{6/} Includes 514 acre feet discharged into Murrieta and Temecula Creeks, 2,374 acre feet of unrecovered direct import recharge, and a system loss of 3,527 acre feet

^{7/} Listed with Domestic uses

^{8/} Includes exports of 3,653 acre feet

^{9/ 983} acre feet for surface diversion plus 6,394 acre feet from groundwater as shown in Appendix C minus 42 acre feet on the Cahuilla Reservation and minus 295 acre feet on the Pechanga Reservation

^{10/ 10%} of surface diversions

TABLE 7.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

DEFINITIONS OF WATER USE BY MUNICIPAL WATER PURVEYORS

2000-2001

DISTRICT	AGRICULTURAL	DOMESTIC	COMMERCIAL
EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	A commercial enterprise producing a crop/livestock on at least 5 acres and able to accept a delivery of at least 24 consecutive hours	Single family, multiple units and agricultural uses of less than 5 acres	Not reported
FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT	AG - A commercial enterprise producing a crop/livestock/fowl on at least 1 acre fully used for ag purposes; can include incidental domestic use related to residency AG/DOM - Water used for both ag and domestic purposes	Single family, multi-unit and large domestic residences and the first 20,000 gallons used by an ag/domestic meter	Offices, businesses, schools and hydrants
RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT	AG- 1 acre or more of plantable, resalable products DOM/AG - Same as Ag with a house on the parcel	DOMESTIC - Homes	Generally no commercial use in district
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT	AG - 1 acre or more of plantable, resalable products GOLF - Outside water use at golf courses VINEYARDS - Outside irrigation for vineyards	DOMESTIC - Homes MULTIPLE - Apartments and Condominiums	COMMERCIAL - Office buildings, industrial users other than agri-businesses FLOATING - Fire hydrants used during construction CONSTRUCTION - Other fire hydrants used for grading
	LANDSCAPE - Landscaping around freeways, parking lots, office buildings, median strips, etc. AG/DOM - First 1600 c.f. for each user alloted to domestic, and the balance to agriculture		LAKE SKINNER - Recreational use at Lake Skinner MISCELLANEOUS - Schools, fire departments, parks, government agencies DETECTOR CK. METERS - Only used when there is a fire
MURRIETA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT	Agricultural uses and irrigation for crops	Homes and multiple units	Businesses, public agencies, schools and construction
USMC, CAMP PENDLETON	Irrigation - Water used for ag purposes, not landscaping, golf courses or parks	Camp Supply - Includes landscaping, golf courses parks and commercial use	Reported under Camp Supply

concluded that most of the production from Well No. 1 and all of the production from Well No. 2 are from the deep aquifer. Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 concluded that waters contained in the deep aquifer did not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River stream system and were, therefore, declared to be outside the Court's jurisdiction.

Thus, most of the water produced by the Anza Mutual Water Company is outside the Court's jurisdiction. The relatively small portion pumped from the shallow aquifer in Well No. 1 is pumped under a groundwater appropriative right.

Eastern Municipal Water District

Eastern MWD is a member agency of MWD and its service area includes a portion of the Rancho California WD. Within the Watershed, the District wholesales water to Rancho California WD and also sells water directly to consumers. Water sold to Rancho California WD is listed in this report as imported water to the Rancho California WD service area.

Eastern MWD's service area outside Rancho California WD is located in the northern part of the Watershed. Water for their service area is imported or produced locally from groundwater.

Imports, not including water wholesaled to Rancho California WD or delivered to Elsinore Valley MWD, totaled 9,219 acre feet. A portion of that import amounting to 3,271 acre feet was exported from the Santa Margarita River Watershed resulting in net import to the watershed of 5,948 acre feet. These data are shown in Appendix A.

Groundwater production for the 2000-2001 Water Year in the Santa Margarita River Watershed totaled 355 acre feet from Well 7S/3W-15N which is 345 feet deep. The well is generally perforated between the depths of 106 and 333 feet. Recent static water levels in Eastern MWD's well have varied from a depth of 102 feet in December 1987, to as low as 191 feet in January 2001. The well is located within the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area where the older alluvium is at ground surface. Thus the well produces water from the older alluvium under groundwater appropriative rights.

In addition to producing fresh water, Eastern MWD also reclaims wastewater at its Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility.

Disposition of wastewater from the Temecula Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facility (Facility) service area for Water Years 1999-2000 and 2000-2001 is shown below:

	<u> 1999</u> -	-2000	<u> 2000-</u>	<u> 2001</u>
	Quantity	<u>Percent</u>	Quantity	Percent
	AF	%	AF	%
Used in Santa Margarita	4,669	56	4,571	51
Used outside Santa Margarita	<u>3,664</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>3,249</u>	<u>36</u>
Reuse	8,333	100	7,820	87
Unaccounted for Production	(15)		<u>1,208</u>	<u>13</u>
TOTAL PRODUCTION	8.318	100	8.318	100

It can be noted that the quantities of reclaimed wastewater used within the Santa Margarita River Watershed decreased from 4,669 acre feet in 1999-2000 to 4,571 acre feet in 2000-2001. During the same period reuse outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed decreased from 3,664 acre feet to 3,249 acre feet. From the foregoing it may be concluded that 51 percent of the wastewater is reused in the watershed. Unaccounted for production increased substantially from a negative 15 acre feet to 1,208 acre feet. Unaccounted for production includes changes of storage in Winchester and Sun City storage ponds, evaporation and percolation losses, and discharges to the Santa Ana Watershed.

Because of concerns about the potential export of native Santa Margarita water, the sources of water supply to the Facility service area were determined and are shown on Table 7.3. In 2000-2001, 36 percent of the supply to the service area was groundwater. Thus, the percent of wastewater reused within the Santa Margarita Watershed exceeded the percent of groundwater in the supply, and on a proportional basis there was no export of native waters.

Estimates of water production and use for the period 1966-2001 are shown in Appendix B.

TABLE 7.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

WATER DELIVERIES TO TEMECULA VALLEY
REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY SERVICE AREA

	199	7	199	8	199	99	200	0 *	20	01
Eastern MWD	AF	%	AF	%	AF	%	AF	%	AF	%
Deliveries to										
TVRWRF										
Service Area										
 Groundwater 	408		240		669		630		355	
2. Import 1/	3,284	_	5,117		4,327		7,256		5,948	
3. Total	3,692		5,357	_	4,996	•	7,886	_	6,303	
Rancho California WD										
Deliveries to										
* TVRWRF										
🫫 Service Area										
🥫 1. Groundwater	8,571 *	*	7986 *	**	7,319		7,149		7,481	
្ណុំ2. Import	3,058 *	*	2,865 *	k*	5,941		8,643		8,076	
3. Total	11,629	-	10,851	-	13,260	_	15,792	_	15,557	
%. 45.0	4°,									
Total Deliveries to TVR	WRF Service	e Area								
Groundwater	8,979	58.6%	8,226	50.8%	7,988	43.8%	7,779	32.9%	7,836	35.8%
2. Import	6,342	41.4%	7,982	49.2%	10,268	56.2%	15,899	67.1%	14,024	64.2%
3. Total	15,321	100.0%	16,208	100.0%	18,256	100.0%	23,678	100.0%	21,860	100.0%

^{1/} EMWD imports are based on discharges from EM-17.

^{*} Revised

^{**} Revised to reflect recovery of import direct recharge

Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District

Elsinore Valley MWD provides water to its service area around Lake Elsinore, a portion of which is within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Elsinore Valley MWD obtains its supply from ten wells, all located outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed, and also imports MWD water through Eastern MWD and Western MWD.

The District reports that 6,592 acre feet were imported into the portion of their service area that is inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed in 2000-2001. Also during 2000-2001, approximately 310 acre feet of wastewater were exported from that same area.

Fallbrook Public Utility District

In 2000-2001, Fallbrook PUD imported 15,249 acre feet through its contract with the San Diego County Water Authority as shown in Appendix A. Of this quantity, 2,562 acre feet were delivered to the former DeLuz Heights Water District service area that is entirely within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Of the remaining importations it is estimated that 46 percent, or 5,836 acre feet, were delivered to lands inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The remainder was delivered to lands in the adjacent San Luis Rey River Watershed. Thus, imports to the Watershed totaled 8,398 acre feet in 2000-2001.

In addition to importations, the District has three wells; however, in 2000-2001, there was no pumpage from these wells. In 2000-2001 Fallbrook PUD reclaimed 1,675 acre feet of wastewater of which 24 acre feet were reused in the watershed.

Production during the period 1966 to 2001included direct diversions from the Santa Margarita River for water years before 1972 as well as imported water and well production as shown in Appendix B.

Lake Riverside Estates

Lake Riverside Estates pumps water from Well No. 7S/2E-32C1, into Lake Riverside to make up evaporation losses. Production for 2000-2001 was 274 acre feet as shown in Appendix A, Table A-8. The production well was drilled in 1962 and is located in an area of younger alluvium in the Cahuilla Groundwater Basin. The driller's log shows sand and clay for the entire well depth of 338 feet.

Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 indicates that the owners of lands in the Cahuilla Groundwater Basin have correlative overlying rights to the use of the groundwater that is the basis for this production.

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Pursuant to a Court Order, MWD delivered 505 acre feet of imported water for irrigation of lands in Domenigoni Valley during 2000-2001. MWD also imported 184 acre feet for groundwater recharge.

Murrieta County Water District

Murrieta CWD serves an area in the vicinity of the town of Murrieta. In Water Year 2000-2001, Murrieta CWD produced 1,389 acre feet of water from five wells as shown in the following tabulation and in Appendix A.

Well <u>Designation</u>	Well <u>Name</u>	2000-2001 Production <u>Acre Feet</u>	Casing Depth <u>Feet</u>	Water Depth <u>Feet</u>	Well Depth <u>Feet</u>	Perforated Interval <u>Feet</u>
7S/3W-20C9 7S/3W-20G5 7S/3W-17R2 7S/3W-18J2	Holiday House Lynch North	236 182 0 421	25 50 26 50	64 - 102 158 - 181 43 - 54 181 - 209	307 298 212 650	60 - 307 120 - 252 172 - 212 240 - 260
7S/3W-20D 7S/3W-7E	South Alson	429 121	50 50	147 - 173 162 - 250	446 416	500 - 640 120 - 446 106 - 416

All of these wells are located in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. Interlocutory Judgment No. 30 indicates that in Murrieta Valley the younger alluvium deposits extend in various depths to a maximum of approximately 30 feet from the ground surface.

The Court noted that it was impossible, based on evidence available in 1962, to determine with exactness the depth of the younger alluvial deposits throughout the Valley. However, it did decide that subsequent findings could be made, if needed, because the Court would retain continuing jurisdiction. Older alluvial deposits are found below the younger alluvium.

Five of the six Murrieta CWD wells are perforated at depths of 106 feet or more. One of the Murrieta CWD wells has perforations beginning at a depth of 60 feet. This depth is well below the maximum depth of younger alluvium found by the Court in 1962. In addition, water depths in the well with perforations at 60 feet ranged from 64 to 102 feet in 2000-2001. Accordingly all of Murrieta CWD well production is from the older alluvium under a groundwater appropriative right.

Production for the period between 1966 and 2001 is shown in Appendix B.

Rainbow Municipal Water District

Rainbow MWD is located in San Diego County in the south-central part of the Watershed. In recent years about ten percent of the District's imported supply is delivered to the portion of the District's service area inside the Watershed. Most of the District is in the San Luis Rey River Watershed. As shown in Appendix A, total deliveries of imported water in the Watershed in 2000-2001 amounted to 1,804 acre feet.

Total imports to the District for years between 1966 and 2001 as well as the estimated portion served inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed, are shown in Appendix B.

Rancho California Water District

Rancho California WD serves water to a 99,600 acre service area in the central portion of the Watershed. The District produced water from 46 wells in 2000-2001 and also imported water, as shown in Appendix A. Use is shown in Appendix A under the categories of agriculture, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic. In Water Year 2000-2001, 42,126 acre feet were pumped from the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area. This quantity included 25,393 acre feet from the older alluvium, 15,706 acre feet of recovered import recharge, and 1,027 acre feet from Vail recharge. Import supplies totaled 41,823 acre feet of which 23,743 acre feet were direct use and 18,080 acre feet were recharged. During 2000-2001, 509 acre feet were released into Murrieta Creek and 5 acre feet into Temecula Creek.

The District reclaimed and reused 3,719 acre feet of wastewater during the year, in addition to 1,851 acre feet obtained from Eastern MWD for reuse.

In addition the District treated and discharged 2,015 acre feet of reclaimed wastewater to Murrieta Creek as part of its 2 MGD Demonstration Project.

Rancho California WD produces groundwater under a variety of rights as follows:

- Recovery of water appropriated at Vail Lake
- 2. Recovery of import return flows and recharged imported water
- 3. Groundwater appropriative rights
- 4. As agent on behalf of overlying landowners

Vail Appropriation

Rancho California WD's Vail Dam appropriative rights are described in Application No. 11518 as amended on June 17, 1947, and in Permit 7032. That right provides that the District may store up to 40,000 acre feet in Vail Reservoir each year between November 1 and April 30, subject to applicable limitations, and that the water so stored may be used for irrigation and domestic uses incidental to farming operations on 3,797 acres of land between May 1 and October 31. Such use may be by direct diversion from Vail Lake or by recovery with wells of water released from Vail and spread downstream in Pauba Valley.

The place of use for irrigation and domestic use is described as follows:

Sections 5, 6, 7 and 18; T8S, R1W Sections 1, 10 through 21, 28 and 29; T8S, R2W Sections 13 and 24; T8S, R3W.

In 1971, the Permit was amended to add recreational use at Vail Reservoir within Section 10, T8S, R1W.

A total of 68 acre feet were released from Vail during 2000-2001 none of which were to groundwater recharge. Releases from Vail for groundwater recharge for the period 1980 to 2001 are shown on Table B-6.

Water use in the Permit 7032 service area is shown on Table 7.4. This use will be compared with well production from the younger alluvium in a later section of this report.

Representatives of the United States contend that under the 1940 Stipulated Judgment storage of water in Vail Lake is limited to Rancho California Water District's share of the flood waters of the Santa Margarita River system. However, to date, the parties have not agreed on a definition of "flood waters." The Camp Pendleton/Rancho California Water District Technical Advisory Committee is considering the issue.

TABLE 7.4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

PERMIT 7032 AREA WATER USE

2000-2001

Quantities in Acre Feet

MONTH YEAR	AG	СОММ	AG/DOM	DOM	TOTAL
2000					
OCT	31	11	86	94	222
NOV	24	10	65	66	165
DEC	20	11	54	60	145
2001					
JAN	14	9	39	55	117
FEB	8	11	61	44	124
MAR	3	8	26	27	64
APR	9	11	20	36	76
MAY	14	15	61	50	140
JUNE	25	30	93	110	258
JULY	24	29	93	113	259
AUG	25	29	95	113	262
SEPT	33	35	105	143	316
TOTAL	230	209	798	911	2,148

Imported Water Return Flows

During 2000-2001, Rancho California WD imported 23,743 acre feet of water for direct use compared to 35,480 acre feet in 1999-2000. Quantities of imported water delivered to the Rancho Division and the Santa Rosa Division are shown below for Water Years 1999-2000 and 2000-2001.

	Rancho D Impe		Santa Rosa Division Imports		Tota Impo	
<u>Month</u>	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001
October	1,404	703	2,716	1,120	4,120	1,823
November	844	75	1,864	639	2,708	714
December	662	76	1,826	810	2,488	886
January	662	140	1,301	375	1,963	515
February	0	0	69	87	69	87
March	0	0	222	110	222	110
April	338	157	753	462	1,091	619
May	902	525	1,768	1,500	2,670	2,025
June	1,665	948	2,751	2,609	4,416	3,557
July	2,175	1,416	3,225	2,981	5,400	4,397
August	2,012	1,820	3,441	2,873	5,453	4,693
September	<u>1,749</u>	<u>1,384</u>	<u>3,131</u>	<u>2,933</u>	<u>4,880</u>	<u>4,317</u>
Total	12,413	7,244	23,067	16,499	35,480	23,743

Return flows for 2000-2001 based on imported water use in the Rancho Division and Santa Rosa Division are shown on Table 7.5 and on Table 7.6.

In those tables, imported water is allocated to agricultural, ag/domestic, commercial and domestic uses in each of eight hydrogeologic areas in the Rancho Division service area. This allocation is the proportion of the total deliveries to each use that is made up of imported water. In 2000-2001, 52.05 percent of the supply to the Rancho Division was imported and 69.75 percent of the supply to the Santa Rosa Division was imported.

In general the Santa Rosa Division does not overlie the groundwater area. However there are several areas classified as being in the Santa Rosa Division that do overlie the groundwater area and generate return flows from imported supplies. Data from most of these lands have been reported since December 1991.

TABLE 7.5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT **RETURN FLOW CREDIT**

2000-2001 **RANCHO DIVISION**

Quantities in Acre Feet

HYDROGEOLOGIC AREAS

	0 NO HYDRO- GEO CODE	1 MURRIETA WOLF	2 SANTA GERTRUDIS	3 LOWER MESA	4 PAUBA	5 SOUTH MESA	6 UPPER	7 PALOMAR	TOTAL
	GEO CODE	1/2 QYAL 1/2 QTOAL	QYAL	QTOAL	QYAL	QTOAL	MESA QTOAL	QTOAL	
AGRICULTURAL *	ı								
Total Use	1,296.04	540.59	450.59	2,729,35	218.10	893.20	1,376.00	1,246.47	8,750.34
% Import	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	-10.0
Import Use	674.65	281.40	234,55	1,420.74	113.53	464.95	716.27	648.84	4,554.93
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25,00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	168.66	70.35	58.64	355.19	28.38	116.24	179.07	162.21	1,138.73
AG/DOMESTIC									
Total Use	538,14	42.91	0.00	19.61	634.39	26,45	479.70	148.43	1,889.63
% Import	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	,=
Import Use	280.13	22.34	0.00	10.21	330,23	13.77	249.71	77.26	983.63
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	70.03	5.58	0.00	2.55	82,56	3.44	62.43	19.32	245.91
COMMERCIAL									
Total Use	138.41	1,171.93	700.08	1,054.99	57.92	135.76	52.27	5.31	3,316.68
% Import	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	
Import Use	72.05	610.04	364.42	549,17	30.15	70.67	27.21	2.77	1,726.48
% Credit	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Credit	7.20	61,00	36.44	54.92	3.01	7.07	2.72	0.28	172.65
DOMESTIC									
Total Use	859.74	1,932.94	1,111.67	10,350.96	452.07	2,637.60	1,029,75	398.83	18,773.57
% Import	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	52.05	
Import Use	447.53	1,006.18	578.67	5,388.12	235.32	1,372.99	536.03	207,61	9,772.45
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25,00	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Credit	111.88	251.54	144.67	1,347.03	58.83	343.25	134.01	51.90	2,443.11
TOTAL USE	2,832.34	3,688.38	2,262.35	14,154.91	1,362.48	3,693.01	2,937.72	1,799.04	32,730.23
TOTAL		*	************	*		***************************************		***************************************	
Total Import Use	1,474.35	1,919.96	1,177.65	7,368.24	709.23	1,922.37	1,529.21	936,48	17,037.49
Total Credit	357.78 **		239.75	1,759.68	172.78	469.99	378.22	233.70	4,000,40
Total Credit Qyal		194.24	239.75	.,,	172.78	-,00.00	0.0.22	200.70	606.77
Total Credit Qtoa	ı	194.24		1,759.68		469.99	378.22	233.70	3,035.85

^{*} Includes golf course and landscape irrigation
** This credit not applied to either Qyal or Qtoal

TABLE 7.6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT **RETURN FLOW CREDIT**

2000-2001

SANTA ROSA DIVISION

Quantities in Acre Feet

HYDROGEOLOGIC AREAS			
1	3		
MURRIETA	LOWER	RTS	
14/01/5	1450	~~~	

	1	3	8	
	MURRIETA	LOWER	RTS 279,	TOTAL
	WOLF	MESA	280 & 285	
	1/2 QYAL	QTOAL		
		QTOAL	1/4 QYAL	
	1/2 QTOAL		3/4 QTOAL	
AGRICULTURAL*				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	910.37	910.37
% Import	69.75	69.75	69.75	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	634.99	634.99
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	158.75	158.75
AG/DOMESTIC				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% Import	69.75	69.75	69.75	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
COMMERCIAL				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	547.71	547.71
% Import	69.75	69.75	69.75	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	382.03	382.03
% Credit	10.00	10.00	10.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	38.20	38.20
DOMESTIC				
Total Use	0.00	0.00	1,433.08	1,433.08
% Import	69.75	69.75	69.75	
Import Use	0.00	0.00	999,57	999.57
% Credit	25.00	25.00	25.00	
Credit	0.00	0.00	249.89	249.89
TOTAL USE	0.00	0.00	2,891.17	2,891.17
TOTAL		***************************************		************
	0.00	0.00	0.040.55	
Total Import Use	0.00	0.00	2,016.59	2,016.59
Total Credit	0.00	0.00	446.84	446.84
Total Credit Qyal	0.00	0.00	111.71	111.71
Total Credit Qtoal	0.00	0.00	335.13	335.13

^{*} Includes golf course and landscape irrigation

The percentage of imported water that becomes return flow varies according to the use as follows:

Agricultural Use	25%
Ag/Domestic Use	25%
Commercial Use	10%
Domestic Use	25%

Based on the foregoing factors, the return flow credit for 2000-2001 is computed to be 4,000.40 acre feet for the Rancho Division and 446.84 acre feet for the Santa Rosa Division, as shown on Tables 7.5 and 7.6 respectively.

Some of the hydrogeologic areas overlie older alluvium and some overlie younger alluvium. Comparison of exposures of younger alluvium with maps of the District's hydrogeologic areas indicates that the Santa Gertrudis, Pauba and half of the Murrieta-Wolf areas overlie younger alluvium. The area of the Santa Rosa Division that overlies the groundwater area is one-fourth in the younger alluvium and three-fourths in the older alluvium. Import return flows in these areas can be credited against pumping from the younger alluvium. These credits for 2000-2001 are 606.77 acre feet for the Rancho Division and 111.71 acre feet for the Santa Rosa Division, as shown on Tables 7.5 and 7.6 respectively.

Rancho California WD imported an additional 18,080 acre feet of water for groundwater recharge in 2000-2001, of which 15,706 acre feet were recovered.

Division of Local Water

During 2000-2001, Rancho California WD pumped 42,126 acre feet of groundwater. Some of this water was pumped from the younger alluvium and some from the older alluvium. The Court determined that water in both the younger alluvium and older alluvium add to, contribute to and support the Santa Margarita River stream system. The primary reason for differentiating between younger alluvium and older alluvium production is that, in California, production from the younger alluvium is generally considered to be governed by water rights that apply to the regulation of surface waters. Production from the older alluvium is generally considered to be governed by regulations that apply to groundwater.

In 1995 well logs and geophysical logs of all Rancho California WD wells were reviewed by representatives of the United States and Rancho California WD to determine the depths of the younger alluvium. There was general agreement between the parties about the depth of the younger alluvium in production wells, except for ten wells shown on Table 7.7 of the 1994-1995 report. The remaining disagreements relate to differences about the magnitude of the clay layer needed to define the base of the younger alluvium, the importance of neighboring well logs, and general concepts about the overall geologic setting.

During joint development of a groundwater model of the area it was necessary to develop estimates of the transmissivity for each aquifer. These estimates were based on pump tests. The resulting transmissivity values were then used to estimate the relative groundwater production from each aquifer. For Rancho California WD wells, the percent production estimated to originate in the younger alluvium is shown in Table 7.7.

Production from the younger alluvium and older alluvium for 2000-2001 using the percentages noted in Table 7.7 is presented in Table 7.8. It may be noted that 16,733 acre feet were pumped from the younger alluvium and 25,393 acre feet were pumped from the older alluvium in 2000-2001.

The production of 16,733 acre feet from the younger alluvium, as shown on Table 7.8 includes recovery of 1,027 acre feet of Vail recharge and 15,706 feet of import recharge. The recovered Vail recharge was used for authorized uses in the Permit 7032 service area as shown in Table 7.4. Although there were no Vail releases to groundwater storage in 2000-2001 there is sufficient unrecovered recharge from prior years to offset the use of 1,027 acre feet in 2000-2001. Rancho California WD imported 18,080 acre feet of water in 2000-2001 for direct recharge of which 15,706 acre feet were recovered leaving 2,374 acre feet as unrecovered direct recharge.

Imported water carryover to 2001-2002 includes the following:

	F. A. Carlotte of the Control of the	<u>AF</u>
1.	Carryover from 1999-2000	11,566*
2.	Unrecovered direct recharge in 2000-2001	2,374
3.	Import Return Flow Credit for 2000-2001	<u>719</u>
4.	Total Carryover to 2001-2002	14,659

Thus, there was no unauthorized use under Permit 7032 in 2000-2001 and 14,659 acre feet of imported supplies remain available to offset younger alluvium production in future years.

* Revised

. 25

TABLE 7.7

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED PERCENT PRODUCTION FROM YOUNGER ALLUVIUM IN

PERCENT PRODUCTION FROM YOUNGER ALLUVIUM IN RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT WELLS

RCWD WELL NO.	LOCATION TOWNSHIP/ RANGE/ SECTION	SEAL DEPTH FEET	PERFORATED INTERVAL FEET	DEPTH YOUNGER ALLUVIUM FEET	PERCENT YOUNGER ALLUVIUM %		REMARKS
106	7S/3W-26R1	55	130-210; 250-310; 340-440;	0	0.0%	Murrieta	No. 108 Winchester, clay 0'-40'
107	7S/3W-26J1	55	700-740; 780-980 60-120; 190-260; 280-300;	58	0.0%	Murrieta	No. 105 - gravel & clay 58'-84'
108	7S/3W-25E1		390-590 60-110; 190-280; 350-410;	55	0.0%	Murrieta	Formerly No. 109
			430-450; 470-490; 530-590				gravel/sandy clay 55'-70'
109 110	8S/2W-17J1 8S/1W-6K1	52 54	70-150; 170-210 75-155	75 165	84.0% 97.0%		Brown clay and gravel 75' to 105' Clay 165'-190'. Prior to 10/23/97 perf int. 70-150; 200-240; 320- 380; 420-460
113	7S/2W-25H1	52	96-136; 275-462; 482-542	Shallow	0.0%		
116	8S/1W-6J	Unknown	60-120; 140-200; 220-260; 270-330; 370-390	150	94 0%		Clay 150'-170'
119	8S/2W-19J	55	170-260; 300-470		0.0%	Wolf Valley	Perforated below 170'
123	8S/1W-7B	55	100-260; 300-380; 420-500	135	65.0%	•	Brown Sand Clay 135'-210'
129	7S/2W-20L		180-290; 416-480; 520-600	Shallow	0.0%	Santa Gertrudis Creek	Qyal very shallow along Santa Gertrudis Creek
132	8S/1W-7D	55	70-390; 430-500	135	82.0%		Brown Clay Streaks 135'-175'
135	7S/3W-27M10	55	70-170	50	0.0%	Murrieta Valley	Silty clay 50'-69'
141	8S/2W-11P	55	120-190; 215-235; 270-380; 430-510	104	0.0%		Silt & sand 104'-185', Well 11L1 is 112'
144	7S/3W-27D	55	983-1123; 1143-1283; 1343 1483; 1503-1743	25	0.0%	Murrieta Valley	Sand with silty clay 25'-45'
146	7S/3W-28	50	50-190	42	0.0%	Murrieta	
153	8\$/1W-5K3	50	50-220	170	99.0%		Forebay
157	8S/1W-5L	50	50-210	128	96.8%		Forebay
158	8S/1W-5K	96	50-210	100	96.5%		Forebay
205	7S/3W-35A	50	150-1000	10	0.0%	Santa Gertrudis/ Murrieta Valley	Sandy clay 10'-20'
210	8S/2W-12K	None	48-228	140	94.0%		Clay cobblestones 160'-167', 175'-227'
218	8S/2W-20B5	27	48-289	40	0.0%		Old 28; clay with sand layer 40'- 60'; now monitoring wells 427, 428 and 429
466	8S/3W-1P2	Unknown	106-822	49	0.0%	Long Canyon	Old 219, Cantarini, hard clay 49'-60'
220	7S/3W-26Q1	34	114-450	58	0.0%		Clay 58' - 73'
467	8S/2W-12K1	Unknown	50-100; 100-140	140	100.0%		Old 221, JK, Exh. 16, Monitoring well since 1983
223	8\$/2W-20C1	Unknown	48-250	60	94.0%	Wolf Valley	CAT Well; east of Wildomar Fault nearby Exh 16 wells 17Q @62' & 17M @55' are also east of the Wildomar Fault
224	8S/2W-15D	Unknown	48-250	106	68 0%		Old Well 50, clay 106'-138'
	8S/2W-11J1	Unknown	24-31; 32.5-34; 35-40; 61- 65; 70-76; 80-85; 86.5-91; 92.5-98.5	>119	100 0%		Old Well 30, depth of well is 119'
231	8S/2W-20B6	55	80-120; 150-270	35	0.0%		Old 104, P-34, Clay 20'-23'; 35'-41'; East of Wildomar Fault
232	8S/2W-11J3	51	95-135; 175-215; 235-295	135	92.0%		Old 111, 105, P-31; coarse sand & clay 135' - 155'
233	8S/2W-12K2	51	95-135; 175-215; 235-295	145	88.0%		Old 112, P32; sand and clay at 145'-220'
234	8S/2W-11P1	52	80-100; 120-140; 200-240; 280-320; 340-400	125	74.0%		Brown Clay at 125'; sand and clay at 125'-140'
235	8S/3W-1Q1	55	Unknown	Shallow	0.0%	Long Canyon	
		Linknown	48-298			Long Carryon	011111111111111111111111111111111111111
240	8S/2W-11L1	CHRIDWII	40-290	112	86.0%		Old Well No. 40; clay 112'-136'

TABLE 7.8

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT WELL PRODUCTION FROM YOUNGER AND OLDER ALLUVIUM

2000-2001 Quantities in Acre Feet

WELL NO.	QYAL	QTOAL	TOTAL
101	0.00	0.00	0.00
102	0.00	0.00	0.00
106	0.00	803.00	803.00
108	00,0	412.00	412.00
109	390.60	74.40	465.00
110	1,417.17	43.83	1,461.00
113	0.00	288.00	288.00
118	0.00	0.00	0.00
119	0.00	1,579.00	1,579.00
120	0.00	1,126.00	1,126.00
121 122	0.00	93.00	93.00
123	0.00	457.00	457.00
124	352.30	189.70	542.00
125	0.00	645.00	645.00
126	0.00	589.00	589.00
128	0.00	1,220.00	1,220.00
	0.00	1,186.00	1,186,00
129	0.00	67.00	67.00
130	0.00	767.00	767.00
131 132	0.00	848.00	848.00
133	369.00	81.00	450.00
135	0.00	358.00	358.00
138	0.00	6.00	6.00
139	0.00	1,643.00	1,643.00
140	0.00 0.00	1,060.00	1,060.00
141	0.00	216.00	216.00
143	0.00	437.00	437.00
144	0.00	749.00	749.00
145	0.00	310.00	310.00
146	0.00	756.00 10.00	756,00
149	0.00	366.00	10.00
151	0.00	0.00	366,00
153	2,986.83	30.17	0.00
155	0.00	190.00	3,017.00
157	3,419.94	113.06	190.00
158	2,241.70	81.31	3,533,00 2,323,00
201	0.00	0.00	2,323.00
203	0.00	360.00	360.00
205	0.00	896.00	896.00
207	0.00	0.00	0.00
208	0.00	0.00	0.00
209	0.00	0.00	0.00
210	1,410.94	90.06	1,501.00
211	0.00	1,389.00	1,389.00
215	0.00	0.00	0.00
216	0.00	0.00	0.00
217	0.00	889.00	889.00
231	0.00	253.00	253.00
232	868.48	75.52	944.00
233	2,504.48	341.52	2,846.00
234	771.82	271.18	1,043.00
235	0.00	1,579.00	1,579.00
301	0.00	38.00	38.00
302	0.00	222.00	222.00
309	0.00	2,194.00	2,194.00
TOTAL	16,733.26	25,392.74	42,126,00

Western Municipal Water District

Western MWD wholesales imported water to Rancho California WD and also serves water to its Improvement District A near the southern boundary of Riverside County along I-15 freeway. Deliveries to Rancho California WD are included under Rancho California WD.

In Water Year 2000-2001, imports to Improvement District A amounted to approximately 59 acre feet as shown in Appendix A, Table A-8.

Deliveries to Improvement District A through turnout WR-13 for the period 1966 to 2001 are shown in Table 5.4.

U. S. Marine Corps - Camp Pendleton

Camp Pendleton is located on the coastal side of the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Water is provided by 11 wells that produced 7,366 acre feet in Water Year 2000-2001. This production is from the younger alluvium and is based on riparian and appropriative rights. Of this quantity, 3,653 acre feet were exported to areas of the Base outside the Watershed as shown in Appendix A.

A portion of the exported water amounting to 2,075 acre feet were returned to the Santa Margarita River Watershed as wastewater.

Production and estimated use inside and outside the Watershed, as well as wastewater returns, are shown in Appendix B for the period 1966-2001.

In addition to the operations at Camp Pendleton involving diversions from the Santa Margarita River, water is also imported by the Naval Weapons Station (NWS). The NWS occupies about 9,148 acres in the northeastern part of Camp Pendleton. Since 1969 the NWS has relied on imported water delivered via Fallbrook PUD for its supply. Wastewater is exported from the NWS and the Watershed via an outfall line also used by the Fallbrook Public Utility District. In 2000-2001, 73 acre feet were imported of which 8 acre feet of wastewater were exported, as shown in Appendix A. Imports and use between 1966 and 2001 are shown in Appendix B.

7.3 Indian Reservations

Water use information about the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations in the Watershed is described in the following sections:

Cahuilla Indian Reservation

In general, domestic water use on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation is not measured, however reports indicate that 300 people reside on the Reservation. These residents use water primarily for domestic purposes as well as for livestock watering and grazing. Annual domestic water use, based on 125 gallons per capita per day, amounts to a total annual use of about 42 acre feet from wells listed in Appendix C.

The foregoing estimate is for total domestic water use on the Reservation. A portion of this use may not be under Court jurisdiction, but the estimate will be used until individual well production quantities are available to allow determination of the portion under Court jurisdiction. The estimated domestic use is included on Table 4.1 under water purveyor production.

An additional 5 acre feet was put to commercial use at a casino. This water was pumped from well 7S/2E-26B3 that overlies basement complex and is outside court jurisdiction.

Pechanga Indian Reservation

During 2000-2001, water well production by the Pechanga Water System amounted to 291 acre feet. In addition, it is estimated that a spring produced about 4 acre feet during the year for a total production of 295 acre feet as shown in Appendix A, Table A-8. Information about system wells and the spring is shown in the following tabulation:

Well/Spring	<u>Name</u>	Water Depth	Well Depth	Perf. Interval
<u>Designation</u>		Feet	<u>Feet</u>	<u>Feet</u>
28R1	Ball Park	120.27	1,000	130 - 220
28Q6	Sea Bee	111.06	610	N/A
29A1	Kelsey Tract	55.70	348	N/A
29B10	Eduardo	38.75 *	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

^{*} Water depth in Well 8S/2W-29B9

The wells listed above are in areas of younger alluvium at ground surface. The depth of the younger alluvium in Wolf Valley was estimated by representatives of Rancho California WD and the United States for Rancho California WD Wells No. 117 (8S/2W-20E) and No. 119 (8S/2W-19J) to be in the range of 120 to 170 feet in depth. Thus, based on available well construction data, some of the production is from the younger alluvium and some from the older alluvium. Under state law production from the wells that originate in the older alluvium can be considered to be under a groundwater appropriative right or an overlying right, depending on the circumstances at each well.

Under federal law, production from wells that originate in either the younger or older alluvium can be considered to be under a federal reserved right, in accordance with Interlocutory Judgment No. 41 which provides as follows in Order No. 7:

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States of America intended to reserve, and did reserve, rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Pechanga Indian Reservation, including rights to the use of ground waters sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon with priority dates of June 27, 1882, for those lands established by the Executive Order of that date; January 9, 1907, for those lands transferred by the Executive Order of that date; August 29, 1893, for those lands added to the Reservation by Patent on that date; and May 25, 1931, for those lands added to the Reservation by Patent of that date.

Ramona Indian Reservation

The Ramona Indian Reservation occupies 560 acres of land of which 321 acres are inside the Watershed. The Ramona Reservation has no reported water use or residents.

7.4 Mobile Home Parks/Campgrounds

There are a number of mobile home parks in the Watershed. These range from relatively permanent structures, to those catering to recreational vehicles and campgrounds. Water production from wells is shown in Appendix A, Table A-8 for Butterfield Oaks Mobile Home Park, Outdoor Resorts Rancho California, Inc., and Jojoba Hills SKP Resort.

7.5 Irrigation Water Use

Estimated water production reported by substantial users for irrigation in the Santa Margarita River Watershed is shown on Table 7.1 to be 7,040 acre feet. This quantity includes 6,394 acre feet of well production and 983 acre feet of surface diversion (as shown in Appendix C), less 42 acre feet of production on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation, and less 295 acre feet of production on the Pechanga Indian Reservation. The foregoing production on the Indian Reservations is shown at a separate location on Table 7.1.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SECTION 8 - UNAUTHORIZED WATER USE

8.1 General

From time to time there are complaints of unauthorized water uses of various types in the Watershed. Such complaints are investigated when they are brought to the attention of the Watermaster. The status of the current list of unauthorized uses is described as follows:

8.2 <u>Unauthorized Small Storage Ponds</u>

Many small dams and reservoirs have been constructed on streams in the Watershed. The legal basis for these ponds is described in the 1988-89 Watermaster Report. Basically, the Court has held that storage of water in ponds less than 10 acre feet in capacity and used for stock watering is a valid use of riparian water. The Court has also held that:

The temporary or non-seasonal impoundment by riparian owners for the purpose of providing a head for irrigation or for the purpose of temporarily accumulating sufficient water to make possible efficient irrigation is a proper riparian use of water.

Criteria for determining non-seasonal storage of irrigation water have yet to be developed.

8.3 Rancho California Water District Water Use

A number of unauthorized water use issues have been raised by the United States. These issues and action to investigate and/or correct the issues are as follows:

- 1. <u>Violation of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment</u> United States' representatives have indicated their belief that the provisions of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment have been violated in two respects by Rancho California WD:
 - A. Storage of water in Vail Lake and the related recharge and rediversion operations exceed the portion of the Santa Margarita River flow allocated to Rancho California WD by the Stipulated Judgment.
 - B. Production of water by Rancho California WD from the older alluvium should be included with surface water in determining whether water use by Rancho California WD exceeds that portion allocated to Rancho California WD by the Stipulated Judgment.

Rancho California WD disagrees with each of these contentions.

 Rediversion and Use Not in Accord with Terms of Permit 7032 - As noted in Section 7 of this report, the place of use, rediversion facilities and the type of use of water appropriated under Rancho California WD's Application No. 11518 and Permit 7032 have changed since the Application was filed in 1947.

Use of water under Permit 7032 is limited to irrigation, domestic use incidental to farming operations and recreation. Such use for municipal and industrial purposes represents an unauthorized use.

As noted in the previous section of this report, there was no unauthorized use of water under Permit 7032 in 2000-2001. However, in prior years water appropriated under Permit 7032 was either used outside the designated place of use or partially used within the designated service area for commercial and/or domestic use, neither of which is authorized under Permit 7032.

Accordingly, Rancho California WD initiated the process of changing Permit 7032 on September 1, 1992, by filing a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Negative Declaration for a Petition for Change to the SWRCB, Division of Water Rights, relative to Appropriations Water Permit 7032.

On January 15, 1993, the United States in a petition filed with the Superior Court of the State of California for Riverside County alleged that the District had violated the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) by adopting the Negative Declaration. On April 12, 1994, the Court denied the United States' petition and declared that Rancho California WD had complied with CEQA by adopting the Negative Declaration. On August 11, 1994, the parties jointly requested an extension of time for the filing of an appeal pending current settlement negotiations. The appellate Court granted that extension of the process as well as several subsequent extensions.

On January 13, 1993, the District filed a Petition for Change in the points of rediversion, the place of use and the purpose of use with the SWRCB. The Petition for Change was protested by Camp Pendleton, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the U. S. Bureau of Indian Affairs, and the California Sportfishing Alliance.

In March 1993, Camp Pendleton filed a Complaint with the SWRCB that Rancho California WD was violating the terms of Permit 7032 regarding place, season and purpose of use. On May 25, 1993, the SWRCB advised that it would process the Complaint prior to acting on the District's Petition for Change.

A representative from the SWRCB visited the area in July 1993, and completed a draft staff Report of Investigation. Prior to release of the staff report the SWRCB agreed to a joint request by the parties to defer issuance of the report and allow the parties to negotiate a settlement of the issues. Upon request by the parties, the SWRCB has continued to defer issuance of the report.

- 3. <u>Unauthorized Pumping</u> United States' representatives also contend that water is being pumped from the younger alluvium without permit outside Pauba Valley and that there is pumping in violation of Court adjudications from the older alluvium.
- 4. <u>Settlement</u> Representatives of Rancho California WD and the United States have developed a settlement agreement that will resolve the foregoing issues. Rancho California WD agreed with the settlement in Water Year 2000. During 2000-2001 the United States continued the process of obtaining the necessary approvals in the Department of the Navy and Department of Justice.

8.4 <u>Complaint to the State Water Resources Control Board Regarding Unauthorized</u> Diversions

In August 1999 the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) received two complaints that unauthorized diversions were being made to storage by the Wild Horse Peak Mountain Vineyard, owned by James and Maggie Carter. A total of 200 acres of grapes are irrigated using a water supply system that includes several springs, a shallow well, a deep well, diversion from Arroyo Seco Creek and a 40 acre foot reservoir. The ensuing investigation indicated that while the vineyard has a valid riparian right to divert water onto the lands, it does not have the right to divert water to the reservoir for seasonal storage (storage for more than 30 days).

Groundwater underlying the property has been determined by the Court not to be a part of the Santa Margarita River system, so that overlying owner can use water from the deep well for any beneficial purpose.

After some discussions with SWRCB representatives, it was concluded that the vineyard could continue to divert water from the stream as long as there was documentation in the form of a dated photograph(s) showing the storage reservoir to be either full on the first day of stream diversions, or demonstrating that there was not an increase in storage during stream diversions that could not be accounted for by well production.

SECTION 9 - THREATS TO WATER SUPPLY

9.1 General

General threats to the long-term water supply in the Santa Margarita River Watershed, which have been described in previous Watermaster Reports, are as follows:

- 1. High nitrate concentrations in Rainbow Creek and in Anza Valley.
- 2. Potential overdraft conditions at various locations in the Watershed.
- 3. Potentially adverse salt balance conditions in the upper Santa Margarita River area.

9.2 High Nitrate Concentrations

In past years, high concentrations of nitrate have been measured in Anza Valley and on Rainbow Creek. Conditions in Anza Valley were generally described in the 1993-94 report. Few measurements have been reported since then.

During 1999 and 2000, the Regional Water Quality Control Board staff prepared a draft technical Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) plan for Rainbow Creek. As part of the process, the Regional Board monitored water quality at three sites on Rainbow Creek between January and August 2000 and conducted more comprehensive monitoring involving ten sites between August and October 2000.

Data collected by the Regional Board was summarized in the 1999-2000 report. The data indicated that the drinking water standard of 10 mg/l as N for nitrate was being exceeded at most of the sampling locations. The data also showed that phosphorous concentrations consistently exceeded the desired goal of 0.1 mg/l for biostimulatory substances contained in the Basin Plan.

In the draft TMDL the Regional Board concluded that the observed concentrations on nitrate were far in excess of 1.0 mg/l, a goal for nitrate that may be computed using a ratio of 10 parts nitrogen to one part phosphorous and the desired Basin Plan goal of 0.1 mg/l phosphorous. The draft TMDL further reports that the concentrations that exceed the Basin Plan goals for biostimulatory substances have caused excessive algae growth at various locations along Rainbow Creek.

As a result of the foregoing findings, the draft technical TMDL was substantially revised in 2000-2001.

The current draft TMDL calls for a 28% reduction in nitrogen and phosphorous loads to meet drinking water standards for nitrate within four years after the TMDL is approved by the EPA. Thereafter the load allocations will be reduced by 10% every four years until biostimulatory goals are met.

Meeting the initial 28% reduction will require loading reduction of 70 – 80% for commercial nurseries, irrigated agricultural lands, residential land uses and septic tanks.

The draft TMDL also requires the County of San Diego to develop and implement a watershed management plan for nutrients. This plan is to describe measures to achieve the necessary reductions. The County will also be responsible for investigating groundwater and septic tank conditions.

The Regional Board's timetable calls for adoption of the TMDL by the Regional Board in 2001-2002 followed by approvals by the State and the EPA.

9.3 Potential Overdraft Conditions

Previous Watermaster reports have noted concerns about overdraft conditions in Anza Valley and in the Murrieta-Temecula area. The 1989-90 Watermaster Report described a water supply study, conducted by a consultant to Riverside County, which concluded that Anza Valley water use in 1986 was approximately equal to the perennial yield and that as of 1986 useable groundwater in storage approximated 56,000 acre feet. No further studies relative to groundwater use in Anza Valley are available. Historical measurements of groundwater levels for Anza Mutual Water Company's Well No. 1 (7S/3E-21G1) located in Anza Valley are plotted in this Report on Figure 4.4. It can be noted that the water level in October 2001 of 3809.6 feet is within the general range observed since the early 1970's.

No recent published studies of safe yield are available for the Murrieta-Temecula area. Groundwater resources in much of the area are being managed by Rancho California WD. The District prepares an annual groundwater production program with the goal of developing the maximum perennial yield from the basin. The District monitors water levels and well production in each of several hydrogeologic subareas. Each year that data, combined with other information including water quality, natural and artificial recharge, pump settings, and well construction factors, are used to develop a recommended production program. Production rates are commonly lowered in subareas where water levels have declined over several years, and production rates are increased in areas where decline has not occurred. As a final check the recommended production rates are checked using the latest version of the RCWD groundwater model.

Groundwater level data for three wells in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area are included in this report as Figures 4.1, 4.3 and 4.5. Water levels in the Windmill Well (8S/2W-12H1) located at the eastern part of Pauba Valley declined 7.5 feet in 2000-2001. Water levels in Well 7S/3W-20C9 in the Murrieta CWD area rose 13 feet from last year, and those in Well 8S/2W-29G1 on the Pechanga Indian Reservation in Wolf Valley were down 3.24 feet from last year. As can be seen from the long-term hydrographs, the foregoing groundwater levels are within the broad range of groundwater levels experienced in recent years.

9.4 Salt Balance

A key issue in management of a groundwater basin is potential build up of salts from imported water supplies. Such a build-up could decrease the usability of waters in a basin. Consideration must be given to measures that allow export of salts from a basin to offset the salt load in water entering the groundwater basin.

A 2 MGD Demonstration Project involving discharge of treated wastewater into the Santa Margarita River system by Rancho California Water District was implemented in late 1997. This five-year project provides cost-effective disposal of wastewater from the upper Santa Margarita River area, assists in controlling salt balance in the Murrieta-Temecula Groundwater Area, and supplements water supplies to the Santa Margarita River system downstream of Temecula. In 2000-2001, RCWD initiated an application to the Regional Board to extend the Demonstration Project for an additional five years and to increase the maximum discharge to 5 MGD between December and April. The maximum discharge would be 3 MGD between May and November. Also, RCWD is requesting that the first 2 MGD be made permanent and that discharges above 2 MGD be considered to be Demonstration Project flows.

In a separate project, Eastern MWD exported 3,249 acre feet of treated wastewater from the watershed for reuse. An additional 1,208 acre feet was exported for operational reasons. At an average total dissolved solids concentration of 650 mg/l there are approximately 1,768 pounds of salt in every acre foot of wastewater. Thus in 2000-2001, approximately 3,940 tons of salt were exported by EMWD.

In addition to export of treated wastewater, the salt balances of the Murrieta-Temecula groundwater area and the lower Santa Margarita River groundwater area are affected by discharges from wells into Murrieta Creek or Temecula Creek. In 2000-2001 wells discharged 39 acre feet, as shown below, together with estimated total dissolved solids in the water.

Well No.	Release Acre Feet	TDS mg/l	Sample Date
108	9	350	5/16/00
118	24	580	11/02/99
121	1	640	7/24/97
231	5	1080	5/24/01
Total	39		

SECTION 10 - WATER QUALITY

10.1 Surface Water Quality

During 2000-2001 there was extensive sampling of surface water quality by Rancho California WD as part of its 2 MGD Demonstration Program. Portions of these data are shown in Appendix Table D-2.1. Weekly samples were collected from the Santa Margarita River at the Temecula gaging station, Murrieta Creek gaging station and Temecula Creek at Highway 79. These samples were analyzed for total dissolved solids (TDS), nitrate, total nitrogen and total phosphorous. TDS concentrations at the Santa Margarita River station ranged from a low of 250 mg/l to as much as 860 mg/l during the year.

Nitrate concentrations as nitrogen at the Santa Margarita River gaging station ranged from none detected, to a high of 2.4 mg/l. All measurements of nitrate were well below the drinking water standard of 10 mg/l as N.

Rancho California WD collected samples at additional locations in the Santa Margarita River system including Santa Margarita River at Willow Glen, DeLuz Crossing and the Estuary. Among other things, these samples were analyzed for TDS and Nitrate as N, as shown in Table D-2.1. Except for the Estuary, TDS concentrations were generally in the 700 - 1000 mg/l range while the maximum nitrate sample was 3.2 mg/l as N measured at the DeLuz Crossing. Samples of the water being discharged into Murrieta Creek from the system were also collected at a station called the Murrieta River Meter. Nitrate concentration at the River Meter ranged from 0.2 to 3.4 mg/l as N.

Surface water quality data collected in prior years by Camp Pendleton and Eastern MWD are listed in earlier Watermaster reports.

The U.S.G.S. using funding provided by Camp Pendleton, collects continuous water quality measurements for dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductance and temperature at the Santa Margarita River gaging stations near Temecula and at Fallbrook PUD Sump near Fallbrook. The latter station is about nine miles downstream from the Temecula station, and the intervening drainage area is 32 square miles. Data collected at the two stations is published by the U.S.G.S. in its annual Water Resource Data report. The highest average daily high and the lowest average daily low for each parameter for each month are shown in Tables 10.1 and 10.2 for months in water year 2001.

TABLE 10.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

RANGES IN AVERAGE DAILY CONCENTRATION OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN, PH, SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE AND TEMPERATURE AT SANTA MARGARITA RIVER NEAR TEMECULA

Water Year 2000-2001

COLLECTION MONTH/YEAR	DISSOLVE m	D OXYGEN g/l	p m	H g/l	CONDU	CIFIC CTANCE mens/cm	TEMPERATURE Deg C		
11 10 11110010	<u>High</u>	Low	High	Low	High	Low	<u>High</u>	Low	
2000									
October	10.0	4.8	8.5	7.7	1250	469	24.0	13.5	
November	10.1	6.8	8.1	7.5	1180	798	15.5	8.0	
December	12.0	6.5	8.5	7.8	1390	1060	14.0	6.0	
2001									
January	12.3	7.3	8.5	7.5	1390	220	12.5	6.5	
February	11.4	6.6	8.6	7.6	1310	1150	16.0	7.0	
March	10.5	5.3	8.7	7.6	1410	1170	23.5	9.0	
April	10.0	6.0	8.2	7.4	1440	383	24.0	11.5	
May	10.5	4.4	8.3	7.1	1320	937	25.5	16.5	
June	11.8	5.4	8.3	7.1	1280	1060	25.5	18.5	
July	10.9	5.0	8.0	6.4	1370	1060	26.0	19.0	
August	10.4	5.4	8.1	6.9	1330	963	26.5	19.0	
September	9.3	4.9	8.0	7.2	1320	1040	24.5	17,0	

TABLE 10.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

RANGES IN AVERAGE DAILY CONCENTRATION OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN, PH, SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE AND TEMPERATURE AT FPUD SUMP NEAR FALLBROOK

Water Year 2000-2001

COLLECTION MONTH/YEAR	DISSOLVE m		р	H	CONDU	CIFIC CTANCE mens/cm	TEMPERATURE Deg C		
	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>	<u>High</u>	Low	<u>High</u>	Low	
2000									
October	9.0	5.9	7.9	7.4	1340	1190	21.5	13.0	
November	10.4	7.5	8.0	7.0	1440	1180	15.5	7.0	
December	11.3	6.2	7.9	7.3	1560	1360	14.0	6.0	
2001									
January	11.1	5.0	7.9	7.2	1570	519	12.5	6.5	
February	13.4	7.5	8.4	7.3	1530	549	15.0	6.5	
March	11.4	6.3	8.4	6.8	1420	1310	21.5	9.5	
April	9.4	6.2	8.2	6.8	1470	1010	23.5	11.0	
May	10.7	7.7	8.3	7.1	1540	1330	26.5	15.5	
June	10.6	5.4	8.3	7.1	1630	1460	26.5	18.0	
July	9.1	5.1	8.2	7.0	1600	1460	26.5	18.5	
August	9.4	5.3	8.1	7.3	1490	1350	26.5	19.0	
September	8.5	4.6	8.2	7.3	1540	1370	24.5	16.0	

Measured values are similar for the two stations except for specific conductance. The average high specific conductance values increase by about 12 - 16% between the two stations, while the increase in the average lows is generally in the 30% to 50% range.

It may be noted that between May and October, RCWD discharges relatively high quality water to the stream thereby improving the relative quality of the water at the Temecula station. Another factor is that inflows from the intervening 32 square mile drainage area include irrigation return flows that have high specific conductance.


10.2 Groundwater Quality

During 2000-2001 water quality data were collected from wells by Rancho California WD, the U.S.G.S. for wells on Indian Reservations, and the U.S.M.C. at Camp Pendleton.

Water quality data for Rancho California WD wells are shown in Appendix Table D-4. Samples were collected from 43 wells during 2000-2001. Of the 43 wells, 28 wells were analyzed for nitrates only. In these wells, nitrate concentrations ranged up to 22 mg/l as NO₃, with the drinking water standard being 45 mg/l as NO₃. Samples from most of the remaining wells were subjected to standard chemical analysis: TDS concentrations increased in 5 wells, decreased in 7 wells, and 3 wells remained the same.

Total dissolved solids concentrations for RCWD Well 210 are shown on Figure 10.1 for samples collected since 1957 when the well was constructed. The figure shows a decline in TDS from approximately 900 mg/l for the first two samples collected to the 500-600 mg/l range in recent years.

FIGURE 10.1




Appendix Table D-5 shows water quality data collected by the U.S.G.S. from wells on Indian Reservations. In 2000-2001 samples were collected from five wells on the Pechanga Indian Reservation and subjected to standard chemical analysis. Concentrations of the various constituents were consistent with historical results.

During 2000-2001 samples of groundwater were collected from 11 wells at Camp Pendleton as shown on Appendix Table D-6. These wells were subjected to standard chemical analysis with results generally consistent with the historical results. Of the 11 wells sampled, seven provided one or more samples where total dissolved solids concentrations exceeded 750 mg/l, the Basin Plan Objective.


Historical total dissolved solids concentrations for Camp Pendleton Well 7A2 are shown on Figure 10.2 for samples collected since the mid-1950's. The figure shows a decline between the mid-1950's and 1970, then a period of increasing concentration to levels in the 550-800 mg/l range. Three samples collected in 2000-2001 indicated total dissolved solids concentration of 753, 736 and 741 mg/l.

FIGURE 10.2



Historical nitrate concentrations for the same well (7A2) are shown on Figure 10.3. The three samples collected in 2000-2001 indicated there were no detected concentrations of Nitrate.

FIGURE 10.3



SECTION 11 - FIVE YEAR PROJECTION OF WATERMASTER OFFICE TASKS, EXPENDITURES AND REQUIREMENTS

11.1 General

Projected tasks over the next five years are listed below in two categories: normal tasks, which are part of the usual Watermaster office operation; and additional tasks, which are foreseen but are not part of the normal office operations.

11.2 Normal Tasks

Tasks that are normally part of the Watermaster Office operation are as follows:

- Update List of Substantial Users
- 2. Collect Water Production, Use, Import and Availability Data
- 3. Collect Well Location, Construction and Water Level Data
- 4. Administer Water Rights
- 5. Collect Water Quality Data
- 6. Monitor Water Quality and Water Right Activities
- 7. Administer Lake Skinner and Diamond Valley Lake MOU's
- 8. Administer Steering Committee Matters
- 9. Prepare Court Reports/Budgets
- 10. Monitor Streamflow and Water Quality Measuring
- 11. Data Management

11.3 Additional Tasks

Tasks that have been identified but which are not part of normal operations are as follows:

- Initiate administration of RCWD/Camp Pendleton Water Rights Settlement Agreement
- 2. Determine Salt Balance
- 3. Prepare List of All Water Users Under Court Jurisdiction
- 4. Prepare Inventory of Ponds and Reservoirs

11.4 Projected Expenditures

Current Year

Projected Years

Projected expenditures for the current year and over the next five years are listed as follows:

\$178,500

\$187,400

\$196,800

\$206,600

2001/2002

2002/2003

2003/2004

2004/2005

2005/2006

2006/2007

Watermaster Office	Gaging Station	<u>Total</u>
\$169,000	\$114,325	\$283,325
\$170,000	\$117,850	\$287,850

\$123,700

\$129,900

\$136,400

\$143,200

\$302,200

\$317,300

\$333,200

\$349,800

Projected Expenditures

SECTION 12 - WATERMASTER OFFICE BUDGET 2002-2003

A total Watermaster Budget of \$287,850 for the Water Year ending September 30, 2003, is shown below.

This budget includes \$170,000 for the Watermaster Office and \$117,850 for U.S.G.S. gaging station operations. The budgeted cost for gaging station operation is based on the annual renewal of an agreement between the Watermaster and the U.S. Geological Survey.

	APPROVED BUDGET CURRENT YEAR	PROPOSED BUDGET
	2001-2002 \$	2002-2003 \$
Watermaster Office		
Rent	9,600	9,600
Accounting Services	4,000	4,000
Supplies	700	700
General Liability & Professional Insurance	3,500	3,900
Printing	1,800	1,800
Audit	2,400	2,400
Publications	2,000	2,100
Clerical/Data Management	45,000	45,000
Telephone	1,400	1,400
Miscellaneous Operating/Maintenance	800	1,000
Mileage/Travel	500	500
Office Equipment and Software	2,200	2,200
Watermaster		
Consulting Services	80,000	80,000
Automobile Expense	3,600	3,600
Travel Reimbursement	11,500	11,800
SUBTOTAL WATERMASTER OFFICE	\$ 169,000	\$ 170,000
USGS Gaging Station Operation and Maintenance	\$ 114,325	\$ 117,850
TOTAL	\$ 283,325	\$ 287,850

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2000-2001

APPENDIX A WATER PRODUCTION AND USE WATER YEAR 2000-2001

JULY 2002

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TABLE A-1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

2000-2001 Quantities in Acre Feet

	1	PF	RODUCTI	ON		י ר	USE						_	RECLAIMED WASTEWATER					
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT 1/	EXPORT FROM SMRW	NET IMPORT	TOTAL		AG 2/	COMM	DOM 3/	TOTAL	LOSS	TOTAL USE		REUSE IN SMRW 4/		OTHER EXPORT 5/	TO	RECHARGE	TOTAL
2000						1							· 	7011					
OCT	47	290	46	244	291	11	0	0	277	277	14	291	11	431	150	150	0	0	731
NOV	42	811	86	725	767	ΪĹ	0	0	729	729	38	767	- 11	323	237	153	0	0	713
DEC	45	564	65	499	544	ΪĹ	0	0	517	517	27	544	- ii	323	86	327	ō	0	736
						H							H		-		•	·	.00
2001						[]							- 11						
JAN	49	(134)	(583)	449	498	11	0	0	474	474	24	498	- 11	362	124	233	0	0	719
FEB	45	246	889	(643)	(598)	Π	0	0	(569)	(569)	(29)	(598)	İÌ	242	54	346	0	0	642
MAR	44	702	171	531	575	1	0	0	545	545	30	575	11	265	25	461	0	0	751
APR	46	550	313	237	283	H	0	0	269	269	14	283	11	334	181	242	0	0	757
MAY	28	1,212	376	836	864	П	0	0	821	821	43	864	H	384	288	124	0	0	796
JUNE	9	1,248	462	786	795	П	0	0	755	755	40	795	- II	465	293	10	0	0	768
JULY	0	1,264	437	827	827	ţ.	0	0	786	786	41	827	11	503	546	(254)	0	0	795
AUG	0	1,456	553	903	903	H	0	0	858	858	45	903	Ш	487	622	(290)	0	0	819
SEPT	0	1,010	456	554	554	П	0	0	527	527	27	554	- 11	452	643	(294)	0	0	801
						11							Ш			` '		_	
TOTAL	355	9,219	3,271	5,948	6,303	1	0	0	5,989	5,989	314	6,303	11	4,571	3,249	1,208	0	0	9,028

^{1/} Does not include deliveries to Rancho California Water District or Elsínore Valley Municipal Water District

^{2/} Figures are 95% of water pumped and imported to allow for 5% loss

^{3/} Figures are 95% of water pumped and imported to allow for 5% loss

^{4/} Includes 1,201 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD

^{5/} Unaccounted for Export

TABLE A-2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT 2000-2001 Quantities in Acre Feet

WASTEWATER	EXPORTED FROM SMRW	145 157 143 125 126 131 140 133 126 142 1,643
	FROM E.	0.50 0.80 0.48 0.47 0.45 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35
	REUSE IN SMRW	000 10044+6 2
	FROM	146 159 145 127 127 151 133 144 137 136 1,675
		_ ================
	TOTAL USE IN SMRW	772 611 681 336 275 284 503 828 787 1,046 1,111 1,164
	LOSS*	(135) 6 46 46 (139) (65) 105 75 75 209 74 104 104 448
USE	TOTAL IN SMRW	907 605 635 475 340 179 428 619 713 942 1,101 1,006
	MOG	336 203 241 199 184 106 173 190 315 289 402 305
İ	COMM	63 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 66 66 68 68 68 68 68
	AG	508 356 356 235 235 131 50 217 378 341 587 629 629
ļ	ž	
	TOTAL PRODUCTION	772 611 681 681 336 275 284 503 828 787 1,046 1,111
	TOTAL SMRW IMPORT	772 611 681 336 275 275 284 503 828 787 1,046 1,111 1,164
PRODUCTION	SROOK SMRW IMPORT 1/	462 385 459 319 187 268 340 554 765 687 756 5,836
PRODU	FALLBROOK AREA SMR IMPORT IMPOR	1,004 836 999 408 582 739 1,205 1,662 1,422 1,493 1,644
	DELUZ AREA IMPORT	5
	TOTAL DELUZ WELLS DISTRICT AREA IMPORT IMPORT	0 1,314 310 0 1,062 226 0 1,221 222 0 710 17 0 496 88 0 598 16 0 1,479 274 0 1,684 22 0 1,814 392 0 1,917 424 0 2,052 408
	VELLS	
	MONTH V YEAR	2000 OCT NOV DEC 2001 JAN FEB MAR APR APR AUG SEPT

^{1/} Approximately 46% of the Fallbrook area is within the Santa Margarita River Watershed

^{*}Loss = Total production less total use

TABLE A-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

MURRIETA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

2000-2001

Quantities in Acre Feet

	PRODUCTION		USE					
MONTH YEAR	WELLS	AG	сомм	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS *	TOTAL USE	
2000								
OCT	101	11	15	50	76	25	101	
NOV	78	3	15	49	67	11	78	
DEC	79	11	9	46	66	13	79	
	H							
2001								
JAN	78	8	9	45	62	16	78	
FEB	66	8	29	7	44	22	66	
MAR	50	7	44	25	76	(26)	50	
APR	82	8	18	34	60	22	82	
MAY	110]	11	23	69	103	7	110	
JUNE	143	22	23	85	130	13	143	
JULY	202	21	29	101	151	51	202	
AUG	205	30	40	107	177	28	205	
SEPT	195	23	160	95	278	(83)	195	
	[]					` '		
TOTAL	1,389	163	414	713	1,290	99	1,389	

^{*} Loss = Total production less total delivered

TABLE A-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

2000-2001 Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUCTIO	N		USE									
MONTH YEAR	LOCAL IMPORT TO WATERSHED W		TOTAL IN WATERSHED			COMMERCIAL/ DOMESTIC	TOTAL DELIVERIES	LOSS*	TOTAL USE					
2000														
OCT	0	197	197	İİ	159	20	179	18	197					
NOV	0	134	134		107	15	122	12	134					
DEC	0	120	120	11	95	14	109	11	120					
2004				11										
2001	•													
JAN	0	147	147		117	17	134	13	147					
FEB	0	59	59		43	11	54	5	59					
MAR	0	51	51		36	10	46	5	51					
APR	0	57	57		42	10	52	5	57					
MAY	0	81	81		63	11	74	7	81					
JUNE	0	169	169	П	135	19	154	15	169					
JULY	0	264	264	П	215	25	240	24	264					
AUĢ	0	252	252	П	207	22	229	23	252					
SEPT	0	273	273	Ĥ	220	28	248	25	273					
TOTAL	0	1,804	1,804	11	1,439	202	1,641	163	1,804					

^{*}Loss = 10% of use

TABLE A-5

MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

2000-2001

Quantities in Acre Feet

~ #	MURRIETA CREEK DISCHARGE (6)	161	172	167		148	159	160	<u>당</u>	181	172	189	172	184	2,015
RECLAIMED WASTEWATER		~		~		~	_	~	5 ′	•	•	•		-	_
REC WAS	REUSE IN SMRW (5)	343	295	319		306	270	316	305	304	308	322	336	324	3,719
	TOTAL	11 828	5.724	5,541	==	5,197	3,541	4,594	5,431	7,828	1 888'6	9,613	9,654	9,618	11 882,58
USE	(4)	_		(724)											
	TOTAL L	7.910	5,546	6,265											80,061
	IMPORT T	1.736	1,502	1,303										_	18,080 8
		(80)	32	0		0	0	0	0	(147)	42	(06)	(76)	(45)	(361)
	SMR VAIL RELEASE RECHARGE (3)	120	-	က		-	က	0	-	63	89	49	109	8	514
	MOO	2.133	1,567	1,644		1,336	1,220	779	1,131	1,557	2,750	2,689	2,748	3,310	22,864
	COMM	449	297	351		205	215	159	193	302	450	475	449	537	4,055
	AG/ DOM	44 54	280	360		270	142	49	178	361	583	578	574	707	4,527
	AG	3,107	1,864	2,604		006,1	805	179	1,250	2,453	3,955	3,940	3,835	4,490	30,382
	OTAL	11 6:359	5,724	5,541	===	2001 JAN 2,644 0 0 2,553 5,197 1,900 270 205 1,336 1 0 2,038 5,750 (553) 5,197 309 FEB 1,786 0 0 1,755 3,541 805 142 215 1,220 3 0 1,668 4,053 (512) 3,541 270 MAR 2,508 0 0 2,086 4,594 179 49 159 779 0 0 1,976 3,142 1,482 4,594 316 APR 3,084 0 0 2,347 5,431 1,250 178 193 1,131 1 0 1,728 4,481 950 5,431 302 MAY 4,730 0 (147) 3,245 7,838 2,453 361 305 1,557 63 (147) 1,220 5,812 2,016 7828 3,094 JUNE 5,011 0 42 4,835 9,631 3,940 578 475 2,689 64 (90) 1,196 8,852 761 9,613 322 AUG 3,875 0 (76) 5,855 9,654 3,835 5,74 449 2,748 109 (76) 1,162 8,801 853 9,654 336	9,618	83,588							
PRODUCTION	IMPORT TOTAL	3,559	2,216	2,189		2,553	1,755	2,086	2,347	3,245	4,835	5,593	5,855	5,590	41,823
	S VAIL RELEASE	(80)	32	0		0	0	0	0	(147)	42	(06)	(9/)	(45)	(361)
	WELLS OUT GWA	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WELLS IN GWA (1)	3,480	3,473	3,352		2,644	1,786	2,508	3,084	4,730	5,011	4,110	3,875	4,073	42,126
	MONTH	2000 OCT	NOV	DEC	2001	NAC N	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	SUNE SUNE SUNE SUNE SUNE SUNE SUNE SUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	TOTAL

Wells recovered 25,393 AF from older alluvium, 238 AF from Vail recharge, and 16,495 from Direct Import Recharge
 Vail releases and the related Vail recharge are computed as Total Release less Inflow to be bypassed
 5 AF into Temecula Creek from Well 231; 34 AF into Murrieta Creek from Wells 108, 118 & 121; and 475 AF from System River Meter
 Loss = Total production less total use
 Does not include EMWD reclaimed wastewater production
 Discharge from 2 MGD Demonstration Project

TABLE A-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U.S.M.C. - CAMP PENDLETON

2000-2001 Quantities in Acre Feet

PRODUCTION							U	SE	_	RECLAIMED WASTEWATER				
MONTH YEAR	AG LOCAL	CAMP SUPPLY	TOTAL		AGRICUL IN SMRW	TURE 1/ OUT SMRW	CAMP SUPPLY 2/ IN OUT SMRW SMRW		TOTAL EXPORT	TOTAL 3/ IN SMRW		RECHARGED IN SMRW 4/	IMPORT 5/ RECHARGED IN SMRW	TOTAL RECHARGED IN SMRW
2000				11		•					-	1		
OCT	159	462	621	II	62	97	201	261	358	263	i		200	287
NOV	24	401	425	П	10	14	174	227	241	184	i	83	183	266
DEC	16	394	410	П	6	10	174	220	230	180	1	85	162	247
				П							H			
2001				П							İ			
JAN	13	377	390	П	5	8	165	212	220	170		94	151	245
FEB	0	304	304	11	0	0	132	172	172	132	İ	93	148	241
MAR	0	348	348	11	0	0	152	196	196	152		94	171	265
APR	0	380	380	11	0	0	160	220	220	160		87	156	243
MAY	32	439	471	11	12	20	189	250	270	201	11	87	172	259
JUNE	121	477	598	11	47	74	205	272	346	252		87	171	258
JULY	198	588	786	11	77	121	253	335	456	330	Ĥ	94	193	287
AUG	233	614	847	11	91	142	264	350	492	355	i	88	193	281
SEPT	229	557	786	11	89	140	245	312	452	334	ĺ	86	175	261
				11										
TOTAL	1,025	5,341	6,366	11	399	626	2,314	3,027	3,653	2,713	-11	1,065	2,075	3,140

^{1/} Agricultural water use is divided with 39% used inside the SMRW and 61% used outside

^{2/} Camp Supply water use inside the SMRW equals 44% of sum of Camp Supply production plus Naval Weapons Station Import, minus the NWS Import (SMRW CS = .44 {CS+NWS Imp} - NWS Imp.)

^{3/} Assumes no losses

^{4/} Discharge from Plant Nos. 3 plus 8 plus 29.17 acre feet per month from Plant No. 13

^{5/} Discharge from Plant No. 1, plus discharge from Pond 2, plus excess of Plant No. 13 over 29.17 acre feet per month

TABLE A-7

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U. S. NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, FALLBROOK ANNEX

2000-2001

Quantities in Acre Feet

	PRO	DUCTION				USE			_	WASTEWATER
MONTH YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO WATERSHED 1/	TOTAL		AG	COMMERCIAL/ DOMESTIC	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE		EXPORTED
2000										
OCT	0.0	4.8	4.8	11	0.0	4.4	0.4	4.8	П	0.5
NOV	0.0	3.9	3.9	П	0.0	3.5	0.4	3.9		8.0
DEC	0.0	4.0	4.0	[]	0.0	3.6	0.4	4.0		0.5
				\parallel					\Box	
2001				\parallel						
JAN	0.0	3.3	3.3		0.0	3.0	0.3	3.3		0.8
FEB	0.0	2.2	2.2		0.0	2.0	0.2	2.2		1.4
MAR	0.0	3.0	3.0	11	0.0	2.7	0.3	3.0		0.9
APR	0.0	2.8	2.8	11	0.0	2.5	0.3	2.8	11	0.5
MAY -	0.0	12.1	12.1		0.0	11.0	1.1	12.1		0.5
JUNE	0.0	8.3	8.3		0.0	7.5	8.0	8.3		0.4
JULY	0.0	8.7	8.7	-	0.0	7.9	8.0	8.7		0.4
AUG	0.0	9.7	9.7		0.0	8.8	0.9	9.7		8.0
SEPT	0.0	10.4	10.4		0.0	9.5	0.9	10.4		0.6
				П					\square	
TOTAL	0.0	73.2	73.2		0.0	66.5	6.7	73.2	11	8.0

^{1/ -} Import via Fallbrook Public Utility District

^{2/ -} Loss = 10% of Use

TABLE A-8

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MISCELLANEOUS WATER PRODUCTION AND IMPORTS

2000-2001 Quantities in Acre Feet

IMPORT

PRODUCTION

MONTH YEAR	WESTERN MWD IMPORTS TO IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT A	ANZA MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	OUTDOOR RESORTS RANCHO CALIFORNIA, INC.	BUTTERFIELD OAKS MOBILE HOME PARK	LAKE RIVERSIDE ESTATES	PECHANGA INDIAN RESERVATION	JOJOBA HILLS SKP RESORT
2000							
OCT	4.70	4.00	2.83	0.18	12.10	25.05	3.53
NOV	3.40	6.00	2.28	0.19	14.12	23.06	3.07
DEC	4.00	7.00	2.62	0.15	12.25	22.73	3.83
2001							
JAN	4.10	1.00	2.63	0.07	6.08	19.03	4.02
FEB	4.30	1.00	3.26	0.07	0.00	22.82	4.19
MAR	3.10	1.00	2.76	0.14	12.12	24.24	4.46
APR	2.90	1.00	3.12	0.15	10.60	26.04	6.18
MAY	4.80	3.00	6.70	0.14	19.35	24.12	7.21
JUNE	6.50	5.00	7.76	0.21	18.11	26.92	7.19
JULY	6.20	4.00	2.64	0.29	35.76	24.26	9.72
AUG	6.90	7.00	8.08	0.29	100.68	27.83	10.59
SEPT	7.80	5.00	5.96	0.22	33.08	24.90	10.88
SUBTOT	AL		50.64	2.10		291.00	
			158.00 *	7.50 *		4.00 *	*
TOTAL	58.70	45.00	208.64	9.60	274.25	295.00	74.87

E - Estimate

^{*} Estimated non-metered use

^{**} Surface Diversion

ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2000-2001

APPENDIX B WATER PRODUCTION AND USE WATER YEAR 1965-66 TO WATER YEAR 2000-2001

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TABLE B-1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PR	ODUCTI	ON		1				USE			_			RE	CLAIMED	WASTEWA	TER	
WATER YEAR	WELLS	IMPORT 11	EXPORT FROM SMRW	NET IMPORT	TOTAL		AG 21	COMM	DOM 31	TOTAL	LOSS	TOTAL USE		REUSI IN SMRW		OUTSID	E SMRW OTHER EXPORT 41	RELEASE TO RIVER	RECHARGE	TOTAL
1966	0	1,604	0	1,604	1,604] [1,520	0	4	1,524	80	1,604	_ 			0		0	100	100
1967	0	1,630	0	1,630	1,630	1	1,544	0	4	1,548	82	1,630	i			0		Ō	100	100
1968	0	1,464	0	1,464	1,464	Π	1,386	0	5	1,391	73	1,464	- 1	j o		0		0	100	100
1969	0	1,741	0	1,741	1,741	\prod	1,648	0	6	1,654	87	1,741	1	0		0		0	100	100
1970	0	1,417	0	1,417	1,417	П	1,340	0	7	1,346	71	1,417	- 1] 0		0		0	101	101
1971	0	1,383	0	1,383	1,383	\mathbf{H}	1,306	0	8	1,314	69	1,383	ĺ	0		0		0	119	119
1972	0	1,470	0	1,470	1,470		1,388	0	8	1,396	74	1,470	- 1	0		0		0	242	242
1973	0	1,533	0	1,533	1,533	11	1,447	0	10	1,456	77	1,533	- 1	0		0		0	217	217
1974	0	1,601	0	1,601	1,601	1	1,511	0	10	1,521	80	1,601	1	į o		0		0	193	193
1975	0	1,969	0		1,969		•	0	11	1,871	98	1,969	i	j o		0		0	253	253
1976	145	2,493	0	2,493	2,638		2,356	0	150	2,506	132	2,638	- 1	134		0		0	155	289
1977	431	2,947	0		3,378			64	423	3,209	169	3,378	- 1	244		0		0	70	314
1978	375	2,551	0		2,926	- •		0	371	2,780	146	2,926	- 1	300		0		0	75	375
1979	289	1,894	0	1,894	•			0	290	2,074	109	2,183		350		0		0	147	497
1980	281	1,192	0	1,192	1,473	11	1,116	0	283	1,399	74	1,473	- 1	375		0		0	220	595
1981	282	716	0	716	998	1	663	0	285	948	50	998	1	375		0		0	304	679
1982	321	1,112	0	1,112	1,433			0	323	1,361	72	1,433	1	375		0		0	386	761
1983	106	1,211	0	1,211	1,317	П	1,131	0	120	1,251	66	1,317	į	375		0		0	466	841
1984	236	699	0	699	935	П	644	0	244	888	47	935	1	400		0		0	525	925
1985	314	679	0	679	993		624	0	319	943	50	993	- 1	450		0		0	565	1,015
1986	229	760	0	760	989	٠.	700	0	239	940	49	989	- [600		0		0	509	1,109
1987	89	1,155	0	1,155	1,244	1	638	0	543	1,182	62	1,244	- 1	650		0		0	554	1,204
1988	4	2,047	0	2,047	2,051	Π	524	0	1,424	1,948	103	2,051	- 1	650		0		0	650	1,300
1989	685	3,746	0	3,746	4,431	\prod	1,146	0	3,064	4,209	222	4,431	J	1,058		0		0	1,636	2,694
1990	492	8,578	2,977	5,601	6,093	\prod	978	0	4,810	5,788	305	6,093	- 1	1,567		0		0	2,160	3,727
1991		16,621	7,142	-	,	П	851	0	8,587	9,438	497	9,935	I	1,282		0		0	2,272	3,554
1992	527	13,486	4,893		9,120		29	0	8,635	8,664	456	9,120	- 1	1,323		0		245	2,385	3,953
1993	524	7,287	1,894		5,917		36	0	5,585	5,621	296	5,917		1,709		990	(285)	192	2,020	4,626
1994		10,082	2,932	7,150	7,382	11	0	0	7,013	7,013	369	7,382	1.	2,687		2,465	694	0	0	5,846
1995		11,539	6,914	4,625	4,807	H	16	0	4,551	4,567	240	4,807	1	2,154		1,357	2,551	0	0	6,062
1996		11,730	6,770	4,960	5,259	П	0	0	4,996	4,996	263	5,259		2,979		2,473	520	0	0	5,972
1997	408	5,093	1,809	3,284	3,692	П	0	0	5,226	5,226	(1,534)	3,692		3,126		2,319	882	0		6,327
1998	240	6,609	1,492	5,117	5,357	П	0	0	5,090	5,090	267	5,357		2,949	5/	2,139	2,374	0		7,462
1999	669	7,118	2,719	4,327	4,996	П	0	0	4,746	4,746	250	4,996		3,741	6/	3,070	1,063	0		7,874
2000	630	9,179	1,923	7,256	7,886	11	0	0	7,493	7,493	393	7,886	i	4,669	7/	3,664	(15)	0		8,318
2001	355	9,219	3,271	5,948	6,303	il	0	0	6,274	6,274	29	6,303	1	4,571	8/	3,249	1,208	0		9,028

^{1/} Does not include deliveries to Rancho California Water District or Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District

^{2/} Figures are 95% of water pumped and imported to allow for 5% loss

^{3/} Figures are 95% of water pumped and imported to allow for 5% loss

^{4/} Unaccounted for Export

^{5/} Includes 905 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD

^{6/} Includes1,159 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD

^{7/} Includes1,162 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD

^{8/} Includes1,201 AF of sewage diverted to RCWD

TABLE B-2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

PRODUCTION

USE

								-					
WATER YEAR	WELLS	TOTAL DISTRICT IMPORT	DELUZ AREA IMPORT	AREA	BROOK SMRW IMPORT 1/	TOTAL SMRW IMPORT	TOTAL PRODUCTION		AG	COMM/ DOM	TOTAL IN SMRW	LOSS /2	TOTAL USE IN SMRW
1966	176	11,169	0	11,169	3,351	3,351	3,404	11	2,735	328	3,063	341	3,404
1967	16	9,508	0	9,508	2,852	2,852	2,857		2,253	319	2,572	285	2,857
1968	13	11,411	. 0	11,411	3,423	3,423	3,427		2,554	531	3,085	342	3,427
1969	178	9,458	0	9,458	2,837	2,837	2,891		1,787	814	2,601	290	2,891
1970	305	11,794	0	11,794	3,538	3,538	3,630		2,649	617	3,266	364	3,630
1971	7	11,350	0	11,350	3,405	3,405	3,407		2,386	681	3,067	340	3,407
1972	0	13,054	0	13,054	3,916	3,916	3,916	11	2,749	775	3,524	392	3,916
1973	0	10,610	38	10,572	3,172	3,210	3,210	11	2,156	732	2,888	322	3,210
1974	0	12,911	134	12,777	3,833	3,967	3,967	[]	2,703	868	3,571	396	3,967
1975	0	11,492	213	11,279	3,384	3,597	3,597		2,420	816	3,236	361	3,597
1976	0	13,147	431	12,716	4,196	4,627	4,627		3,200	965	4,165	462	4,627
1977	20	13,435	587	12,848	4,625	5,212	5,232		3,536	1,174	4,710	522	5,232
1978	97	12,626	651	11,975	4,551	5,202	5,299		3,504	1,265	4,769	530	5,299
1979	187	12,865	961	11,904	4,762	5,723	5,910	[]	3,820	1,498	5,318	592	5,910
1980	192	13,602	1,191	12,411	5,213	6,404	6,596		4,258	1,678	5,936	660	6,596
1981	87	16,878	1,994	14,884	6,549	8,543	8,630		5,688	2,144	7,832	798	8,630
1982	0	13,270	1,805	11,465	5,274	7,079	7,079	11	4,614	1,862	6,476	603	7,079
1983	0	12,298	1,969	10,329	4,751	6,720	6,720		4,320	1,871	6,191	529	6,720
1984	0	15,429	2,609	12,820	5,897	8,506	8,506		5,814	2,077	7,891	615	8,506
1985	0	14,256	2,358	11,898	5,473	7,831	7,831		5,187	2,135	7,322	509	7,831
1986	0	15,383	2,794	12,589	5,791	8,585	8,585		5,698	2,319	8,017	568	8,585
1987	0	15,313	2,986	12,327	5,670	8,656	8,656		5,793	2,281	8,074	582	8,656
1988	28	14,460	2,559	11,901	5,474	8,033	8,061		5,181	2,348	7,529	532	8,061
1989	94	16,179	3,007	13,172	6,059	9,066	9,160		5,620	2,706	8,326	834	9,160
1990	15	17,568	3,745	13,823	6,358	10,103	10,118		6,275	2,878	9,153	965	10,118
1991	46	13,939	2,871	11,068	5,091	7,962	8,008		5,146	2,314	7,460	548	8,008
1992	45	13,698	2,950	10,748	4,943	7,893	7,938		5,285	2,201	7,486	452	7,938
1993	86	12,695	2,010	10,685	4,915	6,925	7,011		4,329	2,349	6,678	333	7,011
1994	83	13,124	2,246	10,878	5,004	7,250	7,333	11	4,282	2,666	6,948	385	7,333
1995	3	11,620	2,208	9,412	4,330	6,538	6,541		3,818	2,798	6,316	225	6,541
1996	0	14,168	2,733	11,435	5,260	7,993	7,993	11	4,411	3,247	7,658	335	7,993
1997	0	14,005	2,688	11,317	5,206	7,894	7,894		4,351	3,249	7,600	294	7,894
1998	0	11,757	1,803	9,954	4,579	6,382	6,382		3,245	2,798	6,043	339	6,382
1999	0	14,307	1,572	12,735	5,858	7,430	7,430		3,748	3,271	7,019	411	7,430
2000	0	15,983	2,705	14,478	6,660	9,365	9,365		5,138	3,903	9,041	324	9,365
2,001	0	15,249	2,562	12,687	5,836	8,398	8,398	11	4,413	3,537	7,950	448	8,398

^{/1} Total SMRW production equals SMRW Import plus 30% local (1966-1971)

TABLE B-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WASTEWATER PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

WATER YEAR	TOTAL WASTEWATER PRODUCTION	PERCENT WASTEWATER FROM SMRW	WASTEWATER FROM SMRW	WASTEWATER REUSED IN SMRW	WASTEWATER FROM U.S.N.W.S.	WASTEWATER EXPORTED FROM SMRW	PERCENT WASTEWATER FROM SLR WATERSHED 1/	WASTEWATER IMPORTED FROM SLR WATERSHED
1966	395	81	320		0	0	19	75
1967	460	80	368		0	0	20	92
1968	524	80	419		0	0	20	105
1969	588	79	465		0	0	21	123
1970	652	78	509		0	0	22	143
1971	717	78	559		0	0	22	158
1972	782	77	602		0	0	23	180
1973	847	76	644		0	0	24	203
1974	912	75	684		0	0	25	228
1975	976	75	732		0	0	25	244
1976	1,040	74	770		0	0	26	270
1977	1,105	73	807		0	0	27	298
1978	1,170	72	842		0	0	28	328
1979	1,234	72	888		0	0	28	346
1980	1,298	71	922		0	0	29	376
1981	1,363	70	954		0	0	30	409
1982	1,428	69	985		0	0	31	443
1983	1,492	69	1,029		26 E	1,003	0	0
1984	1,556	68	1,058		26 E	1,032	0	0
1985	1,621	67	1,086		26 E	1,060	0	0
1986	1,685	66	1,112		18 P	1,094	0	0
1987	1,750	66	1,155		27	1,128	0	0
1988	1,815	65	1,180		25	1,155	0	0
1989	1,881	64	1,204		22	1,182	0	0
1990	1,952	66	1,298		27	1,271	0	0
1991	1,622	60	973		11	962	0	0
1992	1,730	63	1,090		7	1,083	0	0
1993	2,051	62	1,271		16	1,255	0	0
1994	1,834	58	1,073		5	1,068	0	0
1995	1,941	60	1,165		12	1,153	0	0
1996	1,799	58	1,040		5	1,035	0	0
1997	1,780	58	1,027		6	1,021	0	0
1998	2,297	65	1,490		8	1,482	0	0
1999	2,175	64	1,382		5	1,377	0	0
2000	2,164	76	1,641		7	1,634	0	0
2001	2,191	76	1,675	24	8	1,643	0	0

NOTE: Measured quantities available for Total Wastewater in Water Year 1969 and July 1989

All other quantities are estimated (1966 - 1989). Prior to 1983, Wastewater was
discharged into Fallbrook Creek. After 1983, Wastewater is discharged into an ocean outfall.

^{1/ -} San Luis Rey Watershed

E - Estimated

P - Partial Year Data

TABLE B-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

MURRIETA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

	PRODUCTIO	N		·····		USE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
WATER YEAR	WELLS		AG	сомм	ром	TOTAL DELIVERED	LOSS •	TOTAL USE
1966	41	11	0	0	37	37	4	41
1967	45	11	0	0	41	41	4	45
1968	54		0	0	49	49	5	54
1969	54	II	0	0	49	49	5	54
1970	73	11	0	0	66	66	7	73
1971	83	ii	3	0	72	75	8	83
1972	111	11	10	0	91	101	10	111
1973	92		11	0	72	84	8	92
1974	132	11	14	0	107	120	12	132
1975	153	ij	18	0	121	139	14	153
1976	117	ii	22	0	84	106	11	117
1977	170	11	21	0	134	155	15	170
1978	169	ii.	19	0	135	154	15	169
1979	197	ii	19	0	160	179	18	197
1980	218	11	20	0	178	198	20	218
1981	265	11	30	0	211	241	24	265
1982	230	11	21	0	188	209	21	230
1983	216	11	14	0	182	196	20	216
1984	304	П	26	0	250	276	28	304
1985	308	П	19	0	261	280	28	308
1986	305	11	22	0	255	277	28	305
1987	326	П	23	0	273	296	30	326
1988	303	П	13	35	262	275	28	303
1989	286	-	11	72	262	344	(4)	340
1990	465	11	13	76	266	355	110	465
1991	459	11	15	88	250	353	106	459
1992	492	}	6	122	302	430	62	492
1993	508	11	4	105	323	432	76	508
1994	512	11	10	103	324	437	75	512
1995	521	11	12	86	312	420	101	521
1996	629		88	110	373	571	58	629
1997	638		76	96	379	551	87	638
1998	603	{	79	87	349	515	88	603
1999	827		79	125	548	752	75	827
2000	1,123		199	365	493	1,057	66	1 123
2001	1,389	П	163	414	713	1,290	99	1,389

^{*} Loss = Total production less total delivered

TABLE B-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUC	TION				USE		
WATER YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO DISTRICT	TOTAL IN WATERSHED 1/		AG 2/	COMMERCIAL DOMESTIC 3/	TOTAL DELIVERIES	LOSS 4/	TOTAL USE
1966	0	14,538	1,308	11	1,049	140	1,189	119	1,308
1967	0	12,167	1,095	ij	878	117	995	100	1,095
1968	0	15,301	1,377	H	1,104	147	1,252	125	1,377
1969	0	13,917	1,253	П	1,005	134	1,139	114	1,252
1970	0	18,764	1,689	П	1,354	181	1,535	154	1,689
1971	0	18,338	1,650		1,324	177	1,500	150	1,650
1972	0	22,633	2,037		1,634	218	1,852	185	2,037
1973	0	17,955	1,616		1,296	173	1,469	147	1,616
1974	0	22,768	2,049	1	1,643	219	1,863	186	2,049
1975	0	13,856	1,247	11	1,000	133	1,134	113	1,247
1976	0	24,878	2,239	11	1,796	240	2,035	204	2,239
1977	0	26,038	2,343	Π	1,879	251	2,130	213	2,343
1978	0	24,312	2,188	\prod	1,755	234	1,989	199	2,188
1979	0	26,084	2,348	11	1,883	251	2,134	213	2,347
1980	0	27,660	2,489	$ \cdot $	1,997	266	2,263	226	2,489
1981	0	35,036	3,153	Π	2,529	337	2,866	287	3,153
1982	0	27,334	2,460	П	1,973	263	2,236	224	2,460
1983	0	24,957	2,190	Π	1,735	256	1,991	199	2,190
1984	0	32,526	3,068	+	2,483	306	2,789	279	3,068
1985	0	28,612	3,410	11	2,798	302	3,100	310	3,410
1986	0	29,023	2,945	1	2,353	324	2,677	268	2,945
1987	0	29,449	3,390		2,765	317	3,082	308	3,390
1988	0	29,070	2,985	11	2,372	342	2,714	271	2,985
1989	0	32,034	3,003		2,385	345	2,730	273	3,003
1990	0	34,612	3,818	11	3,003	468	3,471	347	3,818
1991	0	27,754	2,904	11	2,276	364	2,640	264	2,904
1992	0	26,056	2,277		1,877	193	2,070	207	2,277
1993	0	23,766	1,965	11	1,655	132	1,787	178	1,965
1994	0	22,173	1,651	ΙĮ	1,368	133	1,501	150	1,651
1995	0	20,935	1,661] [1,398	112	1,510	151	1,661
1996	0	24,835	1,815		1,487	163	1,650	165	1,815
1997	0	24,638	1,429	П	1,139	160	1,299	130	1,429
1998	0	19,693	1,601	П	1,315	141	1,456	145	1,601
1999	0	24,961	1,727	П	1,411	159	1,570	157	1,727
2000	0	30,446	2,217	П	1,861	154	2,015	202	2,217
2001	0	27,214	1,804	\prod	1,439	202	1,641	163	1,804

^{1/ 1966} through 1982 estimated to be 9% of total district imports

^{2/ 1966} through 1982 estimated to be 80.2% of total deliveries to watershed

^{3/ 1966} through 1982 estimated to be 10.7% of total deliveries to watershed

^{4/} Loss = 10% of use

TABLE B-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

			PRO	PRODUCTION								USE					REC	RECLAIMED WASTEWATER
YEAR	WELLS IN GWA	WELLS OUT GWA	VAIL RELEASE	VAIL IRRIGATION 1/	IMPORT	TOTAL 2/	AG	AG/DOM	сомм	DOM RE	SMR ELEASE F	SMR VAIL RELEASE RECHARGE	IMPORT RECHARGE	TOTAL USE	3/ Sor	ТОТАĹ	REUSE IN SMRW	MURRIETA CREEK DISCHARGE 4/
1966		0	0	185 *	0	185 [1						0	0				_	
1967	4,288	0	0	1,136 *	Ф	5,424						0	. 0			5.424	0	0
1968	5,100	0	0		O	5,498						0	0			5,498	0	. 0
1969	3,617	0	0	* 699 (0	4,314						0	0			4314		
1970	6,721	0	0	840 *	¢							0	0			7,561		0
1971	7,960	0	0	1,541 *	0							0	0			9,501		0
1972	8,369	0	0		0							0	0			8,572	0	0
1973	7,726	0	0		0							0	0			8,250	0	0
1974	10,163	0	0	1,066	0	11,229						0	0			11,229	0	0
1975	10,357	0	0	369	0	10,726						0	0			10,726	0	0
1976	11,809	0	0	20 4	119							O	0			11,978	0	0
1977	10,522	0	0	0	1,845							0	0			12,367	0	0
1978	8,930	0	0		5,774							0	0			14,704	0	0
1979	11,371	0	0		7,009							Q	0			18,380		0
1980	12,621	0	10,944	0	10,126						,-	10,944	0			33,691	0	0
1981	15,612	0	6,802		15,282							6,802	0			37,696	0	0
1982	12,631	0	6,058		13,378							6,058	0			32,067	0	0
1983	16,577	98	12,113		5,752						•"	12,113	0			35,255	0	0
1984	25,660	4	6,612		6,716							6,612	0			40,136	0	0
1985	24,373	0	5,027		7,158							5,027	0			37,759 11	0	0
1986	26,997	0	8,722	1,053	11,174							8,722	0			47,946	o _	0
1987	33,735	0	690'9		7,564							8,089	0			49,661	48	o
1988	21,367	0	4,844	0	17,854							4,844	0			44,065	82	0
1989	26,131	0	0		22,895		25,533			13,198	852	0	2,294	45,193		49,026	168	0
1990	33,241	0	0		22,030		27,643			14,916		0	0	47,401		55,271	133	0
1991	26,503	0	6,253		21,238		32,924			10,603		6,253	701	54,207		53,994	325	0
1992	29,968	0	2,244		16,931	49,143	30,651			9,672		2,244	0	45,656		49,143	374	0
1993	31,029	0	31,704	0	11,411		29,265			10,618		31,704	0	74,247		74,144	378	0
1994	32,725	0	8,469		16,386		32,534					8,469	0	56,162	1,418	57,580	1,936	0
1995	33,111	0	11,158		15,108		31,081				1,464 1	11,158	0	60,008		59,377	1,753	0
1996	36,086	0	9,427		23,600		35,912					9,427	0	66,570	2,543	69,113	2,264	0
1997	35,131	0	1,725		26,992		38,287				2,978	1,725	1,315	66,290		63,848	693 (5)	0
1998	31,846	0	4,514		19,584		28,307			16,273	459	4,514	2,785	55,143		55,944	1,376 (5)	1,179
1999	37,737	0	1,010	0	34,490		37,157		3,674	19,310	1,044	1,010	9,425	71,620	1,617	73,237	1,524 (5)	
2000	39,859	0	(49)	0	55,409		40,672	3,339	2,162 2	23,783	1,067	(49)	19,929	90,903		95,219	3,550 (5)	
2001	42,126	0	(361)	0	41,823		30,382	4,527			514	(361)	18,080	80,061		83,588	3,719 (5)	2,015
i :	:																	
1/ Figur	es from 196	6 to 1972	supplied by	1/ Figures from 1966 to 1972 supplied by USGS; 1972 to 2001 supplied by RCWD	2001 suppl	lied by RCL	5	••	% Loss =	Total prox	3/ Loss = Total production less total use	s total use		5/ Does n	ot includ	e EMWD re	eclaimed wast	5/ Does not include EMWD reclaimed wastewater production
2/ lotal	production	= Wells,	otal Diversio	2/ Total production = Wells, Total Diversions and Import				4	1/ Dischal	rge from ∑	MGD Den	4/ Discharge from 2MGD Demonstration Project)ject	- Irrigatik	n 1966 i	to 1976 by	Irrigation 1966 to 1976 by pumping from Vail Lake	Vail Lake

TABLE B-7

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U.S.M.C. - CAMP PENDLETON EXCLUDING NAVAL WEAPONS STATION SHOWN ON B-8

Quantities in Acre Feet

	PR	ODUCTIO	N	•				USE				RECLA	IMED WASTE	WATER
WATER YEAR	AG LOCAL	CAMP SUPPLY	TOTAL		AGRICUL IN SMRW	.TURE 1/ OUT SMRW	CAMP S IN SMRW	SUPPLY 2/ OUT SMRW	TOTAL EXPORT	TOTAL 3/ IN SMRW		RECHARGED IN-SMR 4/	IMPORT 5/ RECHARGED IN SMRW	TOTAL RECHARGED IN SMRW
1966	1,101	4,605	5,706	-	429	672	2,026	2,579	3,251	2,455	- 	919	974	1,893
1967	796	4,811	5,607	ii	310	486	2,117	2,694	3,180	2,427	i		1,243	2.156
1968	986	4,939	5,925	П		601	2,172	2,767	3,368	2,557	i		1,214	2.080
1969	940	4,821	5,761	П		573	2,058	2,763	3,276	2,485	ii		1,170	2,189
1970	1,106	5,481	6,587	П		675	2,347	3,134	3,809	2,778	ii		1,113	2 145
1971	819	5,291	6,110	П		500	2,264	3,028	3,527	2,583	i		1,090	2,011
1972	817	5,323	6,140	ii		498	2,278	3.045	3,543	2,597	ii		1,168	2,068
1973	1,003	5,121	6,124	H	391	612	2 189	2 932	3,544	2,580	ii		1,187	2,137
1974	909	5,202	6.111		355	554	2.224	2,978	3,532	2,579	ii		1.140	2,055
1975	757	4,593	5,350	i		462	1,957	2,636	3,098	2,252	ij		1,530	2,519
1976	885	5,384	6,269	ii	345	540	2,305	3,079	3,619	2,650	1		1,497	2,447
1977	994	4,506	5,500	ii	388	606	1,918	2,588	3,194	2,306	11		1,416	2,358
1978	176	5,177	5,353	ii	69	107	2,213	2,964	3,071	2,282	11		1,283	2,446
1979	1,070	7,213	8,283	ii	417	653	3,109	4,104	4,756	3,527	H		1,427	2,493
1980	835	5,495	6,330	ii	326	509	2,353	3,142	3,651	2,679	H		1,405	2,506
1981	1,464	5.240	6.704	ii	571	893	2,241	2,999	3,892	2,812	ii	=	1,249	2,368
1982	1,447	5,024	6,471	H	564	883	2.146	2,878	3,761	2,710	ij		1,273	2,254
1983	942	4,215	5,157	н	367	575	1,790	2,425	3,000	2,157	11		1,242	2,494
1984	1.078	4.501	5.579	ii	420	658	1,916	2,585	3,243	2,336		-	1,120	2,443
1985	1,069	4,764	5,833	ii	417	652	2.039	2,725	3,377	2,456	11		1,200	2,619
1986	953	4,807	5,760	ii	372	581	2,062	2,745	3,326	2,434	11		981	2,240
1987	1,098	4,838	5,936	н	428	670	2,064	2.774	3,444	2,492	11	1,367	1,799	3,166
1988	1,223	4,721	5,944	ii	477	746	2,010	2,711	3,457	2,487	[-	1,872	3,396
1989	856	5,044	5,900	ii	334	522	2,148	2,896	3,418	2,482			1,446	2,747
1990	855	4.228	5,083	й	333	522	1,779	2,449	2,971	2,112	Н		1,451	2,728
1991	554	3,159	3,713	ii	216	338	1,329	1,830	2,168	1,545	Н		1,219	2,720
1992	898	3,254	4,152	11	350	548	1,376	1,878	2,426	1,726			1,548	2,481
1993	1.067	2,879	3,946		416	651	1,201	1.678	2,329	1,617			1,926	2,975
1994	1,471	3,150	4,621	11	574	897	1.345	1.805	2,702	1,919	11		1,501	2,535
1995	985	3,768	4,753	i	384	601	1,588	2.180	2,781	1,972	Ш	980	1,473	2,453
1996	1,000	5,199	6,199	ii	390	610	2,232	2,967	3,577	2,622	11	951	1,493	2,444
1997	1.066	5,238	6,304	ii	416	650	2,244	2,994	3,644	2,660	11	988	1,932	2,920
1998	1,026	5,468	6,494	ii	400	626	2,352	3,116	3,742	2,752	1	935	2,073	3,008
1999	1,064	5,054	6,118	ii	415	649	2,145	2,909	3,558	2,752	; i	893	2,073	3,008
2000	1.296	5,765	7,061		506	790	2,483	3,282	4,072	2,989	11	1,036	2,130	3,023
2001	1,025	5,765	-	11	399	626	2,314	3,202	3,653	2,713	11	1,056	•	•
2001	1,020	0,041	0,000	1	223	020	2,314	0,027	5,055	4,713	-11	1,000	2,075	3,140

^{1/} Agricultural water use is divided with 39% used inside the SMRW and 61% used outside.

^{2/} Camp Supply water use inside the SMRW equals 44% of sum of Camp Supply production plus Naval Weapons Station Import, less the NWS Import for years beginning 1969. Prior to 1969 44% was used inside the SMRW and 56% was used outside.

^{3/} Assumes No Losses

^{4/} Wastewater Recharged in SMR equals effluent from Plants 3, 8 and 13 (partial).

^{5/} Wastewater Import Recharged in SMRW equals effluent from Plant 1 plus the portion of the effluent from Plant 2 returned to the SMRW via Pond 2 plus the portion of the effluent from Plant 13 not included in 4/.
No record available for effluent from Plant 2 returned to SMRW for 1966-1974 and 1982 - June 1990.
Calculation of import recharged in Santa Margarita River from Plant 2 is based on zero when no record is available.

TABLE B-8

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U. S. NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, FALLBROOK ANNEX

Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUCTION		_		US	E			WASTEWATER
WATER YEAR	LOCAL	IMPORT TO WATERSHED 1/	TOTAL		AG	COMMERCIAL DOMESTIC	LOSS 2/	TOTAL USE		EXPORTS
*** ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			**	•					•	1
1966	87	0	87	П	0	79	9	87	П	0
1967	92	0	92	ii	0	83	9	92	ΪÌ	0
1968	108	0	108	Η	0	97	11	108	H	0
1969	138	0	138	11	0	113	25	138	П	0
1970	152	0	152	11	0	125	27	152	11	0
1971	39 P	76 E	115	П	0	100	15	115	П	0
1972	0	115 E	115	11	0	105	10	115	11	0
1973	0	115 E	115	\square	0	105	10	115	П	0
1974	0	1 1 5 E	115	П	0	105	10	115	П	0
1975	0	115 E	115	11	0	105	10	115		0
1976	0	115 E	115	П	0	105	10	115	[0
1977	0	115 E	115	П	0	105	10	115		0
1978	0	115 E	115	П	0	105	10	115	11	0
1979	0	115 E	115	П	0	105	10	115	11	0
1980	0	115 E	115	П	0	105	10	115	11	0
1981	0	115 E	115	11	0	105	10	115	11	0
1982	0	115 E	115	П	0	105	10	115	\Box	0
1983	0	115 E	115	11	0	105	10	115	11	26 E
1984	0	115 E	115	П	0	105	10	115	11	26 E
1985	0	102	102	П	0	93	9	102	11	26 E
1986	0	94	94	П	0	85	9	94	11	18 P
1987	0	116	116	П	0	105	11	116	\Box	27
1988	0	120	120	Ш	0	109	11	120	11	25
1989	0	128	128	Ш	0	116	12	128	11	22
1990	0	145	145	\Box	0	132	13	145		27
1991	0	109	109	П	0	99	10	109		11
1992	0	99	99	П	0	90	9	99		7
1993	0	117	117	Ш	0	106	11	117	11	16
1994	0	73	73	Ш	0	66	7	73		5
1995	0	125	125	Ш	0	114	11	125	11	12
1996	0	100	100	Ш	0	91	9	100		5
1997	0	109	109	П	0	99	10	109		6
1998	0	97	97	11	0	88	9	97		8
1999	0	111	111	П	0	101	10	111	11	5
2000	0	104	104	П	0	95	9	104	11	7
2001	0	73	73	П	0	66	7	73		8

^{1/ -} Estimate 1969-1984 - Records not available

^{2/ -} Loss = 10% of Use

E - Estimate

P - Partial year data

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2000-2001

APPENDIX C SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

JULY 2002

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

APPENDIX C

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC, FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
AGUANGA GROL	INDWATER AREA							
Clawson, Gary A.	43425 Sage Road	917-050-009	309.74	Total				
•	Aguanga, Ca. 92536	917-050-007	82.19	1				
		581-070-013	43.10	of				
		581-150-013	120.56	1				
		581-150-016	25.37	i				
		581-070-014	158.08	30.00	Alfalfa	8S/1E-7N(1)	Total	
						8S/1E-7N(2)	of	
						8S/1E-7Q(1)	Ĭ	
						8\$/1E-7Q(2)	90.00	
							····	
Strange, Owen W.	m/t P.O. Box 1974	583-040-022	97.78	Total		8S/1E-19Q(1)	150.00	
and Elizabeth G.	Rancho Santa Fe,	583-040-021	13.45	1			Domestic	
Trustees, Strange	Ca. 92067	583-130-001 - 3	80.00	of				
Living Trust	43023 Hwy 79	583-120-001-2	120.00	1	Barley and			
of 4-15-88	Aguanga, CA 92536	583-060-003 - 9	41.60	90.00 F	Permanent pastui	re		
						8S/1E-29L Diversi	on	250.00
Twin Creek Ranch/	c/o Jim Holden	583-120-081	17.29	15.00	Row Crops			
Chester M. Mason	P. O. Box 519	583-120-081	68.09	65.00	•	8S/1E-28N1	T-4-1	
Family Trust	Corona, Ca. 91718	300-120-003	00.03	05.00	Row Crops		Total	
r uning rruot	44201 Hwy 79 Aguanga					8S/1E-28N(2)	1	
	44735 Hwy 79 Aguanga	583-120-084	179.39	30.00	Row Crops	8S/1E-29H	of	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	583-150-001	80.00	15.00	Row Crops	00/112-23/1	1	~
		000 100 001	00.00	15.00	Row Crops		i	
		583-140-014	48.03	15.00	Row Crops	8S/1E-33F	l I	
		583-140-015	40.00	35.00	Row Crops	8S/1E-33G1	l I	
		583-140-016	40.00	38.00	Row Crops	8S/1E-33B	912.00	
		583-140-018	10.09	0.00	11011 01000	20112-000	312.00	
		583-140-020	10.15	0.00				
		583-140-019	10.00	0.00				
Vrieling, Gerrit J. and Betty J.	m/t 15015 Cheshire La Mirada, Ca. 90638	583-240-022	10.00	9.00	Pistachios	8S/1E-23N	9,90	
Dony D.	24							

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
AGUANGA GROU	NDWATER AREA (Cont)						
Harris, Homer N.	44444 Sage Road	581-160-014	17.73	Total Of		8S/1E-18J(1)	0.20	
and Dolores G.	Aguanga, CA 92536			15.00	Citrus	8S/1E-18J(2)	0.25	
		581-160-015	7.42	5.00	Fruit and			
		581-150-009	7.00	10.00	Walnuts	8S/1E-18H(1)	2,00	
						8S/1E-18H(2)	0.20	
		581-180-022	30.00	0.00				
		581-180-004	20.00	0.00				
		581-180-020	20.00	0.00		8S/1E-17M		
		581-180-021	2.15			8S/1E-17E	15.00	
Valeywide Recreation	901 W. Esplanade Ave	581-170-009	7.82	7.82	Grass	Used 8S/1E-17E	owned by Harris	;
and Parks District	San Jacinto, CA 92582							
Missionary Foundation,	44350 Sage Road	581-170-011	290.03	20.00	Row Crops	8S/1E-17B	40.00	
Inc.	Aguanga, CA 92536				Ť	8S/1E-17H	Domestic	
	m/t 5160 Acadia Drive	581-180-009	120.00	0.00				
	Riverside, CA 92505	581-190-001	320.00	0.00				
California Golf Academy	43590 Sage Road Aguanga, CA 92536 m/t 8762 Garden Grove Blvd. Suite #204	581-120-006	200.00	7.00	Row Crops	8\$/1E-8K2	28.00	
	Garden Grove, CA 92844							

TOTAL AGUANGA GROUNDWATER AREA

421.82

1,247.55

250.00

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION F TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC, FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
TEMECULA CRE	EK ABOVE AGUANGA	GROUNDWAT	ER AREA					
Agri-Empire, Inc.	m/t P. O. Box 490	113-090-01	377.07					
	San Jacinto, CA 92383	113-090-03	21.46					
	·	113-090-05	541.22	71.00	Potatoes			
		113-100-01	389.81	165.00	Barley	9\$/2E-11B - Diversi	ion	0.0
		113-130-01	150.09			9S/2E-17D - Spring		0.0
		113-140-03	196,54			9S/2E-16N2	0.00	
			,00.0			9S/2E-16M	280.00	
						9S/2E-16F1	0.00	
						9S/2E-16N1	0.00	
						9S/2E-16F2	0.00	
						9S/2E-16K - Diversi		50.00
		113-140-04	503.24			DOILE TOIL DITCISI		00.00
		113-140-05	45.09					
		113-140-06	93.94					
		114-020-09	37.16					
		114-030-08	331.79	55.00	Potatoes	9S/2E-22	150.00	
		114-030-26	42.87	55.55	. 0.0.000	00,22 22	100.00	
	4.4	114-020-12	108.78	0.00				
		114-030-10	41.51	0.00				
		113-130-03	115.75	0.00				
		113-130-04	39.65	0.00	•			***************************************
Ward, Donald F.	38790 Highway 79	112-030-58	69.83	20.00	Bermuda	9S/1E-1Q(1)	100.00	
	Warner Springs, CA 9208	112-030-22	24.77	0.00				
	, -,	112-030-38	40	0		9S/1E-12A D	omestic	
		112-030-67	67.41	10.00	Bermuda	Used 9S/1E-1Q(1)		
		112-030-59	160.00	0.00		9S/1E-1M - Diversio	n	0.00
Papac, Andrew	m/t 2030 Santa Anita Ave	113-060-012	63.21	20.00	Bermuda Grass	9S/2E-7D	38.00	
and Olga	South El Monte, CA 91733					9S/2E-7E - Diversio	n	38.00
	38642 Highway 79							
	Warner Springs, CA 92086							

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK / ANZA VALLEY	ABOVE AGUANGA GR	OUNDWATER	AREA					
Greenwald, Alvin G.	6010 Wilshire Blvd #500 Los Angeles, CA 90036	573-180-001 576-070-001	156.38 70.00	156.38 70.00	Row Crops Pasture	7S/3E-17E 7S/3E-20N	625.52 266.00	
Agri-Empire, Inc.	P.O. Box 490 San Jacinto, CA 92383							
	Section 8	573-090-005 573-100-002	40.00 27.79	0.00 0.00				
	Section 10	575-050-044 575-060-002	14.36 133.93	00.0 00.0		7S/3E-11N4 7S/3E-11P3	223.15 187.51	
	Section 13	575-100-037	57.80	0.00				
,	Section 14	575-110-021 575-110-027	143.75 54.45	80.00 0.00	Potatoes	7S/3E-14D1	76.63	
		575-310-002 575-310-011 575-310-012	39.09 80.00 80.00	0.00 0.00 0.00		7S/3E-14C2	307.53	
		575-310-013 575-310-027	17.46 17.46	0.00 0.00				
	Section 15	575-080-014 575-080-015 575-080-017	9,92 4.35 9.75	0.00 0.00 0.00				
		575-080-018 575-080-019	10.13 31.29	0.00 0.00 0.00				
		575-080-021 575-080-022 575-080-024	20.00 20.00 20.00	0.00 0.00				
		575-080-027 575-090-010	20.00 38.80	0.00 0.00				
	Section 17	573-180-011	39.74	0.00				
* Land leased from Linus W. & Helen I P. O. Box 602	И. Miller	573-200-004 * 573-200-005 * 573-200-006 *	18.24 18.50 18.89	Total Grown On				
Anza, CA 92306		573-200-007 * 573-200-008 * 573-200-009 *	18.88 18.31 36.40	Miller Lease Is				
		573-200-010 *	18.68	125.00	Potatoes			

WILSON CREEK ABOVE AGUANGA GROUNDWATER AREA ANZA VALLEY (Cont) Section 20	CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
Section 20 576-060-009 8.26 Total 576-060-031 16.09 of 576-060-031 16.09 of 576-060-031 79.45		VE AGUANGA G	ROUNDWATER	RAREA					
S76-060-031 16.09 576-060-033 79.45	Agri-Empire, Inc. (Cont)								
Section 21 576-060-033 79.45		Section 20	576-060-009	8.26	Total				
Section 21			576-060-031	16.09	of				
Section 21			576-060-033	79.45	I				
Section 21 576-070-005 116.57 160.00 Potatoes			576-060-037	41,41	1				
Section 21 \$76-080-003 133.72 Total of 576-100-061 37.71 140.00 Polatoes 576-100-061 37.71 140.00 Polatoes 576-100-001 180.00 35.00 Grain			576-070-003	80.00	i				
*Land leased from Louise Phebe Hamilton Tr P. O. Box 102, Anza, CA 92306 *Section 22 *Section 23 *Section 24 *Section 25 *Section 25 *Section 26 *Section 27 *Section 27 *Section 27 *Section 28 *Section 28 *Section 28 *Section 28 *Section 28 *Section 28 *Section 29 *Section 29 *Section 20 *Section			576-070-005	116.57	160.00	Potatoes			
*Land leased from Louise Phebe Hamilton Tr P. O. Box 102, Anza, CA 92306 576-110-002 28.00 0.00 75/3E-21R3 208.92 576-110-006 19.29 0.00 75/3E-21R3 208.92 576-110-007 17.82 0.00 75/3E-21R3 208.92 576-110-008 17.00 0.00 576-110-009 18.41 0.00 576-110-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.56 Total 576-130-009 19.95 0.00 576-130-001 19.99 0.00 19.57 0.00 19.95 0.00 576-130-010 19.99 0.00 19.95 0.00 19.95 0.00 19.95 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95 19.95 19.90 0.00 19.95		Section 21	576-080-003	133.72	Total of				
*Lanileased from Louise Pribebe Hamilton Tr P. O. Box 102, Anza, CA 92306 576-110-002 28.00 0.00 75/3E-21R3 208.92 576-110-004 50.00 0.00 75/3E-21R3 208.92 576-110-007 17.82 0.00 75/3E-21R3 208.92 576-110-009 18.41 0.00 576-110-009 18.41 0.00 576-110-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.41 0.00 576-130-009 18.56 Total 576-130-009 1.00 576-130-001 19.99 0.00 576-130-001 19.99 0.00 576-130-001 19.99 0.00 576-130-001 20.07			576-100-061	37.71		Potatoes			
Louise Phabe Hamilton Tr P. O. Box 102, Anza, CA 92306 576-110-002 28.00 0.00 576-110-004 50.00 0.00 576-110-006 19.29 0.00 75/3E-21R3 208.92 576-110-007 17.32 0.00 576-110-008 17.00 0.00 576-110-009 18.41 0.00 Section 22 575-120-012 88.03 70.00 Barley 575-130-006 40.89 0.00 575-130-008 18.56 Total 575-130-001 20.07 575-130-011 19.19 of 575-130-012 18.18 575-130-012 18.18 575-130-013 19.02 75.00 Barley 575-130-014 19.00 and 575-130-015 17.56 75.00 Potatoes 575-120-019 20.45 576-120-019 20.45 575-120-031 4.68 575-120-033 4.68 575-120-034 4.68 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes *Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028* 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-030* 4.68 575-120-030* 4.68 575-120-030* 4.68 575-120-030* 4.68 Total	* Land leased from		576-110-001 *			Grain			
\$76-110-002 28.00 0.00	Louise Phebe Hamilton	Tr							
\$76-110-004 \$50.00 \$0.00 \$75/3E-21R3 \$208.92 \$75-110-006 \$19.29 \$0.00 \$75/3E-21R3 \$208.92 \$75-110-008 \$17.00 \$0.00 \$75/3E-21R3 \$208.92 \$75-110-008 \$17.00 \$0.00 \$76-110-009 \$18.41 \$0.00 \$88.03 \$70.00 \$88.169 \$675-130-003 \$19.55 \$0.00 \$675-130-003 \$19.55 \$0.00 \$675-130-006 \$18.55 \$75130-003 \$19.55 \$0.00 \$675-130-006 \$18.55 \$75130-008 \$18.55 \$75130-009 \$20.06 \$1 \$675-130-010 \$19.19 \$0.00 \$675-130-012 \$18.18 \$1 \$675-130-012 \$18.18 \$1 \$675-130-013 \$19.02 \$75.00 \$8arley \$675-130-013 \$19.02 \$75.00 \$8arley \$675-130-014 \$19.00 \$18.18 \$1 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19 \$19.00 \$19.19	P. O. Box 102, Anza, CA	92306							
Section 22 S76-110-006 19.29 0.00 75/3E-21R3 208.92			576-110-002	28.00	0.00				
Section 22 S75-120-012 S8.03 70.00 Barley			576-110-004	50.00	0.00				
Section 22 576-110-007 17.82 0.00 0.00 576-110-008 17.00 0.00 0.00 576-110-009 18.41 0.00 0.00 576-110-009 18.41 0.00			576-110-006	19.29	0.00		7S/3E-21R3	208.92	
Section 22 575-120-012 88.03 70.00 Barley			576-110-007	17.82	0.00				
Section 22			576-110-008	17.00	0.00				
575-130-003 19.55 0.00 575-130-006 40.89 0.00 575-130-008 18.56 Total 575-130-009 20.06 575-130-010 20.07 575-130-011 19.19 of 575-130-012 18.18 575-130-013 19.02 75.00 Barley 575-130-014 19.00 and 575-130-015 17.56 75.00 Potatoes 575-120-018 20.45 Total 575-120-032 4.69 575-120-033 4.68 of 575-120-034 4.68 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes *Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028* 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-029* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68	•		576-110-009	18.41	0.00				
575-130-006		Section 22	575-120-012	88.03	70.00	Barley			
S75-130-008 18.56 Total			575-130-003	19.55	0.00				
575-130-009 20.06 575-130-010 20.07 575-130-011 19.19 of 575-130-012 18.18 575-130-013 19.02 75.00 Barley 575-130-014 19.00 and 575-130-015 17.56 75.00 Potatoes 575-120-018 20.45 Total 575-120-019 20.45 575-120-032 4.69 575-120-033 4.68 of 575-120-034 4.68 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes 575-120-036 575-120-036 4.68 Total 575-120-036 575-120-036 4.68 Total 575-120-036 575-120-036 4.68 Total 575-120-036 575-120-036 4.68 Total 575-120-030 4.68 Total 575-1			575-130-006	40.89	0.00				
575-130-010 20.07 575-130-011 19.19 of 575-130-012 18.18 575-130-013 19.02 75.00 Barley 575-130-014 19.00 and 575-130-015 17.56 75.00 Potatoes 575-120-018 20.45 Total 575-120-032 4.69 575-120-033 4.68 of 575-120-034 4.68 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes *Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028* 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-029* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68			575-130-008	18.56	Total				
575-130-011 19.19 of 575-130-012 18.18 575-130-013 19.02 75.00 Barley 575-130-014 19.00 and 575-130-015 17.56 75.00 Potatoes 575-120-018 20.45 Total 575-120-032 4.69 575-120-033 4.68 575-120-034 4.68 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes 575-120-036 4.68 Total 575-120-037 4.68 575-120-038 575-120-038 4.68 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes			575-130-009	20.06	1				
575-130-012 18.18 575-130-013 19.02 75.00 Barley 575-130-014 19.00 and 575-130-015 17.56 75.00 Potatoes 575-120-018 20.45 Total 575-120-032 4.69 575-120-034 4.68 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes *Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028* 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-030* 4.68 57			575-130-010	20.07	ì				
575-130-013 19.02 75.00 Barley			575-130-011	19.19	of				
575-130-014 19.00 and 575-130-015 17.56 75.00 Potatoes 575-120-018 20.45 Total 575-120-032 4.69 575-120-033 4.68 of 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes *Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68 100			575-130-012	18.18	1				
575-130-014 19.00 and 575-130-015 17.56 75.00 Potatoes 575-120-018 20.45 Total 575-120-019 20.45 575-120-032 4.69 575-120-033 4.68 of 575-120-034 4.68 575-120-035 4.28 60.00 Potatoes *Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028* 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-029* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68			575-130-013	19,02	75.00	Barley			
Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-029 4.68 Of 575-120-039 4.68 Of 575-120-035 4.68 Of 575-120-035 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-030* 4.68			575-130-014	19.00		•			
Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028 4.68 0f 575-120-035 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-030* 4.68 0f 575-120-030* 4.68 0f			575-130-015	17.56	75.00	Potatoes			
Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028 4.68 0f *2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-030* 4.68 **Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-029* 4.68 0f 575-120-030* 4.68			575-120-018	20.45	Total				
Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028 4.68 0f *2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-030* 4.68 0f 575-120-030* 4.68 0f 575-120-030* 4.68 0f			575-120-019	20.45	I				
Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-030* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68			575-120-032	4.69	İ				
Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-029* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68			575-120-033	4.68	of				
Leased from Dionisios & Irini Argyros 575-120-028 4.68 Total 2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-029* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68			575-120-034	4.68	1				
2813 Monogram Ave, Long Beach, CA 90815 575-120-029* 4.68 of 575-120-030* 4.68			575-120-035	4.28	60.00	Potatoes			
575-120-030* 4.68			575-120-028*	4.68	Total				
· ·	2813 Monogram Ave, Long	g Beach, CA 90815	575-120-029*	4.68	of				
575-120-031* 4.23 20.00 Potatoes			575-120-030*	4.68	1				
			575-120-031*	4.23	20.00	Potatoes			
Section 23 575-140-019 105.04 65.00 Barley		Section 23	575-140-019	105.04	65.00	Barley			

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK AE ANZA VALLEY (Cont)	BOVE AGUANGA GR	OUNDWATER	R AREA					
Cahuilla Indian Reservation								
	Domestic and	Commercial Well	s Reported by B	Sureau of Indian A	ffairs		Total	
	Wells in	Wells out of					1	
	Basement Complex	Watershed	Welis	with QYAL and/o	r QTOAL		i	
							İ	
	7S/2E-14L1	8S/3E-2A1	7S/2E-14J1	7S/2E-28Q1	7S/3E-31L2		I	
	7S/2E-25D1	8S/3E-2B1	7S/2E-14M1	7S/2E-33C1	7S/3E-34E1		1	
	7S/2E-26B1	8S/3E-2D1	7S/2E-14M2	7S/2E-33E1	7S/3E-34N1		Į.	
	7S/2E-26B2	8S/3E-2E1	7S/2E-14R1	7S/2E-33N1	7S/3E-34Q1		Ţ	
	7S/2E-26B3	8S/3E-2G1	7S/2E-23A1	7S/3E-27C1	8S/2E-4D1		Ţ	
	7S/2E-34E1	8S/3E-2H1	7S/2E-23D1	7S/3E-27C2	8S/2E-4N1		ļ	
	7S/2E-36A1	8S/3E-2K1	7S/2E-23F1	7S/3E-27H1	8S/2E-4N2		,	
	7S/2E-36J1 7S/2E-36R1		7S/2E-23G1	7S/3E-27M1	8S/2E-4P1			
	75/2E-36R1 7S/3E-26A1		7S/2E-23H1 7S/2E-23K1	7S/3E-28A1 7S/3E-28A2	8S/2E-4R1		i	
	7S/3E-29Q1		7S/2E-23M1	7S/3E-28D1	8S/2E-4R2 8S/3E-5Q1		۱ of	
	7S/3E-30H1		7S/2E-23P1	7S/3E-29C1	8S/3E-6J1		01	
	7S/3E-31A1		7S/2E-23Q1	7S/3E-29M1	00/DL-001		i I	
	7S/3E-31N1		7S/2E-25C1	7S/3E-3OP1			i [
	7S/3E-31Q1		7S/2E-25F1	7S/3E-3OQ1			\$ \$	
	7S/3E-32D1		7S/2E-25R1	7S/3E-3OR1			; [
	7S/3E-32D2		7S/2E-26E1	7S/3E-3OR2			•	
	8S/3E-6B1		7S/2E-26L1	7S/3E-3OR3			í	
	8S/3E-6B2		7S/2E-27A1	7S/3E-31C1			i	
	8S/3E-6G1		7S/2E-27H1	7S/3E-31F1			ĺ	
	8S/3E-6R1		7S/2E-28N1	7S/3E-31L1			Ì	
							42.00	
SUBTOTAL ANZA VAI	LLEY			1,131.38			1,937.26	0.0
WILSON CREEK AE LEWIS VALLEY	BOVE AGUANGA GR	OUNDWATER	RAREA					
	9850 Sage Road lemet, CA 92343	571-080-012	80.00	50.00	Olive Trees	7S/1E-20Q	55.00	
SUBTOTAL LEWIS VA	NI LEV			50.00			55.00	0.0

1,181.38

1,992.26

0.00

ABOVE AGUANGA GROUNDWATER AREA

TOTAL WILSON CREEK

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
MURRIETA-TEME	CULA GROUNDWATER	RAREA						
Temecula Ranchos	m/t 2100 Tulare St #405	952-240-001	429.43	378,46	Citrus	8S/2W-14P1		
c/o Chester Rowell	Fresno, CA 93271	952-230-002	48,92	41.20	Citrus	8S/2W-14F	233.00	
and Roger Rowell	45055 Rio Linda Road	943-230-001	109.34	107.00	Citrus	7S/2W-26L	245.00	
	Rancho California Road	943-230-003	14.18	13.00	Citrus			
	La Serena Way	942-230-003	37.84	37.00	Citrus			
	Temecula, CA 92390	943-040-011	20.00	18.00	Citrus	7S/2W-28L	0.00	
		943-060-010	94.49	89.00	Citrus			
	pp. 1 = 10.00 to 1	943-060-011	26.50	29.00	Citrus			
Anza Grove	c/o McMillan Farm Mgt.	942-180-002	40,28	Total of				
	29379 Rancho Cal. Rd	942-240-003	40.83	155.00	Citrus			
	#201	942-240-004	40,83	and				
	Temecula, CA 92390	942-240-005	39.31	6.00	Grapes	7S/2W-26B1	275.00	
Stage Ranch Farm Management	P. O. Box 1371 Temecula, CA 92593	927-620-004	17.84	18.00	Wine Grapes	7S/3W-31G(1)	37.00	
A Peel Citrus	c/o Stage Ranch Farm Mgmt.	917-240-015-7	20.00	Total		***************************************		
Giddings, Richard W.	P. O. Box 1371	917-240-014-6	60.00	of				
Mendoza, Bertha	Temecula, CA 92593	917-150-006-1	120,00	110.00	Citrus and	8S/1W-21K(1)	Total	
•	38695 Highway 79	917-150-002-7	117,76	10.00	Apples	8S/1W-21K(2)	of	
	Warner Springs, CA 92086					8S/1W-21P(1)	1	
						8S/1W-21P(2)	375.00	
Boots, Clydene	P. O. Box 321 Murrieta, CA 92362 25555 Washington Ave	909-090-019 909-100-017	16.66	14.00	Pasture	7S/3W-21P	60.00	•
	Murrieta, Ca. 92564		, ,,-10					
James A. and	Highway 79 S	917-250-004	80.00	200.00	Grapes	8S/1W-25Q	20.77	
Maggie Carter	Temecula, CA	917-250-004	80.00	200.00	Grapes	8S/1W-25P	132.23	
Living Trust	m/t P. O. Box 507	J.1200-003	00.00			8S/1W-25N(1)Sprin		24.79
	Santa Ana, CA 92702-0507	917-250-007	240.00			8S/1W-36K Spring	•	33.06
		200 007	2-0.50			8S/1W-36H Spring		41.32
						8S/1W-36L - Stream		7.83

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
MURRIETA-TEME	CULA GROUNDWATE	R AREA (Cont)					
Regency Properties	44051 Rainbow Cyn Rd.	922-220-002	86.11	Total		8S/2W-19(D)	335.67	
	Temecula, CA 92592	922-220-003	5.75	1		` '		
		922-220-004	52.18	i				
		922-220-007	14.36	i				
		922-220-008	3.99	of				
		922-230-002	59.29	1				
		922-230-003	1.00	,				
	•	922-230-004	40.00	i				
		922-230-007	25.00	i				
		922-230-008	16.11	150.00	Grass			
Carson, David M.	25471 Hayes Ave	909-260-036	8,87	7.00	Pasture	70/014/ 000	20.00	
and Carol J.	Murrieta, CA 92362	909-260-042	4.31	3,50	Pasture Pasture	7S/3W-29G	39.90	
'echanga Indian Reser	vation							
Pechanga Indian Reser		Commercial Wells	Reported by 8	iureau of Indian A	Affairs		Total	
Pechanga Indian Reser			Reported by 8				Total 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and	Commercial Wells Wells out of SMR Watershee		We	Affairs lls with <u>d/or QTOAL</u>		Total 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	<u>.</u>	We <u>QYAL an</u>	lls with d/or QTOAL		Tot al 	
echanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	<u>.</u>	We <u>QYAL an</u> 8S/2W-28J1	lls with d/or QTOAL 8S/2W-34B3		Tot al 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	<u>1</u>	We <u>QYAL an</u> 8S/2W-28J1 8S/2W-28J2	lls with d/or QTOAL 8S/2W-34B3 8S/2W-34B4		Tot al 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	· · ·	We <u>QYAL an</u> 8S/2W-28J1 8S/2W-28J2 8S/2W-28P1	lls with <u>d/or QTOAL</u> 8S/2W-34B3 8S/2W-34B4 8S/2W-34C1		 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	. , <u>1</u>	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28P1 8\$/2W-28Q1	88/2W-34B3 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34C1 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34D1		Total	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	. , <u>1</u>	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28P1 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2	88/2W-34B3 88/2W-34B4 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34D1 88/2W-34E1		 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	. ,	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28P1 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q4	88/2W-34B3 88/2W-34B4 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34D1 88/2W-34E1 88/2W-34F1		 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	· ,	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28P1 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q4 8\$/2W-28Q6	85/2W-34B3 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34E1 85/2W-34F1 85/2W-34F2		 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	· · ·	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q4 8\$/2W-28Q6 8\$/2W-28Q7	88/2W-34B3 88/2W-34B4 88/2W-34B4 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34E1 88/2W-34F1 88/2W-34F2 88/2W-34F3		 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	· · ·	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q4 8\$/2W-28Q6 8\$/2W-28Q7 8\$/2W-28Q7	85/2W-34B3 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34E1 85/2W-34F1 85/2W-34F2 85/2W-34F3 85/2W-34F3		 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	· · ·	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q4 8\$/2W-28Q6 8\$/2W-28Q7	88/2W-34B3 88/2W-34B4 88/2W-34B4 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34C1 88/2W-34E1 88/2W-34F1 88/2W-34F2 88/2W-34F3		 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	· · ·	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q4 8\$/2W-28Q6 8\$/2W-28Q7 8\$/2W-28Q7 8\$/2W-28R1 8\$/2W-29A1	85/2W-34B3 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34F1 85/2W-34F1 85/2W-34F2 85/2W-34F3 85/2W-34F4 85/2W-34F4	149.40	 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	· · ·	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q4 8\$/2W-28Q6 8\$/2W-28Q7 8\$/2W-28Q7 8\$/2W-28R1 8\$/2W-29A1	85/2W-34B3 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34E1 85/2W-34F1 85/2W-34F1 85/2W-34F3 85/2W-34F4 85/2W-34F4 85/2W-34F7 85/2W-35D1	149.40 85.40	 	
Pechanga Indian Reser	Domestic and Wells in	Wells out of	· · ·	We QYAL an 8\$/2W-28J1 8\$/2W-28J2 8\$/2W-28Q1 8\$/2W-28Q2 8\$/2W-28Q4 8\$/2W-28Q6 8\$/2W-28Q7 8\$/2W-28Q7 8\$/2W-28R1 8\$/2W-29A1	85/2W-34B3 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34B4 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34C1 85/2W-34F1 85/2W-34F1 85/2W-34F2 85/2W-34F3 85/2W-34F4 85/2W-34F4	149.40 85.40 56.20	 	

TOTAL MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUNDWATER AREA

1,386.16

2,044.57 111.00

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION I TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC, FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
SANTA MARGAR	ITA RIVER BELOW GO	RGE						
DE LUZ CREEK								
Ezor, Albert E.	40922 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-271-17	47.79	12.00 2.00	Avocados Vegetables	8S/4W-29D(1) 8S/4W-29D(2)	36.80 Total	
Bryant, Warren and Lori	40724 DeLuz Rd Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-271-19 101-271-20 101-271-21	19.08 5.02 11.86	Total of 8.00	Postura	8S/4W-29E(1)	30.40 	
		101-271-21	6.41	8.00	Pasture	8S/4W-29E(2)	Total	
Prestininzi, Pete	2525 E. Mission Road	101-220-12	31.63		Pasture & Flowers			
and Dorothy N.	Fallbrook, CA 92028 Richmond Truck Trail and DeLuz Murrieta Road	101-210-53	50.44	12.00	Avocados and Citrus	8S/4W-20A(1) 8S/4W-20H(1) 8S/4W-20H(2) 8S/4W-20A(2)	8.00 8.00 7.00	
	1777/AVACET			***		8S/4W-20H(3) 8S/4W-20A - Divers	sion	23.00
Varela, Alfred	41125 DeLuz Rd Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-210-11	15.23	8.50 0.50	Avocados Citrus	8S/4W-20Q(1) 8S/4W-20Q(2)	21.60 Total	
Herbel, John and Jeraldine	41257 DeLuz Rd Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-210-12	30.28	10.00 18.00 2.00	Avocados Citrus Row crops	8S/4W-20Q(1) 8S/4W-20Q(2) 8S/4W-20Q(3)	Total of 66.20	
Wagner, Wilbur A.	41128 DeLuz	101-210-23	17.19	11.00 3.00	Avocados Persimmons	00.411 200(0)	00.20	
		101-210-22	4.55	3.00	Persimmons	8S/4W-20P(1) 8S/4W-20P(2) 8S/4W-20P(3)	0.00 0.00 33.00	
Chambers, Robert R. and Clytia M.	m/t 11439 Laurelcrest Dr. Studio City, CA 91604 40888 DeLuz-Murrieta Rd.	101-571-03	41.72	20.00	Flowers	8S/4W-28A 8S/4W-28A - Divers	42.00 iion	3.00
Welburn, Douglas J. and Sue	40787 DeLuz Murrieta Rd. Fallbrook, CA 92028 40751 DeLuz Murrieta Rd	101-571-08	26.98	8.00 1.50	Row Crops Trees	8S/4W-28G1	33.00	
Nezami, Mohammed Bluebird Ranch	2193 Calle Rociada Fallbrook, CA m/t P. O. Box 1089	101-312-02	58.17	45.00 5.00	Flowers Avocados	8S/4W-31K(1) 8S/4W-31K(2) 8S/4W-31K(3)	Total of	
	Fallbrook, CA 92088	101-312-01	82.29	42.00	Flowers	8S/4W-31L 8S/4W-31L - Divers	162.18	31.48

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION F TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
SANTA MARGAR	ITA RIVER BELOW GOR	GE (Cont)			,			
DE LUZ CREEK (C	ont)							
Vanginkel, Norman and Deborah	39452 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, CA 92028 m/t 20664 Calle De La Ladera Yorba Linda, CA 92887	101-312-03	80.00	20.00	Nursery Stock	8S/4W-31J(1) 8S/4W-31J(2) 8S/4W-31J(3) 8S/4W-31J(4)	0.00 15.00 3.00 25.00	
		102-052-04	22,04			8S/4W-6A	4.00	
	T ROOM AND A	102-731-02	4.26					
Daily Family Trust	40555 Ross Road	101-430-27	2.73	Total of				
	Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-430-30	16.39	7.00	Avocados			
		101-500-01	16.62	7.00	Limes	00/40/04 1 - 5 - 55		7.00
		101-480-14	13.20	6.00	Persimmons	8S/4W-34- Lake Div	version	7.00
SUBTOTAL DELUZ	CREEK			217.50	, w - 2007 - A P 2007 AND A WAR LAND LAND		495.18	64.48
SANDIA CREEK								
Cal June, Inc.	P. O. Box 9551 No. Hollywood, CA 91609 40376 Sandia Creek Fallbrook, CA 92028	101-360-40	126.32	65.00	Avocados	8S/4W-25P(1) 8S/4W-25P(2) 8S/4W-25P(3) 8S/4W-25P(4) 8S/4W-25P(5) 8S/4W-25P - Divers	iion	325.00
SUBTOTAL SANDIA	A CREEK		2 - 10-20-21	65.00		· Pass	0.00	325.00
SANTA MARGARIT	A RIVER							
San Diego State University Foundation	m/t Louis Haberkern, Director SDSU Foundation	918-040-10 918-060-17	120.00 40.00	Total of 20.00	Citrus and Avocados	8S/3W-33Q1 8S/3W-33Q(2) 8S/3W-33Q - Divers	0.00 3.00 sion	44.75
	5250 Campanile Dr., 4th Flr. San Diego, CA 92182-1999							

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 2000-2001	IRRIGATED CROP 2000-2001	WELL/ DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. FT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
LOWER MURRIET	ГА							
Northwind Fams, Inc.	c/o Cliff Ronnenberg	571-020-046	81.09	0.00				
	11292 Western Avenue	571-020-047	40.80	0.00				
	Stanton, CA 90680	571-020-048	36.75	0.00				
(Sage Ranch Nursery)	42522 E. Benton Rd.	571-020-049	148.86	0.00		7S/1E-7D	5.50	
	Aguanga, CA	571-020-004	1.50	0.00			0.00	
		571-520-007	109.50	Total				
		571-520-008	99.43	1				
		571-520-009	80.23	of				
		571-520-010	78.20	i				
		915-140-003	101.65	i				
		915-140-008	21.39	í				
		470-210-007	53.62	,				
		470-220-004	121.00	400.00	Olive trees	7S/1E-7E - Divers	ion	100.00
Zamora, John and Linda	39800 E. Benton Rd. Temecula, CA 92390	915-120-18	37.74	10.00	Pasture	7S/1W-10R(1) 7S/1W-10R(2) 7S/1W-10R(3) 7S/1W-10R(4) 7S/1W-10R(5) 7S/1W-10R(6)	Total of 38.00 Domestic	
TOTAL LOWER M	URRIETA		- pas III-	410.00			43.50	100.00
GRAND TOTAL				4,042.86			6,394.06	983.23
GRAND TOTAL	Not including Pechanga Ind and Cahuilla Indian Reserv			4,042.86			6,061.06	979.23

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 2000-2001

APPENDIX D WATER QUALITY DATA

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TABLE D-2.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

NUTRIENT SAMPLING BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

2000-2001

Site Location	Date Tested	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	Nitrate as N (mg/l)	Total N (mg/l)	Total P (mg/l)
Murrieta Creek	10/04/00	520	2.8	2.8	0.08
at Gaging Station	10/11/00	570	1	1.5	0.10
	10/18/00	670	ND	0.6	0.08
	10/25/00	560	1.5	1.8	0.06
	11/01/00	590	0.4	1.2	0.28
	11/08/00	650	0.3	0.5	0.10
	11/15/00	650	0.4	0.9	0.12
	11/22/00	680	0.3	0.8	0.08
	11/29/00	600	0.3	8.0	0.51
	12/06/00	610	0.6	1.3	0.47
	12/13/00	770	0.5	1.2	0.16
	12/20/00	800	1.3	1.8	0.39
	12/27/00	790	ND	8.0	0.15
	01/03/01	770	0.2	0.9	0.13
	01/10/01	480	0.9	2.1	0.28
	01/17/01	640	0.3	1.0	0.24
	01/24/01	700	ND	0.8	0.21
	01/31/01	650	ND	0.7	0.37
	02/07/01	790	ND	1.2	0.26
	02/14/01	260	0.4	3.2	0.86
	02/21/01	390	ND	1.5	0.27
	02/28/01	300	0.7	2.4	0.62
	03/07/01	360	0.3	1.1	1.10
	03/14/01	640	ND	0.5	0.20
	03/21/01	790	ND	0.7	0.28
	03/28/01	920	0.1	1.4	0.38
	04/04/01	790	ND	0.07	0.25
	04/04/01	470			
			0.9	1.6	0.91
	04/18/01	840	ND	0.9	0.15
	04/25/01	690	ND	1.4	0.19
	05/02/01	690	0.7	1.3	0.18
	05/09/01	650	8.0	1.6	0.07
	05/16/01	710	8.0	1.6	0.12
	05/23/01	750	0.3	1.5	0.17
	05/30/01	810	ND	0.8	0.18
	06/06/01	690	0.7	1.1	0.13
	06/13/01	730	ND	0.9	0.12
	06/20/01	670	1.6	1.9	0.10
	06/27/01	740	0.5	1.1	0.09
	07/05/01	750	0.4	1.6	0.33
	07/11/01	740	ИD	1.0	0.17
	07/18/01	730	8.0	1.1	0.12
	07/25/01	740	0.7	1.2	0.37
	08/01/01	710	ND	0.4	0.18
	08/08/01	670	0.6	8.0	0.55
	08/15/01	650	0.6	1.0	0.15
	08/22/01	650	0.4	0.7	0.17
	08/29/01	660	0.6	0.9	0.14
	09/05/01	720	0.5	1.2	0.23
	09/12/01	800	ND	1.0	0.22
	09/19/01	730	0.4	1.5	0,27
	09/26/01	720	0.4	0.8	0.17

TABLE D-2.1 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

NUTRIENT SAMPLING BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

2000-2001

Site Location	Date Tested	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	Nitrate as N (mg/l)	Total N (mg/l)	Total P (mg/l)
Temecula Creek	10/04/00	910	ND	0.3	0.20
	10/11/00	930	ND	0.6	0.23
	10/18/00	940	ND	0.5	0.19
	10/25/00	920	ND	0.4	0.19
	11/01/00	900	ND	0.7	0.22
	11/08/00	910	ND	0.5	0.13
	11/15/00	890	ND	0.5	0.12
	11/22/00	920	ND	0.6	` 0.10
	11/29/00	900	ND	0.5	0.12
	12/06/00	910	ND	0.4	0.22
	12/13/00	910	ND	0.6	0.16
	12/20/00	900	ND	0.3	0.27
	12/27/00	900	ND	0.5	0.23
	01/03/01	920	ND	0,6	0.12
	01/10/01	920	ND	0.2	0.14
	01/17/01	910	ND	1.0	0.28
	01/24/01	820	0.3	0.7	0.17
	02/07/01	910	ND	0.7	0.18
	02/14/01	270	0.5	2.6	0.93
	02/21/01	860	0.4	1.0	0.23
	02/28/01	350	0.7	4.7	1.50
	03/07/01	430	0.5	1.7	0.67
	03/14/01	890	8.0	1.2	0.85
	03/21/01	990	0.6	1.2	0.28
	03/28/01	790	ND	0.5	0.56
	04/04/01	950	0.6	1.2	0.24
	04/11/01	930	0.9	1.5	0.35
	04/18/01	940	0.7	1.5	0.20
	04/25/01	950	1.0	2.8	0.44
	05/02/01	940	0.8	1.7	0.26
	05/09/01	970	1.8	2.8	0.20
	05/16/01	1040	0.6	1.5	0.29
	05/23/01	950	0.5	1.3	0.24
	05/30/01	980	0.3	1.1	0.23
	06/06/01	1000	0.2	0.8	0.33
	06/13/01	970	ND	0.7	0.25
	06/20/01	980	ND	0.4	0.14
•	06/27/01	1010	ND	0,5	0.22
	07/05/01	1070	ND	1.2	0.20
	07/11/01	1000	ND 0.3	0.9	0.23
	07/18/01	980	0.2 ND	0.3	0.20
	07/25/01	1020	ND	0.6	0.23
	08/01/01	1000	0.2	0.5	0.30
	08/08/01	1070	ND	0.5	0.24
	08/15/01	1000	ND	0.5	0.28
	08/22/01	990	ND	0.5	0.33
	08/29/01	1050	ND	0.5	0.40
	09/05/01	1030	ND	0.8	0.38
	09/12/01 09/19/01	1030 970	ND	0.9	0.76
			ND	0.8	0.27
	09/26/01	980	ND	0.6	0.46

TABLE D-2.1 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

NUTRIENT SAMPLING BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

2000-2001

		2000-2001			
Site Location	Date Tested	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	Nitrate as N (mg/l)	Total N (mg/l)	Total F (mg/l)
Santa Margarita River	10/04/00	520	1.5	1.8	0.06
at Gaging Station	10/11/00	610	1.0	1.3	0.07
	10/18/00	610	0.6	1.0	0.05
	10/25/00	640	1.4	1.7	0.06
	11/01/00	590	0.4	1.0	0.23
	11/08/00	660	ND	0.8	0.10
	11/15/00	720	0.3	0.9	0.10
	11/22/00	680	0.2	0.8	ND
	11/29/00	640	0.2	0.7	0.11
	12/06/00	720	ND	0.6	0.06
	12/11/00	800			
			ND	0.5	0.08
	12/20/00	820	2.4	2.8	0.21
	12/27/00	800	ND	0.7	0.13
	01/03/01	800	ND	0.7	0.28
	01/10/01	490	8.0	1.9	0.24
	01/17/01	690	0.3	8.0	0.23
	01/24/01	710	ND	0.7	0.18
	01/31/01	570	ND	0.7	0.19
	02/07/01	780	ND	0.6	0.21
	02/14/01	250	0.5	2.3	0.68
	02/21/01	360	ND	0.6	0.26
	02/28/01	300	0.7	2.7	0.64
	03/07/01	360	0.2	0.9	0.43
	03/14/01	640	ND	0.6	0.82
	03/21/01	810	ND	0.5	0.22
•	03/28/01	820	ND	0.5	0.29
	04/04/01	860	ND	0.8	0.23
	04/11/01	530	1.0	1.5	0.12
	04/18/01	820	0.2	0.9	0.15
	04/25/01	680	ND	1.8	0.87
	05/02/01	720	1.4	2.2	0.19
	05/09/01	690	0.6	1.5	0.33
	05/16/01	730	0.7	1.5	0.13
	05/23/01	680	0.6	1.5	0.14
	05/30/01	820	ND	0.7	0.56
	06/06/01	750	0.3	0.9	0.15
	06/13/01	760	0.3	0.8	0.20
	06/20/01	690	0.8	1.1	0.22
	06/27/01	770	0.3	1.1	0.10
	07/05/01	770	0.2	1.6	0.27
	07/11/01	780	ND	1.2	0.18
	07/18/01	730	0.7	0.9	0.14
	07/25/01	800	0.5	1.0	0.14
	08/01/01	780	ND	0.5	0.15
	08/08/01	740	0.7	1.0	0.13
	08/15/01	700			
			0.5	1.1	0.16
	08/22/01	690	0.6	0.9	0.19
	08/29/01	700	0.7	1.0	0.17
			0.6	1.7	0.32
	09/05/01	730			
	09/12/01	810	ND	1.1	0.24

TABLE D-2.1 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

NUTRIENT SAMPLING BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

2000-2001

Site Location	Date Tested	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	Nitrate as N (mg/l)	Total N (mg/l)	Total P (mg/l)
System Discharge to	10/04/00	490	3.4	3.5	0.07
Murrieta Creek at	10/11/00	460	1.9	2	0.06
River Meter	10/25/00	490	2.7	2.9	0.07
	05/02/01	490	2.5	2.8	ND
	05/09/01	460	2.0	2.2	ND
	05/16/01	490	2.6	3.0	ND
	05/23/01	540	1.8	2.1	ND
	06/06/01	500	2.6	2.6	ND
	06/13/01	500	0.2	1.0	ND
	06/20/01	530	2.6	2.5	ND
	06/27/01	500	0.9	1.1	0.06
	07/05/01	490	2.5	3.0	0.10
	07/11/01	520	0.3	1.4	0.45
	07/18/01	500	2.4	2.1	0.06
	07/25/01	500	1.6	1.5	0.06
	08/01/01	500	0.5	0.4	0.12
	08/08/01	530	1.3	1.4	0.42
	08/15/01	500	0.7	8.0	0.79
	08/22/01	520	0.6	0.7	0.05
	08/29/01	510	0.5	0.6	ND
	09/05/01	490	1.5	1.6	0.07
	09/19/01	470	0.9	1.7	0.07
	09/26/01	480	2.4	2.6	0.05
Santa Margarita River	10/17/00	740	1.3	1.7	ND
at Willow Glen	12/11/00	920	1.7	2.8	0.06
	03/13/01	710	2.9	3.7	0.15
	05/09/01	900	1.2	2.1	0.10
	06/19/01	950	1.2	1.8	ND
	07/10/01	870	0.6	1.6	0.06
	08/13/01	960	1.1	1.4	0.06
	09/24/01	830	0.2	0.9	ND
Santa Margarita River	10/17/00	850	ND	0.3	ND
at DeLuz Crossing	12/11/00	890	0.4	0.7	ND
	03/13/01	740	3.2	3.7	0.18
	05/09/01	850	1.4	2.3	0.09
	06/19/01	930	0.5	0.9	ND
	07/10/01	900	ND	0.5	0.09
	08/13/01	800	ND	0.3	ND
	09/24/01	940	ND	0.3	0.05
Santa Margarita River	10/17/00	12,600	5.5	7.4	2.10
at Estuary	12/12/00	9,700	6.0	8.7	2.20
	03/13/01	760	1.3	2.0	0.10
	05/09/01	1,030	ND	0.7	0.31
	06/19/01	2,570	ND	1.2	0.68
	07/10/01	4,250	6.3	7.2	1.30
	08/13/01	3,740	ND	2.1	1.80
	09/24/01	9,630	ND	2.5	2.80

TABLE D-4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Cita I pastion	Date	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chei	mical Co	nstituent	s - mg	/I	
Site Location	Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	Na K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 101	06/01/88	810	495	76	15	79	8	116	16	314	
7S/3W-34G1	08/05/88										<1
•	05/23/90	630	365	30	6	91	2	101	35	107	3
	08/04/93	860	465	76	14	78	2	120	22	275	<1
	08/09/96	820	480	69	14	83	2	110	15	310	<2
	10/16/97										<2
	08/11/99	840	510	70	14	85	2	110	17	300	<2
No. 102	01/04/89	695	370	9	2	134	1	101	25	195	<1
8S/3W-2Q1	01/15/92	930	615	38	4	160	3	160	55	250	<1
	05/17/95	850	475	21	1	144	1	120	130	98	<1
	06/20/95	1190	700	26	2	207	2	150	220	131	<1
	06/09/97										<2
No. 105	07/06/89	500	280	30	6	66	2	71	22	134	14
7S/3W-25M1	03/17/93	480	310	17	2	80	2	67	22	110	14
No. 106	06/29/88	920	485	38	5	143	3	182	66	70	16
7S/3W-26R1	05/13/92	880	515	35	4	142	2	180	72	110	17
	05/16/95	870	495	32	3	138	2	160	57	116	14
	07/07/97			-							8
	07/20/98							***	****		9
	07/20/99										9
	07/06/00										8
	05/01/01	490	300	7	<1	96	<1	70	23	100	8
	07/10/01										12
No. 107	04/11/88	490	365	19	4	73	2	69	22	116	15
7S/3W-26J1	05/29/91	950	535	63	15	104	3	130	120	171	11
No. 108	05/25/88	780	455	51	11	96	2	120	68	153	14
7S/3W-25E1	05/29/91	930	500	59	14	104	3	130	110	153	10
	05/13/94	640	395	23	5	100	2	120	51	104	7
	05/16/95										5
	05/13/97	540	300	7	<1	110	<1	110	15	85	4
	05/05/99										8
	05/16/00	630	350	7	<1	110	<1	130	12	65	3
	05/02/01										2

TABLE D-4 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Cor	nstituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	SO4	нсоз	NO3
No. 109	06/01/88	1400	920	136	35	120	4	100	300	296	
8S/2W-17J1	08/05/88										10
	06/12/91	1330	800	110	26	120	5	120	270	275	9
	06/22/94	1370	1010	138	32	124	5	140	320	287	7
	06/06/95	****									8
	06/13/97	1440	1010	130	31	140	4	140	330	280	10
	07/16/97		***								2.2 @N
	04/14/99	Branca .									12
	04/11/00										13
	06/21/00	1330	870	120	28	130	4	120	280	270	3.2
	04/10/01	****	· · ·					distant			13
No. 110	03/31/88	1100	630	70	23	132	6	115	163	268	3
8S/1W-06K1	03/11/93	1010	610	60	21	124	5	110	200	201	3
	04/27/95										1
	07/20/99										<2
	07/06/00										2
	07/10/01										2
No. 113	03/28/88	700	400	41	12	87	2	11	20	192	18
7S/2W-25H01	03/21/91	570	290	21	5	79	2	88	17	1 19	11
	03/03/94	700	410	46	13	86	2	120	25	189	19
	04/27/95										24
	03/20/97	880	500	53	15	96	2	140	33	200	22
	07/20/98										23
	09/16/98										22
	02/25/99										19
	04/14/99				*****						17
	06/03/99							***			21
	09/14/99										22
	10/21/99		*****		_						25
	11/02/99										22
	12/14/99		***								23
	01/11/00										18
	03/07/00	810	470	75	16	59	2	70	94	200	11
	04/11/00										23
	05/03/00										24
	06/21/00										23
	09/13/00						***				23

TABLE D-4 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Cor	nstituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 113 (cont'd)	10/06/00										21
7S/2W-25H01	02/14/01										16
70/211 201101	05/30/01										23
	06/12/01										22
	08/01/01	***	***								22
No. 118	08/08/90	715	480	14	1	162	1	120	79	101	1
8S/3W-11B	09/26/90										1
	09/10/93	860	525	19	1	178	1	130	94	198	<1
	06/20/95										<1
	09/16/96	970	560	33	2	180	2	120	120	230	<2
	07/23/97										0.2 @N
	09/16/98										2
	11/02/99	1040	580	46	4	170	2	130	100	240	<2
	09/20/00										<2
No. 119	07/16/96	450	280	44	9	35	<1	39	18	180	15
8S/2W-19J	08/14/97										12
	12/24/97		320								3.1@N
	03/04/98		380								3.3@N
	06/04/98										3.8@N
	06/12/98		400								
	09/16/98										3.7@N
	01/08/99		430								
	04/13/99										28
	06/02/99		560								4.8@N
	07/27/99	940	640	103	21	58	1	7Ó	150	264	30
	09/14/99	***									22
	09/14/99										4.8@N
	10/26/99	****									24
	11/02/99										22
	12/14/99		560								22
	04/04/00										20
	12/14/00										4.6@N
	03/29/01										20
	06/20/01										4.2@N
	09/14/01										4.2@N
	09/28/01										18

TABLE D-4 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nstituents	tuents - mg/l				
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3	
No. 120	06/20/90	570	330	6	1	116	1	82	31	113	11	
8S/2W-17G	06/10/93	590	340	6	<1	122	1	85	35	104	.12	
	07/19/96	630	360	6	<1	120	1	88	42	120	14	
	06/16/97										10	
	08/14/97										9	
	06/02/99	620	360	6	<1	122	<1	84	45	120	10	
	06/06/00										11	
	06/13/01									***	12	
No. 121	10/27/89	900	475	63	14	99	2	109	28	290	<1	
7S/3W-34J	05/19/92	1000	560	72	17	120	3	170	56	270	<1	
	07/18/97										ND	
	07/24/97		640								ND	
	08/20/97										ND	
	09/03/97								***		ND	
No. 122	06/23/97										6	
8S/2W-20P1	07/25/97	660	460	64	13	44	1	61	65	190	8	
	10/10/97			-							9	
	12/23/97		400		***						1.8@N	
•	03/25/98		450								2.2@N	
	06/03/98										2.4@N	
	06/05/98		460									
	09/17/98										2.2@N	
	01/08/99		450									
	06/03/99		470								2.1@N	
	04/13/99										9	
	09/21/99										2.1@N	
	03/07/00										16	
	04/04/00										9	
	06/28/00	780	470	79	16	62	1	73	100	210	11	
	12/13/00										2.5@N	
	03/27/01		-								2.5@N	
	04/18/01		_								10	
	06/20/01										2.4@N	
	09/13/01										2.7@N	

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Cor	nstituents	- mg/l	l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	К	CI	S04	НСО3	NO3
No. 123	06/06/90	1100	690	69	27	132	6	130	170	281	4
8S/1W-7B	06/10/93	1120	690	74	25	136	6	120	190	250	5
00/1 // -/D	02/05/97	930	550	55	18	110	5	83	130	250	1.3
	04/27/99										3
	06/02/99										3
	07/20/99						10-17-19				2
	08/11/99										2
	09/14/99	V- W							-		2
	10/21/99										2
	11/02/99						****				2
	02/09/00	1150	610	59	20	100	5	83	150	240	3
	02/09/01										3
No. 124	06/20/90	660	380	38	4	92	3	97	48	153	13
8S/2W-11R1	07/22/93	690	430	42	5	89	3	90	57	159	17
	07/18/95										11
	10/26/99	700	420	45	4	94	3	97	61	160	16
	07/06/00										17
	07/10/01										16
No. 125	06/20/90	740	425	17	5	132	3	99	54	186	4
8S/2W-12H	06/10/93	770	450	18	5	140	3	150	60	131	3
	06/20/95										2
	06/09/97							 -			2
	09/17/98										3
	06/03/99	720	440	10	3	135	2	89	76	170	<2
	11/02/99						****				3
	11/15/00										2
	07/24/01										4
No. 126	05/04/88	480	290	4	<1	106	<1	53	14	64	<1
8S/2W-15H	07/06/89	500	270	2	1	108	<1	55	11	98	<1
	07/18/95	540	315	1	<1	122	<1	72	11	122	<1
	07/07/97		****								<2
	07/16/97										0.2@N
	07/23/97										0.2@N
	08/20/97										0.4@N
	09/03/97										0.2@N
	09/17/97										0.2@N

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	S04	нсоз	NO3
No. 126	07/20/98	520	330	2	<1	120	<1	56	11	130	<2
8S/2W-15H	09/16/98		300								0.4@N
	04/14/99										2
	04/11/00										<2
	04/11/01										2
	07/12/01	530	300	2	<1	100	<1	53	12	140	<2
No. 128	07/06/89	400	230	27	3	54	2	59	7	101	25
7/3W-36M	07/08/92	390	230	21	2	59	2	55	1	110	24
	07/20/95	380	275	16	2	66	1	65	10	101	19
	07/07/97										15
	07/20/98	370	260	12	<1	71	1	48	11	110	14
	06/02/99										13
•	06/08/01										14
	07/10/01	400	230	10	<1	68	<1	44	12	100	12
No. 129	11/29/89	430	260	16	3	66	2	71	16	92	9
No. 129 7S/2W-20L	08/08/90	440	280	20	5	64	2	72	14	119	10
	04/01/92										12
	09/10/93	470	275	24	6	60	2	74	16	110	13
	08/09/96	460	270	19	3	67	2	70	15	100	11
	02/04/97										53
	12/20/00	550	330	44	13	47	2	81	14	130	20
	03/22/01										20
	04/17/01										20
	05/02/01										18
	06/08/01										20
No. 130	02/17/88	650	365	16	1	132	1	69	64	0	4
8S/2W-11R	02/14/91	640	365	4	<1	132	1	68	56	122	
	04/24/91										3
	02/09/94	650	410	3	<1	148	1	81	72	146	4
	05/16/95	 -									4
	02/05/97	780	450	4	<1	170	<1	78	82	150	5
	05/14/97		·					-			4
	04/14/99		. 								5
	02/10/00	750	440	4	<1	170	<1	76	77	170	5
	04/12/00										5
	05/25/00		. 								6
	05/24/01										6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Cor	nstituents	- mg/i	I	
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 131	03/10/88	530	270	4	<1	108	1	57	52	31	1
8S/1W-12J	03/21/91	630	335	7	<1	120	1	74	65	98	3
	03/03/94	660	345	9	<1	124	2	86	73	119	2
•	03/30/95									-	2
	03/20/97	660	370	6	<1	125	1	81	73	100	2
	07/07/97										<2
	07/27/98						***				2
	06/03/99										<2
	03/07/00	720	380	9	<1	140	2	81	80	130	3
	06/21/00										2
	06/27/01	***									2
No. 132	04/18/88	1000	620	94	13	103	6	109	153	235	2
8S/1W-07D	05/08/91	920	590	64	19	110	5	100	160	201	<1
	05/13/94	730	460	50	15	78	5	73	110	195	1
	05/16/95										<1
	07/18/95	860	520	59	17	100	4	90	130	223	1
	07/20/98	900	590	69	20	110	5	89	150	230	2
	01/06/99										2
	02/03/99										2
	04/14/99						***				3
	06/03/99										3₊
	07/27/99										5
	08/11/99										4
	09/15/99										4
	10/21/99										4
	11/02/99										3
	12/15/99										3
	05/03/00		. 								2
	05/16/01	800	500	57	17	74	5	63	180	150	3
No. 133	03/28/90	970	605	50	20	112	5	120	131	235	3
8S/1W-7C	03/11/93	970	580	48	19	120	4	110	140	204	3
	06/06/95	******									2
	07/18/95	850	680	26	10	142	2	120	100	174	2
	06/23/97										3
	07/20/98	790	500	24	9	140	2	96	93	170	2
	08/02/00	 -									3
	03/28/01	800	460	22	10	130	2	98	100	170	<2
	08/02/01		·								<2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituents	s - mg/l	1	
One Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
No. 135	05/24/89	2450	1390	122	65	300	2	410	225	464	33
7S/3W-27M	06/06/90	1540	945	73	36	215	1	250	150	323	13
	12/11/90	4400	2670	270	109	480	4	1030	380	314	<1
	08/06/92	1800	810	63	33	170	1	200	160	281	
	01/16/97										3.7 @N
	02/04/97										3.5 @N
	02/12/97										4.0 @N
	02/20/97										3.4 @N
	02/25/97										3.4 @N
	03/04/97										3.7 @N
	03/18/97						-				3.3 @N
	03/25/97										3.5 @N
	04/08/97										3.4 @N
	04/15/97										3.4 @N
	04/22/97										3.5 @N
	05/06/97	1930	1050	97	48	220	2	340	190	360	3.3 @N
	05/14/97										3.4 @N
	05/21/97										3.3 @N
	06/04/97										3.3 @N
	06/11/97										3.3 @N
	06/18/97										3.3 @N
	06/25/97										3.3 @N
	07/02/97										3.3 @N
	09/17/97	1960	1260					430	220		13
No. 138	10/30/90	460	240	19	2	74	2	71	13	113	18
8S/2W-6F	10/06/93	420	240	11	<1	70	1	56	10	92	14
	10/11/96	430	270	9	<1	78	1	55	8.9	100	15
	04/14/99										5
	06/03/99										3
	10/26/99	430	240	10	<1	76	1	60	11	100	19
	03/13/00										5
	03/22/01									_	17
No. 139	12/29/87	460	295	24	7	65	1	60	11	104	7
7S/2W-32G	11/23/92	450	275	32	9	46	2	60	13	134	20
	12/19/95	500	298	36	12	50	2	72	12	156	2.8
	03/25/97										10
	03/13/00										9
	03/28/01	-									8

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Coı	nstituents	s - mg/l	I	
Old Roomon	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	нсоз	NO3
No. 140	02/18/88	560	325	33	10	65	2	77	14	153	13
7S/2W-33F	01/15/92	450	235	11	2	88	1	68	18	107	2
	02/28/95	560	325	36	11	58	2	94	14	140	12
	03/25/97										8
	02/27/98	650	360	31	11	76	2	95	16	130	5
	09/17/98										8
	05/16/01										11
	02/01/01	650	370	31	12	72	2	110	21	150	4
No. 141	01/06/88	780	440	64	11	82	3	65	91	217	13
8S/2W-11P	01/30/92	820	500	63	13	95	3	79	110	238	19
	03/30/95	840	490	58	11	100	3	70	97	241	14
	03/25/97										15
	03/26/98	760	480	62	12	90	3	69	86	230	16
	01/04/99										14
	02/12/99									777	19
	10/21/99							T			17
	11/03/99								***		14
	12/14/99										14
	06/20/00										15
	01/04/01	700	450	52	6	84	3	75	70	190	15
	09/28/01										18
No. 143	01/15/88	670	345	8	2	134	1	91	57	95	11
8S/2W-17J	10/17/90	660	345	25	4	112	2	89	62	140	12
	03/03/94	690	370	24	3	114	2	93	68	131	11
	03/30/95										11
	03/25/97	600	330	15	2	110	1	87	44	89	9
	07/18/97	***									2.0 @N
	07 <i>/</i> 23/97										2.0 @N
	08/20/97									****	2.3 @N
	09/03/97										2.2 @N
	09/17/97										2.0 @N
	09/17/98		350		****						2.3 @N
	10/21/99										13
	03/07/00	730	400	21	3	120	2	84	68	140	12
	10/13/00										8

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Legation	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	Chemical Constituents - mg/l							
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
No. 144	09/14/88	610	335	8	<1	114	1	95	33	92	<1
7S/3W-27D3	12/19/95	730	420	34	1	124	1	120	33	186	<1
	12/20/00	690	400	28	1	120	<1	120	35	170	<2
	05/22/01	*****									<2
No. 145	10/04/90	800	490	43	8	110	2	110	78	171	<1
7S/3W-28C	10/06/93	650	375	23	3	106	1	85	58	146	<1
	11/27/96	650	340	26	2	110	1	87	48	150	<2
	02/04/97	670	370	24	2	110	1	87	55	160	<2
	01/28/98										<2
	01/04/99										<2
	10/26/99	690	400	29	3	110	1	96	61	170	<2
	01/06/00										<2
	01/25/01										<2
No. 146	12/10/96	900	500	57	23	98	<1	100	64	280	15
7S/3W-28	03/02/00										4
No. 149 8S/1W-2C	06/15/93										5
No: 149A	08/26/88	950	540	71	211	96	1	115	47	302	18
7S/3W-28A	10/31/91	800	480	36	13	122	3	93	110	195	
No. 150	09/29/88	1950	1235	134	29	225	2	290	220	390	15
7S/3W-27P	12/21/91	1000	590	74	17	108	4	130	110	207	
No. 151 7S/3W-34B Abandoned	09/20/88	5780	3410	280	114	840	5	1660	670	369	<1
No. 151	07/25/91	860	485	53	16	103	4	90	130	183	
8S/2W-2G	07/28/91	730	400	39	12	100	3	91	58	177	
	07/29/91	600	340	9	2	122	5	63	34	204	
	10/17/91	510	295	3	<1	118	1	45	10	137	
	08/10/94	550	340	3	<1	110	1	59	22	119	<1
	06/16/97										<2
	08/14/97	540	300	2	<1	110	<1	44	10	160	<2
	09/16/98										<2
	01/06/00	510	300	1	<1	110	<1	33	4.6	180	<2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
One Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/i)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 153	12/29/93	804	485	53	18	92	5	86	120	214	<1
8S/1W-5K3	04/13/99	880	540	63	23	79	5	68	220	150	<2
	04/11/00										2
	06/14/01							***			<2
No. 154 8S/1W-5L2	01/28/94	930	530	46	20	106	6	89	130	214	3
No. 155	09/16/93	680	355	22	2	108	1	90	64	104	<1
7S/3W-28C	02/23/95	760	445	30	3	126	1	120	82	140	4
	06/06/95										5
	08/14/97	***									4
	02/25/98	880	540	43	5	130	1	100	100	190	5
	07/27/98										3
	02/09/00										2
	09/13/00	690	410	23	2	120	<1	100	72	130	2
	02/14/01										5
No. 157	04/13/99	930	600	59	21	110	7	95	150	240	<2
8S/1W-5L	04/11/00		_								2
	06/14/01										<2
No. 158	06/21/94	1090	620	67	23	124	7	120	170	259	
8S/1W-5K	04/14/99	1050	660	63	24	120	7	110	160	270	<2
	04/11/00										2
	06/14/01										2
No. 201	03/28/91	530	315	19	6	83	2	83	16	110	2
7S/2W-27J	03/11/93	460	300	8	2	87	1	51	20	146	<1
No. 202 7S/2W-36J1	12/11/88	740	440	47	18	84	3	97	48	223	17
No. 203	05/18/88	960	580	50	39	110	4	96	115	275	
8S/1W-6P1	06/29/88	970	530	44	36	112	4	120	123	250	5
	06/12/91	800	415	21	17	108	3	91	90	174	2
	06/22/94	980	645	59	38	99	4	130	130	256	4
	06/07/95										5
	06/23/97	880	530	31	26	120	3	100	110	230	4
	08/14/97										3
	11/02/99							-			5
	06/22/00	820	580	94	18	58	<1	63	110	250	22
	07/12/00	880	570	43	33	120	3	100	130	240	7
	08/08/00				_				***		6
	11/22/00										5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date Tested	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Co	nstituents	- mg/l		
One Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 204	05/22/91	740	425	50	12	85	3	120	18	198	19
7S/2W-26G	05/13/94	690	375	37	7	85	3	130	19	125	19
No. 205	03/28/88	500	290	23	3	81	2	83	27	107	21
7S/3W-35A	03/13/91	490	275	22	3	75	2	62	23	113	21
	03/03/94	510	275	20	2	72	2	72	24	104	20
	04/26/95										22
	03/25/97	480	270	20	2	75	2	66	18	110	21
	05/09/01	410	270	21	3	67	1	60	17	120	23
No. 207	09/01/88	510	245	1	<1	108	<1	54	26	82	<1
8S/2W-14B	09/14/88	480	305	3	<1	106	<1	58	23	24	1
	08/14/91	480	245	1	<1	100	<1	52	28	55	<1
	08/10/94	440	285	2	<1	91	1	56	29	76	2
	08/15/97	510	280	2	<1	97	<1	52	25	98	<2
	07 <i>1</i> 27 <i>1</i> 98	***									2
	12/27/00	480	280	2	<1	100	<1	53	30	120	2
No. 208	09/01/88	680	415	44	15	77	3	119	14	186	18
7S/2W-35M	09/14/88	690	440	44	14	77	3	129	14	183	16
	08/14/91	600	340	23	7	89	2	85	18	162	4
	08/10/94	560	370	22	6	89	2	93	20	156	5
	06/06/95										4
	08/12/96										2
	07 <i>1</i> 27 <i>1</i> 99	***									15
	08/18/99										20
No. 209	05/22/91	790	435	40	14	105	2	150	35	162	8
7S/2W-28J	05/13/94	760	525	64	22	48	3	150	15	153	25
	06/20/95										5
	05/15/97	690	390	10	3	130	<1	110	56	130	1.3
No. 210	04/15/59	1366		101	23	150	10	149	200	275	3
8S/2W-12K	01/18/63	400	926	99	30	17.5	4.5	145	255	329	4
	11/30/67	1415	890	136	5	152	10	146	230	305	3
	07/26/68	1250	825	96	22	144	8	130	190	290	5
	09/06/68	1310	840	82	26	132	5	142	222	276	12
	07/19/73	1200	579	84	21.4	149	6.8	122	237	301	19.7
	08/08/75	1140	695	84	14	150	6	101	190	287	15
	06/22/76	1240	675	76	26	142	7	101	205	278	36
	10/13/76	1120	640	92	22	100	6	110	170	262	5
	· · -						_				-

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	ved Chemical Constituents - mg/l						ı	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 210 (Cont'd)	06/16/77	1130	610	84	18	114	6	110	170	259	11
8S/2W-12K	05/20/80	580	340	30	8	75	4	51	67	152	9
	04/03/86	800	540	65	17	86	4.5	75	112	235	3.5
	07/15/86	830	560	72	19	86	4	87	118	250	4
	03/28/88	1030	575	76	22	93	5	99	143	247	4
	09/25/91	1040	600	74	20	120	5	120	160	238	5
	09/19/94	645	460	52	14	79	4	70	100	198	2
	09/16/96										3
	09/16/98										3
	12/15/98										2
	01/04/99										2
	02/03/99										2
	04/08/99										3
	06/02/99										3
	09/07/99										4
	10/21/99										5
	12/15/99										5
	05/03/00										5
	09/13/00	830	560	64	17	100	4	74	190	180	4
	05/08/01					-					4
No. 211	04/08/97	720	400	67	14	54	1	59	65	220	13
8S/2W-20R1	12/23/97		410								3.1@N
	03/25/98		620				-				3.6@N
	06/03/98	***									3.4@N
	06/05/98		480								***
	09/17/98										3.3@N
	12/17/98		430					56	66		16
	06/03/99		430								3.4@N
	12/14/99		310								10
	04/04/00	700	430	71	14	52	1	57	66	220	17
	06/22/00		400								15
	12/13/00										4.5@N
	03/27/01										4.5@N
	06/20/01										2.7@N
	09/13/01		****								4.7@N
No. 212	03/28/88	640	330	42	2	74	3	81	33	146	14
8S/2W-11N	09/25/91	600	320	41	2	82	4	86	35	146	14
No. 215	08/15/90	650	380	40	13	71	3	100	14	162	11
7S/2W-34M	09/26/90										13
	06/22/94	630	400	41	13	67	2	110	16	159	11
	06/16/97	630	370	29	9	81	2	110	16	160	6
	08/15/97										7

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific	Total Dissolved			Chen	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	ĸ	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
No. 216	06/01/88	480	280	25	4	65	2	71	11	134	
8S/2W-7W	06/29/88	480	275	29	5	59	3	81	7	110	26
	06/12/91	500	285	30	5	59	2	76	9	113	23
	05/27/92	470	285	33	6	53	2	72	10	119	20
	04/25/01	490	300	28	4	55	2	74	13	120	12
No. 217	03/28/88	580	285	8	1	108	1	81	20	113	15
8S/2W-17M1	08/10/88	570	280	8	1	105	1	82	20	55	13
	08/14/91	570	305	17	2	99	2	74	28	134	16
	08/10/94	610	365	20	3	97	2	82	38	134	16
	08/15/97	660	370	20	3	107	1	80	41	130	13
	05/09/00										15
	10/12/00	650	380	19	2	110	1	81	49	150	16
	05/14/01										17
No. 231	08/15/90	1280	805	126	18	120	5	100	310	244	9
8S/2W-20B6	09/26/90										6
	03/04/92	1700	1270	180	51	160	6	140	510	332	5
	06/20/95	1640	1300	171	44	124	6	75	520	287	5.3
	02/27/98										3
	05/16/00							***			5
	05/24/01	1490	1080	140	35	120	5	120	340	330	3
No. 232	08/15/90	960	590	71	19	110	5	98	130	235	30
8S/2W-11J3	09/26/90										35
	09/25/91	980	565	74	19	106	5	98	120	244	37
	09/19/94	805	495	54	14	92	4	80	110	207	15
	09/13/96										22
	11/04/97	1000	660	76	20	110	4	97	130	230	29
	07/27/98										38
	12/10/98										22
	01/06/98										30
	01/29/99										10
	02/03/99				_						26
	02/24/99										37
	04/08/99				***						33
	04/21/99										34
	06/23/99										33
	07/08/99										36
	08/25/99										33
	09/21/99										31

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chen	nical Con	stituents	- mg/l		
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	S04	HCO3	NO3
No. 232 (cont'd)	10/06/99	***						********			30
8S/2W-11J3	11/17/99									***	32
	12/14/99										32
	01/18/00		***								31
	02/29/00										10
	03/21/00										25
	04/11/00										29
	05/25/00										26
	06/21/00										26
	07/11/00										25
	09/13/00	920	590	65	17	105	4	91	150	210	21
	10/06/00										18
	11/08/00										17
	12/13/00									****	20
	01/04/01										19
	02/28/01										10
	04/10/01	****									20
No. 233 (Old 112)	06/15/88	900	535	71	21	100	5	96	136	247	4
8S/2W-12K2	03/27/91	1020	580	66	19	114	5	95	140	247	12
	03/03/94	740	425	50	14	75	4	71	100	186	2
	04/27/95							****			6
	03/27/97	880	510	57	15	100	4	81	120	220	4
	01/04/99										5
	02/03/99										4
	04/08/99										4
	06/03/99										4
	07/20/99							` 			5
	08/11/99			-							4
	09/07/99										4
	10/21/99			-							5
	11/03/99						***				4
	04/11/00	970	570	64	18	110	4	85	150	230	4
	10/06/00					***					3
No. 234 (Old 114)	03/31/88	840	480	54	15	100	4	61	109	241	18
8S/2W-11P	03/27/91	1020	605	69	19	114	5	77	138	256	37
	06/20/95										11
	09/26/96										9

TABLE D-4 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	Chemical Constituents - mg/l							
**************************************	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	нсоз	NO3
No. 234 (cont'd)	02/04/97										12
(Old 114)	04/25/97	840	500	56	15	95	4	77	120	230	8
8S/2W-11P	01/19/99										12
	02/12/99										16
	04/21/99										15
	06/03/99										16
	07/27/99										18
	08/19/99										17
	09/21/99										16
	10/26/99										13
	04/13/00	900	550	64	18	10	4	70	150	220	13
	07/06/00		***								12
	07/12/01	Manus.									7
	08/02/01										<2
No. 235 (Old 137)	06/24/88	460	310	40	10	41	2	58	10	140	15
8S/3W-1Q1	06/20/90	420	230	22	4	56	2	50	6	128	18
	06/10/93	370	235	15	2	65	2	51	9	113	17
	07/16/96	410	230	16	2	60	1	48	8.9	110	20
	06/09/97										17
	06/03/99	390	240	13	1	63	1	46	6.7	98	17
•	11/03/99										16
	11/09/00								700		15
No. 301	07/29/92	500	290	20	6	80	1	45	56	143	<1
7S/3W-18Q1	02/27/97	580	350	45	16	48	2	49	54	200	4
	08/15/97										6
	12/27/00	570	360	49	15	53	2	55	57	180	7
No. 302	04/11/88	690	360	36	6	100	1	77	65	192	<1
7S/3W-18H	05/15/91	760	425	58	9	87	2	83	72	220	<1
	05/14/92		270	12	2	90	<1	48	48		
	05/05/94	870	530	69	16	84	2	110	88	238	<1
	05/16/95										<1
	07/16/96	530	320					60	54		2
	05/13/97	560	500	73	14	94	2	110	86	240	<2
	07/27/99										<2
	05/17/00	520	320	11	1	99	·<1	51	50	130	<2
	06/13/00	520	310								<2
	07/11/00										<2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	Chemical Constituents - mg/l								
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	S04.	нсоз	NO3	
No. 309	08/15/90	690	370	19	3	119	2	140	25	73	5	
7S/3W-27H	04/11/91										<.001	
	09/25/91	730	365	19	2	122	2	150	27	82	5	
	08/11/94	730	430	20	2	120	2	160	30	73	5	
	02/16/95										18	
	07/16/97	-			-						1.1@N	
	07/23/97										1.2@N	
	08/20/97										1.1@N	
	09/03/97										1.1@N	
	09/18/97										1.1@N	
	10/03/97	790	520	21	2	130	2	170	33	85	6	
	08/06/98										6	
	09/16/98		460								1.4@N	
	07/20/99										6	
•	05/10/00		450	20	2	130	<1			85		
	07/06/00										6	
	08/02/00	740	450	21	2	140	1	180	38	87	7	
	07/19/01										7	

TABLE D-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Ch	emical (Constitu	uents -	mg/l	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	CO3	NO3
Pechanga Indian	Reservation	on	***************************************				*********				******
8S/2W-28R01	08/03/89	495	286	41	4.0	60	0.9	37	13	177	1.1 @N
	07/26/90	525	296	48	4.8	54	1.0	45	14	191	1.5 @N
	07/17/91	462	261	31	3.2	66	8.0	44	12	155	.8 @N
	07 <i>1</i> 27/93	445	269	44	4.4	43	0.5	28	14	170	1.9 @N
	08/15/94	421	232	32	3.3	55	0.9	28	11	156	1.5 @N
	08/30/95	375	200	21	2.2	55	0.6	31	11	129	.7 @N
	08/27/96										1.5 @N
	08/13/97	398	241	20	2.1	59	0.62	37	11		.572 @N
	08/20/98	481	282	36	3.9	60	0,85	38	14	167	1.1 @N
	08/25/99	446	252	28	3.1	59	0.66	41	12		.758@N
	08/22/00	456	265	29	3.3	61	0.73	39	14		.759@N
	08/21/01	522	320	51	5.9	48	1	42	16	=	1.73@N
8S/2W-35D01	08/03/89	660	358	43	5.5	87	1.2	78	35	169	.35 @N
	07/26/90	669	384	41	4.9	92	1.5	82	36	176	.40 @N
	07/17/91	641	371	40	4.4	98	1.7	81	36	175	.39 @N
	07 <i>/</i> 27 <i>/</i> 93	638	374	49	5.9	79	1.8	71	27	199	.34 @N
	08/16/94	601	334	30	3.2	95	1.5	71	29	163	.16 @N
	08/30/95	587	322	33	4	81	1.5	68	25	178	.11 @N
	08/27/96	596	352	28	3.3	92	1.4	72	29	167	.10 @N
8S/2W-29A01	08/02/89	346	207	31	11	24	0.4	18	7.0	131	2.0 @N
	07/24/90	354	193	32	11	25	0.4	24	6.7	133	2.0 @N
	07/18/91	361	194	32	10	26	0.4	25	6.0	134	1.8 @N
	08/15/94	363	216	33	12	25	0.5	24	7.7	132	2.6 @N
	08/31/95	363	208	32	11	23	0.4	21	8.1	137	2.6 @N
	08/28/96										2.9 @N
	08/12/97	368	238	32	12	24	0.44	22	7.4		3.05 @N
	08/19/98	411	246	36	11	31	0.45	25	8.2	153	2.94@N
	08/25/99	375	222	33	12	23	0.39	20	6.7		3.81@N
	08/22/00	374	237	33	12	24	0.42	18	7.3		3.48@N
	08/21/01	374	236	34	12	24	0.46	20	7.3		3.56@N
8S/2W-34B04	10/05/89	617	371	51	8.2	67	1	58	30	192	.47 @N
	07/26/90	605	341	50	8	65	1	61	31	194	.50 @N
	07/18/91	564	339	46	7.4	67	1	53	27	185	.87 @N
	07/27/93	267	170	18	2.8	34	0.5	14	9.7	96	1.10 @N

^{* -} Alkalinity as CAC02

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical Co	onstituent	s - mg	/I	
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na 	K	CI	SO4	HCO3*	NO3
Pechanga Indi	an Reser	vation (Continued	d)								
8S/2W-28Q02	10/05/89	629	378	48	19	49	0.7	76	14	169	4.2 @N
	07/26/90	613	383	48	18	47	0.6	75	12	171	3.9 @N
	07/18/91	618	379	49	18	49	0.7	83	14	172	3.0 @N
	07/28/93	620	400	51	20	47	0.7	63	15	174	9.6 @N
	08/17/94	641	396	51	21	50	8.0	60	17	179	11.0 @N
	08/31/95	653	396	53	21	48	0.7	60	19	184	12.0 @N
	08/28/96										11.0 @N
	08/12/97	614	411	47	19	47	0.7	63	15	176	8.9 @N
	08/19/98	625	402	47	20	47	0.67	60	14		9.85@N
8S/2W-28Q06	09/17/93	312	200	19	2.9	43	1	16	2.8	126	1.0 @N
-	08/30/95	310	174	16	3,4	46	0.6	16	3.8	131	1,4 @N
	08/13/97	300	186	11	1.4	55	0.59	17	2.7	122	1.16 @N
	08/20/98	434	247	12	0.7	79	0.6	57	15	111	<.05@N
8S/2W-28Q07	08/20/98	367	223	13	1.4	66	0.57	32	10	121	.731@N
	08/25/99	377	216	13	1.4	63	0.52	32	9.8		.760@N
	08/22/00	384	234	18	2.1	62	0.68	28	11		1.14@N
	08/21/01	402	242	22	2.5	60	0.81	33	12		1.03@N
8S/2W-20J01	08/15/90	1130	596	100	22	110	2.3	110	200	236	1.3 @N
	12/20/93	868		80	16	76	1.4	86	110		3.6 @Ņ
8S/2W-20J02	08/15/90	404	216	42	6.3	38	0.8	27	12	159	1.2 @N
	12/20/93	408		42	6	35	0.8	29	12		1.2 @N
8S/2W-29B01	08/19/98	367	223	12	0.64	75	0.62	50	10		<.05@N
	08/26/99	393	219	12	0.72	68	0.56	46	11		<.05@N
	08/22/00	393	228	12	0.76	69	0.58	43	11		<.05@N
	08/21/01	398	231	11	0.62	72	0.57	49	15		.04@N
8S/2W-29B02	03/01/90	456	257	5.5	0.14	89	0.8	66	22	100	
	03/06/90	456	256	5.9	0.13	90	0.7	66	20	99	<0.1 @N
8S/2W-29B03	03/06/90	478	275	14	1.9	84	0.8	65	16	123	<0.1 @N
8S/2W-29B05	03/02/90	397	229	29	9.5	43	1.2	35	4.9	141	1.8 @N

^{* -} Alkalinity as CAC03

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical Co	nstituen	ts - mg	g/l	
Site Location	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	<u>к</u>	CI	S04	HCO3*	NO3
Pechanga Ind	ian Reser	vation (Continue	d)								
8S/2W-29B06	03/02/90	406	259	34	11	38	0.8	38	10	143	
	03/06/90	427	240	32	11	40	1.0	40	8.1	148	1.2 @N
8S/2W-29B07	03/07/90	396	230	8.6	2.5	71	0.9	51	11	102	<0.1 @N
	08/16/90	371	199	8.4	1.8	69	0.8	50	14	106	<0.1 @N
8S/2W-29B08	03/07/90	464	272	31	9.4	52	1.2	58	12		0.45 @N
	08/16/90	458	261	34	9.1	48	1.1	59	17	135	0.4 @N
8S/2W-29B09	03/07/90	343	210	21	9.2	39	1.0	24	6.7	131	1.3 @N
	08/17/90	317	197	26	10	26	1.1	22	3.4	130	1.6 @N
8S/2W-28M03	08/26/99	562	319	38	13	52	0.77	68	15		2.59 @N
8S/2W-29J02	08/26/99	565	329	39	15	47	1.6	66	14		2.67 @N
	08/22/00	562	337	39	15	47	1.5	65	14		2.70@N
	08/21/01	574	351	40	15	50	1.6	70	15		2.63@N
Cahuilla India	n Reserva	ation									
8S/3E-2K01	07/20/89	531	323	46	11	41	3.4	60	22	136	3.6 @N
	08/01/90	508	310	46	11	38	3.3	60	19	134	3.8 @N
	07/16/91	522	306	50	10	39	3.3	61	21	139	3.7 @N
7S/3E-21L01	08/02/89	1050	675	90	19	100	3.5	84	190	216	3.1 @N
	08/01/90	1020	610	87	18	100	3.4	85	180		3.0 @N
	07/17/91	995	636	93	18	100	3.7	95	180	206	2.5 @N
7S/2E-33N	08/02/89	355	206	16	2.1	53	3.5	48	15	78	.73 @N
7S/3E-34E01	07/20/89	338	204	30	5.6	26	5.0	29	7.0	98	3.3 @N
	07/31/91	337	109	31	5.5	25	4.5	31	6.3	99	3.5 @N
	07/16/91	335	209	31	5.9	26	4.7	32	6.3	99	3.5 @N

^{* -} Alkalinity as CAC03

TABLE D-6
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	l		Che	mical (Constituent	s - mg/l		
one Location	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-26C1	10/60	1060	639	66.5	24.0	116.0	4.5	160	110.0	264.0	trace
(Bldg 2201)	06/62	1190	718	60.0	33.2	123.0	3.8	190	124.0	232.0	1.4
	07/64	1217	734	79.2	27.8	144.0	1.6	180	150.0	248.9	
	05/65	1485	896	75.2	30.3	158.0	2.4	180	120.0	253.8	0
	01/66		808	76.8	33.2	157.0	3.4	170	180.0	292.8	0.62
	06/66		684	75.2	26.8	112.0	2.4	128	148.0	263.5	3.9
	01/67		856	81.6	26.3	138.0	3.5	162	140.0	310.0	3
	08/67		880	99.2	38.1	156.0	3.6	160	230.0	322.1	5.3
	02/68		768	65.6	25.4	156.0	3.4	160	164.0	236.7	0
	04/69		852	66.0	32.0	162.0	3.2	166	210.0	249.0	0
	11/69		844	87.0	31.0	140.0	3.6	164	180.0	262.0	0
	07/70	***	672	99.0	32.0	139.0	3	158	205.0	259.0	2.7
	12/70	1180	712	83.0	28.0	138.0	3	166	170.0	266.0	0
	09/71	1062	640	83.0	27.0	128.0	2.8	136	175.0	278.0	0.4
	05/72	1130	681	56.0	24.0	140.0	2.8	136	165.0	220.0	0
	10/72	1165	703	64.0	27.0	159.0	3.6	132	180.0	293.0	1.8
	10/73	1140	688	72.0	27.0	131.0	3.8	144	190.0	200.0	0.3@N
	02/76	1140	688	70.4	28.3	143.0	3.1	132	182.0	273.3	1.8@N
	09/76	1100	663	67.0	25.0	152.0	2.5	152	131.0	327.0	2.8@N
	03/77	1080	651	67.0	28.0	173.0	3.1	128	160.0	254.0	4.4@N
	10/78	1150	694	70.0	25.0	120.0	3.5	139	145.0	253.8	<1@N
	06/79	1100	663	72.0	27.3	125.0	3	134	142.0	258.6	<1@N
	10/80	1200	693	78.8	23.7	136.0	3.3	172	136.0	273.3	0.2@N
	04/81	1160	737	82.4	22.4	126.0	3.6	140	134.0	268.4	<0.5@N
	11/81	1300	863	97.6	31.5	169.0	2.2	204	209.0	248.9	0.8@N
	11/81	950	573	74.0	18.3	120.0	2.1	144	130.0	224.5	0.3@N
	05/82	1100	663	80.8	26.6	140.0	1.5	181	138.0	268.4	<0.5@N
	03/83	1000	603	84.0	20.5	144.0	3.2	152	143.0	273.3	<0.5@N
	05/84	1150	694	80.0	27.6	126.0	3.1	133	150.0	283.0	0.2@N
	06/85	1100	680	89.0	26.0	140.0	3	150	64.0	440.0	<0.4
	09/85	1242	724	78.0	28.0	122.0	6	154	149.1	244.4	<0.4
	05/86	1387	750	85.2	29.1	130,7	4.3	166	130.8	242.6	<1
	06/89	1302	734	78.1	23.0	85.9		136	145.0	212.0	<0.4
	01/91	1271		81.0	36.1	152.0		166			<0.04
	06/91	1290	752	99.0	32.4	133.0		167	136.0	237.0	<0.4
	03/92	1210	792	91.0	29.8	146.0		159	135.0	279.0	< 0.4
	06/93	1290	764	68.3	27.5	149.0		168	130.0	265.0	<0.4
	03/94	1210	783	100.0	37.1	100.0		145	167.0		2.2
	08/94	1160	741	87.5	35.5	96.1		141	187.0		4.23

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

			Total								
		Specific	Dissolved			Che	mical	Constituents	- mg/l		
Site Location		Conductance	Solids					<u> </u>			
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	Cl	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-26C1	06/95	1330	806	97.7	37.4	142.0		207	166.0		<0.04
(Bldg 2201)	01/96	1300	764	91.0	33.0	140.0		177	142.0	363.0	<0.0
(Continued)	06/96	1300	751	93.0	30.0	130.0		164	156.0	252.0	<0.0
	06/97	1215	758	88.0	29.0	130.0	<2	151	148.0	292.0	<2@N
	12/97	1200	690	81.0	29.0	140.0	3	155	150.0	250.0	ND
	04/98	1200	790	83.0	31.0	101.0	3	170	156.0	240.0	ND
	06/98	1230	714	85.0	30.0	136.0	3	163	ND	293.0	ND
	02/99	1250	731	84.0	29.0	127.0	3	160	140.0	281.0	ND
	04/99	1220	769	88.0	30.0	127.0	3	138	160.0	317.0	ИD
	05/01	1300	794	98.0	36.0	130.0	3	173	179.0	317.0	ND
10S/4W-18M4	06/89	1156	688	74.6	24.4	67.9		130	138.0	197.0	8.9
(Bldg 2373)	01/90	1120	630	86.4	32.3	101.0		156	166.0	210.0	<0.05
	04/90	1160	720	98.8	34.8	107.0		152	146.0	218.0	1.4
	01/91	1202		84.1	40.5	117.0		162	153.0		<0.04
	06/91	1180	736	102.0	37.1	106.0		163	138.0	197.0	<0.4
	03/94	1020	658	69.6	27.8	104.0	-	135	140.0		0.89
	08/94	1110	684	81.4	32.2	178.0		144	157.0		<0.44
	06/95	1170	679	95.3	35.2	113.0		145	116.0		13.8
	06/96	1100	682	86.0	32.0	95.0		155	261.0	210.0	<0.0
	02/97	1180	640	79.0	32.0	110.0		142	162.0	190.0	<2@N
	06/97	1117	709	85.0	33.0	110.0	<5	150	164.0	223.0	<2@N
	12/97	1100	700	82.0	33.0	110,0	3	141	157.0	220.0	ND
	03/98	1100	710	83.0	33.0	100.0	3	182	158.0	150.0	ND
	06/98	1200	720	85.0	34.0	119.0	4	159	154.0	281.0	ND
	02/99	1020	613	70.0	30.0	85.0	4	130	85.0	179.0	8
	05/00	1020	709	91.0	33.0	94.0	4	146	149.0	220.0	ND
	08/00	1160	707	81.0	39.0	79.0	4	149	153.0	177.0	ND
	02/01	1200	736	85.0	35.0	116.0	4	164	180.0	244.0	ND
	04/01	1200	606	85.0	34.0	112.0	4	154	177.0	232.0	ND
	09/01	1250	761	90.0	37.0	115.0	4	166	188.0	232.0	ND
10S/5W-23J1	05/56	1090	685	61.5	24.3	142.0		142	110.0	293.0	0.06
(Bldg 2301)	12/56	1060	666	67.0	27.0	96.0		124	85.0	274.0	
	12/57		780	66.3	23.9	159.0		138	155.0	308.0	10.6
	05/59	1100	691	75.2	25.3	112.0		136	152,0	297.7	
	01/60	1120	704	72.7	27.3	116.5		112	144.0	291.0	
	10/60	1045	657	63.2	21.4	99.0	3.6	140	112.0	242.0	0
	05/61	1280	770	76.0	36.5	136.0	3	124	195.0	299.6	0
	05/62	1133	712	68.8	30.3	136.0	2	128	175.0	275.7	
	01/63	1111	698	72.0	35.1	127.0	2.8	128	199.0	268.4	
	06/63	1108	696	78.4	25.4	118.0	2.9	148	130.0	258.6	0@N

ND - None Detected

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

			Total								
0 74 4 43	. .	Specific	Dissolved			Che	mical	Constituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	НСОЗ	NO3
10S/5W-23J1	07/64	1165	732	74.4	27.8	128.0	1.2	139	160.0	268.4	
(Bldg 2301)	05/65	1130	710	80.0	26.4	145.0	2.1	148	120.0	268.4	0.14
(Continued)	01/66		736	88.0	18.1	142.0	2.8	124	155.0	263.5	1.8
	06/66		736	75.2	29.3	138.0	2.7	145	175.0	295.2	4.8
	01/67		744	76.8	25.9	118.0	3	136	125.0	287.9	2.2
	08/67		680	70.4	28.3	128.0	2.3	140	100.0	292.8	8.4
	02/68		660	48.0	19.5	130.0	2.8	124	119.0	234.0	6.1
	04/69		708	70.0	28.0	126.0	2.5	128	170.0	278.0	0
	11/69		684	73.0	28.0	126.0	2.8	138	165.0	273.0	0
	05/70	****	716	74.0	25.0	122.0	0.1	134	170.0	210.0	4.4
	12/70	1090	385	78.0	25.0	126.0	2.6	142	170.0	250.0	3.1
	09/71	1025	644	75.0	38.0	120.0	2.7	124	190.0	229,0	0.9
	05/72	1050	660	75.0	21.0	124.0	2.3	124	155.0	244.0	2.2
	10/73	1140	716	74.0	22.0	128.0	2.8	136	160.0	220.0	0.5@N
	06/74	1060	680	74.0	13.0	131.0	2.9	158	138.0	220.0	0.01@N
	02/76	1050	660	73.6	25.4	136.0	2.9	119	170.0	248.9	2.0@N
•	09/76	1100	691	58.0	32.0	146.0	2.6	140	148.0	321.8	2.6@N
	03/77	1080	679	69.0	29.0	110.0	3	128	155.0	259.0	4.3@N
	01/78	1100	691	70.0	23.0	147.0	3	140	135.0	259.0	4.4@N
	10/78	1150	723	74.0	22.0	120.0	2.9	134	149.0	248.9	<1@N
	04/79	1000	628	70.4	22.4	118.0	2.6	122	138.0	239.1	<1@N
	10/80	1150	745	74.0	22.5	128.0	3	152	138.0	239.1	0.2@N
	05/81	1020	580	67.2	17.3	116.0	3.1	132	111.0	205.0	<0.5@N
	03/83	900	599	65.6	19.5	129.0	2.8	136	129.0		<0.5@N
	12/83	1000	628	72.4	22.4	127.0	2.6	140	150.0		<0.1@N
	05/84	1100	691	78.8	25.9	120.0	2.8	130	150.0	254.0	0.2@N
	06/85	1100	691	59.0	26.0	130.0	3	140	70.0	440.0	3.5
	09/85	1203	705	66.0	26.0	110.0	6	150	144.0	226.6	<0.4
	06/89	1139	662	71.5	21.7	80.8		117	128.0	209.0	<0.4
	01/90	1150	632	90.6	32.4	102.0		160	170.0	214.0	<0.5
	01/91	1112		73.7	32.0	128.0		136	136.0		<0.04
	06/91	1090	662	87.4	29.7	117.0		140	121.0	204.0	<0.4
	03/92	1080	644	74.2	25.8	133.0		127	118.0	282.0	1.3
	03/93	1210	674	72.8	24.5	117.0		127	124.0	261.0	<0.4
	06/93	1090	670	63.9	25.7	119.0		117	128.0	237.0	<0.4
	03/94	1120	683	73.9	27.0	121.0		141	130.0		<0.4
	08/94	1160	707	78.9	28.2	129.0			153.0		<0.44
	06/95	1160	742	88.2	28.8	131.0		165	147.0		<0.04
	01/96	1300	690	79.0	29.0	140.0		147	131.0		<0.0
	06/96	1020	674	82.0	29.0	120.0		134	129.0	204.0	<0.0

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

			Total								
		Specific	Dissolved			Che	mical (Constituents	- mg/l		
Site Location	Date	Conductance	Solids								
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/5W-23J1	02/97	1100	650	74.0	27.0	150.0		126	172.0	245.0	<2@N
(Bldg 2301)	03/97	1073	630	77.0	28.0	130.0		142	134.0	254.0	<2@N
(Continued)	02/99	1180	647	75.0	27.0	125.0	3	150	130.0	272.0	ND
	04/99	1240	722	81.0	30.0	124.0	3	157	150.0	293.0	ND
	08/99	1180	735	79.0	29.0	120.0	3	190	183.0	281.0	ND
	12/99	1190	699	83.0	30.0	118.0	3	100	158.0	278.0	ND
	02/00	1110	723	81.0	30.0	116.0	3	90	163.0	293.0	ND
	05/00	1070	714	81.0	29.0	115.0	3	170	152.0	273.0	ND
	08/00	1200	735	80.0	29.0	117.0	3	150	118.0	275.0	ND
	02/01	1230	730	84.0	31.0	132.0	ND	158	158.0	293.0	ND
	04/01	1190	636	81.0	30.0	123.0	3	146	148.0	287.0	ND
	09/01	1300	751	88.0	32.0	132.0	3	155	160.0	293.0	ND
10S/4W-18E3	06/89	1166	758	80.5	28.1	67.4		132	157	198.0	9.5
(Bldg 2393)	01/90	1230	748	97.4	39.7	106.0		178	179	226.0	< 0.05
	04/90	1190	733	99.6	37.5	112.0		159	156	207.0	2.5
	06/91	1130	680	97.6	37.6	100.0		139	142	166.0	2.7
	02/94	1180	731	83.3	35.5	104.0		142	159		11.1
	08/94	1150	725	84.3	35.2	102.0		147	164		1
	06/95	932	636	75.4	29.1	86.6		102	140		14
	06/96	1117	710	92.0	36.0	93.0		180	297	206.0	<0.0
	02/97	1100	686	89.0	38.0	110.0		157	166	220,0	<2@N
	03/97	1116	673	87.0	36.0	110.0		147	113	213.0	<2@N
	06/97	1131	779	90.0	37.0	99.0	<5	151	177	199.0	<2@N
	09/98	1160	727	83.0	36.0	90.0	3	160	181	232.0	ND
	10/99	1200	325	88.0	39.0	117.0	4	130	180	268.0	ND
	02/00	1100	739	84.0	37.0	100.0	4	130	180	281.0	ND
	05/00	1030	717	80.0	35.0	96.0	4	168	183	229.0	2
	02/01	1360	798	97.0	44.0	111.0	4	184	212	244.0	ND
	04/01	1310	728	94.0	42.0	114.0	4	168	208	232.0	ND
	09/01	1330	791	96.0	42.0	115.0	4	173	209	224.0	ND
10S/4W-7R2	06/89	1281	765	76.5	25.1	82.4		149	153	209.0	10.3
(Bldg 2603)	04/89	1270	788	104.0	36.5	126.0		173	161	215.0	2.6
	06/91	1400	836	111.0	41.1	130.0		195	155	215.0	0.04
	02/94	1260	738	83.3	32.0	131.0		169	155		< 0.04
	08/94	1260	738	84.3	33.7	129.0		166	149		<0.44
	06/95	1290	897	93.6	35.2	129.0		202	164		0.69

ND - None Detected

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

			Total								
014-14:	D.4.	Specific	Dissolved	l		Che	mical	Constituents	s - mg/l		
Site Location	Date Tested	Conductance umhos	Solids (mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	нсоз	NO3
10S/4W-7R2	02/97	1200	720	84.0	36.0	130.0		150	152	240.0	<1@N
(Bldg 2603)	03/97	1143	708	83.0	35.0	130.0		152	137	240.0	<2@N
(Continued)	06/97	1227	831	94.0	34.0	120.0	<5	185	147	247.0	<2@N
	12/97	1200	700	84.0	36.0	120.0	3	150	173	240.0	ND
	03/98	1200	780	85.0	36.0	110.0	3	187	162	180.0	
	06/98	1190	734	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NE	
	12/97	1200	700	84.0	36.0	120.0	3	150	173	240.0	ND
	03/98	1200	780	85.0	36.0	110.0	3	187	162	180.0	ND
	06/98	1190	734	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NE	
	02/99	1160	663	76.0	32.0	102.0	3.0	150.0	150.0	214.0	ND
	08/99	1120	727	76.0	33.0	99.0	3.0	156.0	230.0	281.0	ND
	10/99	1130	660	78.0	33.0	120.0	3.0	110.0	160.0	262.0	ND
	02/00	1030	592	79.0	35.0	95.0	3.0	120.0	160.0	244.0	ND
	05/00	1010	699	76.0	33.0	96.0	3.0	129.0	127.0	229.0	ND
	08/00	1140	720	77.0	33.0	87.0	3.0	ND	157.0	232.0	ND
10S/4W-7H2	08/56	1060	882	78.0	30.0	112		150	82	326.0	
(Bldg 2671)	01/60	820	500	55.2	14.7	85.0		76	98	224.0	
	10/60	1300	793	74.5	20,5	126.0	4.3	182	116	320.0	-
	05/61	1390	840	100.0	29.2	170.0	3.3	170	135	362.0	
	05/62	1220	744	70.4	39.0	142.0	2.4	184	86	312.3	
	01/63	1300	740	65.6	26.4	162.0	2.4	166	153	259.0	0.7
	07/63	1100	671	64.0	25.4	118.0	2.7	148	97	280.6	0.0@N
	01/64	1020	622	70.4	33.2	117.0	2.7	172	98	302.6	3.3
	07/64	1400	854	83.2	27.3	134.0	1.4	164	98	322.1	
	04/65	1490	909	97.6	23.4	152.0	4.7	196	110	346.5	0.9
	01/66 06/66		832 768	102.0	28.0	166.0	3.1	194	88	414.8	6.6
	01/67		768	86.4 72.0	26.3 29.3	150.0 128.0	3.1	184	110	331.8	6.9
	08/67		608	57.6	24.4	116.0	3.1 2.4	174 132	72 70	324.5	6.9
	02/68		572	67.2	17.6	105.0	2.4	118	70 94	251.3 251.0	10.2
	09/68		636	74.0	19.0	112.0	3	144	96	268.0	0 0.4
	04/69	***	820	72.0	33.0	138.0	2.8	180	140	285.0	0.4
	11/69	***	604	66.0	24.0	116.0	2.8	140	110	259.0	1.8
	05/70		640	65.0	26.0	115.0	2.4	142	120	183.0	3.1
	09/71	1075	656	77.0	24.0	120.0	2.8	144	125	273.0	1.3
	05/72	1000	610	46.0	24.0	117.0	2.4	140	130	141.0	0
	10/72	1110	677	88.0	26.0	105.0	3.6	144	126	283.0	3.5
	10/73	1120	683	75.0	23.0	118.0	2.7*	132	130	200.0	0.6@N
	06/74	1210	712	72.0	19.0	150.0	3.1	208	112		0.01@N
	01/75	850	519	61.0	21.0	93.0	2.4	102	95	212.0	2.3@N

^{*} Reported as 27 ND - None Detected

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Doto	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Constituents - mg/	l	
Site Location	Tested		(mg/i)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-7H2	02/76	1200	732	91.2	20.5	126.0	3.2	176 130	244.0	2.6@N
(Bldg 2671)	09/76	1200	732	48.0	29.0	180.0	2.4	192 123		4.2@N
(Continued)	03/77	1400	854	94.0	33.0	158.0	2,8	216 140		2.8@N
	01/78	1000	610	66.0	23.0	100.0	2.7	128 123		4.4@N
	10/78	1300	793	82.0	31.0	134.0	2.7	160 157		<1@N
	04/79	1200	732	84.8	28.3	144.0	3.1	164 116		<1@N
	01/80	1450	885	93.0	30.0	163.0	3	196 200		<1@N
	10/80	1050	591	70.4	21.7	104.0	3.7	140 125		2.0@N
	05/81	1000	645	72.4	21.7	105.0	3.5	128 123		<0.5@N
	05/82	1330	811	100.8	35.9	176.0	1.6	269 198		
	03/83	890	669	77.2	23.7	95.0	3.4	132 136		0.65@N
	12/83	1000	610	70.4	23.7	123.0	2.6	136 150		0.5@N
	05/84	1100	671	77.2	24.6	116.0	2.7	133 159		0.2@N
	09/84	1300	650	6.6	29.0	120.0	2.6	200 170		12
	11/84	1100	671	81.6	23.4	124.0	2.7	149 175		1.2@N
	05/86	1592	994	104.7	39.7	167.3	4.4	232 167		<1@N
	06/89	1137	826	79.1	28.5	85.5		157 158		12.6
	01/90	1290	772	96.3	38.6	116.0		184 179		0.9/1.2
	04/90	1320	817	109.0	42.1	128.0		177 167		5.4
	01/91	401		87.3	44.4	103.1		205 179		
	03/93	1500	824	92.6	33.1	136.0		194 154		1.8
	03/94	1370	827	103.0	36.4	135.0		163 145		
	08/94	1270	762	91.1	35.5	129.0		162 173		
	06/95	1260	771 754	100.0	35.8	127.0		197 178		
	06/96	1300	751	96.0	36.0	120.0 150.0		162 174 186 16		1.1
	02/97	1300 1323	830 831	100.0 94.0	41.0 36.0	140.0	 <5	186 16 ⁻ 158 149		<2@N 2@N
	06/97	1200	670	91.0	36.0	120.0	3	150 169		ND
	12/97 12/97	1200	710	87.0	35.0	120.0	2	152 182		1.5
	03/98	1200	810	89.0	36.0	120.0	3	201 16		ND
	06/98	1390	830	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND N		
	09/98	1290	748	87.0	32.0	110.0	2.0	158 160		ND
	02/99	1130	663	75.0	31.0	106.0	3.0	150 150		5
	05/99	1170	711	75.0	32.0	85.0	4.0	ND 186		ND
	08/99	1040	310	74.0	30.0	94.0	2.0	100 400		ND
	10/99	1210	757	86.0	35.0	120.0	3.0	154 100		3
	08/00	1290	766	83.0	33.0	89.0	2.0	184 156		ND
	02/01	1140	707	85.0	35.0	107.0	2.0	152 179		
	04/01	1190	718	88.0	37.0	112.0	3.0	153 193		ND
	09/01	1200	729	89.0	38.0	106.0	3.0	158 19		ND

ND - None Detected

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

		Specific	Total Dissolved			Che	mical	Constituents	: - mg/l		
Site Location		Conductance	Solids					. <u></u>			
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-7A2	05/56	920	651	59.0	22.0	100		104	94	213.0	
(Bldg 2673)	05/59		745	52.8	16.5	60.3		84	41	207.4	
	01/60		840	51.2	17.6	95.0		98	92	210.0	***
	10/60	870	566	62.0	23.0	80.0	4.2	110	104	234.0	0
	05/61	1180	710	72.0	34.0	114.0	3.3	104	150	227.0	
•	05/62	797	518	63.2	23.4	75.0	2	100	96	214.7	
	01/63	1195	730	64.0	24.9	157.0	3.1	162	183	220.0	0
	07/63	574	610	57.6	19.5	85.0	2.7	102	100	244.0	0.3@N
	01/64	760	494	59.2	19.3	82.0	3.3	100	85	253.7	0.5@N
	07/64	980	637	64.0	21.5	94.0	1.4	100	95	241.6	
	04/65	1230	800	73.3	22.5	106.0	4.5	120	110	248.9	1.3
	01/66		448			86.0	2.5	82	75	190.3	9.7
	06/66		540	60.8	21.0	81.0	2.5	102	95	222.0	9.1
	01/67		544	60.8	19.5	88.0	2.9	106	69	229.4	6.9
	08/67		504	54.4	20.0	79.0	2.1	96	58	214.7	8
	02/68		456	60.8	17.6	86.0	2.7	94	78	222.0	0
	09/68		600	67.0	18.0	90.0	3	110	96	232.0	0
	04/69		428	46.0	18.0	73.0	20	76	90	183.0	3.1
	11/69		476	59.0	18.0	88.0	2.7	98	110	198.0	0.9
	05/70		416	54.0	18.0	79.0	2.6	92	90	151.0	2.9
	12/70	780	507	64.0	16.0	89.0	2.7	100	90	222.0	10.1
	05/72	990	644	77.0	24.0	86.0	2.8	1 1 6	135	207.0	0
	10/72	965	627	77.0	27.0	94.0	2.9	104	145	239.0	5.3
	10/73	960	624	72.0	19.0	105.0	2.8	112	140	195.0	0.9@N
	06/74	950	548	68.0	19.0	101.0	3.1	138	102		0.35@N
	01/75	840	546	58.0	22.0	87.0	2.7	98	95	217.0	2.2@N
	02/76	820	533	68.8	20.5	76.0	3	106	88	214.7	2.2@N
	09/76	900	585	48.0	45.0	98.0	2.3	116	112	258.6	3.0@N
	03/77	900	585	70.0	23.0	76.0	2.8	123	113	195.0	2.6@N
	01/78	950	618	64.0	24.0	100.0	2.7	124	108	200.0	4.3@N
	10/78	1050	683	74.0	20.0	80.0	3	113	128	205.0	<1@N
	04/79	950	618	65.6	19.5	98.0	3.1	109	118	190.3	<1@N
	01/80	1000	650	67.0	23.0	99.0	3.1	128	111	187.0	<1@N
	10/80	900	546	67.2	20.5	86.0	3.4	108	86	205.0	2.3@N
	05/81	810	585 454	57.2	14.4	83.0	3.4	92	84	180.6	0.7@N
	11/81	800	451	57.2	16.3	85.0	2	92	110	185.4	0.5@N
	05/82	930	605	68.8	21.5	97.0	1.6	115	96		<0.5@N
	03/83	900	663	78.8	23.7	95.0	3.4	132	135	209.8	0.7@N

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical	Constituents	s - mg/l		
	Tested		(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	К	CI	SO4	НСО3	NO3
10S/4W-7A2	09/84	1000	530	51.0	23.0	80.0	2.9	110	110	200.0	4.2
(Bldg 2673)	11/84	850	553	67.2	28.3	73.0	2.9	111	137	190.0	1.7@N
(Continued)	09/85	1007	593	66.0	26.0	64.0	5.8	124	139	180.6	6
	05/86	1051	623	72.6	26.5	79.5	3.5	131	124	153.6	8.8
	06/89	1073	688	72.1	23.9	59.6		120	140	184	15.9
	01/89	1080	572	91.2	34.2	80.2		151	178	174	1.4
	04/90	1130	718	111.0	42.1	91.0		148	167	175	9.1
	06/91	1190	718	113.0	40.3	93.8		173	180	160	7.5
	03/93	1370	708	86.9	32.8	93.3		147	93.3	200	4.9
	03/94	1210	783	100.0	37.1	100.0		145	167		2.2
	08/94	1160	741	87.5	35.5	96.1		141	184		4.23
	06/95	1200	788	99.4	37.5	101,0		173	200		2.9
	06/96	1129	739	91.0	37.0	90.0		188	312	206	<0.0
	02/97	1100	690	82.0	35.0	140.0		127	131	180	<2@N
	03/97	1109	695	91.0	39.0	93.0		137	191	166	2.2@N
	06/97	1096	749	89.0	36.0	90.0	<5	138	178	187	2@N
	12/97	1100	690	84.0	36.0	83.0	4	140	181	160	<.2@N
	05/99	1050	648	78.0	32.0	111.0	3	171	192	207	ND
	08/99	1040	696	78.0	33.0	84.0	4	120	390	146	ND
	10/99	1070	663	78.0	34.0	90.0	4	132	120	195	6@N
	02/00	1010	559	83.0	35.0	82.0	4	140	190	220	4@N
	05/00	972	688	80.0	34.0	79.0	4	144	167	190	4@N
	02/01	1200	753	92.0	40.0	100.0	3	164	212	195	ND
	04/01	1210	736	91.0	40.0	103.0	5	159	217	183	ND
	09/01	1200	741	93.0	41.0	98.0	4	153	228	183	ND
10S/5W-23G3	06/91	1160	684	83,4	28.3	125.0		145	124	223	<0.04
(Bldg 33926)	03/92	1060	674	75.9	24.1	127.0		139	111	269	<0.4
	03/93	1182	584	67.8	21.1	110.0		135	101	274	<0.4
	06/93	1020	623	60.5	22.4	116.0		125	107	225	< 0.4
	03/94	1120	665	80.0	25.0	122.0		129	117		1.8
	08/94	1150	699	78.7	26.4	125.0		141	118		< 0.44
	06/95	1060	673	75.9	23.1	118.0		158	114		<0.04
	01/96	1200	619	71.0	24.0	120.0		139	107	262	<0.0
	07/96										<0.0

ND - None Detected

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

			Total									
		Specific	•			Chemical Constituents - mg/l						
Site Location	Date	Conductance	Solids			-						
	Tested	umhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3	
10S/5W-23K2	06/89	1207	698	75.6	22.8	84.0		138	137	231	<0.4	
(Bldg 33924)	04/89	1240	728	100.0	32.9	129.0		158	148	245	1.3	
	01/91	1193		80.6	35.2	131.0		21.3	146		< 0.04	
	06/91	1160	676	88.1	29.6	118.0		141	129	224	< 0.04	
	03/92	1130	705	76.7	26.0	126.0		149	125	279	<0.4	
	06/92	1130	717	66.8	26.7	124.0		146	140	232	<0.4	
	03/93	1285	331	72.1	23.8	115.0		131	122	273	<0.4	
	02/97	1200	780	89.0	32.0	130.0		166	165	250	<2@N	
	03/97	1230	700	94.0	34.0	140.0		187	162	264	<2@N	
	06/97	1231	778	91.0	31.0	130.0	<2	171	165	264	<2@N	
	12/97	1200	710	82.0	30.0	130.0	2	156	162	230	ND	
	03/98	1200	710	82.0	30.0	110.0	2	191	146	240	ND	
	06/98	1170	658	79.0	28.0	123.0	2	157	ND	293	ND	
	02/99	1170	696	75.0	27.0	123.0	3	160	130	259	ND	
	04/99	1210	667	76.0	27.0	118.0	3	148	140	268	ND	
•	08/99	1140	714	79.0	27.0	116.0	3	180	165	268	ND	
	10/99	1150	721	80.0	28.0	131.0	3	110	150	281	ND	
	02/00	1050	619	82.0	28.0	108.0	3	100	140	293	ND	
	05/00	1060	716	80.0	29.0	112.0	3	173	141	268	ND	
	08/00	1210	722	82.0	29.0	105.0	3	162	156	268	ND	
	04/01	1210	705	85.0	30.0	130.0	3	163	157	281	ND	
	09/01	1210	672	85.0	30.0	125.0	3	163	149	281	ND	
10S/5W-13R2	01/90	1030	540	* 96	26.6	94.8		141	130	200	0.7	
(Bldg 2363)	06/91	1150	702	98.7	32.0	109,0		149	125	288	1.3	
	06/93	1130	705	72.0	28.4	107.0		140	139	262	0.9	
	03/94	1020	658	69.6	27.8	104.0		135	140		0.89	
	06/95	1140	636	92.5	30.7	115.0		149	151		14.2	
	06/96	1103	680	91.0	31.0	100.0		148	251	233	<0.0	
	06/97	1082	708	85.0	29.0	110.0	<5	135	145	244	<2@N	
	12/97	1000	640	81.0	28.0	100.0	2	119	128	250	ND	
	03/98	1100	620	85.0	31.0	110.0	2	161	144	220	ND	
	06/98	1100	680	83.0	30.0	109.0	3	137	140	275	0.68	
	09/98	1160	662	81.0	28.0	90.0	3	144	90	256	ND	
	04/01	1100	612	83.0	29.0	106.0	3	131	146	238	ND	
	09/01	1150	679	89.0	31.0	156.0	2	142	156	241	ND	

^{* -} Reported as .96 ND - None Detected

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance umhos	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/l)	Chemical Constituents - mg/l							
	Tested			Са	Mg	Na	K	CI	SO4	HCO3	NO3
10S/4W-7A3	03/99	1280	765	91.0	34.0	127.0	2	190	160	272	ND
	06/99	1080	706	76.0	31.0	88.0	2.2	163	118	220	ND
	08/99 -	1080	690	76.0	32.0	93.0	3	160	191	244	ND
	10/99	1070	660	76.0	32.0	100.0	3	131	120	232	4
	05/00	1010	702	79.0	34.0	94.0	3	177	164	254	4
	08/00	1170	732	84.0	36.0	89.0	3	155	188	201	5
	02/01	1230	753	89.0	39.0	113.0	2	170	198	220	ND
	04/01	1230	726	89.0	39.0	115.0	4	160	191	243	ND
	09/01	1210	735	89.0	39.0	107.0	4	163	185	217	ND
10S/5W-23G4	06/99	1070	668	69.0	23.0	106.0	1.7	163	144	305	ND
	08/99	1090	657	72.0	25.0	115.0	2	180	153	317	ND
	10/99	1150	716	79.0	27.0	140.0	2	120	140	305	ND
	02/00	956	622	78.0	23.0	117.0	2	90	120	268	ND
	05/00	1040	686	77.0	27.0	116.0	2	181	141	307	ND
	08/00	1180	722	80.0	28.0	105.0	2	155	143	232	ND
	02/01	1100	706	73.0	25.0	125.0	2	149	164	268	ND
	04/01	1170	701	61.0	29.0	126.0	2	154	149	282	ND
	09/01	1180	671	80.0	28.0	125.0	2	149	142	271	ND
10S/5W-23K3	06/99	1150	700	75.0	27.0	106.0	2.2	163	155	317	ND
(Bldg 330923	08/99	1170	722	79.0	28.0	114.0	3	120	140	293	ND
	10/99	1170	723	78.0	28.0	140.0	3	120	140	293	ND
	02/00	1120	712	83.0	30.0	117.0	3	120	157	293	ND
	02/01	1240	758	85.0	61.0	136.0	3	167	152	305	ND
	04/01	1220	726	85.0	61.0	135.0	3	162	154	293	ND
	09/01	1240	682	81.0	29.0	132.0	3	162	144	281	ND
10S/5W-26C3 (Bldg 2202	09/01	1410	804	101.0	38.0	138.0	3	173	175	296	ND

ND - None Detected

