AMENDMENT

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 1990-91

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS. FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, ET AL CIVIL NO. 1247 - SD-T

JAMES S. JENKS WATERMASTER P. O. BOX 631 FALLBROOK, CA. 92088

> (760) 728-1028 FAX (760) 728-1990

APRIL 28, 1993

WATERMASTER

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

P.O. Box 631 Fallbrook, California 92028 (619) 728-1028 FAX (619) 728-1990

April 28, 1993

Honorable Gordon Thompson, Jr. United States District Court Southern District of California 940 Front Street San Diego, California 92189

Re: Civ. No. 1247-SD-T

Dear Judge Thompson:

Responsive to your Order entered November 20, 1992, the Santa Margarita River Watershed Annual Watermaster Report for Water Year 1990-91 has been amended to designate those uses of water by Rancho California Water District that are not authorized. Copies of the amended pages 2 and 54 of the Annual Report are enclosed with this letter. Draft copies of these amended pages were distributed and discussed at the Santa Margarita River Watershed Steering Committee Meeting on March 25, 1993. The draft pages were changed responsive to comments made at that meeting.

Following your Order, Rancho California Water District has initiated submittal of monthly reports describing water use within the 3,797 acre place of use designated in State Water Right Application 11518 (Permit 7032) beginning with October, 1992. Accordingly, data concerning the magnitude of the unauthorized use will be reported in the Annual Watermaster Report for Water Year 1992-93 (October 1, 1992 to September 30, 1993).

Rancho California Water District has also concluded that retrieval of the relevant data for the years prior to 1992-93 would be too difficult, as described in the attached letter from Rancho California Water District dated April 13, 1993.

Very truly yours,

James S. Jenks

Watermaster

JSJ:rbb

Enclosures

cc: Eastern Municipal Water District Fallbrook Public Utility District Rancho California Water District Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton

SECTION 1 - SUMMARY

Section 7 - Total imported supplies plus local production totaled 91,995 acre feet compared to 96,019 in 1989-90. Of that quantity, 50,460 acre feet were used for agriculture (which includes use by Rancho California Water District of 1,394 acre feet for golf course irrigation and 2,079 acre feet for landscape irrigation), 3,630 acre feet were used for commercial purposes, and 32,242 acre feet were used for domestic purposes; 785 acre feet were discharged to Murrieta Creek; 2,108 acre feet of fresh water were exported and 2,770 acre feet were unaccounted for. Unaccounted for water is the result of many factors including errors in measurement, differences between periods of use and periods of production, losses and unmeasured uses.

Section 8 - Unauthorized water use issues involve storage of surface water without an appropriative water right. In addition, Camp Pendleton has raised three unauthorized water use issues which include: recharge in violation of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment, rediversion and use not in accord with terms of Permit 7032, and export of local water by Eastern Municipal Water District.

A letter from the State Water Resources Control Board to Rancho California WD dated September 18, 1990 indicates that a "Progress Report" filed by Rancho California WD shows that water appropriated under Permit 7032 is being used for municipal and industrial purposes. Since use of water under Permit 7032 is limited to irrigation, domestic use incidental to farming operations and recreation, such use for municipal and industrial purposes represents an unauthorized use.

Section 9 - Threats to water supply include high nitrate levels in Rainbow Creek, potential overdraft conditions and salt balance issues in the upper Watershed, a proposed landfill near Rainbow Creek, and a soil treatment facility.

Section 10 - Water quality data collected by organizations in the Watershed for 1990-91 are presented in Appendix D.

Section 11 - Projected time requirements to provide for the primary Watermaster tasks are presented for the next five water years.

Section 12 - A Watermaster Office budget of \$153,000 is proposed for the 1992-93 Water Year.

Amended April 28, 1993

SECTION 8 - UNAUTHORIZED WATER USE

8.5 Camp Pendleton Issues

A number of unauthorized water use issues have been raised by Camp Pendleton. These issues and action to investigate and/or correct the issues are as follows:

- 1. Recharge in Violation of the Stipulated Judgment Camp Pendleton representatives have indicated that they believe the storage of water by Rancho California WD in Vail Lake exceeds RCWD's share of the Santa Margarita River flow as allocated under the 1940 Stipulated Judgment. This potential will be investigated by the Watermaster.
- 2. Rediversion and Use not in Accord with Terms of Permit 7032 As noted in Section 7 of the report, the place of use, rediversion facilities and the type of use of water appropriated under Rancho California WD's Vail Dam Application No. 11518 and Permit 7032 have changed since the Application was filed in 1947.

A letter from the SWRCB to Rancho California WD dated September 18, 1990 indicates that a "Progress Report" filed by Rancho California WD shows that water appropriated under Permit 7032 is being used for municipal and industrial purposes. Since use of water under Permit 7032 is limited to irrigation, domestic use incidental to farming operations and recreation, such use for municipal and industrial purposes represents an unauthorized use. However the magnitude of the unauthorized use cannot be reported due to lack of data. A Watermaster task is to obtain data needed to determine the magnitude of such unauthorized use.

3. Eastern MWD Export of Local Water - As noted in Section 7 of the report, Eastern MWD is constructing a 24-inch pipeline to transport wastewater for reuse within the Watershed as well as for export of up to 10 mgd from the Watershed. Camp Pendleton has objected to the export of that portion of the wastewater that originates within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Accordingly, Watermaster tasks for the coming year include development of operating rules with Eastern MWD so as to avoid exportation of local water from the Watershed.

Amended April 28, 1993

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 1990-91

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS. FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT, ET AL CIVIL NO. 1247 - SD-T

JAMES S. JENKS
WATERMASTER
P.O. BOX 631
FALLBROOK, CA 92088

(619) 728-1028 FAX (619) 728-1990

JULY 1992

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
1.	Summary	1
2.	Introduction	
	2.1 Background	3
	2.2 Authority	3
	2.3 Scope	3
з.	Surface Water Availability and Use	
	3.1 Surface Flow	4
	3.2 Surface Water Diversions	7
	3.3 Water Storage	7
4.	Subsurface Water Availability	
	4.1 General	11
	4.2 Extractions	11
	4.3 Subsurface Storage	13
5.	Imports/Exports	
	5.1 General	21
	5.2 Water Years 1966-91	
	5.3 Water Year 1990-91	25
	5.4 Lake Skinner	25
6.	Water Rights	
	6.1 General	
	6.2 Appropriative Surface Water Rights	31
7.	Water Production and Use	
	7.1 General	34
	7.2 Water Purveyors	35
	7.3 Indian Reservations	50
	7.4 Mobile Homes/Campgrounds	51
	7.5 Irrigation Water Use	51

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

8.	Unauthorized Water Use
	8.1 General52
	8.2 Dams on Chihuahua Creek52
	8.3 Bluebird Ranch53
	8.4 Unauthorized Small Storage Ponds53
	8.5 Camp Pendleton Issues54
9.	Threats to Water Supply
	9.1 General55
	9.2 High Nitrate Concentrations55
	9.3 Potential Overdraft Conditions
	9.4 Salt Balance57
	9.5 Proposed Landfill
	9.6 Soil Treatment Facility58
10.	Water Quality
	10.1 Surface Water Quality60
	10.2 Groundwater Quality65
11.	Five Year Projection of Watermaster Office Tasks,
	Expenditures and Requirements
	11.1 General
	11.2 Task Description66
12	Watermagter Office Budget 1992-93

LIST OF TABLES

		Page No.
3.1 -	Stream Gaging Stations	5
3.2 -	Measured Surface Water Flow 1990-91	6
3.3 -	Surface Water Diversions to Storage	8
3.4 -	Surface Water Diversions to Irrigation	9
3.5 -	Water in Storage	10
4.1 -	Water Production by Substantial Users	12
4.2 -	Surface Areas of Subsurface Storage Areas	14
5.1 -	Storage in State Water Project and Colorado River Reservoirs	22
5.2 -	Imports/Exports 1966-91	24
5.3 -	Imports/Exports 1990~91	26
6.1 -	Appropriative Water Rights Permits & Licenses	31
7.1 -	Water Production and Use	36
7.2 -	Rancho California Water District Return Flow Credit 1989-90	43
7.3 -	Rancho California Water District Return Flow Credit 1990-91	44
7.4 -	Depth of Younger Alluvium in Rancho California Water District Wells	49
10.1 -	Current Water Quality Monitoring Stations	61
10.2 -	Comparison of Basin Plan Objectives and Title 22 Limits with Average Concentrations of Selected Constituents	62
10.3 -	Summary of Eastern Municipal Water District's Santa Margarita River Monitoring Program	64
11.1 -	Projected Watermaster Tasks	67
12.1 -	Proposed Watermaster Office Budget	71

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

LIST OF FIGURES

4.1	-	Water	Level	Elevations	Well	No.	85/2W-12H1	16
4.2	-	Water	Level	Elevations	Well	No.	11S/5W-2E1	17
4.3	-	Water	Level	Elevations	Well	No.	75/3W-20C9	19
4.4	_	Water	Level	Elevations	Well	No.	7S/3E-21G1	20

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Production and Use Water Year 1990-91

Appendix B - Production and Use Water Years 1965-66 To 1990-91

Appendix C - Substantial Water Users 1990-91

Appendix D - Water Quality Data

MAP

Major Water Purveyors

Bound at back of report

SECTION 1 - SUMMARY

Section 1 - A summary of the Santa Margarita River Watershed Annual Watermaster Report for the 1990-91 Water Year.

Section 2 - This Annual Watermaster Report is prepared pursuant to Section II of the U.S. District Court Order dated March 13, 1989. The Court has retained jurisdiction over all surface flows of the Santa Margarita River Watershed and all underground waters determined by the Court to be subsurface flow of streams or creeks or which are determined by the Court to add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita stream system. Thus imported waters, whether in storage in Lake Skinner or being transported through the Watershed, are outside Court jurisdiction, along with local, vagrant groundwaters which do not support the Santa Margarita stream system.

Section 3 - Surface water flows were much higher than normal in 1990-91, ranging from 149 to 251 percent of normal at gaging stations with long records. Surface diversions to irrigation use totaled 891 acre feet compared with 763 acre feet in 1989-90. The total quantity of water in storage in the Watershed on September 30, 1991, was 22,775 acre feet of Santa Margarita River water and 39,666 acre feet of imported water.

Section 4 - Groundwater extractions were 39,938 acre feet compared to 48,450 acre feet in 1989-90. Water purveyors pumped 31,620 acre feet and 8,318 acre feet were pumped by other substantial users.

Section 5 - During 1990-91, 51,166 acre feet of water were imported and distributed in the Santa Margarita River Watershed by six water purveyors. This compares with 46,806 acre feet in 1989-90, an increase of approximately nine percent. Net exports, including wastewater, were 1,996 acre feet.

Section 6 - Water rights during the 1950's and 1960's consisted primarily of riparian and overlying rights. Other rights included appropriative rights and federal reserved rights. More recently, water purveyors in the Watershed have begun exercising groundwater appropriative rights. Appropriative surface water rights on file with the State Water Resources Control Board amount to 906,892 gallons per day of direct diversion rights and 44,315.5 acre feet of active storage rights.

Section 7 - Total imported supplies plus local production totaled 91,995 acre feet compared to 96,019 in 1989-90. Of that quantity, 50,460 acre feet were used for agriculture (which includes use by Rancho California Water District of 1,394 acre feet for golf course irrigation and 2,079 acre feet for landscape irrigation), 3,630 acre feet were used for commercial purposes, and 32,242 acre feet were used for domestic purposes; 785 acre feet were discharged to Murrieta Creek; 2,108 acre feet of fresh water were exported and 2,770 acre feet were unaccounted for. Unaccounted for water is the result of many factors including errors in measurement, differences between periods of use and periods of production, losses and unmeasured uses.

Section 8 - Unauthorized water use issues involve storage of surface water without an appropriative water right. In addition, Camp Pendleton has raised three unauthorized water use issues which include: recharge in violation of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment, rediversion and use not in accord with terms of Permit 7032, and export of local water by Eastern Municipal Water District.

Section 9 - Threats to water supply include high nitrate levels in Rainbow Creek, potential overdraft conditions and salt balance issues in the upper Watershed, a proposed landfill near Rainbow Creek, and a soil treatment facility.

Section 10 - Water quality data collected by organizations in the Watershed for 1990-91 are presented in Appendix D.

Section 11 - Projected time requirements to provide for the primary Watermaster tasks are presented for the next five water years.

Section 12 - A Watermaster Office budget of \$153,000 is proposed for the 1992-93 Water Year.

SECTION 2 - INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background

On January 25, 1951, the United States of America filed Complaint No. 1247 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California to seek a judicial determination of all respective water rights within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The Final Judgment and Decree was entered on May 8, 1963, and appealed to the U. S. Court of Appeals. The decision of the Appeals Court was entered on December 1, 1965, and the Modified Final Judgment and Decree was entered on April 6, 1966. Among other things, the Decree provided that the Court:

. . . retains continuing jurisdiction of this cause as to the use of all surface waters within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River and all underground or sub-surface waters within the watershed of the Santa Margarita River, which are determined in any of the constituent parts of this Modified Final Judgment to be a part of the sub-surface flow of any specific river or creek, or which are determined in any of the constituent parts of this Modified Final Judgment to add to, contribute to, or support the Santa Margarita River stream system.

In March, 1989, the Court appointed James S. Jenks as Watermaster, to administer and enforce the provisions of the Modified Final Judgment and Decree and subsequent orders of the Court. The Order also described the Watermaster's Powers and Duties as well as procedures for funding and operating the Watermaster's Office. The Court also appointed a Steering Committee comprised of representatives from Camp Pendleton, Fallbrook PUD and Rancho California WD to assist the Watermaster.

2.2 Authority

Section II of the Order for the Appointment of a Watermaster requires that the Watermaster submit a written report containing his findings and conclusions to the Court promptly after the end of each water year.

2.3 Scope

The subjects addressed in this report are responsive to Section II of the appointing order. Information and data contained in this report are based on information reported to the Watermaster by others. Therefore, the Watermaster does not guarantee the completeness and accuracy of the information presented in this report. However, it is noted that most of the data presented are based on measurements by various organizations in the Watershed. Estimates by the Watermaster are so noted.

SECTION 3 - SURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY AND USE

3.1 Surface Flow

Over the years, flows in the Santa Margarita River Watershed have been measured at the stations listed on Table 3.1. A number of these stations have been discontinued so that during Water Year 1990-91 the U.S.G.S. collected measurements from 13 stations and the Marine Corps Base at Camp Pendleton collected measurements from one additional station.

The U.S.G.S. has had difficulty establishing a high flow rating curve for the DeLuz Creek station and in 1990-91 collected four discharge measurements. The U.S.G.S. has recommended that the station be discontinued because of this difficulty and because of gage access problems.

Monthly flows for these stations are shown on Table 3.2. Of these, stations with long periods of record are shown below. Total flow for Water Years 1989-90 and 1990-91 at these stations, together with the average discharge for the station for the period of record through Water Year 1991, are listed below:

- 1	1989-90	FLOW 1990-91 Acre Feet	AVERAGE FLOW Through 1991 Acre Feet		
Temecula Creek Near Aguanga	1,113	9,132	4,930 (1957	-91)	
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	1,850	19,682	7,900 (1924	-91)	
Santa Margarita Rive Near Temecula	r 3,094	27,126	10,800 (1949	-91)	
Santa Margarita Rive Near Ysidora	3,340	36,501	24,357 (1923	-91)	

Comparisons of flows indicate that 1990-91 was considerably wetter than normal with flows ranging from 149 to 251 percent of the long-term averages.

Monthly flows shown in Table 3.2 consist primarily of naturally occurring surface runoff except for flows downstream of Murrieta Creek. Flows at those stations include water discharged by Rancho California Water District into Murrieta Creek just

TABLE 3.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

STREAM GAGING STATIONS

STATION NAME	STATION BO.	area Sq. killes	recorded By	PERIOD OF RECORD
Temecula Creek Mear Aguanga	11042400	131	USGS	8/57 ************************************
Wilson Creek Above Wail Lake	11042490	122	USGS	10/89 IX
Temecula Creek At Vail Dam	11042520	320	USGS	2/23 10/77 ***********************************
Vail Lake at Temecula {Reservoir Storage}	11042510	32 0	USGS	10/60
Pechanga Creek Mear Temecula	11042631	14	USGS	19/87
Warm Springs Creek Mear Murrieta	11042800	55	USGS	19/87
Santa Gertrudis Creek Mear Temecula	11 04 29 00	93	USGS	10/87
Murrieta Creek At Temecula	11043000	222	USGS	10/25
Santa Margarita River Mear Temecula	11 04400 0	588	USGS	2/23
Rainbow Creek Hear Fallbrook	11044250	10.3	USGS	9/89 II
Sandia Creek Wear Fallbrook	11044350	21.4	USGS	9/89 II
Santa Margarita River Wear Fallbrook	11044300	620	USGS	10/24
Santa Margarita River Tributary Mear Fallbrook	11044600	0.52	USGS	10/61 9/65 XXXX
DeLuz Creek Hear Fallbrook	11044900	47.5	USGS/MRO	2/51 67 68 77 9/89
Santa Margarita River Mear DeLuz Station	11045000	705	USGS	19/24_19/26 11
Fallbrook Creek Wear Lake O'Meill	MA	9.5	USGS/MRO	10/64 9/76 12/88
Santa Hargarita River	11046000		USGS WATER YEAR	3/23
UP IBTAATA			ENDING	1920 1930 1940 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990

TABLE 3.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
MEASURED SURFACE WATER FLOW 1990-91
Quantities in Acre Feet

_	DRATTAGE ARRA					HOTTE			_					199 0 -91 WAYER YEAR	ANTUAL AVERAGE	YEARS OF RECORD	
EAGING STATION	SQ. HILES	OCT	MOA	DEC	JAE	FEB	MAR	APR	KAY	JUR	兀	ADG	SEP	TOTAL	THRU 1991	TERU 199	1
Femecula Creek Mear Aguanga	131	35	47	71	95	1,180	6,430	914	216	75	30	19	20	9,132	4,938	34	
filson Creek Above Vail Lake	122	0	0	9	0	5	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	I/A	2	
echanga Creek Mear Temecula	13.8	0	0	• •	0	12	198	0	9	0	9	0	0	210	J/A	4	
arm Springs Creek Mear Murrieta	55.4	18	4	. 1	9	224	4,550	4	. 0	0	0	0	0	4,810	1/8	4	
anta Gertrudis Cree Mear Temecula	k 92.8	0	0	0	0	47	1,440	89	9	0	0	9	0	1,567	¥/A	4	
urrieta Creek At Temecula	222	189	1	1	81	1,990	16,860	159	23	39	54	91	194	19,682	7,900	67	
anta Margarita Rive Mear Temecula	r 588	230	85	69	161	5,33 0	19,970	400	159	137	149	2 00	236	27,126	1 0 ,8 00 2 0 ,42 0		(1949-91) (1924-48)
ainbow Creek Mear Fallbrook	10.3	27	31	. 28	40	141	995	180	51	33	37	42	23	1,628	I/A		
andia Creek Mear Fallbrook	21.4	68	101	130	17 0	297	3,980	868	432	304	97	96	75	6,618	1/8	2	
anta Margarita Rive Mear Fallbrook	r 620	265	176	173	286	2,780	3 0 ,140	1, 000	406	346	259	330	297	36,458	1/8	2	
eLuz Creek 1/ Mear Fallbrook	47.5														3,915	25	(1951-77) Except 1968
anta Margarita Rive At Ysidora	r 723	0	85	351	292	946	30,710	2,370	766	434	245	133	169	36,5 0 1	24,357	68	-
allbrook Creek Wear Lake O'Weill	9.5	0	0	• •	11	31	361	42	22	8	4	9	9	479	1,225		(1965-76) (1989-91)

^{1/} No continuous record was maintained in 1990-91

^{2/} Includes wastewater flows

I/R - No Record

M/A - Not Applicable

upstream from the gaging station. These discharges are pursuant to Section Eleventh of the 1940 Stipulated Judgment which requires maintenance of a flow of three cubic feet per second (cfs) at the Santa Margarita River station near Temecula between May 1 and October 31 of each year. Discharges at that station for the months of October, 1990 and May through September, 1991 are shown on the following tabulation:

	MONTHLY DISCHARGE							
			Average Daily					
	<u>Acre Feet</u>	No. Days	<u>cfs</u>					
October 1990	230	31	3.7					
May 1991	159	31	2.5					
June 1991	137	30	2.3					
July 1991	149	31	2.4					
August 1991	200	31	3.3					
September 1991	236	_30_	4.0					
TOTAL	1,111	184	3.0					

Release of 785 acre feet by Rancho California Water District constituted most of the measured 1,111 acre feet of water flowing past the Santa Margarita River gage during the six-month period.

3.2 <u>Surface Water Diversions</u>

Surface diversions to surface water storage and groundwater storage during 1989-90 and 1990-91 are shown in Table 3.3. Surface diversions to irrigation, estimated consumptive use, losses and returns for 1990-91 are shown in Table 3.4.

3.3 <u>Water Storage</u>

Major water storage facilities in the Santa Margarita River Watershed are listed on Table 3.5, together with the water in storage on September 30, 1990 and September 30, 1991. Total Santa Margarita system water in storage at the end of water year 1990-91 totaled 22,775 acre feet, compared to 18,414 acre feet at the end of the previous year. Imported water in storage in Lake Skinner operated by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) is also shown on Table 3.5. Imported water is not under Court jurisdiction.

TABLE 3.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO STORAGE Quantities in Acre Feet

Surface Water Storage

	<u>Vail L</u>	<u>ake</u>	<u>Lake O'Neill</u>								
	<u>1989-90</u>	<u>1990-91</u>	<u> 1989-90</u>	1990-91							
Storage at end of year (9/30)	17,454	21,815	960	960							
Change in Storage	(980)	4,361	. 60	0							
Annual Evaporation	3,158	3,619	380	366							
Annual Release	0	6,253	9001	9001							
Diversions to Surface Storage	2,1781/3	14,233 3	867	957							
Groundwater Storage											
Recharge (Surface Release)	0	6,253	9001	900 1							
Recharge (Direct)	0	0	2,755	5,178							

^I Estimated

Revised from 1989-90 Report
Equals Change of storage, plus Evaporation, plus Releases

TABLE 3.4 SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SURFACE WATER DIVERSIONS TO IRRIGATION Quantities in Acre Feet

	Surface Diversions	Consumptive Use 1	Losses 1	Returns 3
Matthews & Baum	18	13	2	3
Bluebird Ranch	14	10	1	3
Cal June, Inc.	100	67	10	23
Cottle/Strange	250	169	25	56
Agri-Empire, Inc. Wilson Creek Chihuahua Creek	146 203	98 137	15 20	33 46
Twin Creeks Ranch	68	46	7	15
Sage Ranch Nursery	30	20	3	7
Margarita Land and Development Co.	62_	42_	6	14
TOTAL	891	602	89	200

¹ Consumptive use equals 75% of diversions less losses

Losses equal 10% of diversion
Returns equal 25% of diversion less losses
1989-90 data, owner unavailable

TABLE 3.5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

WATER IN STORAGE

Quantities in Acre Feet

Santa Margarita River Storage	Total <u>Capacity</u>	Water in 9/30/90				
Dunn Ranch Dam	90	0	Ø			
Chihuahua Creek Reservoirs			_			
Upper	190	0	0			
Middle	8	0	0			
Lower	10	0	Ø			
Vail Lake	49,370	17,454	21,815			
Lake O'Neill	1,200	960*	960 *			
Subtotal	50,868	18,414	22,775			
Imported Water Storage						
Lake Skinner	44,000	42,370	39,666			
TOTAL STORAGE	94,868	60,784	62,441			

^{* -} Estimated

SECTION 4 - SUBSURFACE WATER AVAILABILITY

4.1 General

Much of the water from the Santa Margarita River system is obtained by pumping subsurface water. The Court generally has identified two basic types of subsurface water in its interlocutory judgments. One type is vagrant, local, percolating waters which do not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River or its tributaries. Such waters have been determined to be outside the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. These waters are typically found in the basement complex and/or residuum deposits in the Watershed. Wells tapping these deposits typically have low yields. When such wells are widely spaced there may be sufficient water for domestic uses.

Other subsurface waters were found by the Court to add to, contribute to and support the Santa Margarita River and/or its tributaries. The use of such waters is under the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. Aquifers containing such waters include alluvial deposits located along streams as well as older alluvial deposits. Use of such water is reported in this report.

4.2 Extractions

Production by substantial water users in the Watershed from all sources is listed on Table 4.1 by hydrologic area along with estimated consumptive use and return flows. Substantial water users include water purveyors as well as private irrigators.

Production by purveyors totaled 31,620 acre feet in 1990-91 compared to 39,655 acre feet in 1989-90. Monthly quantities are shown in Appendix A and annual production for water years between 1966 and 1991 is shown in Appendix B.

Subsurface extractions by private irrigators are based on the irrigated acreage and reported in Appendix C. These groundwater extractions were 8,318 acre feet in 1990-91. Of the subsurface extractions, 75 percent is estimated to have been consumed and 25 percent to have been return flow. Surface diversions are treated similarly in Table 4.1 except that 10 percent is estimated to have been lost during delivery of the water. Return flow is that portion of the total production which is not consumed.

TABLE 4.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATER PRODUCTION BY SUBSTANTIAL USERS

HYDROLOGIC ARRA	WAYER PURVEYOR PRODUCTION ACRE FEET	OTHER IRRIGATED ACRES	IRRIGATION PRODUCTION ACRE FRET	TOTAL GROUNDWAYER PRODUCTION ACRE FRET	SURFACE WAYER DIVERSIONS ACRE FRET	TOTAL PRODUCTION ACRE FRET	ESTIMATED CONSUMPTIVE USE ACRE FEET 2/	ESTINATED RETURN FLOW ACRE FEET
1. Wilson Creek Above Aguanga GWA Includes Anza Walley	375 (Anza MVC, Lk RV	1,980 1/ side)	2,682	3, 0 57	9	3,057	2,293	764
2. Temecula Creek Above Aguanga GWA	12 (Butterfield Oak	6 0 7 s MHP}	1,483	1,495	203	1,698	1,258	440
3. Aguanga GWA	51 (Thousand Trails	506 I	1,150	1,201	464	1,665	1,214	451
4. Upper Murrieta Creek				*****		••		
5. Lower Murrieta Creek		865	76	76	30	196	79	27
6. Temecula-Murrieta GWA	27,423 (RCWD,HCWD,BKWD)	988	2,009	29,432	0	29,432	22,074	7,358
 Santa Margarita River Below Gorge 								
DeLuz Creek	46 (FPUD)	252	772	818	32	85 0	637	213
Sandia Creek	(1100)	126	199	100	199	200	143	57
Rainbow Creek	****	••••	*****	8	9			
Santa Margarita River	3,713 (USHC)	20	46	3,759	62	3,821	592	2,340
TOTAL	31,620	5,344	8,318	39,938	891	40,829	28,290	11,650

^{1/} Includes lands overlying deep aquifer in Anza Valley

^{2/} Estimated consumptive use is equal to 75% of groundwater production plus 75% of surface diversions less 10% except for Camp Pendleton where net export of 889 acre feet is excluded and return flows include measured wastewater returns

The foregoing percentages were applied to all users except Camp Pendleton, where consumptive use was estimated to have been 75 percent of the portion of production which is not exported or recharged as wastewater. In addition, 5 percent of the wastewater recharged was estimated to have been lost as consumptive use.

4.3 Subsurface Storage

Quantification of the volumes of water in subsurface storage requires definition of four factors which include:

- 1. Surface area
- 2. Depth of subsurface source
- 3. Specific yield of aquifer
- 4. Depth of water.

Subsurface sources have previously been identified in the Court documents and have also been classified by the Department of Water Resources. In Table 4.2 subsurface storage areas are listed under Court headings and categorized using the same hydrologic subunits (HSU's) and subareas (HSA's) used by the State. The surface areas of the subsurface sources within each HSA were measured from Court Exhibits which show the surface exposure of younger alluvium (Qyal) and older alluvium (Qtoal). These areas are compared on Table 4.2 with previous results by the Department of Water Resources in its Bulletin No. 57 published in 1956, and by The Joint Administration Committee of the Santa Margarita and San Luis Rey Watershed Planning Agency in 1973.

The depths of subsurface sources are generally determined by using drillers' well logs. About 8,500 drillers' logs have been collected from the State Department of Water Resources, Riverside County Flood Control District and San Diego County. These logs are being used along with studies by others to define the depth of younger and older alluvium in each subsurface source.

TABLE 4.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SURFACE AREAS OF SUBSURFACE STORAGE AREAS

		HYDROLOGIC	DWR 1/	JAC 2/		ATERNASTER (PFTCR	
HYDROLOGIC AREA	hana Lawanga (MI)	SUB AREA	1956	1973	QYAL	QTOAL	TOTAL	_
a. Burnt Vall b. Anza Valle c. Upper Cabu d. Lower Cabu e. Reed Valle f. Lewis Vall g. Subtotal	bove Aguanga GWA ey y illa Valley illa Valley y ey ey	2.74 2.73 2.72 2.71 2.63 2.62	5,7 00 710 1,300 7,710	9,6 00 1,1 00 1,2 00 	277 7,286 959 1,643 686 730 11,581	4,131 400 420 172 376	590 11,417 1,359 2,063 858 1,106 17,393	
2. Temecula Creek a. Chihuahua b. Dodge Vali c. Oak Grove d. Lower Culp e. Subtotal	abowe Aguanga GWA Valley ey Valley	2.94 2.93 2.92 2.91	1, 000 2, 000 610 3,61 0	2,5 00 1,1 00	938 908 2,251 692 4,789	157 0 0 296 453	1,095 9 0 8 2,251 988 5,2 4 2	
3. Aguanga GWA (u a. Tule Creek b. Tule Creek c. Radec d. Devils Bol e. Vail (insi f. Vail (outs g. Lancaster b. Lancaster i. Subtotal	/s Vail Dam} /Aguanga (outside GWA) /Aguanga (inside GWA) e de GWA) ide GWA] Valley (inside GWA) Valley (outside GWA)	2.84 P 2.84 P 2.83 2.82 2.81 P 2.81 P 2.61 P	1,2 00 310 940 2,450	2, 000 8, 000 10, 000	694 1,537 169 94 1,444 128 1,161 74 5,381	1,837 635 6 4,794 618 1,763 292	719 3,374 804 94 6,238 746 2,924 366 15,265	(Excludes Vail Lake)
4. Upper Murrieta a. Diamond b. Domenigoni c. Lower Dome d. French (ou e. French (in f. Murrieta (g. Wildomar (b. Subtotal	nigoni tside GWA) side GWA) outside GWA) outside GWA)	2.36 2.35 2.34 2.33 P 2.33 P 2.32 P 2.31 P	2,6 00 3, 000 3, 000 590 9,190	2,8 90 3,3 00 3,5 00 9,6 00	5 13 7	7 669 1,404 1,230 1,124	2,483 3,065 726 879 1,681 1,743 1,131 11,708	
5. Lower Murrieta a. Tucalota (b. Tucalota (c. Bachelor M d. Subtotal	(u/s GWA) above Sage} above Lk Skinner) tn (below Lk Skinner)	2.44 2.43 2.41	269 1,7 00 1 ,968	 2,8 00	323 230 272 825	9	323 230 272 825	(Excludes Lake Skinner)
d. Pauba (out e. Santa Gert f. Santa Gert	inside GWA) outside GWA) ide GWA) side GWA rudis {inside GWA} rudis {outside GWA} inside GWA)	2.52 P 2.52 P 2.51 P 2.51 P 2.42 P 2.42 P 2.32 P 2.31 P	2,2 00 3, 000 580 4,4 00 10,180	 	2,259 28 4,671 245 1,744 78 5,264 803 14,492	892 8,257 395 8,483 1,253 11,943 2,652	5,634 830 12,328 640 10,227 1,331 16,307 3,455 50,752	
7. DeLuz a. Vallecitos b. Gavilan (S. c. Gavilan (S. d. DeLuz Cree e. Subtotal	andia inside GWA) andia}	2.23 2.22 P 2.22 P 2.21	45 0 45 0		528 7 564 956 2, 0 55	0	528 7 564 956 2, 6 55	
8. Ysidora a. Upper b. Chappo c. Ysidora d. Subtotal		2.13 2.12 2.11	1,1 00 2,2 40 860 4,2 00	 4,030	1,201 2,431 1,246 4,878	0 0 0	1,201 2,431 1,246 4,878	
9. TOTAL			39,750	101,930	51,191	56,9 27	108,118	

P Partial
1/ State Department of Water Resources Bulletin 57, "Santa Margarita River Investigation," June, 1956
2/ Joint Administration Committee of the SM & SLR WPA, "Comprehensive Water Quality Management Study," Vol 1, Dec, 1973

At present, maps prepared by others showing depths to bedrock are available for the following groundwater basins:

- 1. Wilson Creek Area
 - a. Burnt Valley
 - b. Anza Valley
 - c. Upper Cahuilla
 - d. Lower Cahuilla
- 2. Murrieta/Temecula Groundwater Area
- 3. Ysidora
 - a. Upper Sub-basin
 - b. Chappo Sub-basin
 - c. Ysidora Sub-basin

After the surface areas and depths are defined, the total capacity of the subsurface source can be computed by multiplying the total volume by the specific yield. Specific yields may be estimated from data developed in well pumping tests, however few such tests have been conducted in the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The only tests in recent years are those by the Rancho California Water District. In the absence of pump tests, specific yields can be estimated from drillers' logs, and this approach will be used in areas where no well tests are available.


The last component which needs to be identified to determine the quantity of water in subsurface storage is the depth of water. Well water level measurements are available within major purveyors' service areas such as Rancho California Water District, Camp Pendleton, and Murrieta County Water District. However, outside major service areas, groundwater level measurements are sparse. Therefore, there is a need to develop a system of water level measurements in areas outside these major service areas.

Historic water levels in four wells at various locations in the Watershed are shown on Figures 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4. Figure 4.1 shows water levels in Well No. 8S/2W-12H1 (Windmill Well) located in the Rancho California Water District Service Area downstream from Vail Lake. Note the extended drawdown from 1945 to 1978 and the major recovery during the wet years in 1978-1980.

Figure 4.2 shows water levels at Well No. 11S/5W-2E1 at Camp Pendleton. Note the lowered water levels in the late 1940's which led to seawater intrusion of the Ysidora sub-basin. The seawater intrusion has been prevented since then by maintaining water levels above sea level.

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS


Well No. 08S/2W-12H1 - WINDMILL - RCWD #417



Ground El. 1216 Ft. Depth 515 Ft. Drilled in Alluvium Ref: DWR Bul. 91-20 (1920-67) RCWD Master Plan (1970-83); LH Rpt (1983-87); RCWD Reports (1989-91)

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS

Well No. 11S/05W-2E1 - CAMP PENDLETON



Ground El. 20.06 Ft Depth 83.3 Ft Perf 112-137 Ft. Drilled in Alluvium Camp Pendleton Records (1937-1972) (1988-1991 dates estimated)

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Figure 4.3 shows water levels from Well No. 7S/3W-20C9 (Holiday Well) in the Murrieta County Water District Service Area. Overall, this well's levels show no signs of long-term overdraft. However continuation of the rate of lowering experienced in 1990-91 in wet years could change that conclusion.


Figure 4.4 shows water levels for Well No. 7S/3E-21G1, Anza Mutual Water Company's Well No. 1 located in the Anza Valley. Note there is little overall trend in water levels since 1973.

Changes in water levels in the above noted wells between the end of the previous water year and the end of the 1991 water year are shown below:

<u>Well</u>	Water Level <u>1990</u>	Water Level <u>1991</u>	Change in Water Level <u>Feet</u>
8S/2W-12H1	1121.7	1117.2	Down 4.5
11S/5W-2E1	12.1	14.8	Up 2.7
7S/3W-20C9	1000.8	990.9	Down 9.9
7S/3E-21G1	3775.6	3775.6	No Change


WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS

Well No. 07S/03W-20C9 - MCWD HOLIDAY WELL



Ground El. 1080 Ft Depth 307 Ft Perf 60 - 307 Ft Murrieta County Water District Records

WATER LEVEL ELEVATIONS



Ground El. 3863 Ft Depth 260 Ft Perf 20—260 Ft Drilled in Old Alluvium Anza Mutual Water Co. Well No. 1 (1987—1991); DWR Bulletin 91—22 (1950—1973) dated 8/7-

SECTION 5 - IMPORTS/EXPORTS

5.1 General

Court Orders require the Watermaster to determine the quantities of imported water used in the Watershed. Most of the water imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed is by Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD) for sale to local districts. MWD obtains its water from the State Water Project (SWP) and the Colorado River. Both the State Water Project and the Colorado River system have major storage reservoirs to provide long-term carryover storage. The quantities of water in storage in the major reservoirs in each system are shown on Table 5.1. It may be seen that during Water Year 1990-91 water in storage in the SWP increased from 1.9 million acre feet on September 30, 1991. Storage on September 30, 1991, corresponds to 46 percent of the total SWP storage capacity.

Similarly, water in storage in the Colorado River system decreased from 43.5 million acre feet on September 30, 1990, to 41.7 million acre feet on September 30, 1991. On September 30, 1991, those reservoirs contained 65 percent of their total capacity.

Projections of water availability on the State Water Project for the coming year are prepared by the State Department of Water Resources on a monthly basis from February through May. The March 1, 1992 report indicates that projected April through July runoff from rivers in the State ranges from 50 to 70 percent of average. The SWP has approved deliveries of 45 percent for both agricultural entitlement water and municipal and industrial water requests.

The following districts imported water directly or indirectly from MWD into the Santa Margarita River Watershed during 1990-91:

Eastern Municipal Water District Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District Fallbrook Public Utility District Rainbow Municipal Water District Rancho California Water District Western Municipal Water District

TABLE 5.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

STORAGE IN STATE WATER PROJECT AND COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS

Thousands of Acre Feet

STATE WATER PROJECT RESERVOIRS

	Total	Wat	er in Sto	rage
	Capacity			9/30/91
Oroville	3,540	2,150	1,163	1,399
San Luis (State Share)	1,060	216	100	385
Pyramid	171	160	163	164
Castaic	324	184	268	296
Silverwood	73	62	67	68
Perris	132	104	116	120
Total	5,300	2,876	1,877	2,432
Percent of Capacity		54%	35%	46%

MAJOR COLORADO RIVER RESERVOIRS

	Total	Water in Storage						
	Capacity	9/30/89	9/30/90	9/30/91				
Flaming Gorge	3,789	2,960	3,082	3,391				
Blue Mesa	941	585	618	700				
Navajo	1,709	1,310	1,361	1,586				
Powell	27,000	19,805	16,252	14,699				
Mead	28,537	21,528	20,144	19,233				
H ohave	1,818	1,388	1,488	1,571				
Havasu	648	563	562	556				
Total	64,442	48,139	43,507	41,736				
Percent of Capacity		75%	68%	65%				

In addition to MWD imports, water is also imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed from adjacent watersheds. Such importation occurs from the Santa Ana Watershed where Elsinore Valley MWD pumps water from wells outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed but delivers water to a portion of its service area which is inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

At Camp Pendleton, there is a pipeline connection to wells located in the Las Flores Creek Watershed to the north of the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Water can be either imported or exported through that line, depending on relative water demands and pumping capacities.

Exportations from the Santa Margarita River Watershed include water pumped at Camp Pendleton which is used in the San Luis Rey River Watershed to the south or in the Las Flores Creek Watershed to the north. Some of the water exported at Camp Pendleton is returned to the Watershed as wastewater. Wastewater from the Fallbrook area is exported by the Fallbrook Sanitary District and wastewater in the Elsinore Valley MWD is exported by that district.

Eastern MWD is currently constructing a 24-inch pipeline along Winchester Road. When completed the pipeline will be used to transport wastewater from the Rancho California Regional Water Reclamation Facility to areas within the Watershed for reuse as well as for export of up to 10 mgd from the Watershed.

Exports of minor quantities of water were initiated from Well No. 7S/3E-23D in Anza Valley. About 97,500 gallons were reported to have been exported in September, 1991, to the Seven Up/Royal Crown Bottling Plant in Buena Park, outside the Watershed.

The following paragraphs of this report describe imports during the 1966-1991 period and during Water Year 1990-91. There is also discussion of MWD's Lake Skinner operations which are located on Tucalota Creek.

5.2 Water Years 1966-1991

Water quantities imported into the Santa Margarita River Watershed during Water Years 1966-1991 are shown on Table 5.2. In general imports to these districts are measured, however imports into the Santa Margarita River Watershed were estimated for Eastern MWD, Elsinore Valley MWD, Fallbrook PUD and Rainbow MWD because portions of those districts' service areas are outside the Watershed and meters are not available to allow a direct measurement of water imports into the Watershed.

TABLE 5.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED IMPORTS/EXPORTS 1966-1991 Quantities in Acre Feet

IMPORTS

EXPORTS

WAYER YRAR	BASTERN MID	elsinore Valley Hed	FALLBROOK PUD 1/	RATUBON MIO	RANCHO CAL VD	WESTERN MID 2/	TOTAL IMPORTS	CAMP EXPORTS	PENDLETOI IMPORTS	157	ELSINORI VALLEY	FALLBROOK SD	TOTAL EIPORTS
1966	1,604	∎/R	3,351	1,308	9	24	6,287	3,299	974	2,325		9	2,325
1967	1,630	II/R	2,852	1,095	0	20	5,597	3,231	1,243	1,989		9	1,989
1968	1,464	II/R	3,423	1,377	0	27	6,291	3,427	1,214	2,213		0	2,213
1969	1,741	H/R	2,837	1,253	0	25	5,855	3,350	1,170	2,181		0	2,181
1970	1,417	II/R	3,538	1,689	0	31	6,674	3,829	1,113	2,716		0	2,716
1971	1,383	N/R	3,405	1,650	0	34	6,473	3,484	1,090	2,395		0	2,395
1972	1,470	II/R	3,916	2,037	0	34	7,457	3,479	1,168	2,311	0	0	2,311
1973	1,533	II/R	3,210	1,616	0	30	6,389	3,480	1,187	2,292	0	0	2,292
1974	1,601	II/R	3,967	2,049	0	36	7,654	3,468	1,140	2,327	0	0	2,327
1975	1,969	II/R	3,597	1,247	0	34	6,847	3,034	1,530	1,504	0	0	1,504
1976	2,493	II/R	4,627	2,239	119 *	35	9,513 *	3,555	1,497	2,057	0	0	2,057
1977	2,947	II/R	5,212	2,343	1,845 *	24	12,372 *	3,130	1,416	1,714	0	0	1,714
1978	2,551	569	5,2 0 2	2,188	5,774 *	26	16,310 *	3, 00 6	1,283	1,724	0	0	1,724
1979	1,894	712	5,723	2,348	7,009 *	24	17,709 *	4,692	1,427	3,265	0	0	3,265
1980	1,192	696	6,404	2,489	10,126 *	25	20,932 *	3,587	1,405	2,182	0	0	2,182
1981	716	798	8,543	3,153	15,282 *	34	28,527 *	3,827	1,249	2,579	0	0	2,579
1982	1,112	678	7,079	2,460	13,378 *	34	24,741 *	3,696	1,273	2,424	0	0	2,424
1983	1,211	658	6,720	2,190	5,752	26	16,557	2,935	1,242	1,693	0	1 0 29	2,722
1984	699	816	8,506	3,068	6,716	26	19,831	3,178	1,120	2,058	0	1058	3,116
1985	679	808	7,831	3,410	7,158	27	19,913	3,32 0	1,200	2,120	0	1086	3,206
1986	760	882	8,585	2,945	11,174	34	24,380	3,273	981	2,293	0	1112	3,405
1987	1,155	938	8,656	3,390	7,564	36	21,739	3,379	1,799	1,581	4	1155	2,740
1988	2, 04 7	1,032	8, 0 33	2,985	17,854	36	31,988	4,075	1,872	2,203	55	1189	3,438
1989	3,746	1,341	9, 0 67	3,003	22,895 *	24	40,076 *	3,347	1,446	1,901	74	1,204	3,179
19 90	8,578	2,255	10,103	3,818	22,030	22	46,806	2,890	1,451	1,439	114	1,298	2,851
1991	16,621	2,421	7,962	2,984	21,238	20	51,166	2,108	1,219	889	134	973	1,996

^{1/} Includes DeLuz Heights HWD prior to 1991

^{2/} Improvement District A - Rainbow Canyon Only (MR-13)

MR - Not Reported

^{* -} Revised from 1990 Report

Exports over the 1966-1991 period are also shown on Table 5.2. These include estimated water exports on Camp Pendleton less estimated wastewater returns, as well as an estimate of exports by the Fallbrook Sanitary District after 1983, and Elsinore Valley MWD after 1986. Exports do not include water which naturally flows from the Santa Margarita River into the Pacific Ocean.

5.3 Water Year 1990-91

Water quantities imported into and exported from the Santa Margarita River Watershed for months during Water Year 1990-91 are listed on Table 5.3.

5.4 Lake Skinner

Lake Skinner is a 44,000 acre foot reservoir constructed by MWD on Tucalota Creek, within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The purpose of Lake Skinner is to provide regulatory and emergency storage capacity for water imported to southern California.

It was recognized that the construction and operation of Lake Skinner would affect surface and subsurface flows on Tucalota Creek, so a Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Lake Skinner (MOU), dated November 12, 1974, was approved by the Court on January 16, 1975.

The MOU contains provisions to protect Santa Margarita River Watershed water users from potential effects of Lake Skinner on either subsurface or surface flows.

Protection against a decrease in subsurface flows caused by the dam is afforded by a provision in the MOU which requires that MWD release water from Lake Skinner into Tucalota Creek if groundwater levels in Well AV-28 fall below a depth of 22.76 feet.

During 1990-91, MWD began construction of a bypass pipeline in an alignment across Well AV-28. A substitute well, designated AV-28B was drilled 40.72 feet west and 8.72 feet south of Well AV-28. The minimum groundwater level to be maintained is an elevation of 1356.64 feet which is equivalent to the previous water level which was expressed in terms of the depth to water from a datum.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

TABLE 5.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED IMPORTS/EXPORTS 1990-91 Quantities in Acre Feet

IMPORTS EXPORTS

		ELSINORE			RANCEO			CAMP	RIDIATOR		ELSINORE		
YEAR	BASTER	VALLEY	PALLBROOK		CAL	ESTER	TOTAL	ļ		HE?	VALLEY	FALLBROOK	TOTAL
HONTH	1900	Man)	PTD		TD	1600 1/	IMPORTS	EIPORTS	IMPORTS	EXPORT	PERIOD:	SD	KIPORTS
1990													
OCT	1,981	227	1,145	349	2,953	2	6,657	213	96	117	12	192	231
VO	1,495	227	843	351	1,533	2	4,451	206	100	106	10	86	202
DEC	387	150	722	239	1,258		2,758	118	108	10	.12	87	109
1991													
JAN	1,233	150	500	180	1,671	1	3,735	128	107	21	10	87	118
FEB	821	121	571	201	244		1,959	148	85	63	11	76	150
MAR	892	120	123	44	473	1	1,564	169	116	53		85	149
APR	1,169	156	359	123	1,306	1	3,114	212	95	117	10	70	197
KAY	1,542	156	651	231	1,044		3,625	230	96	134		81	226
JUNE	1,481			232	1,899		4,548	174	94			65	154
JULY	2,210			328	3,039		6,629	174	93	81	10	78	169
AUG	1,984			331	3,279		6,670	147	105			72	127
SBPT	1,516			295	2,539		5,456	189	124	65		84	164
TOTAL	16,621	2,421	7,962	2,904	21,238	20	51,166	2,108	1,219	889	134	973	1,996

Camp Peudleton Imports and Fallbrook Samitary District Exports are Estimated

^{1/} Improvement District A - Rainbow Canyon Only (WR-13)

The MOU also provides that local surface inflow which enters Lake Skinner will be released into Tucalota Creek. In its 1980 modification the MOU provides that local surface inflow is to be determined by using the hydrologic equation for Lake Skinner which is specified in the MOU. However, the local inflow is small compared to the large quantities of imported water inflow and outflow from Lake Skinner. The error of measurement for these large flows is larger than the local inflow in many instances. Accordingly, MWD monitors the flow in Tucalota Creek, Rawson Creek and Middle Creek during storms and uses those observations to determine when to apply the hydrologic equation. Since 1986, an unmeasured bypass pipeline has been used with increasing frequency in the MWD operations. Use of this pipeline reduces the accuracy of the calculated flows using the hydrologic equation. The current procedures for estimating local inflow into Lake Skinner are under review.

During March, 1991, local runoff into Lake Skinner was estimated to be 938 acre feet, which occurred during six days. In March, 721.4 acre feet were released over 29 days and in April, 194.6 acre feet were released over a seven-day period. The remaining 22 acre feet were released after April. Although the average daily inflow to Lake Skinner was as high as 169 cfs, releases were generally maintained about 15 cfs, except for March 28th and 29th when releases averaged 21 cfs. Thus the area downstream of Lake Skinner benefitted because of reduction in flood flows as well as additional groundwater recharge.

In addition to releases of water mandated by the MOU, MWD also makes releases of water for maintenance or operational purposes from time to time. In November, 1990, MWD discharged 53 acre feet into Tucalota Creek downstream of Lake Skinner as part of the 1990 San Diego Canal shutdown. In December, 1990, MWD discharged 10.62 acre feet into Tucalota Creek as part of the 1990 shutdown of Plants I and II and the Lake Skinner Outlet Conduit. On March 29, 1991, MWD also released 12 acre feet into Murrieta Creek within the Watershed during a shutdown of the pipeline.

SECTION 6 - WATER RIGHTS

6.1 General

Water is used in the Santa Margarita River Watershed under a variety of water rights.

In the early 1960's, the U. S. District Court in its Interlocutory Judgments described water rights in the Watershed as primarily riparian rights and overlying rights. Riparian rights belong to owners of land parcels located adjacent to streams in the Watershed or overlying younger alluvium deposits generally along the stream channels. Overlying rights were divided by the Court into two categories based on the location where the water is obtained and used. Water extracted from lands where subsurface waters add to, contribute to and support the Santa Margarita River system was found to be subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. Lands in this category were identified by the Court and listed in Interlocutory Judgments. In general, these parcels of land overlie younger or older alluvium deposits.

The other category of overlying use applies to parcels of land where subsurface flows do not add to, contribute to or support the Santa Margarita River system. These parcels were also identified by the Court and found to be outside the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. In general, these lands overlie basement complex or residuum deposits.

The Court also described a number of other rights in the Watershed. These included surface water appropriative water rights which have been administered by the State of California since 1914. These rights are discussed in the following subsection of this report.

In Interlocutory Judgment No. 41, the Court found that the United States reserved rights to the use of the waters of the Santa Margarita River stream system which under natural conditions would be physically available on the Cahuilla, Pechanga and Ramona Indian Reservations, including rights to the use of groundwaters sufficient for the present and future needs of the Indians residing thereon. In Interlocutory Judgment No. 44, the Court recognized and reserved water rights for lands within the Cleveland and San Bernardino National Forests and for lands being administered pursuant to the Taylor Grazing Act.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

Since the early 1960's there have been substantial changes in water use in the Watershed, especially in the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water area. Except for approving the Memorandum of Understanding and Agreement on Operation of Lake Skinner in January 1975, the Court has not ruled on any substantial water right matters since 1966. Thus, these changes in water use have not been reviewed by the Court.

During the 1950's and early 1960's when this case was under active litigation, most of the water use in the Murrieta-Temecula area consisted of individual property owners pumping water for use on their own properties. However, in 1966 the Rancho California Water District was formed. The District developed Agency Agreements with most of the landowners within the District. In these Agency Agreements, the landowners "...without transferring any water rights and privileges pertaining to said land...." designated the District as their exclusive agent for the development and management of their water supply.

Thus, many landowners within the Rancho California Water District are not now exercising their overlying rights. Instead, Rancho California Water District pumps groundwater and uses it throughout the District area under a claimed appropriative groundwater right, with the consent of most of the overlying landowners.

A number of other water purveyors, including Murrieta County Water District and Eastern Municipal Water District, also pump under groundwater appropriative rights.

Another change from the early 1960's is the large scale importation of water into the Santa Margarita River Watershed by Rancho California Water District. A portion of such importation finds its way into the groundwater aquifers. The legal status of return flows from imported supplies as well as direct recharge of imported water was clarified by the final judgment in City of Los Angeles v. City of San Fernando, et al. (1975 14 Cal. 3rd 199) This decision in the Supreme Court of the State of California made two major findings with respect to imported water.

The first is that agencies have the right to recharge and store imported water in a groundwater basin and to extract the imported water for use, subject to applicable state and federal laws.

In addition, agencies that import and deliver water to lands overlying a groundwater basin have a continuing right to extract the return flow from such water. The return flow is that portion of the imported supply which percolates into the groundwater basin. In the San Fernando case this portion was found to range from 20 percent to 35.7 percent of the imported supplies.

The Rancho Division of the Rancho California Water District overlies the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water area. Thus a portion of the import supply delivered to the Rancho Division of Rancho California Water District percolates into the underlying aquifers. The first water pumped by Rancho California Water District in the ensuing year constitutes recapture of such return flows.

Imported water is also supplied to the Santa Rosa Division within Rancho California Water District, however only a relatively small part of this division overlies the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water area. Thus there is less imported water return flow from the Santa Rosa Division.

Classification of Rancho California Water District supplies into various water right categories is discussed in Section 7.

6.2 Appropriative Surface Water Rights

Another broad category of water rights used in the Watershed is surface water appropriative rights. Since 1914, these rights have been administered by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB).

A list of current permits, licenses and other active rights obtained from the SWRCB is shown on Table 6.1.

TABLE 6.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
APPROPRIATIVE WATER RIGHTS

PERMITS AND LICENSES

I.D. No.	Owner	Filing Date	Source Of Mater	Point Of Diversion	Amount	Use	Status
6629 6893 7035 7731 9137 9291 10806 111518 11518 11587 12178	William H. & Sandra J. Cyrus Earl C. & Mamie LaBine Byla Lawler Earl C. & Mamie LaBine Goodarz Irani Luis Olivos James R., Phyllis & Bruce Grammer Roy C. Pursche & J. Zink Rancho California Water District U. S. Burean of Reclamation U. S. Burean of Reclamation U. S. Burean of Reclamation	9/26/45	Coahuila Valley Temecula Creek Cutca Creek Temecula Creek Temecula Creek Melson Creek Temecula Creek Rattlesnake Canyon Temecula Creek Santa Margarita River Santa Margarita River	Sec. 12, 95, 4#	DD-820 gpd DD-5725 gpd DD-7200 gpd DD-1550 gpd DD-1550 gpd DD-12,000 gpd ST-40,000 AP ST-10,000 AP ST-10,000 AP	D/I D/I D/I D D D/I/R D/I/H D/I/H D/I/H	License License License License License License License Permit Permit Permit
13 50 5 17239	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation David H. & Kathleen C. Lypps Ward Family Trust	12/12/49 8/15/56	Cottonwood Creek Temecula Creek	Sec. 30, 85, 4W Sec. 20, 95, 2E	DD-0.75 cfs & ST-42 AF DD-120 gpd	R/S D/E	License License
29507 29608 29742 21974 21471A	David H. & Kathleen C. Lypps Richard F. & Rosabel L. Matthews U. S. Cleveland Mational Forest U. S. Cleveland Mational Forest U. S. Department of Mavy	11/24/61 2/13/62 4/24/62 12/07/62 9/23/63	Cottonwood Creek DeLnz Creek Sourdongh Spring Cntca Spring Santa Margarita River	Sec. 19, 85, 44 Sec. 30, 85, 44 Sec. 20, 85, 44 Sec. 25, 95, 18 Sec. 17, 95, 18 Sec. 5, 105, 44	ST-100 AF DD-55 gpd DD-100 gpd ST-4.000 AF	I/R D/I/R E S/W D/I/M/Z	License License License License License
21471B 27756 28133	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation James R. Grammer Charles F. Ruggles	9/23/63 5/23/83 5/14/84	Santa Margarita River Temecula Creek Cahuilla Creek	Sec. 2, 11S, 5W Sec. 32, 9S, 4W Sec. 3, 10S, 2E Sec. 15, 8S, 2E	ST-165,000 AF DD-14,400 gpd ST-5AF	D/I/M/Z I/S B/E/I/R/S	Permit Permit Permit
			APPLICATIONS	5			
28930	Agri-Empire, Inc.	10/22/86	Chihnahua Creek	Sec. 1, 9S, 2E Sec. 2, 9S, 2E Sec. 11, 9S, 2E	ST-70 AF*	I	
			OTHER RIGHTS	3			
05751S/Federal 000024/State 000751/State	U. S. Cleveland Mational Forest Judge Dial Perkins Lawrence Butler	1/01/70 12/26/86 5/31/67	Long Canyon Spring Santa Margarita River Pern Creek	Sec. 16, 9S, 1E Sec. 12, 9S, 4F Sec. 31, 8S, 4F	DD-133.3 gpd	E/R/S/W D I	
011411/State	Agri Empire, Inc.	5/16/84	Kohler Canyon	Sec. 33, 9S, 2E	DD-0.245 cfs	I/S	
012235/State 001583/Stock 002380/Stock	William A. & Lois D. Cunningham George F. Yackey Chris R. & Jeanette L. Duarte	8/27/85 12/27/77 12/16/77	DeLuz Creek Sandia Canyon Rainbow Creek	Sec. 4, 9S, 4W Sec. 25, 8S, 4W Sec. 12, 9S, 3W	ST-40 AF DD-4700 gpd ST-8.0 AF ST-0.5 AF	D/I S S	
KEY TO USE:	DD - Direct Diversion D - Dow ST - Diversion to Storage I - Irr	estic igation		ire Protection tockwatering		altare	

^{* -} Storage capacities in existing reservoirs are 172 AF (Sec. 1), 8 AF (Sec. 2) and 10 AF (Sec. 11)

Total direct diversion rights and active storage rights from creeks in the Watershed are summarized below:

	Direct Diversions Gallons Per Day	Storage <u>Acre</u> Feet
Cahuilla Valley	720	5
Cottonwood Creek	485,000	60
Cutea Creek	5,825	
DeLuz Creek	4,700	100
Fern Creek	213,000	100
Kohler Canyon	158,000	40
Long Canyon Spring	89	
Rainbow Creek		0.5
Rattlesnake Canyon	12,000	
Temecula Creek	25,820	40,000
Sandia Canyon		8
Sourdough Spring	55	
Santa Margarita River	133	4,000
Nelson Creek	1,550	
TOTAL	906,892	44,313.5

These direct diversion rights of 906,892 gallons per day correspond to 1.4 cfs or 2.78 acre feet per day.

In addition to the active storage rights shown in the previous tabulation, the SWRCB also lists 195,000 acre feet in storage rights on the Santa Margarita River held by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation for the Santa Margarita Project.

Table 6.1 also lists other rights recognized by the SWRCB. These rights generally are based on Statements of Water Diversion and Use that have been filed with the SWRCB. Such statements include one by the United States on behalf of the Cleveland National Forest, which states that the diversion and use of water from Long Canyon Spring is made pursuant to a withdrawal and reservation of the land and resources for National Forest System purposes as of February 14, 1907.

Besides the federal filing, there are also Statements of Water Diversion and Use filed by individuals. Three of these statements represent riparian or pre-1914 appropriative diversions from DeLuz Creek, Fern Creek and Santa Margarita River which have been reported to the SWRCB. The other statement represents a pre-1914 appropriative right to divert water from a spring in Kohler Canyon into a 40 acre foot reservoir.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

The last two rights noted on Table 6.1 represent filings made in 1977 pursuant to Subchapter 2.5 to Chapter 3 of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations. That subchapter deals with Water Rights for Stockponds.

In addition to appropriative rights under SWRCB jurisdiction, there are a number of nonstatutory appropriative rights which were established prior to 1914. These rights continue to be used to support diversions of water from the Santa Margarita River system, and are listed in the various Interlocutory Orders developed in this litigation.

In 1990-91, in Order No. 91-07, the SWRCB revised its Order No. 89-25 entitled, "Order Adopting Declaration of Fully Appropriated Stream Systems and Specifying Conditions for Acceptance of Applications and Registrations." Thus the SWRCB presently considers the Santa Margarita River System to be fully appropriated "from the confluence of the Santa Margarita River and the Pacific Ocean upstream including all tributaries where hydraulic continuity exists."

The consequences of this Order are as follows:

- 1. First, the Board is precluded from accepting any application to appropriate water from the Santa Margarita River System except where the proposed appropriation is consistent with conditions contained in the Declaration.
- 2. Second, initiation of a water right pursuant to the Water Rights Permitting Reform Act of 1988 (Water code Section 1228 et seq.) -- that is, by registering small use domestic appropriations -- is precluded, except where the proposed appropriation is consistent with conditions contained in the Declaration.
- 3. Third, pursuant to Water Code Section 1206(a) the Board is authorized, but not required, to cancel pending applications where inconsistent with conditions contained in the Declaration; previous Orders implement a procedure for disposition of such applications pending on the effective date of the declaration.

The Order provides for reconsideration of the Order either upon petition of an interested party or upon the Board's own motion.

SECTION 7 - WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

7.1 General

Among other things the Court requires an annual report on the use of water by each substantial user within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Substantial water users are those who irrigate eight or more acres or who produce or use an equivalent quantity of water.

Water production and use data were obtained from several types of substantial users including water purveyors, Indian Reservations, mobile home parks and individual irrigation users.

Major water purveyors who reported production and use data for the 1990-91 Water Year are listed as follows:

Anza Mutual Water Company
Eastern Municipal Water District
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District
Fallbrook Public Utility District
Lake Riverside Estates
Murrieta County Water District
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Rancho California Water District
U. S. Marine Corps, Camp Pendleton
Western Municipal Water District

Lake Riverside Estates is listed with major water purveyors although it produces make-up water for losses in Lake Riverside and does not deliver water to customers.

In addition to the major purveyors, there are a number of smaller water systems in the Watershed. Of these, Butterfield Oaks Mobile Home Park, and Thousand Trails Resorts are substantial users.

There are three Indian Reservations in the Watershed, however only the Cahuilla and Pechanga Indian Reservations use significant quantities of water. The third Reservation is the Ramona Indian Reservation which has no resident population.

The final category of water users are private landowners who use water primarily for irrigation use.

The data collected for the 1990-91 Water Year are summarized on Table 7.1. Monthly production and use data for major water purveyors are attached to this report as Appendix A. Uses are listed under agricultural, commercial and domestic categories. It is noted also that much of the non-agricultural water use in the Watershed can also be considered municipal use, which includes both the domestic and commercial uses shown in tables in this report. Similar data for the period 1966-1991 Water Years are summarized in tables presented in Appendix B. Appendix C presents information on substantial users outside of purveyor service areas.

The status of data availability from each of the water users is summarized in the following sections.

7.2 Water Purveyors

Anza Mutual Water Company

Anza Mutual Water Company's Service Area is in the eastern part of the Watershed in the Anza Valley. Production is from two wells: Well No. 1 drilled in 1951 and perforated from 20 feet to 260 feet; and Well No. 2 drilled later to a depth of 287 feet which is perforated in the bottom 130 feet. Production for 1990-91 was 0 acre feet from Well No. 1 and 35 acre feet from Well No. 2 for a total production of 35 acre feet. The depth of water in Well No. 1 ranged from 42 feet to 87 feet.

Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 divides aquifers in Anza Valley at this location into two categories: the shallow aquifer and the deep aquifer. Based on information available to the Court the shallow aquifer was determined to include the younger and older alluvial deposits in the Anza Groundwater Basin and extend to a maximum but variable depth of approximately 100 feet. The deep aguifer underlies the shallow aguifer in an area about one half mile in width and two miles in length, within portions of Sections 16, 17, 21, 22, 27 and 28 of Township 7 South, Range 3 East, SBBM. Anza Mutual Water Company's wells are within the area of the deep aquifer. From the perforated intervals in the wells, it may be concluded that most of the production from Well No. 1 and all of the production from Well No. 2 are from the deep aquifer. Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 concluded that waters contained in the deep aquifer did not add to, support or contribute to the Santa Margarita River system and were, therefore, declared to be outside the Court's jurisdiction.

Thus, most of the water produced by the Anza Mutual Water Company is outside the Court's jurisdiction. The relatively small portion pumped from the shallow aquifer in Well No. 1 is pumped under a groundwater appropriative right.

TABLE 7.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER PRODUCTION AND USE Quantities in Acre Feet 1990-91

	PRO	DUCTION				OSE			
	GROUNDWAYER	IMPORT	TOTAL	AG	CONT	DOK	LOSS	TOTAL	WATER RIGHT
WATER PURVEYORS								******	
Anza Mutual Water Company	35	0	35	0	0	31	4	35	Appropriative
Eastern MWD	456	16,621	17,077	851	0	15,372	854	17,077	Appropriative
Elsinore Valley MWD	0	2,421	2,421	9	0	2,179	242 2/	2,421	
Fallbrook PUD	46	7,962	8, 00 8	5,146	244	2,070	548	8,008	Appropriative
Lake Riverside Estates	340	0	340	0	340 6/	0	0	3 40	Appropriative
Murrieta CWD	464	9	464	15	88	250	111	464	Appropriative
Rainbow MND	0	2,904	2,904	2,276	0	364	264	2,904	
Rancho California WD	26,503	21,238	47,741	32,924 8/	2,940	10,603	1,274 1/	47,741	Various
U.S.M.C Camp Pendleton	3,713	0	3,713	194	3/	1,250	2,269 2/	-	Appropriative/
Western MWD	0	20	20		18	0	4/ 2 2/		Riparian
INDIAN RESERVATIONS									
Cahuilla	233	0	233	225	0	8	0	233	Overlying/ Reserved
Pechanga	58	0	58	0	0	58	0	58	Overlying/
MOBILE HOME PARKS/CAMPGROUNDS									Reserved
Butterfield Gaks Mobile Home Par	k 12	0	12	0	0	11	1 2/	12	Riparian/
Thousand Trails Resorts	51	0	51	0	0	46	5 2/	51	Overlying Overlying
SUBSTANTIAL USERS	8,918 5/	0	8,918	8,829	0	9	89 7/	8,918	
TOTAL	40,829	51,166	91,995	50,460	3,630	32,242	5,663	91,995	

^{1/} Includes 785 acre feet released into Murrieta Creek, import recharge of 701 acre feet, less the negative loss of 212 acre feet

^{2/} Assumes 10% loss

^{3/} Listed with Domestic uses

^{4/} Includes exports of 2108 acre feet

^{5/ 891} acre feet for surface diversion, 8318 acre feet from groundwater minus 233 acre feet on the Cahuilla Reservation and minus 58 acre feet on the Pechanga Reservation

^{6/} Recreation Use

^{7/ 10%} of surface diversions

^{8/} Includes 1,394 acre feet for golf course irrigation and 2,079 acre feet for landscape irrigation

Eastern Municipal Water District

Eastern MWD is a member agency of Metropolitan Water District and its service area includes a portion of the Rancho California Water District. Within the Watershed, the District wholesales water to Rancho California WD and also sells water directly to consumers. Water sold to Rancho California WD is listed in this report as imported water to the Rancho California WD Service Area.

Eastern MWD's service area outside of Rancho California WD is located in the northern part of the Watershed. Water for their service area is imported or produced locally from Well 7S/3W-15N which is 345 feet deep.

Groundwater production for the 1990-91 Water Year in the Santa Margarita River Watershed totaled 456 acre feet and imports totaled 16,621 acre feet as shown in Appendix A.

Recent static water levels in Eastern MWD's well have varied from a depth of 129 feet in July, 1989, to 134 feet in March, 1990. The well is generally perforated between the depths of 106 and 333 feet.

The well is located within the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Area where the older alluvium is at ground surface. Thus the well produces water from the older alluvium and pumping is under groundwater appropriative rights.

In addition during 1990-91, Eastern MWD reclaimed 3,554 acre feet of wastewater, of which 1,282 acre feet were reused and 2,272 acre feet were recharged into the groundwater basin.

Eastern MWD is currently constructing a 24-inch pipeline along Winchester Road. When completed the pipeline will be used to transport wastewater from the Rancho California Regional Water Reclamation Facility to areas within the Watershed for reuse as well as for export of up to 10 mgd from the Watershed. Camp Pendleton representatives have indicated their objection to export of that portion of the wastewater that originates within the Santa Margarita River Watershed.

Estimates of water production and use for the period 1966-1991 are shown in Appendix B.

Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District

Elsinore Valley MWD provides water to its service area around Lake Elsinore. A portion of that service area is within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Elsinore Valley MWD obtains its supply from ten wells, all located outside the Santa Margarita River Watershed, and also imports MWD water through Western MWD.

The District reports that 2,421 acre feet were imported into the portion of their service area which is inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed in 1990-91. Also during 1990-91, approximately 134 acre feet of wastewater were exported from that same area.

Fallbrook Public Utility District

In 1990-91, Fallbrook PUD imported 13,939 acre feet through its contract with the San Diego County Water Authority as shown in Appendix A. Of this quantity, 2,871 acre feet were delivered to the former DeLuz area which is entirely within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Of the remaining importations it is estimated that 46 percent, or 5,091 acre feet, were delivered to lands inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed. The remainder was delivered to lands in the adjacent San Luis Rey River Watershed. Thus, imports to the Watershed totaled 7,962 acre feet in 1990-91.

In addition to importations, the District has three wells which have supplied water since 1977. In 1990-91 these wells produced 46 acre feet as shown in Appendix A.

All three of these wells are drilled along the East Fork of DeLuz Creek in an area which has younger alluvium at the ground surface. Interlocutory Judgment No. 32 indicates that this stringer of alluvium varies in width from 100 feet to one-fourth mile and at no place is greater than 50 feet in depth. The well logs for these wells indicate depths of alluvium of 32 feet, 31 feet and 32 feet respectively. Below these depths the wells penetrate fractured granite. These wells are cased to depths of 50, 51 and 51.5 feet respectively. Thus it may be concluded that all of the water from these wells originates in the granite fractures. Interlocutory Judgment No. 32 declares that waters found in the basement complex (fractured granite) are vagrant, local, percolating waters not part of the Santa Margarita River system and outside the Court's jurisdiction.

Production during the period 1966 to 1991 included direct diversions from the Santa Margarita River for water years before 1972 as well as imported water and well production as shown in Appendix B.

Lake Riverside Estates

Lake Riverside Estates pumps water from Well No. 7S/2E-32C1, into Lake Riverside to make up evaporation losses. Production for 1990-91 was 340 acre feet. The production well was drilled in 1962 and is located in an area of younger alluvium in the Cahuilla Ground Water Basin. The driller's log shows sand and clay for the entire well depth of 338 feet.

Interlocutory Judgment No. 33 indicates that the owners of lands in the Cahuilla Ground Water Basin have correlative overlying rights to the use of the groundwater which is the basis for this production.

Murrieta County Water District

Murrieta County Water District serves the area in the vicinity of the town of Murrieta in Riverside County. In Water Year 1990-91, Murrieta CWD produced 464 acre feet of water from five wells as shown in Appendix A.

Information about these five wells is provided in the following tabulation.

Well <u>Designation</u>	Well <u>Name</u>	1990-91 Production <u>Acre Feet</u>	Casing Depth Feet	Water Depth <u>Feet</u>	Well Depth <u>Feet</u>	Perforated Interval <u>Fee</u> t
7S/3W-20C9	Holiday	169	25	90 - 101	307	60 - 307
7S/3W-20G5	House	85	50	105 - 119	298	120 - 252
7S/3W-17R2	Lynch	0	26	63 - 67	212	172 - 212
7S/3W-18J2	North	105	50	127 - 142	650	240 - 260
						500 - 640
7S/3W-20D	South	105	50	111 - 124	446	120 - 446

All of these wells are located in the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Area. Interlocutory Judgment No. 30 indicates that in Murrieta Valley the younger alluvium deposits extend in various depths to a maximum of approximately 30 feet from the ground surface. This finding was based on evidence available to the Court prior to the Judgment date of March 8, 1962. The Court also noted that it was impossible based on evidence available at that time to determine the depth of the younger alluvial deposits throughout the Valley with exactness but that subsequent findings could be made if needed because the Court would retain continuing jurisdiction. Older alluvial deposits are found below the younger alluvium.

The uppermost perforation of 60 feet is well below the maximum depth of younger alluvium found by the Court in 1962. Accordingly the production water for all of Murrieta CWD wells is from the older alluvium under a groundwater appropriative right. Representatives of Camp Pendleton object to this finding on the basis that the younger alluvium may be greater than that estimated by the Court.

Production for the period between 1966 and 1991 is shown in Appendix B.

Rainbow Municipal Water District

Rainbow MWD is located in San Diego County in the south-central part of the Watershed. About ten percent of the District's service area is inside the Watershed. Most of the District is in the San Luis Rey River Watershed. As shown in Appendix A, total deliveries in the Watershed, which are all imported water, amounted to 2,904 acre feet.

Total imports to the District, for years between 1966 and 1991, as well as the estimated portion served inside the Santa Margarita River Watershed, are shown in Appendix B.

Rancho California Water District

Rancho California Water District serves water to a 99,600 acre service area in the central portion of the Watershed. The District produces water from approximately 70 wells and also imports water, as shown in Appendix A. Use is also shown in Appendix A under the categories of agriculture, commercial, domestic, golf course irrigation and landscaping. In Water Year 1990-91, 26,503 acre feet of local supplies were pumped from the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Area and 21,238 acre feet were imported for total production of 53,994 acre feet. In addition, 6,253 acre feet of water from Vail Dam were recharged. During 1990-91, 785 acre feet were released into the Santa Margarita River to maintain flows.

The District reclaimed 352 acre feet of wastewater during the year which were all reused within the Watershed.

Rancho California WD produces groundwater under a variety of rights as follows:

- 1. Recovery of water appropriated at Vail Lake
- Recovery of import return flows and recharged imported water
- 3. Groundwater appropriative rights

Vail Appropriation

Rancho California WD's Vail Dam appropriative rights are described in Application No. 11518 as amended on June 17, 1947, and Permit 7032. That right provides that the District may store up to 40,000 acre feet in Vail Reservoir each year between November 1 and April 30, and that the water so stored may be used for irrigation and domestic uses incidental to farming operations on 3,797 acres of land between May 1 and October 31. Such use may be by direct diversion from Vail Lake or by recovery with wells of water released from Vail and spread downstream in Pauba Valley.

The place of use for irrigation and domestic use is described as follows:

Sections 5, 6, 7 and 18; T8S, R1W Sections 1, 10 through 21, 28 and 29; T8S, R2W Sections 13 and 24; T8S, R3W.

In 1971, the Permit was amended to add recreational use at Vail Reservoir within Section 10, T8S, R1W.

As previously mentioned, 6,253 acre feet were released from Vail during 1990-91. Releases from Vail for groundwater recharge for the period 1972 to 1991 are shown on Table B-7.

It has been noted that Permit 7032 provides that the water from Vail is to be used for irrigation purposes, whereas in 1990-91, approximately 29% of the District's water use was for domestic and commercial purposes.

It has also been noted that the Vail permit provides for recovery of the recharged water using four wells which were operational in 1946, whereas the District is now recovering water from the younger alluvium using about 15 wells.

Thus it would appear to be appropriate to amend Permit 7032 by adding domestic and commercial use to the type of use permitted, adding wells constructed since Application 11518 was filed and modifying the place of use. Discussions with representatives of the Division of Water Rights of the SWRC Board indicate that it is not unusual for urbanization to result in the need to change various provisions of older water rights.

The Vail recharge has been reduced by five percent to reflect evaporation and transpiration losses during recharge as well as minor recharge to the older alluvium. This five percent loss rate will be considered as a provisional rate until data or analysis are developed to improve this estimate.

Imported Water Return Flows

During 1990-91, Rancho California WD imported 21,238 acre feet of water compared to 22,029 acre feet in 1989-90. Quantities of imported water served to the Rancho Division and the Santa Rosa Division are shown below for water years 1989-90 and 1990-91.

<u>Month</u>	Deliv	rted eries <u>o Div.</u>	Impor Delive <u>Santa R</u>		Total Imported <u>Deliveries</u>	
	1990	1991	1990	1991	1990	1991
October	549	444	1,149	2,509	1,698	2,953
November	0	359	934	1,174	934	1,533
December	101	235	1,294	1,023	1,395	1,258
January	0	486	286	1,185	286	1,671
February	100	86	113	158	213	244
March	275	131	382	342	657	473
April	215	1	481	1,305	696	1,306
May	323	161	1,407	883	1,730	1,044
June	542	381	1,862	1,518	2,404	1,899
July	1,179	836	2,825	2,203	4,004	3,039
August	1,169	939	2,989	2,340	4,158	3,279
September	1,180	702	2,674	<u>1,837</u>	3,854	2,539
Total	5,633	4,761	16,396	16,477	22,029	21,238

The Santa Rosa Division does not overlie the groundwater area except for 342 acres south of Murrieta, 766 acres northwest of Murrieta and 1,072 acres in the California Oaks area. Data on water use on these lands has been reported since December, 1991 but is not yet available for 1990-91 or prior years.

Return flows from imported water delivered to the Rancho Division are computed as shown on Table 7.2 for 1989-90 and Table 7.3 for 1990-91.

In those tables, imported water is allocated to agricultural, commercial and domestic uses in each of eight hydrogeologic areas in the Rancho Division service area. This allocation is the proportion of the total deliveries to each use that is made up of imported water. In 1989-90, 25% of the supply to the Rancho Division was imported and in 1990-91, 23% was imported.

TABLE 7.2

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT RETURN FLOW CREDIT 1989-1990 RANCHO DIVISION Quantities in Acre Feet

HYDROGEOLOGIC AREAS

	O HYDRO- GEO CODE	1 Murrieta Wolf	2 Santa Gertrudis	3 Lower Mesa	4 Pauba	5 South Mesa	6 Upper Mesa	7 Palohar	TOTAL
AQUITER		1/2 QYAL 1/2 QTOAL			ĞĀŸŢ	QTOAL	QTOAL	QTOAL	
USE									PP=====
AGRICULTURAL *									
	2,192.83	356.11	248.24	644.17	87.51	602.01	1.908.03	1,087.20	7.126.16
% Import	•						•	0.25	0.25
		90 .71	63.23	164.08	22.29	153.34		276.93	
•		0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33		0.33		0.33
Credit	184.32				7.36		160.38		
COMMERCIAL									
Total	2,186.25	572.49	205.48	370.05	0.00	0.71	63.17	(2.85)	3,395.30
% Import	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	•
Import	556.88	145.82	52.34	94.26	0. 00	0 .18	16.09	(0.73)	864.85
% Credit	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.1 0	0.10	0.10	0.16
Credit	55.69	14.58	5.23	9.43	0. 00	0.02	1.61	(0.07)	86.48
DOMESTIC									
Total	1,476.74	3,495. 0 4	450.66	4,878.51	251.77	85.53	710.56	244.37	11,593.18
% Import	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0 .25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Import	376.15	89 0 .25	114.79	1,242.65	64.13	21.79	180.99	62.25	2,953.00
% Credit	0.25	0 .25	0.25	0 .25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Credit		222.56		310.66	16. 0 3		45.25		738.25
TOTAL USE	5,855.82	4,423.64	90 4.38	5,892.73	339.28	688.25	2,681.76	1,328.72	22,114.58
TOTAL						,,	**********		
Total Import	1,491.59	1,126.78	230.36	1,500.99	86.42	175.31	683. 0 9	338.45	5,633.00
Total Credit	334.05 **	267.08	54.80	374.23	23.39	56. 0 7	207.24	106.88	1,423.73
Total Credit Q	yal	133.54	54.80		23,39				211.73
Total Credit O	toal	133.54		374.23		56. 0 7	207.24	106.88	877.96

^{*} Includes golf course and landscape irrigation

^{**} This credit not applied to either Qyal or Qtoal

TABLE 7.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT RETURN FLOW CREDIT 1990-1991 RANCHO DIVISION Quantities in Acre Feet

HYDROGEOLOGIC AREAS

	NO HYDRO- GEO CODE	1 Kurrieta Wolf	2 SANTA GERTRUDIS	3 Lower Mesa	4 Pauba	5 South Mesa	6 Upper Kesa	7 Palonar	TOTAL
AQULFER		1/2 QYAL 1/2 QTOAL	ĞAYT	ÖLOYT	QYAL	<u>OTOAL</u>	QTOAL	QTOAL.	
USE									
AGRICULTURAL *									
	2,681.47	1,687.91	238.84	1,362.83	313.74	561.22	2.147.52	1,134.08	10,127.61
Import	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23
Import	618.53	389.35	55. 0 9	314.36	72.37	129.46	495.36	261.60	2,336.11
Credit	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33
Credit	294.11			103.74	23.88	42.72	163.47	86.33	770.92
CONNERCIAL									
Total	290.51	613.5 0	207.21	613.42	80.29	385.28	61.03	0.67	2,251.91
1 Import	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23
Import	67.01	141.51	47.80	141.50	18.52	88.87	14.08	0.15	519.44
<pre>% Credit</pre>	0.10	9.19	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	9.10	9.19	0.10
Credit	6.70	9.1 0 14.15	4.78	14.15	1.85	8.89	1.41	0.02	51.94
DOMESTIC									
Total	871.11	1,401.18	3 90 .66	4,740.44	79.28	91.74	494.53	191.62	8,260.56
laport	0.23 2 00 .94	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23
Import	200.94	323.21	9 0 .11	1,093.47	18.29	21.16	114.07	44.20	1,905.44
% Credit	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Credit	50.23	80.80	22.53	273.37	4.57	5.29	28.52	11.05	476.36
TOTAL USE	3,843.09	3,702.59	836.71	6,716.69	473.31	1,038.24	2,7 0 3.08	1,326.37	2 0 ,6 40.0 8
TOTAL					•••				
Total Import	886.48	854. 0 7	193. 00	1,549.32	1 0 9.18	239,49	623.51	305.95	4,761.00
Total Credit	261.05 **	223.44	45.49	391.26	30.31	56.90	193.40	97.39	1,299.22
Total Credit Q	yal	111.72	45.49		30.31				187.51
Total Credit C	toal	111.72		391.26		56.90	193,40	97.39	850.66

Includes golf course and landscape irrigation
 This credit not applied to either Qyal or Qtoal

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

The percent of imported water which became return flow varies according to the use as follows:

Agricultural Use	33%
Commercial Use	10%
Domestic Use	25%

Based on the foregoing factors, the return flow credit is computed to be 1,423.73 acre feet in 1989-90 and 1,299.22 acre feet in 1990-91.

Some of the hydrologic areas overlie older alluvium and some overlie younger alluvium. Comparison of exposures of younger alluvium with maps of the District's hydrogeologic areas indicates that the Santa Gertrudis, Pauba and half of the Murrieta-Wolf areas overlie younger alluvium. Import return flows in these areas can be credited against pumping from the younger alluvium. These credits for the Rancho Division are 211.73 acre feet in 1989-90 and 187.51 acre feet in 1990-91.

In addition to return flows, 701 acre feet of imported water were recharged in Pauba Valley in 1990-91.

Division of Local Water

During 1990-91 Rancho California WD pumped 26,503 acre feet of groundwater. Some of this water was pumped from the younger alluvium as recovery of import return flows, import recharge and Vail recharge and some from the older alluvium under groundwater appropriative rights.

Interlocutory Judgment No. 30 describes the Court's findings with respect to the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Area. The Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water Area is depicted on maps presented as exhibits during the litigation. The exhibits show that the groundwater area is generally underlain by younger and older alluvial deposits.

The younger alluvial deposits were determined by the Court to be those deposits laid down by stream action after the course of the Santa Margarita River shifted to its present westerly flow through the Temecula Gorge to the Pacific Ocean. The areal extent of the younger alluvium is shown on maps developed in the 1960's during the litigation. The depth of the younger alluvial deposits throughout the Murrieta Valley could not be determined by the Court with exactness. However the Court did indicate that based on evidence available to the Court in 1962, the maximum

depth of the younger alluvium was approximately 30 feet. Similarly in Pauba Valley, the Court stated that the evidence indicated a maximum depth of 130 feet. The Court also noted that it would retain continuing jurisdiction in the case so that subsequent findings could be made if required.

Subsequent to the Court's findings in the early 1960's, additional wells have been constructed by Rancho California WD and additional geologic studies have been conducted. These data and studies indicate a maximum depth of younger alluvium of approximately 200 feet in the Pauba Valley. The basis for the original 130 feet was determined by checking the transcripts of the court case. The transcripts indicate that the 130 feet maximum was based on the depth of younger alluvium at the Windmill Well (8S/2W-12H1) as determined by Mr. Fred Kunkel, a geologist with the U.S. Geological Survey. He also testified that the depth of the younger alluvium progressively thinned to the west from the Windmill Well, so that the deepest younger alluvium was found in the easterly portion of the Pauba Valley. At that time the Windmill Well was the easternmost well in Pauba Valley. It was speculated that the younger alluvium might thin to the east of the Windmill Well as well as to the west but at that time no wells were located east of the Windmill Well. The depths of the younger alluvium in Pauba Valley are shown on U.S. Exhibit 16.

U. S. Exhibit 16 is a geologic cross section of Pauba Valley which shows the depth of younger alluvium. It was based on well logs which are shown graphically on Exhibit 16. Well logs for each of those wells were reviewed and the basis for establishing the depth of the younger alluvium was determined as shown in the following tabulation.

DEPTH OF YOUNGER ALLUVIUM FROM LOGS OF WELLS USED TO PREPARE U. S. EXHIBIT 16

Wells Shown on U.S. Exhibit 16	Depth of Younger Alluvium Per Well Log*	Log <u>Characteristic</u>
8S/2W-12H1	130 Feet	Top of 87 feet yellow clay
8S/2W-12K1	140 Feet	Top of 2 feet yellow clay
8S/2W-12F1	115 Feet	Top of 6 feet clay
8S/2W-11J4	137 Feet	Top of 7 feet sandy clay Note: interbedded clays at depths of 54, 80, 82 & 137
8S/2W-11L1	112 Feet	Top of 24 feet of clay
8S/2W-11P1	Deeper than 78 Feet	Depth of well is 78 feet Note: 5 feet clay at depths of 55 feet
8S/2W-15C1	89 Feet	Top of 201 feet of clay and hardpan
8S/2W-16A1	75 Feet	Top of 205 feet of red clay
8S/2W-17Q1	62 Feet	Top of 8 feet brown shaley clay; Note 22 feet black clay with roots at a depth of 29 feet
8S/2W-17M1	55 Feet	Clay streaks 43 - 73 feet
8S/2W-18R1	44 Feet	Depth of well
8S/3W-13R1	Not Applicable	85 feet - stopped in granite

^{*} Logs shown in State of California Department of Water Resources Bulletin 91-20 entitled "Water Wells and Springs in the Western Part of Upper Santa Margarita River Watershed" dated August, 1971.

It is noteworthy that based on the well logs, the depth of younger alluvium in two of the wells, 12K1 and 11J4, is deeper than 130 feet.

From the foregoing it is clear that the depth of the younger alluvium varies from well to well and must be established separately for each well constructed in areas where the younger alluvium is located.

Rancho California WD has made available records of water production for 70 wells for the period between 1966 and 1991.

These wells were located on U.S. Exhibit 15L to determine the aquifer at the ground surface at the well location. Of the 70 wells, nine were determined to be located in areas where older alluvium is at the ground surface and three were determined to be outside the Murrieta-Temecula Ground Water area.

Wells which were located in areas where younger alluvium is at the surface were checked to determine the depths of perforations. Twenty-six of the remaining wells were determined to have no perforations above 200 feet in depth.

Thus of the 70 listed wells, 38 are either outside the groundwater area or pump 100% from the older alluvium aquifer. The remaining 32 wells are listed in Table 7.4 along with their locations, depth of seals and perforated intervals. The depth of the younger alluvium at each well location has been determined from well logs of the individual wells or nearby well logs or cross sections, using the same criteria as was used in Court exhibits.

The percent of the production from the younger alluvium is then computed as the ratio of the portion of the perforated interval in younger alluvium to the total perforated interval. The younger alluvium was considered to be very shallow in wells located very close to the surface contact between the younger alluvium and the older alluvium.

TABLE 7.4

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

DEPTH OF YOUNGER ALLUVIUM IN
RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT WELLS

RCVD WELL BO.	LOCATION THE/RGE/SEC	SRAL Depth Feet	Perforated Interval Inst	DATE DRILLER'S LOG	DEPTH TOURGER ALLUVIUM FEET	PERCENT TOUNGER ALLUVIUM }	111	ARES
106	7S/3W-26R1	55	130-980	12/14/82	Shallow	0.01	Murrieta	No. 108 Winchester
107	7S/3W-26J1	55	60-5 90	12/14/82	Shallow	9.01	Korrieta	No. 110 Winchester
1 0 8	7S/3W-25B1	55	60-590	12/14/82	Shallow	9.01	Morrieta	No. 109 Franklin Ave
109	8S/2W-17J1	52	70-210	97/14/89	75	4.28		Brown clay and gravel at 75' to 105'
110	8S/1W-6K1	54	79-469	10/14/82	165	43.2%		Clay 165'-190'
113	7S/2W-25H1	52	96-542	0 1/15/83	Shallow	9.01		
115	8S/1W-6H	Unknown	60-326	Not Available	165	39.5%		See #110
116	8S/1W-6J	Unknown	60-390	Not Available	165	31.8%		See #110
119	8S/2W-19J	55	179-479	12/23/86		0	Wolf Valley	
123	8S/1W-7B	55	1 00 -500	05/12/86	135	8.8	•	Brown Sand Clay 135'-210'
129	75/2 W-20 L	Unknown	18 0 -6 00	19/26/86	Shallow	9.93	Santa Gertrudis Creek	Qyal very shallow along Santa Gertrudis Creek
132	8S/1W-7D	55	7 0 -5 00	0 2/25/87	175	24.48		Brown Clay 175'-185'
135	75/3W-27K10	55	70-170	05/27/87	Shallow	0.01	Murrieta Valley	•
141	8S/2W-11P	55	12 0 -510	10/26/87	194	9.01	•	Silt & sand 104'-185'; Well 1LL1 is 112'
144	75/3W-27D	Unknown		Not Available	Shallow	Unknown		Well not equipped
205	75/3¥-35A	96	1 50 -1 000	12/23/65	Shallow	9.01	Santa Gertrudis/ Murrieta Valley	
210	85/2W-12K	None	48-228	05/17/57	160	62.2%	•	Clay cobblestones 160'-167'
218	85/2W-20C1	27	48-289	01/10/54	115	27.8%		Old No. 28; soft clay at 115' to 120'
466	85/3¥-1P2	Unknown	106-822	01/29/52	Shallow	0.01	Murrieta Valley	, -
22 0	7S/3¥-35	Unknown	Unknown	Wot Available		0.01	•	
467	8S/2W-12K1	Unknown	50-100	Not Available		100.01		Old JK Well, #221, See 12H1
223	85/2 V-20 C1	Unknovn	48-250	04/17/53	115	33.2%		CAT Well; nearby well 218 at 115'; 170 at 62'
224	8S/2W-15D	Unknown	48-2 50	03/17/53	106	28.7%		Old Well 50
230	85/2¥-12	Unknown	24-113	05/31/19	>113	100.01		Old Well 30
231	85/2W-20B6	55	80 -27 0	Not Available	115	21.9%		Old P31, 105, 111 Well 218 at 115'
232	8S/2W-11J1	51	95-295	06/04/80	135	28.6%		Old P32; coarse sand & clay 135' - 155'
233	85/2W-12K1	51	95-295	96/94/89	145	35.7%		Sand & clay 145'-220'
234	8S/2W-11P1	52	80-400	11/12/82	125	14.13		Brown Clay at 125'; sand & clay at 125' to 140'
235	85/3W-1Q	55	Unknown	06/15/87	Shallow	9.01	Morrieta Valley	-
236	Wo data			,	Unknown	Unknown	•	No Production
240	8S/2W-11	Unknown	48-298	01/15/53	112	25.6%		Old Well Mo. 40; Well 11L1 is 112'
301	7S/3W-18Q1	93	1 40 -64 0	09/13/79	Shallow	19.9	Korrieta	Old JR1

Western Municipal Water District

Western MWD wholesales imported water to Rancho California WD and also serves water to its Improvement District A near the southern boundary of Riverside County along I-15 freeway. Deliveries to Rancho California WD are included under Rancho California WD.

In Water Year 1990-91, imports to Improvement District A amounted to approximately 20 acre feet.

Deliveries to Improvement District A through turnout WR-13 for the period 1969 to 1991 are shown in Table 5.2.

U. S. Marine Corps - Camp Pendleton

Camp Pendleton is located on the coastal side of the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Water is provided by 14 wells which produced 3,713 acre feet in Water Year 1990-91. This production is from the younger alluvium and is based on riparian and appropriative rights. Of this quantity, 2,108 acre feet were exported out of the Watershed as shown in Appendix A.

A portion of the exported water amounting to 1,219 acre feet was returned to the Santa Margarita River Watershed as wastewater.

Production and estimated use inside and outside the Watershed, as well as wastewater returns, are shown in Appendix B for the period 1966-1991.

7.3 <u>Indian Reservations</u>

Water use information about the three Indian Reservations in the Watershed is described in the following sections:

Cahuilla Indian Reservation

In general, water deliveries on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation are not measured, however the Bureau of Indian Affairs reports that 58 people reside on the Reservation. These residents use water primarily for domestic purposes as well as for livestock watering and grazing. The Bureau estimates that total domestic water use, based on 125 gallons per capita per day, amounts to a total annual use of about eight acre feet.

WATERMASTER SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

In 1990-91, 218 acres were leased for irrigation use. Crops included 58 acres of potatoes and 160 acres of oats which were estimated to have used 225 acre feet of water. Water was supplied from the Agri-Empire, Inc. water system which includes six wells at various locations in the Anza Valley based on overlying rights.

Pechanga Indian Reservation

The Bureau of Indian Affairs reports that about 420 people reside on the Reservation and use approximately 58 acre feet per year for domestic purposes. There is no reported irrigation use.

Ramona Indian Reservation

The Ramona Indian Reservation occupies 560 acres of land of which 321 acres are inside the Watershed. There are no residents on the Reservation and no reported irrigation water use.

7.4 Mobile Homes/Campgrounds

There are a number of mobile home parks (MHP) in the Watershed. These range from relatively permanent structures, to those catering to recreational vehicles and campgrounds. Water production from wells is shown on Table 7.1 for Butterfield Oaks Mobile Home Park, and Thousand Trails Resorts.

7.5 Irrigation Water Use

Estimated water use reported by other substantial users in the Santa Margarita River Watershed is shown on Table 7.1 to be 8,918 acre feet. This estimate was based on reported irrigated acreage and includes 891 acre feet of surface diversions as shown in Appendix C.

SECTION 8 - UNAUTHORIZED WATER USE

8.1 <u>General</u>

From time to time there are complaints of unauthorized water uses of various types in the Watershed. Such complaints are investigated when they are brought to the attention of the Watermaster Office. The status of the current list of unauthorized uses is described as follows:

8.2 Dams on Chihuahua Creek

In 1986, Agri-Empire, Inc. filed Application No. 28930 with the SWRCB for water rights to store water at three dams previously built on Chihuahua Creek. These dams have capacities to store 172, 8 and 10 acre feet respectively. The application was protested by downstream interests.

During the storms in February and March of 1991, all of these reservoirs filled and spilled. The stored water was subsequently used to irrigate crops in the Oak Grove Valley. It was determined that 100 acre feet had been stored without a water right. After discussions, Agri-Empire agreed to:

- Install an outlet and meter below the lower reservoir to measure and release water into the Chihuahua Creek channel.
- Release 100 acre feet of water as soon as possible into Chihuahua Creek. This release and meter readings are to be reported to the Watermaster.
- 3. Release one-half (50%) of the water in the system into Chihuahua Creek at the aforementioned outlet until Water Right Application 28930 is approved.
- 4. Report, on a water year basis, readings from the meters at the outlet and in the pipeline.

Subsequently, the State Water Resources Control Board has advised Agri-Empire that in Orders 89-25 and 91-07 the Board has declared the Santa Margarita River System to be fully appropriated.

8.3 Bluebird Ranch

During 1990-91, there was a complaint from a downstream landowner concerning excessive water use on Bluebird Ranch which had recently changed ownership. Upon investigation it was determined that approximately 14 gallons per minute (gpm) were being diverted from Fern Creek for irrigation use on Bluebird Ranch.

Bluebird Ranch owners have riparian rights to divert water from Fern Creek onto lands within the Fern Creek Watershed. In addition, Bluebird Ranch owners are successors to a non-statutory appropriative water right described in Exhibit D to Interlocutory Judgment No. 32. Exhibit D indicates a right to divert 32 gpm from Fern Creek for irrigation use with a priority date of April 11, 1892. Separate correspondence indicates that this appropriative right was based in part on diversions out of the Watershed.

Bluebird Ranch presently irrigates approximately 43 acres, generally within the Fern Creek Watershed. Thus the observed diversions were deemed to be well within the riparian and appropriative rights of the Bluebird Ranch.

Bluebird Ranch owners supplement their water supply with a well which pumps water from basement complex formation. Waters in basement complex have been found to be outside Court jurisdiction.

8.4 Unauthorized Small Storage Ponds

In addition to the dams on Chihuahua Creek, many other small dams and reservoirs have been constructed on streams in the Watershed. The legal basis for these ponds is described in the 1988-89 Watermaster Report. Basically, the Court has held that ponds less than 10 acre feet in capacity and used for stock watering are a valid use of riparian water. The Court has also held that:

The temporary or non-seasonal impoundment by riparian owners for the purpose of providing a head for irrigation or for the purpose of temporarily accumulating sufficient water to make possible efficient irrigation is a proper riparian use of water.

Criteria for determining non-seasonal storage of irrigation water have yet to be developed.

8.5 Camp Pendleton Issues

A number of unauthorized water use issues have been raised by Camp Pendleton. These issues and action to investigate and/or correct the issues are as follows:

- 1. Recharge in Violation of the Stipulated Judgment Camp Pendleton representatives have indicated that they believe the storage of water by Rancho California WD in Vail Lake exceeds RCWD's share of the Santa Margarita River flow as allocated under the 1940 Stipulated Judgment. This potential will be investigated by the Watermaster.
- 2. Rediversion and Use not in Accord with Terms of Permit 7032 As noted in Section 7 of the report, the place of use, rediversion facilities and the type of use of water appropriated under Rancho California WD's Vail Dam Application No. 11518 and Permit 7032 have changed since the Application was filed in 1947. Thus Permit 7032 should be amended to provide for current and projected future conditions. Rancho California WD has agreed that an amendment is in order and has initiated action under the prescribed procedures of the State Water Resources Control Board.
- 3. Eastern MWD Export of Local Water As noted in Section 7 of the report, Eastern MWD is constructing a 24-inch pipeline. The purpose of the pipeline is to transport wastewater for reuse within the Watershed as well as for export of up to 10 mgd from the Watershed. Camp Pendleton has objected to the export of that portion of the wastewater that originates within the Santa Margarita River Watershed. Accordingly, Watermaster tasks for the coming year include development of operating rules with Eastern MWD so as to avoid exportation of local water from the Watershed.

SECTION 9 - THREATS TO WATER SUPPLY

9.1 General

Three general threats to the long-term water supply in the Santa Margarita River Watershed were described in the 1988-89 Watermaster Report. These included:

- High nitrate concentrations in Rainbow Creek and in Anza Valley.
- Potential overdraft conditions at various locations in the Santa Margarita River Watershed.
- 3. Potentially adverse salt balance conditions in the upper Santa Margarita River area.

In addition to the foregoing, San Diego County continues to consider construction of a landfill at a site upstream from groundwater basins in the lower Santa Margarita River and a soil treatment facility has been constructed on the Cahuilla Indian Reservation, over objections by the Cahuilla Band of Mission Indians.

9.2 <u>High Nitrate Concentrations</u>

Water samples continue to be collected from Rainbow Creek at Willow Glen Road by the Environmental and Natural Resources Management Office at Camp Pendleton as part of their surface water quality monitoring program. Analysis of the water quality samples collected in November 1990 and June 1991 indicated nitrate concentrations as shown below. The drinking water limit is 10 mg/l as N.

Nitrate Concentrations in Rainbow Creek At Willow Glen Road

	Mg/l as N
November 1990	25.7
June 1991	9.7

Flynn Rainbow Nurseries is located in Rainbow Valley upstream from the gaging and sampling station. In 1990-91 the nursery implemented a number of changes in its operation which should result in improved water quality in Rainbow Creek.

One change involves the way fertilizer is applied. In the past, fertilizer was added to the water during irrigation; now it is added to the soil before planting.

In addition they have initiated a water recycling project. This project diverts return flows from Rainbow Creek into a seven acre foot reservoir. The water is then pumped by a 60 hp pump back into the water supply system.

In addition, plans call for increasing groundwater pumping from 11 wells on the property. Such pumping will lower groundwater levels and reduce return flows into Rainbow Creek.

More recently, the Mission Resource Conservation District has submitted a proposal to the State Water Resources Control Board for a Community Participation Project. This project proposes to improve water quality in Rainbow Creek through seminars, distribution of educational literature and demonstration of best management practices.

No comprehensive water quality sampling has been done in Anza Valley since 1986 when the U.S. Geological Survey gathered data to complete Water-Resources Investigation Report 88-4029. In 1986 the U.S.G.S. reported that the EPA drinking water limit of 10 mg/l was exceeded in 8 of 30 wells sampled. The U.S.G.S. attributed the high concentrations to animal wastes (through wells) and septic systems which affected wells perforated in weathered consolidated rocks. Except for one sample, wells in the main agricultural areas of Anza Valley have concentrations below the EPA drinking water limit for nitrate.

9.3 Potential Overdraft Conditions

Previous Watermaster reports have noted concerns about overdraft conditions in Anza Valley and in the Temecula-Murrieta area.

The 1989-90 report indicated that a water supply study, conducted by a consultant to Riverside County, concluded that water use in 1986 was approximately equal to the perennial yield in the Anza Valley and that as of 1986 useable groundwater in storage approximated 56,000 acre feet.

No further groundwater studies have been conducted since last year.

Groundwater levels for Anza Mutual Water Company's Well No. 1 (7S/3E-21G1) were the same in October, 1991 as in October, 1990 indicating no net lowering of water levels over the year.

No recent studies of safe yield are available for the Temecula-Murrieta area. Groundwater resources in much of the area are being managed by Rancho California Water District. The District has indicated that it operates the basin so as to develop its maximum perennial yield. If the District is successful in its approach there should be no net lowering of groundwater levels over an extended period of time.

Accordingly, groundwater levels throughout the basin area are being monitored by the District and the Watermaster Office. The District uses the record of well production and the related water levels to prepare and implement its annual groundwater production program so as to avoid continual declines in groundwater levels. If there is no continual decline in water levels or other adverse impact, then overdraft conditions do not exist.

Data obtained from the aforementioned monitoring programs and reviewed as part of the annual Watermaster report process should provide timely notice in the event of continued decline of water levels.

9.4 Salt Balance

A key issue in management of salt buildup is the export of water from the upper Santa Margarita River Watershed.

During 1990, agreement to allow Live Stream Discharge to the Santa Margarita River was reached among four parties: Eastern Municipal Water District, Fallbrook Public Utility District, Rancho California Water District and the United States Marine Corps Base at Camp Pendleton.

With the foregoing agreement in place, the Regional Water Quality Control Board approved a resolution modifying the water quality objectives of the Santa Margarita Hydrologic Unit as set forth in the Comprehensive Water Quality Control Plan Report, San Diego Region (9) (Basin Plan). This modification cleared the way for discharge of a portion of the treated wastewaters from plants operated by Eastern Municipal Water District and Rancho California Water District into Murrieta Creek.

The Agreement provides that the first five mgd of combined wastewater production from the two treatment facilities are to be reused in the Temecula-Murrieta area (upstream). The next two mgd are to be released into the Santa Margarita River system as carriage water. Combined production exceeding seven mgd but less than 27 mgd is to be allocated 30 percent to upstream uses and 70 percent to downstream uses. Production in excess of 27 mgd is to be dedicated to reuse in the Temecula-Murrieta area (upstream).

Quantities recharged at Camp Pendleton would be extracted later, blended with less saline water produced by a reverse osmosis plant at Camp Pendleton, if required, and reused by Fallbrook PUD and Camp Pendleton.

Besides providing a cost-effective solution to the disposal of wastewater in the upper Santa Margarita River area, this project also provides the potential for controlling salt balance in the Watershed.

During 1991, questions were raised by the State Department of Health Services regarding the criteria for recharging treated wastewater into groundwater basins which supply potable water. These questions have raised issues concerning the viability of the Live Discharge program and the parties continue to work to resolve this issue.

9.5 Proposed Landfill

San Diego County continued to seek approvals for Class III landfill sites in the northern part of San Diego County. One of these sites, termed the Aspen site, is located along Rainbow Creek about two miles upstream from its confluence with the Santa Margarita River. In 1990-91 the County investigated a number of alternatives to sites previously identified.

The Aspen site remains on the list of potential sites. It would be shortsighted to locate a landfill upstream from valuable groundwater resources when other sites are available with less exposure.

9.6 Soil Treatment Facility

During 1990-91 a soil treatment facility was constructed on lands in the Cahuilla Indian Reservation. This facility receives and treats regulated wastes which include soils which contain petroleum hydro-carbons (Non-RCRA hazardous waste). The soil treatment facility was constructed over the objections of the Cahuilla Band of Mission Indians.

There was concern about potential contamination of downstream water so the facility was inspected.

The facility overlies deposits of basement complex rocks. Groundwater within basement complex rocks in this location was found by the Court in Interlocutory Judgment No. 33A to be vagrant, local percolating water which does not add to, contribute to or support the Santa Margarita River or its tributaries. The Court further found that the use of such groundwater is not subject to the Court's continuing jurisdiction.

The site is within the Watershed tributary to Cahuilla Creek and surface flows of Cahuilla Creek are subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court. The operator has installed temporary berms around the perimeter of the site and has constructed a holding pond to collect runoff that falls within the treatment facility. In addition the operator is developing a permanent plan for containment of runoff under 100-year rainfall conditions. These precautions will prevent surface runoff from the site from entering Cahuilla Creek under most circumstances.

SECTION 10 - WATER QUALITY

10.1 Surface Water Quality

Records of the laboratory analysis of samples from surface streams in the Santa Margarita River Watershed are available in various Federal, State and local agency reports, as well as in files of various organizations in the Santa Margarita River Watershed. In 1990-91 surface water quality in the Watershed was monitored by Camp Pendleton and Eastern Municipal Water District. Stations monitored by Camp Pendleton are listed on Table 10.1 which also shows the available period of record at these locations. Water quality data collected in November 1990 and September 1991 is shown on Table D-1.

During 1990-91 Eastern Municipal Water District conducted two comprehensive sampling programs in the Santa Margarita River Watershed. One program was part of an Anti-Degradation Analysis conducted between March and May, 1991. The other is the Santa Margarita River Monitoring Program which collected samples between April and August of 1991.

Anti-Degradation Analysis

Between March 12, 1991 and May 14, 1991, samples were collected from the Santa Margarita River at Willow Glen for comparison with treated effluent from the Rancho California Regional Water Reclamation Facility at Temecula.

These samples were analyzed for a full range of organic compounds as well as Standard Chemical and physical characteristics of the waters.

The results indicated concentrations below primary Title 22 drinking water limits for both waters except for coliforms. However concentrations at the Willow Glen sampling point exceeded a number of secondary standards as shown on Table 10.2. These include iron, manganese, sulfate and conductivity. The effluent from the Rancho California Regional Reclamation Facility exceeded the Basin Plan objectives for Boron and Percent Sodium.

TABLE 10.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

CURRENT WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS 1/

STATION	SAMPLING Frequency	PERIOD PROM	PERIOD TO	PERIOD OF RECORD
Fallbrook Creek/MWS	Periodically	1968	Present	I XXXIIIXXXXIXXXXXXXX
Santa Hargarita River Near PPUD Sump	Periodically	1951	Present	; 1333133333333333333333333333333333333
DeLuz Creek at DeLuz/ Murrieta Road (McDowell)	Periodically	1953	Present	
Murrieta Creek Mear Temecula	Periodically	1968	Present	INIMITALIA
Temecula Creek at I-15	Periodically	1961	Present	HIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
Fallbrook Creek at Lake O'Heill	Periodically	1965	Present	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
Lake O'Neill	Periodically	1952	Present	штиншшшшшшш
Rainbow Creek at Willow Glen Road	Periodically	1970	Present	
Sandia Creek Near Fallbrook	Periodically	1989	Present	XX I
Santa Margarita River at Temecula Gorge	Periodically	1989	Present	XX
				YEAR 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 20

^{1/} Stations sampled by USMC, Camp Pendleton

TABLE 10.2

COMPARISON OF BASIN PLAN OBJECTIVES AND TITLE 22 LIMITS

AVERAGE CONCENTRATIONS OF SELECTED CONSTITUENTS SANTA MARGARITA RIVER AT WILLOW GLEN AND

RANCHO CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY EFFLUENT HARCH - HAY 1991

<u>Constituent</u>	Basin Plan Objective		Santa Margarita River at Willow Glen	Rancho California Regional Reclamation <u>Facility</u>
Inorganic Chemical				
Iron	300 mg/l	300 mg/l (secondary)	*4710 mg/l	488.3 mg/l
Manganese	50 mg/l	50 mg/l (secondary)	91.4 mg/l	36.0 mg/l
Sulfate	300 mg/l	250 mg/l (secondary)	280 mg/l	140 mg/l
% Sodium	60%		32%	64%
Boron	0.5 mg/l		0.3 mg/l	0.6 mg/l
Conductivity	9	00/1600/2200 umhos/cm (secondary)	1562 umhos/cm	1138 umhos/cm
Physical Color	20 units	15 units	11 units	37 units
Bacteriological Total Coliform (MPN/100 ml)	10,000		2,060	28,750
Fecal Coliform	10,000		2,000	28,730
(MPN/100 ml)	2,000		110	7,300

^{*} One sample had iron concentration of 16,500 mg/l

Santa Margarita River Monitoring Program

Under the Santa Margarita River Monitoring Program Eastern Municipal Water District collects samples from several sites along the Santa Margarita River system from Temecula Creek near I-15 to the estuary near I-5. Samples were collected on 16 days between April 28, 1991, and August 30, 1991.

These samples were analyzed for total dissolved solids, total nitrogen, nitrate as nitrogen and phosphorus. Algae, dissolved oxygen and temperature data were also collected.

The increases in total dissolved solids, total nitrogen, nitrate and phosphorus between Willow Glen and the DeLuz Road crossing reflects the effect of inflows from Rainbow Creek and Sandia Creek as shown on Table 10.3.

TABLE 10.3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUMMARY OF EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT'S
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER MONITORING PROGRAM
APRIL - AUGUST, 1991

SITE	AVERAGE TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS NG/L	TOTAL MITROGEN MG/L	HG/L	PHOSPHORUS MG/L	DISSOLVED OXYGEN PPN	TEMPERATURE CENTIGRADE
Temecula Creek at I-15	766	2.3	1.92		8.0	22.5
Santa Margarita River Mear Temecula	633				8.6	21.0
Santa Margarita River At MWD Crossing		•			8.9	22.9
Santa Margarita River At Willow Glen	807	1.66	9.77	0.18	1.7	22.4
Santa Margarita River At DeLuz Road Crossing	1,003	4.32	3.82	0.39	9.6	21.6
Santa Margarita River At Camp Pendleton	866	1.67	1.22	0.16	8.3	20.3
Santa Margarita River Bast of Stuart Mesa Road	7,27 0 d	2.45	1.52	1.86	7.1	2 0 .3
Santa Margarita River At I-15 (Estnary)	17,083	3.83	2.83	0.62	7.5	19.0

10.2 Groundwater Quality

Water quality data collected from ten wells at Camp Pendleton during 1990-91 and prior years are presented on Appendix Table D-6. Review of the data indicates the following:

- a. Total dissolved solids in five of the ten wells monitored are increased from previous concentrations. Comparison with historic trends indicates that such increases may be expected over extended dry periods.
- b. Nitrate concentrations are reduced from their levels in 1989 and prior years. It is noted that Well 10S/4W-7A2 has concentrations of 7.5 mg/l nitrate in June 1991 while no other well has a concentration in 1990-91 above 2.7 mg/l. Other wells that had relatively high nitrate concentrations during the 1977 drought have experienced major reductions in nitrate concentrations.
- c. Because of the high sulfate concentrations in samples taken at Willow Glen, which were noted in Table 10.2, sulfate concentrations in wells at Camp Pendleton were reviewed. The data indicate that concentrations of sulfate continue to fluctuate within the historical range. Apparently the high levels being observed at Willow Glen are being blended with high quality flood waters and flows from DeLuz Creek.

Water quality sampling data for seven wells in Murrieta CWD are listed in Appendix Table D-3. Only the "House Well" was sampled in 1991. However that well showed a 22 percent increase in total dissolved solids although the recent higher concentration still meets drinking water standards.

Water quality data from wells in Rancho California WD are shown in Appendix Table D-4. Of 20 wells sampled in 1991, 10 showed increases in total dissolved solids from the prior reading. Well No. 135 shows wide variations in total dissolved solids above drinking water standards. These concentrations vary from 945 mg/l in June 1990 to as much as 2670 mg/l in December 1990. That well is rarely used. All other wells produced water in 1990-91 well within drinking water limits for total dissolved solids.

Well No. 109 continues to show sulfate concentrations above secondary standards of 250 mg/l.

SECTION 11 - FIVE YEAR PROJECTION OF WATERMASTER OFFICE TASKS, EXPENDITURES AND REQUIREMENTS

11.1 General

Primary Watermaster tasks are listed in Table 11.1 together with the budgeted hours of time to be devoted to each task during the current 1991-92 Water Year and over the five Water Years 1992-93 through 1996-97. A projection of Watermaster Office expenditures over the next five years is also shown on Table 11.1.

11.2 Task Description

These tasks are briefly described in the following paragraphs.

- Update List of Substantial Users A basic list of substantial water users is shown in Appendix C. Activities include adding new users to the list and monitoring the users on the current list.
- 2. Collect Water Production, Use, Import and Availability Data This task includes collection of the quantities of water diverted, extracted, impounded, exported, imported, used or reclaimed by water districts and by other substantial users. As shown in Appendices A and B, water use is categorized among agricultural, domestic and commercial uses. This task also includes collection of data on surface diversions, and related consumptive use, return flows and losses.
- 3. Collect Well Location, Construction and Water Level

 Data Determination of the water in subsurface storage, changes in groundwater storage and trends in water levels requires collection of information on water levels and well construction data.
- 4. Administer Water Rights Water users in the Watershed employ a wide variety of water rights. Activities in this task include researching the basis of existing water rights and comparing water rights with water use.

TABLE 11.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED PROJECTED WATERMASTER TASKS Estimated Hours per Water Year

	WATERMASTER TASES	CURRENT YEAR 1991/92	1992/93	PROJECT 1993/94	ED FUTURE YE 1994/95	ARS 1995/96	1996/97
1.	Update List of Substantial Users	50	50	59	50	50	50
2.	Collect Water Production, Use, Import and Availability Data	1 00	100	100	100	100	100
3.	Collect Well Construction and Water Level Data	250	100	50	50	50	50
4.	Administer Water Rights		100	100	100	100	100
5.	Determine Changes in Subsurface Storage	e 45 0	200	200	200	100	100
6.	Collect Water Quality Data	100	40	40	49	40	40
7.	Determine Salt Balance	110	0	50	50	150	80
8.	Prepare List of All Water Users under Court Jurisdiction	100	100	100	100	100	100
9.	Attend Meetings	15 0	150	150	150	15 0	150
10.	Administer Lake Skinner MOU	60	60	60	60	60	60
11.	Administer Steering Committee Matters	150	150	150	150	150	150
12.	Prepare Court Reports/Budgets	150	100	100	100	100	100
13.	Miscellaneous Computer Operation	60	60	60	60	60	60
14.	Monitor Streamflow and Water Quality Measuring Stations	50	50	50	50	50	50
15.	Monitor Water Quality Activities and Water Right Appropriations	50	50	50	50	50	50
16.	Miscellaneous Administrative Services	300	100	100	100	100	100
17.	Data Management	2,300	2, 000	2, 000	2,000	2,000	2,000
18.	Prepare Inventory of Stockponds and Reservoirs	100	30	30	30	30	100
19.	Contingency for Unforeseen Tasks	200	200	200	200	200	200
20.	TOTAL	4,730	3,640	3,640	3,640	3,640	3,640
21.	ESTINATED BUDGET	\$196,221	\$153, 000	\$161, 000	\$169, 000	\$177, 000	\$186,000

- 5. Determine Changes in Subsurface Storage In this task well construction and water level data will be used to determine trends in levels, as well as quantities in storage in various hydrologic subunits. This determination will include estimates of quantities of water in storage and the source and quantity of recharge.
- 6. Collect Water Quality Data Determination of basin water quality trends and salt balance requires collection of water quality data. Such data are needed for historic surface water supplies, historic outflows and exports as well as groundwater in storage.
- 7. <u>Determine Salt Balance</u> Following collection of water quality data and understanding of subsurface recharge the salt balances for various hydrologic subunits will be determined. This work follows the water level and storage change analysis.
- 8. Prepare List of All Water Users Under Court Jurisdiction This major task has been deferred because it involves preparing a list of all private water users within certain areas in the Watershed. It can best be prepared using the assessor rolls as a starting point and then determining if there is any water use on the property. This list will also include a description of vested rights and appropriative priority dates if required.
- Attend Meetings This task provides for attending meetings to remain apprised of activities which affect water matters in the Santa Margarita River Watershed.
- 10. Administer Lake Skinner MOU This task provides for monitoring the operation of Lake Skinner to ensure that MWD is in compliance with the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding on the Operation of Lake Skinner. This task includes cooperation with MWD in the development of an MOU for MWD's Eastside Reservoir Project.
- 11. Administer Steering Committee Matters This task involves administration of quarterly Steering Committee meetings, including distribution of notices and agendas, preparation of minutes, attendance at meetings, and dealing with various Steering Committee matters.

- 12. Prepare Court Reports/Budgets Each year an annual report, which includes a budget and projected tasks, is required to be forwarded to the Court.
- 13. <u>Miscellaneous Computer Operations</u> Efficient operation of the Watermaster Office is based on maximizing the use of computers. This requires periodic attendance at training sessions, classes and/or acquisition and use of new software and computer equipment.
- 14. Monitor Streamflow and Water Quality Measuring Stations
 Operation and maintenance of existing stream gaging
 stations and water quality monitoring stations are
 handled by others. However, the Watermaster Office
 relies on the data from these stations and assists in
 interpretation of station data and in maintaining or
 improving the quality of station records and data.
 This task includes determining source of flows measured
 at gaging stations.
- 15. Monitor Water Quality and Water Right Activities This task provides for investigating unauthorized water appropriations and water quality violations in the Watershed.
- 16. <u>Miscellaneous Administrative Services</u> This task provides for office administration, operation and general correspondence.
- 17. <u>Data Management</u> This task provides for assistance to the Watermaster with data management for reports and correspondence.
- 18. Prepare Inventory of Ponds and Reservoirs In recent years numerous small ponds and reservoirs have been constructed along streams in the Watershed. Some of these store water appropriated using State Water Resources Control Board procedures. Other impoundments may constitute unauthorized water appropriations. In this task an inventory of ponds would be developed as a first step in determining which are authorized and which are not. Completion of this task provides an opportunity to check surface water diversions and substantial users.
- 19. <u>Contingency for Unforeseen Tasks</u> This task provides for tasks that cannot be foreseen two or three years ahead.

SECTION 12 - WATERMASTER OFFICE BUDGET 1992-93

A proposed Watermaster Office Budget of \$153,000 for the Water Year ending September 30, 1993, is included in this report as Table 12.1. The proposed budget reflects an increase in the cost for Accounting, Payroll and Financial Management performed by Fallbrook Public Utility District from \$200 per month to \$300 per month.

In addition to the operation of the Watermaster Office, the Watermaster has executed an Agreement with the U.S. Geological Survey to operate gaging stations in the Watershed in 1991-92. It is anticipated that this Agreement will be continued in succeeding years, and the estimated costs of \$99,985 for the year 1991-92 has been increased by 10% for the year 1992-93 as shown on Table 12.1. These costs are offset by revenues to be received from four parties who are sponsoring the gaging station program, also as shown on Table 12.1. These revenues are pursuant to an Agreement between the Watermaster and the four participating parties.

TABLE 12.1

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED PROPOSED WATERMASTER OFFICE BUDGET Water Year Ending September 30, 1993

	APPROVED BUDGET CURRENT YEAR 1991-1992	PROPOSED BUDGET
	Total	Total
Watermaster Office		\$
Rent	\$ 2, 400	2, 400
Accounting Services	3,960	4,000
Supplies	1,980	2, 000
Insurance	1,500	2,000
General Liability & Professional	4,000	4, 000
Printing	1,320	1,200
Audit	2,100	2,100
Publications	2,100	2,200
Clerical/Data Management	31,200	36,000
Engineering Assistance	29,400	4,000
Otilities	•	,
Telephone	2,100	2,100
Sanitation	1,200	1,000
Blectric	900	900
Miscellaneous Operating/Maintenence	2, 40 0	2, 000
Waternaster		
Consulting Services	1 0 4,141	75, 000
Automobile Expense	4,8 00	3,6 00
Travel Reimbursements	3,600	4,800
Equipment		
Computer	1,5 00	1,5 00
Software	1,200	1,200
Purniture	960	300
Copy Machine	360	400
Contingency	3,600	2,300
SUBTOTAL	\$196,221	\$153, 000
Estimated Cost of Gaging Station Operation		
(\$99,985 x 1.10)		1 0 9,984
Less Revenues from Four Parties		
to Gaging Station Agreement		(109,984)
MET OPERATING COST OF WATERMASTER OFFICE		\$153, 000

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 1990-91

APPENDIX A WATER PRODUCTION AND USE WATER YEAR 1990-91

JULY 1992

TABLE A-1 SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

	PRODUCTI	ON .					USE						BCLAIMED	Waste Wate	IR.
MONTE YEAR	WELLS	IMPORTED 1/	TOTAL	 	NG 2/	COME	DOM 3/	TOTAL	Loss	TOTAL USE+LOSS		REUSE IN SMRV	EXPORT	RECHARGED	TOTAL
1990			**********				m				 				
OCT	0	1,981	1,981	ĺ	127	0	1,755	1,882	99	1,981	İ	163	. 0	173	336
MOA	0	1,495	1,495	İ	98	0	1,322	1,420	75	1,495	İ	77	9	250	327
DEC	24	387	411	į	30	9	360	390	21	411		63	0	263	326
1991				1											
Jar	61	1,233	1,294	i	31	0	1,198	1,229	65	1,294	i	114	0	195	309
PEB	48	821	869	İ	20	0	806	826	43	869	Ì	77	0	213	290
MAR	35	802	837	i	8	9	787	795	42	837	İ	102	9	218	320
APR	15	1,169	1,184	İ	21	0	1,104	1,125	59	1,184	İ	96	9	184	280
MAY	43	1,542	1,585	ĺ	37	0	1,469	1,506	79	1,585	İ	116	9	249	365
JUNE	50	1,481	1,531	ĺ	62	0	1,392	1,454	77	1,531		138	9	182	320
JULY	68	2,210	2,278	j	98	0	2,066	2,164	114	2,278		117	0	180	297
AUG	58	-	2,042	•	128	0	1,812	1,940	192	2,042	Ì	113	9	80	193
Sept	54	1,516	1,570	į	191	0	1,301	1,492	78	1,570		106	9	85	191
TOTAL	456	16,621	17,077		851	0	15,372	16,223	854	17,077	!	1,282	0	2,272	3,554

^{1/} Does not include deliveries to Rancho California Water District or Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District

^{2/} Pigures are 95% of water pumped and imported to allow for 5% loss

^{3/} Figures are 95% of water pumped and imported to allow for 5% loss

TABLE A-2
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

				PRODUCTIO					ı		use			
HOFFE YEAR	LOCAL	TOTAL DISTRICT IMPORT	DELUI AREA IMPORT	TALLBROOK ARRA IMPORT	SKRW IMPORT /1	TOTAL SURV IMPORT	TOTAL PRODUCTION	- 	146 146	CONTR	DOM	TOTAL IN SHRW	ross.	TOTAL USE IN SERV
1990								-		*				
OCT	0	1,972	449	1,532	705	1,145	1,145	Ì	752	22	274	1,048	97	1,145
HOV	0	1,448	327		516	843	843	İ	660	25	220	905	(62)	
DEC	9	1,309	223	1,086	499	722	722		425	19	225	669	53	722
1991														
JAK	0	863	191	672	309	500	500	ii	375	18	155	548	(48)	500
PBB	3	977	225	752	346	571	574	Ħ	377	16	168	561	13	574
MAR	7	251	13	238	109	122	129	Ħ	122	16	79	217	(88)	
APR	4	660	103	557	256	359	363	Ϊi	127	10	86	223	149	363
MAY	6	1,156	220	936	431	651	657	Ü	300	18	146	464	193	657
JUNE	7	1,182	218	964	443	661	668	İ	417	24	204	645	23	668
JULY	7	1,343	295	1,048	482	777	784	İ	512	23	157	692	92	784
AUG	6	1,391	279		512	791	797	ÌÌ	488	25	187	700	97	797
SEPT	6	1,387	337	1,050	483	820	826	İ	591	28	169	788	38	826
TOTAL	46	13,939	2,871	11, 0 68	5, 0 91	7,962	8, 00 8	1	 5,146	244	2,070	7,460	548	8,008

⁽¹⁾ Approximately 46% of the Fallbrook area is within the Santa Margarita River Watershed

^{*}Loss = Total production less total use

TABLE A-3
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

MURRIETA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

	PRODUCTION	١			use			
MONTE YEAR	TRLLS		Æ	CONK	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	Loss*	Total USE
1990								
OCT	53	i	1	10	29	40	13	53
NOV	42	İ	1	8	22	31	11	42
DEC	29	į	0	8	16	24	5	29
1991		. ! 						
Jan	26	i	1	7	14	22	4	26
FEB	28	İ	1	2	5	8	20	28
MAR	18	İ	1	5	13	19	-1	18
APR	26	ĺ	1	5	13	19	7	26
KAY	39	İ	2	7	21	30	9	39
JUNE	42	j	2	8	26	36	6	42
JULY	54	Ì	1	9	30	40	14	54
AUG	56	j	1	9	29	39	17	56
SEPT	51		3	10	32	45	6	51
TOTAL	464		15	88	250	353	111	464

^{*} Loss = Total production less total delivered

TABLE A-4
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

PRODUCTION AG COMMERCIAL/ LOCAL IMPORT TO TOTAL LOSS* EONTH. TOTAL II | TOTAL YEAR WATERSHED WATERSHED DOMESTIC DELIVERIES USE OCT 351 | | TOV. DEC 180 | | JAN 201 | | FEB KAR 44 | | APR 123 | | MAY 231 | | JUNE 232 | | 328 | | JULY AUG ø SEPT 233 35 | |9 2,904 TOTAL 2,276 364 2,649 2,904 | | 2.904

*Loss = 10% of use

TABLE A-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

MATER	RECHARGE			•	60	60		\$	\$	60	60	69	•	6	•	60	Ø
RECLAINED WASTE WATER	KIPORT R			60	60	8		•	\$	60	\$	60	60	60	8	•	Ø
RECLAI	REUSE			15	81	19		34	78	-	42	2 5	\$	91	4	4	352
-	TOTAL			5,764	4,090	3,343	==	2,039	3,029	1,415	3,802	5,687	5,444	6, 299	7, 134	5,948	53,994
	1,055	,	1 1 1 1 1 1	(1,511)	(755)	(1,171)		(1,521)	1,050	(1,932)	1,505	908	915	1,125	838	4 38	(213)
	TOTAL	qca qca		7,275	4,845	4,514		3,560	1,979	3,347	2,297	4,881	4,529	5,174	6, 296	5,510	54,207
	IMPORT	KbCdbsvb		\$	\$	# ©		\$	\$	183	518	• ©	\$	•	œ	\$	701
	VAIL	месплись		60	90	60		69	•	699	421	1,537	793	793	1,622	427	6,253
	E	q cuquq		167	69	60		69	60	60	60	₩	149	158	129	134	785
320	\$	-		1,886	1,096	974		872	533	570	345	99	830	897	930	1,997	2,941 10,603
	2012			436	323	289		222	128	8	25	242	290	786	278	238	2,941
	LANDSCAPE			299	214	134		147	73	92	29	199	138	230	263	261	2,019
	1 4709			55	33	233		93	39	91	24	169	155	169	206	191	1,394
_	92			4,438	3,174	2,884		1 2,226	1,215	1,691	865	2,083	2,174	2,641	2,868	3,192	 29,451
-	TOTAL			5,764	4,090	3,343		2,039	3,029	1,415	3,802	5,687	5,444	6,299	7,134	5,948	53,994
	IMPORT TOTAL			2,953	1,533	1,258		1,671	244	473	1,306	1,644	1,899	3,039	3,279	2,539	21,238
		VAIL		69	60	69		•	69	999	421	1,537	793	793	1,622	427	6,253
RODUCTION	1.0CAL	WELLS OUT GWA RI		69	60	\$		60	\$	\$	60	\$	60	60	\$	60	\$
武		WELLS IN GUA		2,811	2,557	2,085		368	2, 785	282	2,075	3,106	2,752	2,467	2,233	2,982	26,503
		HONTE TEAR	1990	00 1	KOV	DEC	1001	388	rrs	MA.	APR	MAY	JUKE	JULY	AUG	SRPT	TOTAL

*Loss = Fotal production less total use

TABLE A-6
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U.S.M.C. - CAMP PENDLETON Quantities in Acre Feet

PRODUCTION						l	Ţ	JSE		RECLAIMED WASTE WATER					
HORTE Year	16	CAME	SUPPLY	TOTAL		AGRI IN-SHRW	CULTURE 1/ OUT-SHRW	CAMP IN-SMRW	SUPPLY 2/ OUT-SHRW	TOTAL EXPORT	TOTAL* IN-SHRW	 	RECHARGED IN-SHR 3/	INPORT 4/ RECHARGED IN SURT	TOTAL RECHARGED IN SMRW
1990												! 			
OCT	:	28	35 0	378		11	17	154	196	213	165	ĺ	88	96	176
MOA	2	24	342	366		9	15	151	191	206	160	ĺ	85	100	185
DEC	7	28	18 0	208		11	17	79	101	118	90		80	108	188
1991			445	206		•	42	20	445	100	•	 	 	407	***
JAN	•	21	205	226		8	13	90	115	128	98	ļ	94	107	201
PBB		0 .	264	264	Ц	0	0	116	148	148	116	:	-	85	17 0
MAR		8	228	296		27	41	100	128	169		ļ		116	210
APR	!	i7	316	373		22	35	139	177	212	161		94	95	189
MAY	1	36	319	405	П	34	52	141	178	230	175	l	94	96	1 90
JUNE	9	10	212	302		35	55	93	119	174	128		92	94	186
JULY	(1	244	3 0 5		24	37	1 0 7	137	174	131	l	95	93	188
AUG	1	0	251	261	Ì	4	6	110	141	147	114	ĺ	94	105	199
SEPT	8	31	248	329		31	50	1 0 9	139	189	140	İ	83 	124	2 0 7
TOTAL	5	i 4	3,159	3,713		216	338	1,389	1,770	2,108	1,605		1,070	1,219	2,289

- 1/ Agricultural water use is divided with 39% used inside the SMRW and 61% used outside
- 2/ Camp Supply water use is divided with 44% used inside the SMRW and 56% used outside
- 3/ Discharge from Plant Mos. 3 plus 8 plus 29.17 acre feet per month from Plant Mo. 13
- 4/ Discharge from Plant No. 1 plus excess of Plant No. 13 over 29.17 acre feet per month

^{*} Assumes no losses

TABLE A-7

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

MISCELLANEOUS WATER PRODUCTION AND IMPORTS

Quantities in Acre Feet

1990-1991

	WESTERN MWD IMPORTS TO	PRODUCTION								
HORTH YEAR	IMPROVEMENT	ANIA MUTUAL WATER CO.		BUTTERFIELD OAKS MOBILE HOME PARK						
1990 OCT NOV DEC	2.20 2.10 1.70	2.89 1.77 1.94	5.48 4.40 2.78	0.30 E 0.30 E 0.30 E						
1991 JAH FEB MAR APR JUNE JULY AUG SEPT	1.30 1.30 1.10 1.00 1.70 1.80 2.30 2.10	1.55 1.53 1.11 1.91 5.87 3.76 4.85 3.97 3.91	2.63 4.38 2.67 2.69 5.17 4.10 5.52 5.37	0.30 E 0.30 E 0.30 E 0.30 E 0.48 0.53 0.63 0.70	1.61 78.14 22.24 3.01 17.19 44.30 33.40 23.70 24.38					
SUBTOTA	L			4.74 7.50 *						
TOTAL	20.70	35.06	50.59	12.24	339.77					

B indicates an estimate

^{*} Estimated non-metered lawn watering

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 1990-91

APPENDIX B

WATER PRODUCTION AND USE
WATER YEAR 1965-66 TO WATER YEAR 1990-91

JULY 1992

TABLE B-1 SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

	PRODUCTI	01	. 1				USE						RECLATIVE	d vaste val	'ER
WAYER YBAR	TILLS	IMPORTED 1/	TOTAL	•	NG 2/	COME	DOM 3/	TOTAL	Loss	TOTAL USE+LOSS	 	REUSE IN SMRF	EXPORT	RECHARGED	TOTAL
1966	9	1,604	1,604		1,520	9	4	1,524	80	1,604	ľ	-0	0	100	199
1967	0	1,630	1,630		1,544	0	4	1,548	82	1,630	ł	0	0	100	100
1968	0	1,464	1,464		1,386	0	5	1,391	73	1,464	١	0	0	100	100
1969	0	1,741	1,741		1,648	0	6	1,654	87	1,741	ĺ	0	0	100	199
197 0	0	1,417	1,417		1,340	0	7	1,346	71	1,417	ĺ	0	0	1 0 1	101
1971	0	-7	1,383		1,3 0 6	0	8	1,314	69	1,383	١	0	9	119	119
1972	0	1,470	1,470		1,388	0	8	1,396	74	1,470	١	0	9	242	242
1973	0	1,533	1,533		1,447	0	10	1,456	77	1,533	1	0	0	217	217
1974	0	1,601	1,601	:	1,511	0	10	1,521	80	1,601	ĺ	0	0	193	193
1975	0	1,969	1,969		1,859	0	11	1,871	98	1,969	ĺ	0	0	253	253
1976	145	2,493	2,638	1	2,356	0	150	2,506	132	2,638	ĺ	134	0	155	289
1977	431	2,947	3,378	:	2,723	64	423	3,2 0 9	169	3,378	I	244	0	70	314
1978	375	2,551	2,926	:	2,409	0	371	2,780	146	2,926	ĺ	300	8	75	375
1979	289	1,894	2,183		1,784	0	29 0	2,074	109	2,183	ĺ	350	0	147	497
198 0	281	1,192	1,473	:	1,116	0	283	1,399	74	1,473	Ì	375	0	220	595
1981	282	716	998		663	0	285	948	50	998	ĺ	375	0	304	679
1982	321	1,112	1,433	:	1,038	0	323	1,361	72	1,433	ĺ	375	0	386	761
1983	1 0 6	1,211	1,317	:	1,131	0	120	1,251	66	1,317	ļ	375	0	466	841
1984	236	699	935	ĺ	644	0	244	888	47	935	Ì	400	0	525	925
1985	314	679	993	1	624	0	319	943	50	993	į	450	0	565	1,015
1986	229	76 0	989	1	7 00	0	239	940	49	989	ĺ	600	0	509	1,109
1987	89	1,155	1,244		638	0	543	1,182	62	1,244	ĺ	65 0	0	554	1,204
1988	4	2,047	2,051	1	524	0	1,424	1,948	103	2,051	ĺ	6 50	0	650	1,300
1989	685	3,746	4,431	1	1,146	0	3,064	4,209	222	4,431	ĺ	1,058	0	1,636	2,694
19 90	492	8,578	9,070	:	1,476	0	7,140	8,616	454	9,070	ĺ	1,567	0	2,160	3,727
1991	456	16,621	17,077		851	0	15,372	16,223	854	17,077	ĺ	1,282	0	2,272	3,554

^{1/} Does not include deliveries to Rancho California Water District or Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District

^{2/} Figures are 95% of water pumped and imported to allow for 5% loss

^{3/} Figures are 95% of water pumped and imported to allow for 5% loss

TABLE B-2
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

FALLBROOK PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

PRODUCTION HSE DELUZ FALLBROOK TATER TOTAL APRA ARKA TOTAL COMMITTEE COMMIT LOSS* SHET TOTAL TOTAL USE TEAR LOCAL IMPORT IMPORT IMPORT IMPORT PRODUCTION DELIVERED IR SHOW 1966 176 11,169 11,169 3,351 3,404 2,735 328 3,063 341 3,404 1967 9,508 2,852 16 9,508 2,857 | | 2,253 319 2,572 285 2,857 11,411 1968 13 11,411 3,423 3,085 3,427 3,427 | | 2,554 531 342 1969 178 9,458 0 9,458 2,837 2,891 1,787 814 2,601 290 2,891 1970 305 11,794 11,794 3,538 3,630 | | 2,649 617 3,266 364 3,630 1971 7 11,350 0 11,350 3,405 3,497 | | 2,386 681 3,067 340 3,407 1972 13,054 0 13,054 3,916 2,749 775 3,524 3,916 392 3,916 1973 0 10,610 38 10,572 3,210 2,156 732 2,888 322 3,210 | | 3,210 1974 0 12,911 134 12,777 3,967 3,967 | | 2,703 868 3,571 396 3.967 1975 0 11,492 213 11,279 3,597 3,597 | | 2,420 816 3,236 361 3,597 1976 0 13,147 431 12,716 4,627 4,627 | | 3,200 965 4,165 462 4,627 1977 20 13,435 587 12,848 5,212 5,232 | 1 3,536 1,174 4,710 522 5,232 11,975 1978 97 12,626 651 5,202 5,299 | | 3,504 1,265 4,769 530 5,299 1979 187 12,865 961 11,904 5,723 5,910 3,820 1,498 5,318 592 5.910 6,404 1980 192 13,602 1,191 12,411 6,596 4,258 1,678 5,936 660 6,596 1981 87 16,878 1,994 14,884 8,543 8,630 | | 5,688 2,144 7,832 798 8,630 1982 0 13,270 1,805 11,465 7,079 7,079 | | 4,614 6,476 603 7.079 1,862 10,329 1983 0 12,298 1,969 6,720 4,320 6,720 6,720 | | 1,871 6,191 529 1984 0 15,429 2,609 12,820 8,506 8,596 | | 5,814 2,077 7,891 615 8,506 1985 0 14,256 2,358 11,898 7,831 7,831 | | 5,187 2,135 7,322 509 7,831 1986 15,383 2,794 12,589 8,585 8,585 5,698 2,319 8.017 568 8,585 1987 0 15,313 8,656 2,281 2,986 12,327 8,656 5,793 8,074 582 8,656 1988 28 14,460 2,559 11,901 8,033 8,061 5,181 2,348 7,529 532 8,061 1989 94 16,179 3,667 13,172 9,066 9,160 5,620 2,706 8,326 834 9,160 1990 10,103 10,118 15 17,568 3,745 13,823 10,118 6,275 2.878 9,153 965 1991 46 13,939 11,068 7,962 548 8,008 2,871 8,668 | | 5,146 2,314 7,460

^{*}Loss = Total production less total use (Meglects change in Storage at Red Mtn After 1985)

TABLE B-3

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WASTEWATER PRODUCTION AND DISPOSITION

FALLBROOK SANITARY DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

Vater Tear	TOTAL WASTEWATER PRODUCTION	NASTEVATER FROM SMRV	VASTEVATER FROM SHRV	WASTEWATER EXPORTED FROM SMRW	FROM	WASTEWATER IMPORTED FROM SLR* WATERSHED
1966	395	81	32 0	0	19	75
1967	460	80	368	0	2 9	92
1968	524	80	419	0	2 0	1 0 5
1969	588	79	465	0	21	123
197 0	652	78	5 0 9	0	22	143
1971	717	78	559	0	22	158
1972	782	77	6 0 2	0	23	180
1973	847	76	644	0	24	2 0 3
1974	912	75	684	9	25	228
1975	976	75	732	0	25	244
1976	1,0 40	74	77 0	0	26	27 8
1977	1,105	73	807	0	27	298
1978	1,170	72	842	0	28	328
1979	1,234	72	888	0	28	346
198 0	1,298	71	922	9	29	376
1981	1,363	7 0	954	0	3 0	40 9
1982	1,428	69	985	0	31	443
1983	1,492	69	1,029	1,029	0	•
1984	1,556	68	1,058	1,058	0	0
1985	1,621	67	1, 0 86	1,086	9	0
1986	1,685	66	1,112	1,112	9	9
1987	1,750	66	1,155	1,155	9	• •
1988	1,815	65	1,180	1,180	9	0
1989	1,881	64	1,204	1,204	0	0
19 90	1,952	66	1,298	1,298	0	0
1991	1,622	60	973	973	0	0

NOTE: Measured quantities available for Total Wastewater in Water Year 1969 and July 1989 All other quantities are estimated.

Prior to 1983, Wastewater was discharged into Fallbrook Creek. After 1983, Wastewater is discharged into an ocean outfall

^{* -} San Luis Rey

TABLE B-4 SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

MURRIETA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

	PRODUCTION	1		USE			
BATER TEAR	TELLS	MG	COMM	DOM	TOTAL DELIVERED	loss:	TOTAL USE
1966	41	0	8	37	37	4	. 41
1967	45	0	0	41	41	4	45
1968	54	0	0	49	49	5	54
1969	54	0	0	49	49	5	54
1970	73	●	0	66	66	7	73
1971	83] 3	0	72	75	8	83
1972	111	10	0	91	101	10	111
1973	92	11	0	72	84	8	92
1974	132	14	0	107	120	12	132
1975	153	18	0	121	139	14	153
1976	117	22	0	84	106	11	117
1977	170	21	0	134	155	15	170
1978	169	19	0	135	154	15	169
1979	197	19	0	160	179	18	197
1980	218	20	0	178	198	20	218
1981	265	30	0	211	241	24	265
1982	230	21	0	188	209	21	230
1983	216	14	0	182	196	20	216
1984	394	26	0	250	276	28	304
1985	308	19	0	261	280	28	3 0 8
1986	305	22	0	255	277	28	3 0 5
1987	326	23	0	273	296	30	326
1988	303	13	35	262	275	28	303
1989	286	11	72	262	344	-4	340
199 0	465	13	76	266	355	110	465
1991	464	15	88	250	353	111	464

^{*} Losses assumed to be 10% of use (1966 - 1988)

TABLE B-5

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

PRODUCTION DATER LOCAL IMPORT TO TOTAL II MG 2/ COMMERCIAL/ TOTAL LOSS 4/ TOTAL YEAR DISTRICT WATERSHED 1/ DOMESTIC 3/ DELIVERIES IISE 1.308 0 14,538 1,308 1,049 140 1,189 119 1966 1967 0 12,167 1,095 878 117 995 100 1,095 1968 0 1,104 1,252 125 1,377 15,301 1,377 147 1,252 1969 Ð 13,917 1,253 1,005 134 1,139 114 0 1,354 1,535 1,689 1970 18,764 1,689 181 154 0 1,324 1,500 1,650 1971 18,338 1,650 177 150 1972 0 22,633 2,037 1,634 218 1,852 185 2,037 0 1,296 1,469 1,616 1973 17,955 1,616 173 147 0 1,863 1974 22,768 2,049 1,643 219 186 2.049 0 1,000 1.134 113 1.247 1975 13,856 1,247 133 1976 0 24,878 2,239 1,796 240 2,035 204 2,239 1977 0 26,038 2,343 1,879 251 2,130 213 2,343 0 1,755 24,312 2,188 234 1,989 199 2,188 1978 0 26,084 2,348 1,883 251 2,134 2,347 1979 213 1980 0 27,660 2,489 1,997 266 2,263 226 2,489 1981 Ð 35,036 3,153 2,529 337 2,866 287 3,153 0 2,236 224 1982 27,334 2,460 1,973 263 2,460 1983 0 2,190 1,735 1,991 199 2,190 24,957 256 1984 0 32,526 3,068 2,483 306 2,789 279 3.068 0 1985 28,612 3,410 2,798 302 3,100 310 3,410 1986 0 29,023 2,945 2,353 324 2,677 268 2,945 1987 0 29.449 3,390 2,765 3.082 3,390 317 308 1988 0 29,070 2,985 2,372 342 2,714 271 2,985 0 1989 32,034 3,003 | | |2,385 345 2,730 273 3,003 1998 0 34,612 3,818 3,003 468 3,471 347 3,818 1991 27,754 2,994 2,276 2,640 264 2,904 364

^{1/ 1966} through 1982 estimated to be 9% of total district imports

^{2/ 1966} through 1982 estimated to be 80.2% of total deliveries to watershed

^{3/ 1966} through 1982 estimated to be 10.7% of total deliveries to watershed

^{4/} Loss = 10% of use

TABLE B-6

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT Quantities in Acre Feet

ا ہے	100						_			_	_			_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_
R TATE	RCEARGE				_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
RECLAIMED TASTE TAPER	T.POP.				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
RECLAI	E CONTRACTOR OF	į			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	\$	82	168	133	352
=		<u>-</u>	_ <u>-</u>	==	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
	TOTAL				5,424	5,498	4,314	1,561	8, 163	9,910	8,258	11,229	10,726	11,978	12,367	14,784	18,380	33,691	37,696	32,667	35,255	49,136	37,759	47,946	49,661	44,065	49,426	55,271	53,994
	SS :	7																									3,833	7,870	(213)
	TOTAL																										45, 193	±,±	14,207
	IMPORT	account.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2,294	•	701
	TAIL			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	10,944	6,842	6,458	12,113	6,612	5,027	8,722	8,089	4,844	•	•	6,253
1 51	S.		Ì																								852	35	785
	뵱	-																									13,198	14,916	19,693
	뙲		į																								3,316	£,6	2,941
	MUSCIFI		[>	~	2,019
	71 2708																										>	>	1,394
	78	•																									25,533	27,643	29,451
	# 1	==:	- <u>-</u>		123	198	314	561	. E3	916	258	129	126	978	367		380	169		967	255	136	139	946	661	1 590	-	=	13,994
	TOLE	•		_	~ ~,	- 	-		∞ `	<u>.</u>	∞	=	ž														•		
	IMPORT	, —;			_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	=	1,84	5,77												22,03	21,238
		TOTAL) [VERSIC		1,136									35	_	_	_	₹ 6,81	6,887	6,058	12,82	7,75	6,22	9,77	8,362	ž	_	•	6,25
_	VALL DIVERSIONS 1/		OUT 68A (MECHANGE IRRIGATION DIVERSIONS)	: 581	1,136 **	398	697	: 95	203	1,54	25	1,966	369	: 35,	•	•	•	•	•	•	21.	., ₹	1,201	1,053	273	•	•	•	•
PRODUCTION	ALL DIVE		WATER III	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9,944	6,892	6,058	2,113	6,612	5,027	8,722	8,889	1,844	•	•	6,253
E	=	<u> </u>			•			•				•	•					≕	•	•	i	_		•			•	•	•
	77	212	944 GE																		•								
	TOCK	51111	1 B 644		4, 288	5,189	3,617	6,721	7,960	8,369	1,726	10, 163	19,357	11,809	10,522	8,930	11,371	12,621	15,612	12,631	16,577	25,660	24,373	26,997	33, 735	21,367	26,131	33, 241	26,503
		TATES		1966	1961	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	9761	1977	1978	1979	1986	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	19 3 8	1991

1/ Figures from 1966 to 1972 supplied by USGS; 1972 to 1991 supplied by RCMD

2/ Total production - Wells, Total Diversions and Import

3/ Loss = Total production less total use

4/ Included in Ag

* - Revised from 1999 Report

** - Irrigation 1966 to 1976 by pumping from Vail Lake

TABLE B-7 SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATER PRODUCTION AND USE

U.S.M.C. - CAMP PENDLETON Quantities in Acre Feet

		PRODUCTION				Ū	SE					RECL	AINED TASTE	WATER
VAYER YEAR	16	CAMP SUPPLY	TOTAL			CULTURE 1/ OUT-SHORT	CAMP IR-SKRU	SUPPLY 2/ OUT-SHRW	TOTAL EXPORT	TOTAL* IR-SHRW		RECHARGED IN-SER 3/		TOTAL RECHARGED IN SURV
1966	1,101	4,692	5,793		129	672	2,064	2,628	3,299	2,494	1	919	974	1,893
1967	796	4,903	5,699	j :	10	486	2,157	2,746	3,231	2,468	İ	914	1,243	2,156
1968	986	5,046	6,032	ĺ 3	185	601	2,220	2,826	3,427	2,605	Ĺ	866	1,214	2,080
1969	940	4,959	5,899	j :	67	573	2,182	2,777	3,350	2,549	Ĺ	1,019	1,170	2,189
1970	1,106	5,633	6,739	1 4	31	675	2,479	3,154	3,829	2,910	Ĺ	1,032	1,113	2,145
1971	819	5,330	6,149	1 3	319	5 00	2,345	2,985	3,484	2,665	Ĺ	921	1,090	2,011
1972	817	5,323	6,140] 3	319	498	2,342	2,981	3,479	2,661	Ĺ	900	1,168	2,068
1973	1,003	5,121	6,124	3	391	612	2,253	2,868	3,480	2,644	ĺ	949	1,187	2,137
1974	909	5,202	6,111	1 3	355	554	2,289	2,913	3,468	2,643	Ĺ	915	1,140	2,055
1975	757	4,593	5,350	1 2	295	462	2,021	2,572	3,034	2,316	ĺ	989	1,530	2,519
1976	885	5,384	6,269	1 3	145	540	2,369	3,015	3,555	2,714	ĺ	949	1,497	2,447
1977	994	4,506	5,500	1 3	88	60 6	1,983	2,523	3,130	2,370	Ĺ	942	1,416	2,358
1978	176	5,177	5,353		69	107	2,278	2,899	3,006	2,347	Ĺ	1,164	1,283	2,446
1979	1,070	7,213	8,283	1 4	17	653	3,174	4,039	4,692	3,591	ĺ	1,065	1,427	2,493
1980	835	5,495	6,330] 3	26	5 0 9	2,418	3,077	3,587	2,743	ĺ	1,101	1,405	2,5 0 6
1981	1,464	5,240	6,704	9	71	893	2,306	2,934	3,827	2,877	Ĺ	1,119	1,249	2,368
1982	1,447	5,024	6,471	!	i6 4	883	2,211	2,813	3,696	2,775	ĺ	982	1,273	2,254
1983	942	4,215	5,157] 3	67	575	1,855	2,360	2,935	2,222	ĺ	1,252	1,242	2,494
1984	1,078	4,501	5,579	1 (20	658	1,980	2,521	3,178	2,401	ĺ	1,323	1,120	2,443
1985	1,069	4,764	5,833	(17	652	2, 0 96	2,668	3,320	2,513	ı	1,419	1,200	2,619
1986	953	4,807	5,760	1 3	172	581	2,115	2,692	3,273	2,487	ĺ	1,259	981	2,240
1987	1,098	4,838	5,936	1 4	28	670	2,129	2,709	3,379	2,557	ĺ	1,367	1,799	3,166
1988	1,223	5,944	7,168	1 4	77	746	2,616	3,329	4,075	3, 0 93		1,523	1,872	3,396
1989	856	5, 04 3	5,900	3	34	522	2,219	2,824	3,347	2,553		1,301	1,446	2,747
199 0	855	4,228	5,083	1 3	133	522	1,860	2,368	2,890	2,193		1,277	1,451	2,728
1991	554	3,159	3,713	2	16	338	1,389	1,770	2,108	1,605	ı	1,070	1,219	2,289

^{*} Assumes No Losses

- 1/ Agricultural water use is divided with 39% used inside the SMRW and 61% used outside
- 2/ Camp Supply water use is divided with 44% used inside the SMRW and 56% used outside
- 3/ Wastewater Recharged in SMR equals effluent from Plants 3, 8 and 13 (partial).
- 4/ Wastewater Import Recharged in SMRW equals effluent from Plant 1 plus the portion of the effluent from Plant 2 returned to the SMRW via Pond 2 plus the portion of the effluent from Plant 13 not included in 3/.
 No record available for effluent from Plant 2 returned to SMRW for 1966-1974 and after 1982.
 Calculation of import recharged in Santa Margarita River from Plant 2 is based on zero when no record is available.

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 1990-91

APPENDIX C SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

JULY 1992

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL I	ACRES IRRIGATED 90-91	IRRIGATED CROP 90-91			SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
AGUANGA GROUNDWATER ARBA	•••••••••••	•••••						
Clawson, Gary A.	43425 Sage Road	917 -050-00 9	309.74	Total				
	Aguanga, Ca. 92536	917 -050-00 7	82.19					
		581 -070-0 13	43.10	of				
		581-150- 0 13	120.56	ŀ				
		581-15 0-0 16	25.37	- 1				
		581 -0 70- 0 14	158.08	30. 00	Alfalfa	8S/1E-7N(1)	90.00	
						8S/1E-7R(2)		
						8S/1E-7Q(1)		
						8S/1E-7Q(2)		
Cottle, Thomas C.	42551 Hwy 79	583-040-028	25.52	66.00	Oats &			
	Aguanga, Ca. 92536	583 -04 0-029	19.89	(Total)	Pasture	8S/1E-19K 8S/1B-19G4	79.40	
		583-04 0-0 24	23.48					
		583 -04 0- 0 25	23.12					
		583 -040-0 26	23.16					
		583 -040-0 27	22.64			00/49 001		
						8S/1E-29L		88.00
Strange, Owen W.	m/t P.O. Box 1974	583- 040-0 22	97.78	35.00	Alf,Rye,Sudan		145.50	
and Elizabeth G.	Rancho Santa Pe, Ca.	583 -04 0- 0 21	13.45	64.00	Oats & Barley			
Trustees, Strange	92067	583-130- 00 1-3	80.00	2.00	Permanent pasture	e		
Living Trust of 4-15-88	43023 Hwy 79	583-12 0-00 1-2	120.00					
		583 - 060 -00 3-9	41.60					
						8S/1E-29L		162.00
Twin Creek Ranch, L.P.	c/o Lawrence Wrobleski	583-120-081	17.29	9.00				
(Information from	P. O. Box 407	583-12 0-0 83	68. 0 9	40.00	Oats	8S/1E-28N1	Total	67.76
1990 report)	Murietta, Ca. 92362					8S/1E-28N(2)	1	
• .	44201 Hwy 79 Aguanga					(Well & Diversio	a) j	
	44735 Rwy 79 Aguanga	583-120 -0 84	179.39	80.00	Range Grass/Oats	8S/1E-29H	i	
		583-15 0-00 1	80.00	6.00	-		of	
		583-140-014	48.03		Row Crops	8\$/1E-33F	1	
		583-1 40-0 15	40.00		-	8S/1E-33G1	ĺ	
		583-1 40- 016	40.00	20. 00	Row Crops	8S/1E-33B	554.40	
		583-1 40-0 18	10.09	0. 00				
		583-1 40-0 20	10.15	0.00				

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 98-91	IRRIGATED CROP 98-91	WELL/DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
AGUANGA GROUNDWATER AREA (Cont)							
Vrieling, Gerrit J. and Betty J.	■/t 15015 Cheshire La Mirada, Ca. 90638 45203 Hwy 371 Aguanga	583-2 40-0 22	10. 00	9. 00	Pistachios	8S/1E-23N	9.90	
Harris, Homer W. and Dolores G.	4444 Sage Road A guanga, Ca. 92536	581-160 -0 14	17.73	10.00	Citrus	8S/1E-18J(2) 8S/1E-18J(1)	30.00 Total	
		581-160 -0 15	7.42	10.00	Walnuts	• •		
		581-15 0-009	7.00	0.00		8S/1E-18H(1) 8S/1E-18H(2)		
		581-18 0-00 2	20.00	0.00				
		581-18 0-004	20.00	0.00				
		581-18 0-0 14	2 0.00	9.00				
Missionary Foundation, Inc.	m/t 5169 Harriett Cir Riverside, CA 92505	581-17 0-00 4 *	310.00	Total of		8S/1E-17B 8S/1E-17B	299.00	
	442 00 Sage Rd	581-180- 00 9 *	120.00	Ĩ		307 = 3.2		
	Aguanga, Ca. 92536	581-190-001 *	320.00	80. 00	Potatoes			
* Land leased to Agri-Empire, Inc.		581-120-006	200.00	5.00	Citrus, Grapes & Row Deciduous	8S/1E-8K2	40.50	
		581 -0 70- 00 5	640.00			8S/1E-9Q		146.00
TOTAL				506.00			1149.70	463.76

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE		IRRIGATED CROP 90-91	WELL/DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
FENECULA CREEK ABOVE AGUAN	GA GROUNDWATER AREA					,		
Agri-Empire, Inc.	m/t P. O. Box 490	113-090-01	377 .0 7	Total				
	San Jacinto, Ca. 92383	113 -090-0 3	21.46					
	·	113 -090-0 5	541.22	i				
		113-1 00-0 1	389.81	i		9S/2B-11B		203.0
			150.09			9S/2B-17		
		113-14 0-0 3	196.54			9S/2E-16N2		
				1		9S/2B-16H		
				i		9S/2E-16F1		
				i		9S/2E-16H1		
				i		9S/2E-16F2		
				i		9S/2E-16K-Kohle	ם המסתה" די	eservoir
		113-140-04	503.24	i		30; EU 100 00H1	or comion w	
			45.09	i				
			93.94					
		114 -0 20 -0 9	37.16		Potatoes		712.50	
		114-020-03	331.79			9S/2E-22	712.30	
		114-030-06	42.87)U/ L0"LL	50.50	
ergman, Arlie W.	37126 Hwy 79	113-140-01 *	358.62	Total of				
and Coral R.	Aguanga, Ca. 92536	113-140- 0 2 *	38.75	80.00	Potatoes		200. 0 0	
	•	11 4-0 20-12	108.78	0.00				
 Land leased to 		114-030-10	41.51	0.00				
Agri-Empire, Inc.		113-130-03	115.75					
		113-130-04	39.65					
ard, Alvis A	m/t 2 Rue Biarritz	112-030-58	69.83	20.00	Pasture	98/18-10(1)		
,	Newport Beach, Ca. 92660				Grain/Grass	* ' '	20.00	
	-	112 -0 30-22	24.77		Pasture	9S/1E-1K		
	Warner Springs, Ca. 92086				gated from Donald		K-1P(1)	
ard, Donald F.	38790 Highway 79	112-030-67	67.41	10.00	Oats/Sudan			
014, 002014 11	Aguanga, Ca. 92536	112-030-59	160.00		Oats	9S/1E-1P(1)	309.40	
	njumju, og. 1220	111 000 17	200100	0,00	V4.02	9S/1E-1P(2)	16.00	
						30, 10 11(0)	20100	
'empleton, Robert D.	35490 Highway 79	114-120-42	78.41	5.00	Alfalfa	9S/2B-35D1		
and Linda K.	Warner Springs, Ca. 92086					9S/2B-35D1		
	• • •	114-070- 0 7	76.42	13.00	Pasture	9S/2B-27R1		
			_			9S/2E-27R2		
						9S/2E-27J		
		114-080-14	42,51	29.00	Pasture		174.60	
		114-080-13	21.30		Alfalfa		Total	
TOTAL				607.00			1483.00	2 0 3. 00
VIAD				001.00			1402.44	20.00

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER		ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.			IRRIGATED CROP 98-91		PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. PT
WILSON CREEK ABOVE AGUA ANSA VALLEY	ANGA GROUNDWATER AREA							••••••
Agri-Empire, Inc.	P.O. Box 490 San Jacinto, Ca. 92383							
	Section 8	573 -0 90- 00 5	45.17	45.00	Oats	,	20.00	
		573-1 00-00 2					13.00	
	Section 10	575-050-044	14.36	0.00				
		575 -0 50-405						
		575 -0 60- 00 2				7S/3E-11N4		
						7S/3E-11P3		
	Section 13	575-1 00 -037	57.80	0.00				
	Section 14	575-11 0-0 21	143.75	110.00	Barley	7S/3E-14D1	55.00	
		575-11 0-0 27	54.45		_			
		575-31 0-00 2	39.09	0.00		7S/3E-14C2		
		575-310-011	80.00	0.00				
		575-31 0-0 12	80.00	0.00				
		575-31 0-0 13	17.46	0.00				
		575-310 -0 27	17.46	0.00				
	Section 15	575 -080-0 14	9.92	Total				
		575- 0 80- 0 15	4.35					
		575 -0 80-017	9.75	of				
		575 -0 80- 0 18	10.13					
		575- 0 8 0-0 19	31.29	37.00	Barley		18.50	
		575 -0 80 -0 21	20.00	T otal				
		575 -0 80-022	20.00					
		575 -080-0 24	2 0.00					
		575 -0 80- 0 27						
		575 -0 90 -0 10	38.80	170. 00	Oats		85.00	
	Section 17	573-1 80-0 11	39.74	30.00	Potatoes		75.00	

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

	DRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	ACREAGE	90 -91	CROP 90-91	LOCATION I	PRODUCTION AC. FT	AC. PT
TILSON CREEK ABOVE AGUANGA GRO								
ANZA VALLEY (Cont)								
gri-B u pire, Inc. (Cont)								
* Land leased from		573-2 00-00 4*	18.24	Total				
Linus W. & Helen K. Mil	ler	573-2 00-00 5*						
P. O. Box 602		573-2 00-00 6*	18.89	On a				
Anza, Ca. 92306		573-2 00-00 7*	18.88	Miller				
		573-2 00-00 8*		Lease				
		573-2 00-00 9*		Is				
		573-2 00-0 10*	18.68	125. 00	Potatoes		313. 00	
	Section 20	576- 0 60- 00 9						
		576 -0 60- 0 31		o£				
		576-060-0 33		160.00	Potatoes		400.00	
		576 <i>-</i> 060- 0 37		l				
		576 -0 7 0-00 3						
		576 -0 70 -00 5	116.57	65. 00	0ats		33. 00	
	Section 21	576 -080-00 3	133.72	133.72	Grain		66.86	
* Land leased from		576-11 0-00 1*	160.00	40.00			100.00	
Louise Phebe Hamilton T				80.00	0ats		40. 00	
P. O. Box 102, Anza, Ca	. 92306							
		576-110- 00 2		Total of				
		576-11 0-00 4		78.00	-		39. 00	
			19.29			7\$/3B-21R3		
		576-110- 00 7) î				
		576-110- 00 8			4.1.		48.54	
		576-110 -00 9	18.41	35. 00	Vats		17.50	
	Section 22	575-120 -0 12	88. 0 3	Total				
		575-13 0-00 3	19.55	οÊ				
		575-13 0-00 6	40.89	70.00	0ats		35. 00	
		575-130 -00 8	18.56	Total				
		575-130- 0 09	20.06	į				
		575-13 0-0 10	20.07	1				
		575-13 0-0 11	19.19	of				
		575-130- 0 12	18.18	ļ				
		575-13 0-0 13	19.02	!				
		575-130-014	19.00	- 1	B-4-4		565 66	
		575-130 -0 15	17.56	80.00	Potatoes		200.00	
	Section 23	575-1 40-0 19	105.04	82.00	Potatoes		205.00	

Page 5 - Well number in parentheses designated by Watermaster

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT ONNER		ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.			CROP		PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
WILSON CREEK ABOVE AGUANGA (AMZA VALLBY (Cont) Agri Empire, Inc. (Cont)	GROUNDWATER AREA							
* Land leased from Pau 11-900 Ramona Rd, Ba and Patricia Liera 183 R. Sbarif Ave, S		576-120 -00 2*	640.00	120. 90	Oats		60.00	
Greenwald, Alviu G.	Creenwald Raim & Wendler	573-180-001	156 39	156 39	Pasture/Potatoes	75/3E-17E	492.60	
siccuratu, siviu e.	6300 Wilshire Blvd 1200 Los Angeles, Ca. 90048		70.00		Pasture	7S/3E-20N		
Cahuilla Indian Reservation	Section 26	576-130 -00 2*	640.00		Oats Potatoes	7S/3E-27D1	80. 00 1 45.0 0	
 Land leased to Agri-Empire, Inc. 	Section 27	576-13 0-00 1*	640.00					
				Domestic We	ells	7S/2E-14H1	Total	
				Reported by	7	7S/2B-14H2	- 1	
					Indian Affairs	7S/2B-23G1	į	
						7S/2E-23H1	ĺ	
						7S/2B-23K1	ĺ	
						78/28-2301		
						7S/2B-25F1		
						7S/2E-26B2		
						7S/2E-28Q1	ļ	
						7S/2E-34E1	ĺ	
						7S/2B-36A1	of	
						7S/2B-36J1	!	
						7S/3E-26A1	-	
						7S/3E-29Q1 7S/3E-30P1	ļ	
						75/3E-30F1 75/3E-31L2	ł	
						7S/3E-31E1		
						7S/3E-34E1	ì	
						8S/2E-4P1	1	
						8S/3E-2A1	i	
						8S/3E-2D1	ĺ	
						8S/3E-2E1	İ	
						8\$/3E-2K1	ĺ	
						8S/3E-6B1		
						8S/3E-6J1	8.00	
SUBTOTAL ANIA VALLEY				1,939.10			2,627.46	0,0

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	Address	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	acres Irrigated 9 0 -91	IRRIGATED CROP 90-91	WELL/DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. PT
WILSON CREEK ABOVE AGUANGA LEWIS VALLEY	GROUNDWATER AREA							
Green Shell Company	39850 Sage Road Hemet, Ca. 92343	571 -080-0 12	80.00	50.00 01	live Trees	75/1E-2 0 Q	55. 00	
SUBTOTAL LEWIS VALLEY				50 . 00		•	55.00	9.00
TOTAL WILSON CREEK ABOVE A	GUANGA GROUNDWATER AREA			1,980.10			2,682.46	9.00

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 90-91	IRRIGATED CROP 90-91	VELL/DIVERSION LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUNDWA	TER ARBA	•						
Poyorena, Thomas J.	m/t 22145 Grand Ave Wildomar, Ca. 92395 21853 Palomar St.	369-51 0-0 22	18.79	14.99	Pasture	6S/4W-35P	53.20	
Murrieta Stud	m/t P. O. Box 1187 Arcadia, Ca. 91 00 6							
	42670 Juniper	90 6-2 40-00 6	38.18	32. 00	Pasture	7S/3W-20E(1)	124. 00	
	42680 Kalmia	90 6-250 -0 13	53.83	50. 00	Pasture	7S/3W-20E(2)	189.00	
	42660 Ivy Murrieta, Ca. 92362	909-1 40-0 01	20. 00	18. 00	Pasture	7S/3W-2 0 L	69. 00	
Mitchell Stock Farm, Inc.	m/t 42125 Elm St Murrieta, Ca. 92362 25849 Washington Ave Murrieta, Ca. 92362	98 9-1 00-80 7	40.00	11.50	Bermuda Grass	7S/3W-28R	43.70	
Delaney, Jane M.	m/t 41820 Hawthorne Hurrieta, Ca. 92362	909-090-034 909-090-033	12.36 12.32		Pasture	7S/3W-28D	91.20	
	42551 Guava St Murrieta, Ca. 92362							
International Immunology	m/t 25549 Adams Ave	9 09-060-0 20						
	Murrieta, Ca. 92362	90 9-17 0-0 10 9 0 9-17 0-0 11	9.55 27.77		Pasture		38.00	
Temecula Ranchos	m/t 2100 Tulare St #405				Citrus	8S/2W-14P1	258.00	
c/o Milo D. Rowell	Fresno, CA 93271 45055 Rio Linda Rd Temecula, Ca. 92390	926-430 -00 6	48.92	41.20	Citrus	8S/2W-14F	195.00	
Anza Grove	c/o McMillan Farm Mgt.	942-180 -00 2	48 .28		Citrus			
	29379 Rancho Cal. Rd #201	942-2 40-00 3 942-240 -00 4	40.83 40.83		Grapes/Citrus Citrus			
	Temecula, Ca. 9239 0	942-2 40-00 5	39.31		Citrus	7S/2W-2681	173.00	
Sear Valley	c/o McMillan Farm Mgt.	904-050-080	17.51	0.00				
Vineyard Co., Ltd.	29379 Rancho Cal. Rd	984-838-8 21	90 .12		Wine Grapes	7S/3W-180	252. 0 0	
AJID	₹201	904-030-020	2.38	0.00				
Manley Sear Valley	Temecula, Ca. 9239 0	984-868-88 9	129.46	0.00				
Partners		904-060-00 8	48.00		Wine Grapes			
		904-8 60 -0 10	153.47	0.00				

Page 8 - Well number in parentheses designated by Watermaster

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 90-91	IRRIGATED CROP 90-91	LOCATION	PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
MURRIETA-TEMECULA GROUND	WAYER AREA (Cont)							
Wevada Beverage Co.	m/t P. O. Box 93538 Las Vegas, Revada 89193 41621 Magnolia Avenue Murrieta, Ca. 92362	9 0 6- 0 20-041 906- 0 20-042				7S/3W-7R 7S/3W-18B	61. 00 99.00	
Boots, Clydene		909- 0 90-019 909-100-017	16.66	14. 90	Pasture	78/3W-21P	53.20	
Rancho California Association No. 2	3146 Quiet Hills Bscondido, Ca. 92025 42835 Ivy St., Murrieta	906-2 40-00 7 904 -0 40-071-5			Pasture	7S/3W-19R	212.00	
Carson, David M. and Carol J.	25471 Hayes Ave Murrieta, Ca. 92362	909-260-036 909-260-042	8.87 4.31	7. 00 3.50	Pasture Pasture	78/3 ¥- 29G	26.60 13.30	
Pechanga Indian Reservat.	ion			Domestic W Reported by Bureau of		8S/2W-26K1 8S/2W-26R1 8S/2W-26R1 8S/2W-29Q1 8S/2W-29B1 8S/2W-29F1 8S/2W-29G1 8S/2W-29J1 8S/2W-34E1 8S/2W-34F1 8S/2W-34F2 8S/2W-34F3 8S/2W-34F3 8S/2W-34F1 8S/2W-34F3 8S/2W-34F3	Total	
TOTAL MURRISTA-TEMECULA (GROUNDWATER AREA			987.66			2008.80	9.0

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 90-91	IRRIGATED CROP 90 -91	WELL/DIVERSIO LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. PT
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER BELOW	GORGE	*						
DE LUZ CREEK								
Ezor, Albert E. and Sylvia L.	m/t 31421 Cavendish Dr. Los Angeles, Ca. 90064	101-271-17	47.79		Avocados Kiwi	8 S/4W- 29D	45.00	
Moosley, Donna J.	Rt 6, Box 49-B Fallbrook, Ca. 92028 40710 DeLuz Rd, Fallbrook	101-271-13	42.28	8.90	Pasture	8S/4W-29E(1) 8S/4W-29E(2)	30.40	
Durling, Robert G. and Eleanor J.	40401 DeLuz Rd Fallbrook, Ca. 92028	101-271 -0 8	25.60	9.75	Citrus	85/4W-29H 85/4W-29H(1) 85/4W-29H(2)	32.00 Total	
Durling, Don & Margaret	41500 DeLuz Road Fallbrook, Ca. 92028	101-210-28-00 101-180-05-00 101-210-41	40.09 11.44 15.16	35. 00	Citrus and Avocados	85/4¥-2 0 G	0.00	
		101-210-41	64.64			00/48-200	0.00	
		101-210-39	116.07			8S/4W-20M(1)	70.00	
						8S/4W-20H(2)	120,00	
		101-180-01	32.30					
Matthews, Richard R.	m/t Stephen Lopardo, Esq.	101-220-12	31,63					
and Baum, Mary J.	205 W. Alvarado St. Fallbrook, Ca. 92028 DeLuz Road	101-210-53	50.44	12.99	Avocados and Citrus	85/4W-20A(1) 85/4W-20H(1) 85/4W-20H(2) 85/4W-20A(2) 85/4W-20H(3) 85/4W-20A	Well Production	18.0
Durling Mursery, Inc.	40401 DeLuz Rd Fallbrook, Ca. 92028	101-210-42	53,14	53. 00	Avocados and Citrus		208.00	
Raley, Harold R	41321 DeLoz Creek Rd	101-210-11	15.23	8.50	Avocados	8S/4W-20Q(1)	21.35	
and Mary E.	Fallbrook, Ca. 92028			0.5 0	Citrus	8S/4W-20Q(2)	Total	
Herbel, John & Jeraldine	41257 DeLoz Rd Fallbrook, Ca. 92028	101-210-12	30.28		Avocados Citrus	8S/4W-20Q(1) 8S/4W-20Q(2)	66.20 Total	
	14111VA, 441 76060				Row crops	85/4W-20Q(2)	10041	

APPENDIX C

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED

SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER		ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 90-91	IRRIGATED CROP 98-91	WELL/DIVERSIO LOCATION TWP/RNG/SEC	PRODUCTION	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
SARTA MARGARITA RIVER BELOW DE LUZ CREEK (Cont)	GORGE							
Wagner, Wilbur A. and Shirley A.	-	1 0 1-210-23 1 0 1-210-22	17.19 4.55	11. 00 6.5 0	Avocados Citrus/Persimmon	85/4W-20P(1) 85/4W-20P(2) 85/4W-20P(3)	0.00 0.00 35.00	
Welburn, Douglas J. and Sue	Rt. 6, Box 77 Fallbrook, Ca. 92028 40751 DeLuz Murrieta Rd	1 0 1-571- 0 8	26.98	12.50	Row Crops	8S/4W-28G1	50.00	
Mezami, Mohammed Bluehird Ranch	Fallbrook, Ca. 92028	101-312 -0 1	82.29		Flowers Avocados	8S/4W-31L		14.70
		101-312 -0 2	58.17			85/4W-31K	75.80	
TOTAL DELUZ CREEK				251.75			771.75	32.7 0
SANDIA CREEK								
Cal June, Inc.	P. O. Box 9551 No. Hollywood, CA 91609 40376 Sandia Creek Fallbrook, Ca. 92028	1 0 1-360-40	126.32	75.00	Avocados Fruit Citrus	8S/4W-25P(1) 8S/4W-25P(2) 8S/4W-25P(3) 8S/4W-25P(4) 8S/4W-25P(5) 8S/4W-25P - D:	of 1 0 0.00	1 9 0.00
TOTAL SANDIA CREEK				126.00			199.99	100.00
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER								
Henderson, Leland	m/t Margarita Land & Development PO Box 584 Fallbrook, Ca. 92088 47981 & 47991 Willow Glen Temecula, Ca. 92390	918 -040-10 918 -060- 17 Rd	299.90 40.00	29. 90 9. 90	Citrus, Avocados	8\$/3W-33Q1 8\$/3W-33Q(2) 8\$/3W-33Q	45.62	62.03
TOTAL SANTA MARGARITA RIVER				29.00			45.62	62. 0 3
Page 11 - Well number in pa	posthogog dagignated by Kat	A						

APPENDIX C SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED SUBSTANTIAL USERS OUTSIDE ORGANIZED WATER SERVICE AREAS

CURRENT OWNER	ADDRESS	ASSESSOR PARCEL NO.	PARCEL ACREAGE	ACRES IRRIGATED 90-91	IRRIGATED CROP 90-91	WELL/DIVERSION Location TWP/RNG/SEC	WELL PRODUCTION AC. PT	SURFACE DIVERSION AC. FT
LOWER MURRIETA				••••••				
Duncan, Frank	m/t 1850 M. Whitley #1219	571 -0 2 0-046	122.59					
	Hollywood, Ca. 90028	571 -0 2 0-0 47	40.80					
(Sage Ranch Mursery)	42525 E. Benton Rd.	571 -0 20- 0 48	36.75					
		571-020-049	148.86					
		571-520- 00 5	34.31			•		
		571-52 0-00 7	109.50					
		571-52 0-00 8	99.43					
		571-520 -00 9	80.23					
		470-210- 00 7	53.62					
		470-220 -00 4	121.00	845. 00	Olive trees	7S/3E-7E		30. 00
Zamora, John and Linda	398 00 B. Benton Rd. Temecula, Ca. 92390	915-120-18	37.74	20.00	Pasture		76.00	
TOTAL LOWER MURRIETA				865.00			76. 00	30.00
GRAND TOTAL				5,343.51			8,317.33	891.49

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED ANNUAL WATERMASTER REPORT WATER YEAR 1990-91

APPENDIX D WATER QUALITY DATA

JULY 1992

TABLE D-1 SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical Com	stituents	- mg/]	l	
DISC BYOUTUE	Tested	unhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Mg	l a	I	Cl	804	HCQ3	I I03
Maval Weapons	05/89	1601	1112	111	73.3	128		203	317	229	13.6
Station at	06/89	2 500	1120	114	72.6	145		196	301	235	10.7
Fallbrook Creek	07/89	1629	1160	127	71.7	128	•••	197	324	241	6.2
	01/90	1630	1140	121	74.5	137	3.0	212	384	2 69	1.4
	04/90	1110	812	83.1	45.5	94.7	4.9	125	255	152	4.2
	05/9 0	168 9	11 60	110	71.9	138	2.3	210	358	262	1.0
	11/90	1750	1160	116	0.19	152		213	314	248	3
	06/91	1760	1180	110	78.4	146		193	345	235	2.1
Fallbrook PUD	0 5/89	1259	838	98.0	41.6	106		141	198	197	29.3
Sump at Santa	0 6/89	1298	810	92.5	40.7	119		150	189	189	23.8
Margarita River	07/89	1252	790	98.1	40.1	100		143	191	202	11.5
	01/90	1440	940	114	55.5	105	11.8	191	301	186	12.1
	04/90	1460	946	122	\$7.7	112	11.8	180	301	193	10.7
	05/90	13 40	906	106	45.3	107	9.1	165	254	202	6.6
	11/9 0	1390	834	97	4 6.8	111		213	314	248	3
	06/91	1530	984	104	55	113		193	345	235	2.1

TABLE D-1 (cont'd)

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical Con	stituents	- a g/j	ļ	
	Tested	unhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Ħg	Ila	I .	Cl	S04	EC03	110 3
Sandia Creek	0 5/89	1260	800	107	53.1	80		174	168	176	17
Mear Santa	0 6/89	1678	798	106	52. 6	84.7		195	167	183	7.86
Margarita	0 7/89	1241	816	125	54.4	75.8		196	170	173	4.4
	0 1/9 0	1220	76 0	194	52.6	77.3	2.6	183	186	174	3.0
	04/90	1240	83 0	194	54. 0	83.2	2.6	195	183	181	2.8
	0 5/90	1260	83 0	101	50.7	79.5	2.2	2 0 5	203	183	1.2
	11/90	136 0	860	105	54.8	90		222	162	167	5
	06/91	1510	1030	116	62.3	92		245	195	177	5
DeLuz Creek	0 5/89	718	408	24.8	6.94	111		81.3	72	140	7.3
At McDowell	0 6/89	1260	720	96.4	42.6	92.8		188	117	202	<0.4
	0 7/89	1 0 97	675	93.5	37. 0	78.6		17 0	1 0 2	201	<0.4
	01/90	1250	776	108	52.4	84	1.7	200	185	214	0.45
	04/9 0	1190	802	103	49.1	89.4	2.0	180	158	196	1.1
	05/9 0	1240	82 0	101	48.3	83.7	1.5	195	170	204	8.8
	11/ 90	1450	876	92.8	55.8	108		254	162	174	1
	0 6/91	1380	866	1 0 7	57.7	93		214	170	200	1.7
Morrieta Creek	0 5/89	1130	7 0 8	94.7	40.30	80.7		166	125	197	<0.40
At Temecula	0 6/89	650	354	14.3	4.40	108		69.8	61.4	117	2.97
	07/89	654	375	19.2	4.87	105		69.2	66	139	1.30
	01/90	810	444	53.7	16.7	97.3	2.7	84.3	93.6	200	<0.05
	04/90	850	530	59.3	17.2	97.6	2.8	90	34.3	226	<0.05
	8 5/9 8	8 50	544	46.3	13.8	110	2.8	95	117	169	0 .38
	11/ 90	722	404	43.3	14.2	86.1		78	53.5	174	1.2
	0 6/91	984	514	60.7	17.1	94.7		94.8	88.7	188	1

TABLE D-1 (cont'd)

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	ssolved Chemical Constituents - mg/l olids									
	Tested	unhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Ħg	īa	I	Cl	804	ECO3	1 03		
Temecula Creek	05/89	1540	1052	117	49.4	103		168	278	116	1.23		
At Interstate 15	06/89	1148	674	110	24.9	92.4		106	110	281	2.79		
	07/89	1086	689	131	27.4	84.1		1 0 5	108	281	0.04		
	01/90	1090	670	116	25.4	89.1	2.2	118	150	297	0.59		
	04/90	1150	784	123	26.2	98.3	3.0	1 0 5	127	308	0 .81		
	05/90	1150	772	121	26.1	94.0	2.2	110	164	310	0.33		
	11/90	1160	796	111	26.1	94		109	145	280	0.93		
	06/91	1190	732	116	25	95.7		98.9	116	272	2.1		
Santa Margarita	05/89	1035	680	101	22.3	77.9		105.0	128	278	8.5		
River at	96/89	749	426	34.9	9.56	102.0		78.9	73.6	145	2.53		
Temecula Gorge	07/89	798	456	50.6	11.4	95.7		79.8	76.4	181	0.4		
	01/90	1080	664	113	25.2	90.5	2.4	114	150	295	0.55		
	04/90	1130	748	119	25.8	98.5	2.9	1115	113	296	0.78		
	05/90	1050	682	83.4	20.9	110	3.0	199	208	219	0.47		
	11/90	1090	682	94.6	23	89.5		105	107	258	0.85		
	06/91	1939	55 0	66	16.2	99.6		97.9	73.3	203	<1		

^{*} Lab reported 123

TABLE D-1 (cont'd)

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	mical Con	ustituents ————	- ng /]	l	
	Tested	unhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Ħg	Ja	K	£1	S04	ECO3	103
Rainbow Creek at	0 5/89	773	444	40.2	11.4	89.1		82.5	76.9	163	8.9
Willow Gleu Road	06/89	161 0	196 9	177	52.6	132		162	323	100	96.6
	0 7/89	15 0 8	1141	135	53.4	111		155	309	100	105
	0 1/ 90	152 0	976	117	54.8	109	28.6	116	670	106	40
	04/90	153 0	1040	111	51.11	118	42.4	16 0	376	80	36.3
	0 5/9 0	145 0	1 0 3 0	106	47.2	116	24.5	155	333	124	21.4
	11/90	163 0	854	111	53.9	119		<0.02	337	151	25.7
	0 6/91	1440	125 0	131	67.3	135		<0.02	491	168	9.7
Santa Margarita	06/91	1229	766	77.4	35.1	106		180	189	165	0.07
River Upstream of Rainbow Creek	0 9/91	926	552	54.5	19.6	117	•••	121	90	42.5	9.98
DeLuz Road at Santa Margarita River	9 6/91	151 0	992	114	63.6	116		202	223	188	2.8
Rancho California	0 6/91	6 18	378	15.7	4.7	194	***	79.6	45.3		9.68
3cfs Keter	0 8/91	742	434	33.6	7.96	194		81.8	76.1	148	8.86

TABLE D-2
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
WATER QUALITY DATA

SURFACE STREAMS SAMPLED BY RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Ches	ical Con	stituents	- n g/l			
	Tested	anhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Elg	la .	<u>K</u>	Cl	804	HCO3	M 03	
Temecula Creek	03/13/87	890	575			76	-4-	68			4. 1	en
At Hwy 79	95/98/87	1180	750			115		78			<,1	en
	09/04/87	1350	895			134		110				ex.
	01/20/88	660	370			55	•	43			.2	en
DeLuz Creek	08/21/86	1220	760	*94	44	92	2	193	165	204		17
At Dios Rio Road	11/25/86	1200	740	92	42	92	4	175	195	146		39
	03/13/87	1090	670			85		165			4	(E
	95/98/87	1130	700			94		200			9	()
	09/04/87	1110	755			92		95			3.4	er.
	01/20/88	1250	775			100		142			11.7	(K
Sandia Creek at	08/21/86	1070	68 0	88	42	78	2	174	149	198		15
Buenos Campos Road	11/25/86	1130	685	92	44	73	2	165	150	207		16
•	03/13/87	1130	660			73		160			2.7	e R
	05/08/87	113 0	725			80		182			14	er.
	09/04/87	1110	690			75		90			3.4	en
	01/20/88	1160	720			99		132			5.6	e R
Murrieta Creek	08/21/86	850	510	66	15	96	4	96	135	372		10
At Gaging Station	11/25/86	890	520	62	18	103	3	109	81	259		3
	04/02/87	87 0	515			99	••-	194			.2	er.
	95/98/87	850	790			102		9				en
	09/04/87	73 0	445			84		45				en
	01/20/88	83 0	525			85	•	109		=4-	.7	(E
Santa Margarita	08/21/86	88 0	5 40	70	15	96	2	110	115	198		5
River at	11/25/86	105 0	600	110	24	85	3	103	1 0 5	311		4
Gaging Station	94/92/87		660			87		107				6H
	6 5/ 9 8/87		630			93		98				en.
	09/04/87	1000	640			88		100				en.
	01/20/88	790	400			84		89			.7	

^{* -} Laboratory reported as 940

TABLE D-3
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS IN MURRIETA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	nical Con	stituents	- e g/]	l	
	Tested	unhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Hg	Na	K	Cl	S04	HC03	II 03
Holiday Well 7S/3W-20C09	0 6/16/89	13 00	775	122	39	100	2	178	66	372	40
House Well	06/16/89	660	345	34	3	95	2	87	60	153	41
7S/3W-20G06	02/27/91	77 0						110	65	168	<1
	03/01/91 03/08/91	730 68 0	420	42	5		2	110 11 0	68	122	<1
	0 5/10/91	750		42						122	ব ব
Lynch Well 7S/3W-17R02	0 6/16/89	760	410	70	17	55	1	86	30	262	8
North Well 75/3W-18J02	06/16/89	730	390	40	7	98	2	98	45	201	<1
South Well 78/3W-17R	09/07/90	69 0	405	62	17	68	2	83	56	229	4
Alson Well 7S/3W-7M	06/ 0 6/90	152 0	915	138	46	110	1	25 0	81	433	31
Morris Well 7S/3W-19R	09 / 0 7/9 0	530	280	38	7	68	3	5 0	49	168	3

TABLE D-4
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS IN RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Con	stituents	- ng /3	l	
Site pocurion	Tested	unhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Ħg	Ila	ľ	Cl	804	ECO3	E 03
No. 101 78/3W-34G1	95/23/99	630	365	30	6	91	2	191	35	107	3
No. 102 BS/3W-2Q1	0 1/ 0 4/89	695	37 0	9	2	134	1	1 0 1	25	195	<1
No. 105 78/3N-25H1	0 7/ 0 6/89	500	280	30	6	66	2	71	22	134	14
Ro. 106 7S/3W-26R1	0 6/29/88	920	485	38	5	143	3	182	66	70	16
No. 107 75/3W-26J1	04 /11/88 0 5/29/91		365 535	19 63	4 15	73 1 04	2 3	69 13 0	22 12 0	116 171	15 11
No. 108 78/3N-25E1	0 5/25/88 0 5/29/91		455 5 00	51 59	11 14	96 1 0 4	2 3	12 0 13 0	68 11 0	153 153	14 1 0
No. 109 85/2W-17J1	96/91/88 98/95/88 96/12/91		92 0 8 0 0	136 11 0	35 26	12 0 12 0	4 5	1 00 12 0	3 00 27 0	296 275	10 9
No. 11 0 8 5/11-0 6K1	0 3/31/88	11 00	630	70	23	132	6	115	163	268	3
No. 113 78/2N-25H01	0 3/28/88 0 3/21/91		400 2 90	41 21	12 5	87 79	2	11 88	2 0 17	192 119	18 11
No. 118 8S/3W-11B	0 8/ 0 8/ 90 09/26/90		48 0 	14	1	162	1	12 0 	79 	1 0 1	1 1
No. 120 85/2W-17G	8 6/2 8 /9 8	570	330	6	1	116	1	82	31	113	11
No. 121 7S/3W-34J	1 0 /27/89	900	475	63	14	99	2	109	28	298	<1

TABLE D-4 (cont'd)

WELLS IN RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance				Chei	ical Con	stituents	- n g/]	l	
SICE BACTETAN	Tested	unhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Ħg	Ia	<u> </u>	Cl	S04	HCO3	B 03
No. 123 85/1W-7B	96/96/99	11 00	690	69	27	132	6	130	170	281	4
No. 124 85/2W-11R1	86/28/98	660	380	38	4	92	3	97	48	153	13
No. 125 85/2V-12H	96/29/99	749	425	17	5	132	3	99	54	186	4
No. 126	05/04/88	480	290	4	<1	106	<1	53	14	64	<1
8S/2V-15H	0 7/ 0 6/89	500	27 0	2	1	198	<1	55	11	98	<1
No. 128 7/3V-36M	6 7/ 0 6/89	400	230	27	3	54	2	59	7	101	25
No. 129	11/29/89	430	260	16	3	66	2	71	16	92	9
7S/2W-20L	08/08/90	449	280	20	5	64	2	72	14	119	10
No. 130	02/17/88	6 50	365	16	1	132	1	69	64	0	4
8S/2W-11R	02/14/91	640	365	4	<1	132	1	68	56	122	
	04/24/91		•••								3
No. 131	0 3/1 0 /88	530	270	4	<1	198	1	57	52	31	1
8S/1W-12J	03/21/91	630	335	7	<1	12 0	1	74	65	98	3
No. 132	04/18/88	1999	620	94	13	103	6	109	153	235	2
8S/1 F-0 7D	05/08/91	920	5 90	64	19	110	5	100	160	201	<1
No. 133 85/1W-7C	03/28/90	970	605	50	20	112	5	120	131	235	3
No. 135	05/24/89	2 450	1390	122	65	300	2	410	225	464	33
75/3W-27M	06/06/90	1540	945	73	36	215	1	2 50	150	323	13
	12/11/90	4400	2670	270	109	480	4	1030	380	314	<1

TABLE D-4 (cont'd)

WELLS IN RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Total

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Dissolved Solids	_							
910t 100f010H	Tested	unhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Eg	Ia	K	Cl	S04	EC03	m 03
Wo. 138 8S/2W-6P	10/30/90	460	240	19	2	74	2	71	13	113	18
Wo. 139 7S/2W-32G	12/29/87	460	295	24	7	65	1	60	11	104	7
No. 140 75/2W-33F	0 2/18/88	560	325	33	10	65	2	77	14	153	13
Wo. 141 8S/2W-11P	01/06/88	78 0	440	64	11	82	3	65	91	217	13
No. 143 85/2W-17J	0 1/15/88 1 0 /17/90	670 660	345 345	8 25	2	134 112	1 2	91 89	57 62	95 140	11 12
No. 144 78/3W-27D3	0 9/14/88	610	335	8	<1	114	1	95	33	92	<1
No. 145 78/3W-28C	10/04/90	8 90	498	43	8	119	2	110	78	171	<1
No. 149A 7s/3W-28A	0 8/26/88	950	5 40	71	211	96	1	115	47	302	18
No. 15 0 78/3W-27P	9 9/29/88	19 50	1235	134	29	225	2	29 0	22 0	390	15
No. 151 7S/3W-34B	0 9/2 0 /88 0 7/25/91 0 7/28/91	578 0 86 0 73 0	341 0 485 400	28 0 53 39	114 16 12	84 0 1 03 1 08	5 4 3	1660 90 91	670 130 58	369 183 177	<1
	07/29/91	600	340	9	2	122	5	63	34	204	

TABLE D-4 (cont'd)

WELLS IN RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Total

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Dissolved Solids								
	Tested	anhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Mg	Ila	ĸ	Cl	804	BCO3	II 03
Ro. 201 7S/2W-27J	03/28/91	530	315	19	6	83	2	83	16	110	2
No. 2 9 2 75/2W-36J1	12/11/88	740	440	47	18	84	3	97	48	223	17
Ro. 2 0 3	05/18/88	960	580	50	39	110	4	96	115	275	
8S/1W-6P1	06/29/88	97 0	530	44	36	112	4	120	123	25 0	5
	06/12/91	8 00	415	21	17	1 9 8	3	91	90	174	2
No. 204	05/22/91	740	425	50	12	85	3	120	18	198	19
7S/2W-26G											
Ro. 295	93/28/88	500	290	23	3	81	2	83	27	197	21
7S/3¥-35A	03/13/91	4 90	275	22	3	75	2	62	23	113	21
No. 2 0 7	09/01/88	510	245	1	<1	108	4	54	26	82	<1
85/2W-14B	09/14/88	480	305	3	<1	106	<1	58	23	24	1
	08/14/91	480	245	1	<1	100	4	52	28	55	<1
Ro. 298	0 9/01/88	68 0	415	44	15	77	3	119	14	186	18
78/2W-35M	09/14/88	690	440	44	14	77	3	129	14	183	16
	08/14/91	600	340	23	7	89	2	85	18	162	4
No. 209	05/22/91	790	435	40	14	105	2	1 50	35	162	8
7S/2W-28J											
No. 210	93/28/88	1030	575	76	22	93	5	99	143	247	4
8S/2W-12K	09/25/91	1 040	600	74	20	120	5	120	160	238	5
No. 212	93/28/88	640	33 0	42	2	74	3	81	33	146	14
8S/2W-11M	09/25/91	600	32 0	41	2	82	4	86	35	146	14

TABLE D-4 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS IN RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Chei	nical Con	stituents	- mg/]	Ĺ	
SICE POCEUTOR	Tested	unhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Eg	la	Ţ	Cl	\$04	BC03	#0 3
No. 215	0 8/15/ 90	650	380	40	13	71	3	199	14	162	11
78/2 V-34K	0 9/26/ 90										13
No. 216	96/91/88	480	280	25	4	65	2	71	11	134	
8S/2W-7W	06/29/88	489	275	29	5	59	3	81	7	110	26
	06/12/91	500	285	30	5	59	2	76	9	113	23
No. 217	03/28/88	580	285	8	1	108	1	81	20	113	15
8S/2W-17H12	08/10/88	570	280	8	1	105	1	82	20	55	13
	08/14/91	57 0	305	17	2	99	2	74	28	134	16
No. 231	08/15/9 0	1280	805	126	18	120	5	100	310	244	9
8S/2W-2 0 B6	0 9/26/ 90										6
No. 232	08/15/90	960	590	71	19	110	5	98	130	235	30
8S/2W-11J	09/26/90		••-								35
	0 9/25/91	980	565	74	19	106	5	98	120	244	37
T o. 233	06/15/88	900	535	71	21	100	5	96	136	247	4
8S/2W-12K	03/27/91	1020	580	66	19	114	5	95	140	247	12
No. 234 (Old 114)	03/31/88	840	480	54	15	100	4	61	109	241	18
8S/2W-11P	03/27/91		605	69	19	114	5	77	138	256	37
No. 235 (Old 137)	06/24/88	460	310	40	10	41	2	58	10	140	15
85/3W-10	06/20/90	420	230	22	4	56	2	50	6	128	18
No. 302	64 /11/88	690	360	36	6	100	1	77	65	192	<1
7S/3W-18H	05/15/91		425	58	9	87	2	83	72	220	<1
I o. 309	08/15/ 90	690	370	19	3	119	2	148	25	73	5
7S/3¥-27B	04/11/91				•••						<.001
	09/25/91		365	19	2	122	2	150	27	82	5

TABLE D-5
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Cher	nical Con	stituents	- ng /l	l	
Stre Pocarton	Tested	URLOS	(mg/l)	Ca	Eg	Ja	ľ	Cl	804	BCO3*	103
Pechanga Indian 1	Reservation										
8S/2¥-28R 0 1	08/03/89	495	286	41	4.0	60	0.9	37	13	177 •	* 1.1 (N
	97/26/9 8	525	296	48	4.8	54	1.0	45	14	191	1.5 (R
	0 7/17/91	462	261	31	3.2	66	0. 8	44	12	155	0.8 (N
8S/2W-35D01	0 8/ 0 3/89	660	347	43	5.5	87	1.2	78	35	169	.35 (1
8S/2W-29A01	9 8/ 9 2/89	346 **	207	31	11	24	9.4	18	7.0	131 *	* 2.0 (H
	97/24/99	354	193	32	11	25	0.4	24	6.7	133	2.0 ER
	9 7/18/91	361	194	32	10	26	0.4	25	6.0	134	1.8
85/2¥-34804	10/05/89	600								198	.47 (I
85/2¥-28Q02	10/05/89	629 **	378	48	19	49	0.6	76	14	169 •	• 4.2 (1
-	9 7/26/9 8	613	383	48	18	47	0.7	75	12	171	3.9 ER
	9 7/18/91	618	379	49	18	49	9.6	83	14	172	3.0 e n
8S/2¥-2 9 J91	9 8/15/9 0	1130	596	199	22	110	2.3	110	200	236	1.3 (I
8S/2¥-29J92	0 8/15/9 0	494	216	42	6.3	38	0.8	27	12	159	1.2 (1
8S/2W-29B02	9 3/ 9 1/ 90	456	257	5.5	0.14	89	0 .8	66	22	100	
	0 3/ 0 6/9 0	456	256	5.9	0.13	90	0.7	66	20	99	4.1 (1
85/2W-29803	03/06/90	478	275	14	1.9	84	0.8	65	16	123	€.1 (1
8S/2W-29B05	0 3/ 0 2/9 0	397	229	29	9.5	43	1.2	35	4.9	141	1.8 🗱
85/2V-29B06	93/92/99	406	259	34	11	38	0.8	38	10	143	
	03/06/90	427	248	32	11	40	1.0	40	8.1	148	1.2 en

^{* -} Alkalinity as CACO3

^{** -} Value slightly different than provisional data reported in 1989-90

TABLE D-5 (cont'd)

WELLS ON INDIAN RESERVATIONS

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids	Dissolved Chemical Constituents - mg/l							
	Tested	unhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Ħg	Ia	I	Cl	S04	EC 03*	JI 03
Pechanga Indian (Continued)	Reservation										
85/2W-29B07	03/07/90	396	230	8.6	2.5	71	0.9	51	11	102	<0.1 (N
	0 8/16/ 90	371	199	8.4	1.8	69	0 .8	50	14	106	<0.1 (R
85/2W-29B 0 8	03/ 0 7/90	464	272	31	9.4	52	1.2	58	12	134	0.45 e n
	0 8/16/9 0	458	261	34	9.1	48	1.1	59	17	135	0.4 (1
8S/2W-29B 0 9	03/07/90	343	210	21	9.2	39	1.0	24	6.7	131	1.3 e R
	08/17/ 90	317	197	26	10	26	1.1	22	3.4	130	1.6 e N
Cahuilla Indian	Reservation										
8S/3E-2E01	07/20/89	531 **	323	46	11	41	3.4	60	22	136 *	* 3.6 (1
	0 8/01/90	508	310	46	11	38	3.3	60	19	134	3.8 e r
•	07/16/91	522	306	50	10	39	3.3	61	21	139	3.7 en
7S/3B-21L 0 1	08/02/89	1050 **	675	90	19	100	3.5	84	190	216 *	* 3.1 🙀
	0 8/ 0 1/90	1020	610	87	18	100	3.4	85	180	217	3.0 e k
	0 7/17/91	995	636	93	18	100	3.7	95	188	206	2.5 e r
78/2E-33K	0 8/ 0 2/89	355	2 0 6	16	2.1	53	3.5	48	15	78	.73 🛍
7S/3E-34E01	0 7/2 0 /89	338 **	204	30	5.6	26	5. 0	29	7.0	98 *	* 3.3 es
	0 7/31/91	337	109	31	5.5	25	4.5	31	6.3	99	3.5 en
	07/16/91	335	209	31	5.9	26	4.7	32	6.3	99	3.5 er

^{* -} Alkalinity as CAC03

^{** -} Value slightly different than provisional data reported in 1989-90

TABLE D-6
SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED
WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved			Che	nical	Constitue	nts	- ng /l		
	Tested	unhos	(mg/l)	Ca	Ħg	J a	Ţ		1	S 04	EC03	B 03
1 0 S/5W-26C1	1989											
(Bldg 2201)	June	1302	734	78.1	23.0	85.9			136	145	212	<0.4
	1991											
	Jan	1271		81	36.1	152			166			<0.04
	June	1290	752	99	32.4	133			167	136	237	<0.4
10S/5¥-23J1	1989											
(Bldg 2301)	June	1139	662	71.5	21.7	80.8			117	128	209	<0.4
	1990											
	Jan	1150	632	90 .6	32.4	102			160	170	214	<0.5
	1991											
	Jan	1112		73.7	32	128			136	136	•••	<0.04
	June	1090	662	87.4	29.7	117			140	121	204	<0.4
10S/4W-18M4	1989											
(Bldg 2373)	June	1156	688	74.6	24.4	67.9			130	138	197	8.9
	1990											
	Jan	1120	630	86.4	32.3	101			156	166	210	<0.05
	Apr	1160	720	98.8	34.8	107			152	146	218	1.4
	1991											
	Jan	1202		84.1	40.5	117			162	153		<0.04
	Jane	1180	736	102	37.1	106			163	138	197	<0.4

TABLE D-6 (cont'd)

SANTA MARGARITA RIVER WATERSHED WATER QUALITY DATA

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	enical	Constituents	- ng /l		
	Tested	unhos	(mg/l)	Ca	lig	la .	ľ	Cl	804	EC03	B 03
10S/4W-18E3	1989										
(Bldg 2393)	June	1166	758	80.5	28.1	67.4		132	157	198	9.5
	1990										
	Jan	123 0	748	97.4	39.7	106		178	179	226	<0.05
	<u>Yōr</u>	11 90	733	99.6	37.5	112		159	156	207	2.5
	1991										
	Jane	1130	68 0	97.6	37.6	100	•••	139	142	166	2.7
10S/4U-7R2	1989										
(Bldg 2603)	June	1281	765	76.5	25.1	82.4		149	153	209	10.3
	Apr	1270	788	104	36.5	126		173	161	215	2.6
	1991										
	June	1400	836	111	41.1	130		195	155	215	9.94
10S/4W~7H2	1989										
(Bldg 2671)	June	1137	826	79.1	28.5	85.5		157	158	246	12.6
	1990										
	Jan	12 90	772	96.3	38.6	116	•••	184	179	252	0.9/1.2
	Apr	1320	817	109	42.1	128		177	167	249	5.4
	1991										
	Jan	491		87.3	44.4	103.1		20.5	179		1.07

TABLE D-6 (cont'd)

WELLS ON CAMP PENDLETON

Site Location	Date	Specific Conductance	Total Dissolved Solids			Che	eical	Constituents	- a g/1		
************	Tested	unhos	(mg/1)	Ca	Eg	Ila	ľ	C1	S04	BCO3	103
10S/4V-7A2	1989										
(Bldg 2673)	June	1 0 73	688	72.1	23.9	59.6		120	140	184	15.9
	Jan	1080	572	91.2	34.2	80.2		151	178	174	1.4
	19 90										
	Apr	1130	718	111	42.1	91		148	167	175	9.1
	1991										
	June	11 90	718	113	40.3	93.8		173	180	160	7,5
10S/5W-23K2	1989										
(81dg 33924)	June	1207	698	75.6	22.8	84		138	137	231	<0.4
	Apr	1249	728	100	32.9	129		158	148	245	1.3
	1991										
	Jan	1193		80.6	35.2	131		21.3	146		<0.04
	June	1160	676	88.1	29.6	118		141	129	224	<0.04
10S/5W-13R2	1990										
(Bldg 2363)	Jan	1030	540	*96	26.6	94.8		141	130	200	0.7
	1991										
	Jane	1150	702	98.7	32	109		149	125	288	1.3
1 6 S/5V-23 G 3	1991										
(Bldg 33926)	June	1160	684	83.4	28.3	125		145	124	223	<0.04
,								3.0			•

^{* -} Reported as .96

